

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.**Government of Madras.***Pension* **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	300	Press Mis.
Dated	12-6-	18 75.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Military Dept. Establishment.

Military Department

No. 2506.

Memorandum.

In forwarding, in compliance with the request of Government, conveyed in the Chief Secretary's memorandum, No. 15, dated 22nd May 1875, the accompanying separate application for a special pension to Mr. Fonseca, late registrar in the Military Department, the undersigned, while regretting that the Members of Government are of opinion that there are no sufficient grounds for a similar pension in the case of the men named in the margin, has the honor to state with reference to the remark in the concluding clause of paragraph 2 of that communication, that in carrying out the reorganization of his Office establishment, he kept solely in view the efficiency of the department, and consequently retained the best hands. In no case however was an old servant discharged

C. Chittambalam Mudaly.
C. Ramasawmy Mudaly.
S. Narayanasawmy
Aided

discharged who was qualified for more & important duties than those previously assigned to him, and the large reduction ordered to be carried out "immediately (not later than 1st March 1875)", rendered it simply impossible to retain men whose offices had been abolished and who were in receipt of pay at rates which could not be continued to them under the new scale.

Pro. of Govt. & Pub. Dept.
No. 299, dated
11th Feb. 1875.

The undersigned trusts that the Government will on this explanation, relieve him from the censure implied in the remark "that better arrangements might have been made by dispensing with men having inferior claims, in lieu of these old servants."

Cotacamundo
26th May 1875

Believe me
Secretary to Government.

To,
The Pension Department.

Pension 1875 Dispatch

No 329.

From the Secretary to
Govt Military Stores

Dated 26 May 1875
Recd 31 "

Submitting certain
explanations in connection
with the reorganization
of his Office & the
:ment.

With order 302

Order No 12 June 1875 No 300

W. F. Richardson. General considers
General Order's explanation perfectly the

satisfactory. The question although it

under the rules only was he has not to
the men whose cases were under

reference in

To the Secretary to Govt Military Stores