M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-31.

(1) Number of pages 106

(2) Date of repair 12.3.41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

G

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 250	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated 15—5— 1875.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department, 15*th May* 1875.

Gratuities.

Read the following papers :—

From the Acting District Judge of Madura, dated 9th March 1875, Nos. 119 and 120.
,, Accountant-General, dated 5th April 1875, Nos. 13 and 14.

ORDER THEREON, 15th May 1875, No. 253.

Under Sections 53, 56 (*a*), and 57 of the Civil Pension Code (2nd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to sanction payment of the superannuation gratuities set opposite to their respective names to the two Head Gumastahs named below, who have been superannuated from the District Munsif's Court at Madura after having served for the period specified.

2. The Government regret that they are unable to comply with the District Judge's recommendation that the applicants' cases should be exceptionally treated.

Names.	Rank.	Service.			Gratuity sanctioned.		
		Y.	M.	D.	RS.	A.	P.
Akelandam Pillay	Head Gumastah	11	11	0	220	0	0
Solavandan Anantakristnaiyar ...	Do.	11	5	18	220	0	0

(True Extract.)

Officiating Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General, with two Registers.
,, District Judge of Madura.
,, Collector of Madura.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Govt. of {1875} Madras.

PENSION.

To

The ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated May 15th.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 15th May 1875, No. 253.

MAY.

Granting superannuation gratuities to two Head Gumastahs of the District Munsif's Court at Madura.

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Anantakistna Iyer	Brahmin	Paramagoody	Annasami Iyer	Solavandan	2nd Masi Year Easwara, corresponding 6th February 1818	56	.	28	5	2	The officer has marks of small pox all over the face and has lost the left sight	31st March 1874		Venkata Row Dist-Munsif F. Wardrop Ag District Judge 24 June/75

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
5 6th Gumastah Shenegungah Munsif Court.	substantive permanent	"	6Rs	"	19th Feb 1844				~~15~~th Novr. 1846	Removal from his office	
The officer was out of employ from 16th November 1846 to 11 ~~18~~th February 1847 for 3 months and 2 days after which he was restored to his post by an order of the late Civil Court of Madura dated the 11th ~~18th~~ February 1847.											
5 6th Gumastah Shenegungah Dist Ct.	substantive permanent	"	6Rs	"	12th ~~18th~~ Feb 1847	"			13th ~~14th~~ June 1847	Promotion	
4th Gumastah Do	Do		7Rs	"	14th ~~15th~~ June 1847	"	(True translation) A This is to certify that Anantakistnyer 4th Royasam of this Court has been doing duty under me during the time I presided over this Court. He is a trustworthy and experienced man. He deserves promotion. (Signed) Annasami Iyer 19th August 1852 Munsif B The alterations of which Petr. complains were unavoidable under the new arrangement. The party by whom he considers himself superseded is one of longer service. Petr's case will be considered on an opportunity occurring. September 20th 1853	"	30th June 1853	(Vide Civil Judge's Order in Col. 8 marked B)	Venkata [illegible]
5th Gumastah Do	Do	"	6Rs	"	1st July 1853		C Anantakistna Iyen 4th Gumastah in Shenegungah Muffs Court is an able and experienced servant. He has been regular and attentive to his duties and I consider him deserving of promotion. 5th April 1859 (Signed) Sreenivasa Row Shenegungah Dt Muff Court. Dt Munsif		31st October ~~6th Nov~~ 1854	Promotion	

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
Head Gumastah Paramagoody Munsf Court	substantive do permanent		20 Rs		1st Sepr 1870	
Do	Permanent		20		1st March 1851	

9

Ananda Kristnan

I hear this individual is an efficient servant and up to his work. he has been 23 years in the service

26 March /67. (Signed) R. Cotton
Civil Judge

(True copy)

F. Woodroffe
Ag District Judge

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
F I have much pleasure in adding my testimony to the abilities of Anantakistna Iyer who was the 2nd Gumastah of this Court. He worked under me for about 18 months or until he was transferred to the Paramagoody Court as Head Gumastah. During this period I have always found him to be a very able intelligent and hard-working servant. He further seemed to me to be a quiet submissive and unassuming man. I give him this testimonial in compliance with his request for one when he left this Court. (Signed) P. Venga Iyer Dt. Munsif 17-1-71. This officer has been fined twice for neglect of duty a rupee on each occasion one was on the 28th March and another on the 26th December 1873. Ananda Kistnai maintained his good character under me — Venkata Aiyer 19 August 1874 Dist Munsif		28th [illegible] 1871 31st March 1874. 31st March 1874		Venkata Aiyer District Munsif

Produced by the applicant on the 25 August /24

F.H.W.

To Dy m. R. 2bc

[illegible]

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name.		
Caste or Race.		
Residence.		
FATHER'S.	Name.	
	Residence.	
Date of birth.		

Pen in No 216 of 75
O No. 253 —

2893

List of papers connected with
Anantakistnaiyar's application
for Pension.

A. Explanation dated 2d November 1874 furnished by the Applicant regarding his dismissal and degradation.

B. Proceedings of the Civil Court dated 9th November 1846 suspending Munsif Lakshumipathi Aiyar.

C. Do 16th November 1846 dismissing applicant from post of 5th Gumastah.

D. Civil Court's letter to late Sudder Cou dated 11th November 1846 submitting copy of its Proceedings in Lakshumipathi a Aiyar's case.

E. Proceedings of the late Sudder Court 6th February 1847 in the matter of Lakshumipati Aiyar.

F. Civil Court's order for applicant's reinstatement dated 12th February 1847.

G. Order of Mr Baynes dated 20th Septem 1853 showing that the applicant's degradation was an alteration unavoidable u new arrangement.

H. Proceedings of the District Court dated 17th July 1873 regarding applicant's absence without leave for 4 days.

I. Extract from the Minute on Parama
Gudi

gudi Munsif's Court.

5. Applicant's statement regarding the date of his birth.

Service Register

9th March '25

Ag District Judge

Dis m. Re 7/10/25
Co. No. 353

Translate of Enclo: A

15

To,

The District Court of Madura

Statement most respectfully presented by Anantakristner, Head Goomastah of the Permagudi Mff's Court:

I was appointed 6th Goomastah in the Shivaganga Mff's court on the 1st March 1844 & was discharging my duties.

In an enquiry held in the matter of a charge of ~~robbery~~ bribery preferred by one Minakshi Sundram Iyer against the then District Mff, Lakshumapati Aiyer, 4 peons depose that they gave me a share out of Batta collections & the said Mff was suspended & I myself dismissed (Vide Proceedings of the said Court dated 9th & 16th November 1846.)

On the 16th November 1846 the then Civil Judge submitted to the Sudder Court a letter with the Proceedings dated 9th November 1846 finally passed in the said enquiry.

The

The late Sudder Court sent an extract on the 6th February 1847 to the effect that the complaint preferred was not proved.

Thereupon I represented to the Court that my post (Goomistah) might be given to me. The court reinstated me in the said 6th Gumastahship on the 12th February 1847. Since then I had been doing the said duty.

Owing to the bad terms that existed between me & the Tuleiyar, the then Head Goomistah in the said Mff's court it happened as above but I did not commit any mistake.

When certain arrangements were made in the Mff's Courts in virtue of the Proceedings of the late Sudder Court dated 6th June 1853. I was appointed to the post of 5th gumastah on a salary of Rs. 6 from the 4th Gumastahships the salary of which was 7 Rs. Of which I presented a petition to the Civil Court on the 19th September 1853 whereupon it was endorsed on the 23rd Idem that the alteration was made in the new arrangement which endorsement I have herewith submitted.

I most respectfully beg the Court will recommend me for pension, after perusing the said endorsement, the Proceedings of this court dated 9th November 1846 mentioned in the letter dated 16th November 1847 forwarded to the High Court, the order dated 12th February 1847 appointing me again to the said 6th Goomaste:ship. 20 December 74.

(Signed) Anantakrishner

I do hereby Certify that

Endo: A

[illegible]

what is stated herein is true to the best of my information & belief

25 Feby/78. (signed) Anantakrishna

(True translation)

(Official [illegible])

Anantakristnier's application explanatory of his dismissal and degradation

20 December/74.

(not verified)

Pd. in No 216 of 78

[illegible]

1844 [illegible]

[illegible]

1853 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1874 [illegible] 20 உ

[illegible]

[illegible]

25 — 2 — 75

[illegible]urdroff

Ag. Sub Judge

Enclo: A

Explanation dated 20th November 1874 furnished by applicant regarding his dismissal as a dalcon.

Rd 20 December 1874

F.H.W.

Mr. W. M. Please translate.

Sen in No 2160/75

Enclo: B

Proceedings of the Ag Civil Judge of Madura under date the 9th November 1846.

M.P. No. 555 of 1846 or 227

Read, in the matter of the said petition the said petition and the depositions taken on solemn affirmation from Subbaiyan, Head Rayasam, Sami Aiyan 3rd Rayasam, and Gopalakistnayan, Shroff Rayasam. In the matter of the petition of Minakshisundaram aiyan stating that the Munsif, besides continuing to receive bribe from the parties of his Court, gets a share from the batta amount collected by the batta peons and that the said charges will be proved if his Court Gomastahs and batta peons be sent for and examined, 40 batta peons were examined, 20 of whom depose, on solemn affirmation to having given to the Inf monthly, half the batta collected by them and 4 of whom, to having directly given to the 5th Rayasam. It is very clear that they would not have given to the 5th Rayasam, unless they knew that

that the Munsif had given orders or an authority to that effect.

(Signed) G. S. Greenway.
Ag Civil Judge

(True Translate of an Extract)

F. A. Woodcock
Ag District Judge.

Remmem...

No 2469/6

Proceedings of the Civil Court dated 9 December 1846.

95

1846 [illegible]

[illegible]

1846 [illegible]
[illegible] 555
[illegible] 224 [illegible]

[illegible]

97

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

31

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(Signed) [illegible]
Actg Judge.

(True Copy)

[illegible]

Actg Dist Judge

Sen in No 216 of 45

Encl. B
Pro. 9 November 1846

Extract from the Pro: of the Civil Court
Encl. C dated 16 November 1846

33

Regarding
Anantakrishna
Shevagngal Deffi
Court.

It having been proved that this Royasam continues to embezzle sums from Peons out of Batta money collected, it is ordered that he be dismissed from the Office.

(Signed) S. Chennaya
B. Civil Judge

(True translate)

A W
Asst Dist Judge

Ramaswamy Sheo

Enclo C

35

1846 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

(Signed) G. S. Greenway
Ag Civil Judge

(True Extract)

[illegible]
Ag District Judge

[illegible]

Pro: 16 November 1846

Pen in No 216 of 35

Recd. in No. 216 of 46

Encl. C
Recd. 16 December 1846
dismissing applicant
from [illegible] of [illegible]

D

No. 232 of 1846.

To

The Registrar to the Court of
Sudr. Adalut
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Judges of the Court of Sudr. Adalut that I have suspended Letchumypathy Aiyen the District Munsif of Sivaganga on account of charges brought against him as shewn in the accompanying proceedings of this Court dated the 9th Instant.

It is probable that I shall be relieved by Mr. Baynes in a very few days & I have therefore thought it advisable not to depute any person to carry on the Munsif's duties leaving the situation to be filled up after Mr. Baynes arrival

I have &ca.

11th November 1846

(Signed) G. S. Greenaway
Ag. Judge

(True Copy)

Ag. Dist. Judge

Madras 6th Feby 47.

From

J. H. Davidson Esquire
Register to the Court of
Sudr Adawlut

To
C. R. Baynes Esquire
Offg Civil Judge of
Madura

Sir

1. I am directed by the Judges of the Sudr Adawlut to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 15th ultimo, reporting the result of the Inquiry into the Conduct of Lutchumnpathe Iyer the suspended Dist Mf of Sherungunga.

2. The Judges concur in opinion with you that the Charge against that person is not proved and that there is nothing in this particular Case which either demands or would justify the dismission of the District Mf from his Situation

3. But a Consideration of the whole matter has strongly impressed the minds of

Encl: D
Letter to the Sudr Court
dated 11 December /46

Recd in No 216 of 47

of the Judges with the Conviction that Lutchumathi Iyer is no longer capable of performing the duties of his important office either with credit to himself or with advantage to the Public Interests; and that his restoration to the Moonsiffship is therefore inexpedient

4 - The Judges direct me accordingly to request that you will report whether in your opinion Lutchumathi Iyer is incapacitated by age or by bodily or mental infirmity for the efficient performance of his public duties and should that be the case, that you will in communication with the Civil Surgeon Medical officer at Madura call upon that individual to submit in the prescribed form an application for a Superannuation Pension.

I have &ca
(Sd) F. H. Davidson
Registrar

Sudr Udaulut
Register's Office
6th Feby 1857.

(Similar Copy)
H. Wordsworth
Asst. Regr. S. A. Court

Ser. No. 216 of 45

Late Sudr
Zillah Court order
6th February 1847

F.

F

43

Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Madura dated 12th February 1847.

Anantakistna
6th Gumastah
Shevagunga
Dist. Court.

This individual represents that subsequent to the suspension of Lakshumipathi Aiyar, District Munsif for the charges brought against him he was dismissed from service on the ground of his having had connection in the said charge; that a letter having now been received from the Sudder Court to the effect that the said charge has not been proved he should be restored to his appointment. Although a letter has been received as represented it is probable that the said Gumastah had part in the matter of the said charge and that the fact not having been proved the charge was not upheld. Should there be any charge against the said Gumasta in future he will be punished and he is further strictly warned that he should be careful in future - and appointed 6th Gumasta on a pay of 6 Rs. Ordered that Takids be sent to exact work from him and to send Strinivassaiyangar who was ag. 6th Gumasta in his room to Paramagudi Munsif Court where has been appointed as 6th Gumasta.

(Signed) C. R. Baynes
Civil Judge.

(Translation true extract)

Ag. Zillah Judge

Ramasamy Iyer

Seen in the [illegible]

45

1847 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Enclo: F

Rec: 12 February 1847

Order of reinstatement

Sen in No 216 of 75

[illegible]

I would have been properly [illegible]

I was unfortunately put under [illegible] of

Toomasstah or [illegible]

6th Lastly I humbly beg [illegible] to state

that my [illegible]

of the [illegible]

[illegible] pay of [illegible] before the [illegible]

[illegible] was [illegible] and

I have been put down as the [illegible]

of 6 Rupees while the present Toomasstah [illegible]

[illegible] was in the receipt of 7 Rs. promoted to [illegible]

with an increased pay of 7 Rupees

I would not venture to trouble your hon^r with the representation of my [illegible] to [illegible] the [illegible] of [illegible] of Class [illegible] in Conclusion

I humbly pray your hon^r will grant me relief by restoring me to my place of the [illegible] from the year 184[illegible]

[illegible] September 1853

Enclos. G

The alterations of the Sel. Constables were unavoidable under the new arrangements. The post of [illegible] be [illegible] having superseded is one of lower service. Sel. Con. will be considered on an application of vacancies.

Sept 2/53

F.H.W.

Recd [illegible]

Pass. No 216 of 75

H

51

Proceedings of the District Court of Madura dated 17th July 1873.

Read arzi No 73 dated 8th July 1873 from the District Munsif of Periyakulam forwarding application for an extension of leave from 10th to 20th July 1873; presented by his Head Gumasta for Court's sanction.

Read also Periyakulam Munsif's arzi reporting that the said Gumasta returned to his duties on the 11th July [illegible]

Read again order of this Court dated [illegible] granting one month's privilege leave to the said Gumasta which expired on the 10 July

Order

He can only have one month and must be treated as absent without leave for the additional days.

(Signed) P. P. Hutchins
District Judge

(True copy)

F. A. Wardroffe
Ag Dist Judge

[illegible]

Sen: in No 216 of 75

Enclo: H

Proceedings of the Di
Court dated 17 July

Minute on the Paramagudi Court. 13

× × × × ×

9. The cash books are kept in a very
slovenly form, so much so that I had to
bring them away with me that detailed
instructions might be prepared. There wa
no account with the Revenue Treasury
entered in the Ledger. Receipts were n
filed in order and the Head Gumasta too
a long time to find any voucher that
called for. The old Batta accounts wer
also far from clear and the very first
items in the new Batta account was
wrong, and must have resulted in
same sum for process fees being sup-
posed to have been received twice over.
I am very far from satisfied with t
Head Gumasta. He is over 55 years o
but 2 or 3 months ago, on what I took
be the District Munsif's recommendat
I permitted him to hold on for another y
Since then, upon inspection of the Copy
Book, I intimated that I should not
done so if I had seen that Book before.
now learn that the Munsif is not sati
fied with him and only submitted his
application

application to be allowed to remain in consideration of the Court having for sometime been deprived of the services of the 3d Gumasta. I shall take an early opportunity of appointing a new Head Gumasta and this man's papers will then be sent in for pension. The 2d Gumastah's management of the Execution records is so unsatisfactory that I shall be unable to appoint him. × × × × × ×

(Signed) P. P. Hutchins
Ag District Judge.

(True Extract)

F. A. Woodroffe
Ag. District Judge

[illegible] No 216 of 75

Exd by
P. S. Ramasamy
[illegible]

Encl. I
Extract from the Dist. Munsif's
of Paramagoody Court.

To
The District Judge of Madura.

55

The humble statement of
Anantakrishnier Head Gumastah
District Munsif's Court Paramagoody.

There is no horoscope to show my age but I have heard my parents say that at the time I first entered public Service which was in ~~Feby~~ 1844 my age was 26, and this I have adopted all along whenever a statement was required by the Court for my age calculating from the same my age is now 56 years. I have heard my mother say that I was born on the 2d Massy (next day after Massappirappy) and calculating down from 26 accordingly I submit that the S[illegible] I was born in is Eswara.

7-6-75
[illegible]
25-2-75

[illegible]

List of Papers connected with Anantakristnier's Application for Pension

Enclo: I.

Applicant's statement regarding the date of his birth.

F.H.W.

Ro 7 - Jany 75

[illegible]

FHW

Ag District Judge

D Sem. No 346 of 75

No 120/1875

Madura District Court
9th March 1875.

From,

F. H. Woodroffe Esquire
Acting District Judge
of Madura

To,

The Honorable W. Hudleston
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George.

Honorable Sir,

I have this day forwarded to the Accountant General applications for gratuity by Sholavandan Anantakristnier late Head Gumasta, Permagudy District Munsif's Court, and by Akilandum Pillai late Head Gumasta Madura Munsif's Court.

2. Anantakristnier's case is as follows:- He began service as 5th Gumasta in the Shevagungah Munsif's Court on 1st March 1844 and continued serving in Judicial Department up to 31st March 1874. Of which period only three months do not reckon as service. Regarding those period of non-service of three months, I have remarked as follows in the application.

> "On the 16th November 1846, the then Civil Judge dismissed applicant from his office (Enclosure C) on the ground of its having been proved that he had embezzled sums

from

from Peons out of batta money collected. The late Sudder Adawlut held that the charge against applicants' superior officer was not proved (Enclosure E) and applicant accordingly petitioned to be reinstated & his Petition was acceded to on the 12th February 1847. Enclosure F. as noted above. In the Pay abstract of November 1846, he appears as drawing pay for the whole of that month.

Regarding his character and past conduct I have made the following remark, from which it is inferrible that except at the beginning and end of his service, applicant served faithfully and well.

"In the matter of the charge of Bribery and receiving improper gratification brought against Lakshmipathy Aiyar, the District Munsif under whom applicant was then serving, the late Civil Court in its Proceedings dated 12th February 1847 (Enclosure F), states that it is probable that applicant had part in the matter of the said charge. Also the late District Judge in his Proceedings Enclosure I dated 13th October 1873 expressed his opinion to the effect that applicants work was slovenly and unsatisfactory, and he appears to have been fined twice in 1870 for neglect of duty. Beyond these notices, I find nothing on record about applicant except what testifies to his trustworthiness, experience and industry and it will be observed that these testimonials of good service are forthcoming without intermission from 1852 to 1871."

Only 11 years, 5 months and 18 days of his service, however, are qualifying Service counting as Superior Service and all the rest is inferior.

3 Akilandam Pillay's case is similar only stronger. He began service as 4th Gumasta in the Tiruparamgundam Munsifs' Court on 15th November 1836 and continued serving in Judicial Department up to 31st May 1874. Regarding his character and past conduct, I have made the following remark from which it is inferrible that applicant served faithfully and satisfactorily on the whole.

"Except the remarks of the late Judge Enclosure F to the effect that his accounts were not kept neatly or very intelligibly and that his management of Process Peons was not satisfactory, there is nothing positive on record against applicant and his testimonials show that he did his duty well and satisfactorily on the whole."

Only 13 years, 8 months and 9 days of his service however, are qualifying service counting as Superior Service and all the rest is Inferior.

4 I accordingly found myself unable to apply for anything more for them as of right than a gratuity of 12 months pay. But I now do myself the honor to request

request that when the applications come before Government, the circumstances may be taken into its favourable consideration and recommendation made to the Government of India to grant Anantakristnier and Akilandam Pillay respectively a Pension of Rupees 6-1-6 and 10-"-" (being a third and one half of their average pay) for the last 5 years, instead of gratuity.

5. I would invite the attention of the Government to the apparent inadequacy of gratuity for service so long and faithful. I believe it is admitted that for a considerable number of years, the pay of ministerial officers in the Judicial Department has been inadequately low and independently of the penury that deprivation of Pension would entail on the applicants it could not fail to be regarded by them as an unmerited disgrace by able candidates for Judicial employment as a deterrent from entering it and by people generally in an unfavorable light.

I have the honor to be,
Honorable Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

Acting District Judge.

Copied by
Rungasami Raju

~~Judicial~~ Pension 1875 Department
From **No. 150**
The Ag District Judge
Madura
To,
The Chief Secy to Govt
Fort Saint George
Dated 9th March 1875
Received 12 " "
No 120 **N 740**
Enclosure - None
No

Stating that applications
for gratuity by Sholavundan
Anantakristnier & Akilan
dum Pillai Gumastahs of the
District Munsifs' Courts of
Permagudy & Madura res=
=pectively have been forwar
=ed to the Accountant Genl
and requesting for the reason
stated that recommendation
may be made to the Govt
of India to grant them Pen
sion of Rupees 6-1-6 and
10 - - (being a third & one
half of their average pay)
for the last 5 years, instea
of gratuity.

O. No 953.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Akelandum Pillai	Vellala	Madura	Vythilingam Pillai	Tanjore	18th March 181~~6~~7 (a)	57	9	"	5	9	Bamboo color has small wart on both sides 3 inches below the armpit.	18th December 1874		Certified By [illegible] (a) altered at [illegible] request. 6 was apparently a clerical error for 7 Ag District Judge 6 March /75

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
I hereby certify that Akilandom Pillay Head Gumastah of Paramagoody Munsif's Court while doing duty under me has always given me satisfaction in the discharge of his public duties. I consider him a valuable public servant and if he continues to pursue the same course of conduct, he cannot fail in obtaining promotion and wish ~~him~~ every success. (Signed) J. Inasquita Dist Munsiff.		28th July 1844	Promotion	[illegible] 7/1/75
		31st December 1844	Do.	
		30th Septr. 1845	Return of permanent incumbent	
		30th June 1846	Transfer	
		31st January 1848	Transfer at his own request	
		10th Septr. 1848	Promotion	
		31st October 1854	Transfer	
		28th February 1858	Promotion	
	[illegible] 7/1/75	31st May 1858	Return of the permanent incumbent	
During the period Akilandom Pilly was head gomastah under me in the Madura Muff Court he has been satisfactory. 18 Dec/74 - [illegible] Dt Muff Madura		21st Decr. 1860	Promotion	
		30th June 1862	Return of permanent incumbent	
		31st July 1862	Abolition of Deputy Sudr Amin's Court	
		31st August 1862	The salary of the said post was increased to 14 Rupees	
		14th January 1863	Promotion	
		31st August 1870	Transfer	
		31st May 1874	Superannuation	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.
4th Gumastah Tiruparangoondam Munsiff's Court	Substantive permanent	"	7	"	15th November 1836	
3rd Gumastah Do.	Do.	"	8	"	29th July 1844	
2nd Ditto	Acting Temporary	3rd Gumastah	9-8-"	"	1st January 1845	
3rd Ditto	Substantive permanent	"	8-"-"	"	1st October 1845	
Paramagudy Muff's court 3rd Gumastah	Do.	"	8-"-"	"	1st July 1846	
Tiruparangundam Muff's court 5th Gumastah	Do.	"	6-"-"	"	1st February 1848	
Sivagunyah Munsif's Court 3rd Gumastah	Do.	–	8-"-"	"	1st Septr 1848	
Mufty Sudr Amin's Court 5th Gumastah	Do.	–	8-"-"	"	1st Novr 1854	
Do. 4th Gumastah	Acting Temporary	5th Gumastah	11-"-"	"	1st March 1858	
Do. 5th Gumastah	Substantive permanent	–	8-"-"	"	1st June 1858	
Ad. Hd. Gumastah in the said Court	Acting Temporary	5th Gumastah	14-"-"	"	22d Decr 1860	
2d Gumastah Do.	Substantive permanent	–	12-"-"	"	1st July 1862	
2d Gumastah Additional Munsif's Court Madura	Do.	–	12-"-"	"	1st August 1862	
Ad. Hd Gumastah Ditto	Do.	2nd Do.	14-"-"	"	1st Septr 1862	
Head Gumastah Paramagudy Munsif's Court	Do.	–	20-"-"	"	15th January 1865	
Head Gumastah Madura Munsif's Court	Do.	–	20-"-"	"	1st Septr 1870	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment,	Signature of Officer.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

SERVICE BOOK
OF

Name.
Caste or Race.
Residence.
FATHER'S. Name.
Residence.
Date of birth.

Pro in No 245 of 75
RC No 253

List of papers for reference in connection with Akilandum Pillay's application.

A. Proceedings of the late Civil Court dated 15th July 1846 regarding applicant's transfer to Pennagudi

B. Do Do dated 2d February 1848 regarding transfer to Tirupparangundrum Munsif's Court from Pennagudi.

C. Do Do dated 31st October 1854 regarding transfer to Mufsl Sudder Ameen's Court from Pennagudi.

D. Do Do dated 29th August 1870 regarding transfer to Madura.

E. Extract from the Minute on Madura Munsif's Court.

F. Verified statement of applicant regarding lineage and his transfer to Pennagudi Munsif's Court in 1846.

G. Copies of testimonials
Service Register.

F. W. Wardroffe
Ag District Judge

9th March 1875

Ramasawmy Iyer

See in Reg 215 of

Proceedings of the Civil Court of Madura
dated 15th July 1846.

As it appears that the business in the Tirupparanguntam Munsifs' Court is irregularly conducted the Ag Civil Judge is of opinion that it will be expedient to transfer the Gumastahs of the said Court to other District Munsif Courts and accordingly directs that Minakshisundaram Pillai, 2d Gumasta of the said Court be transferred as 2d Gumasta of Sivaganga Msf's court; Gopalayangar, 2d Gumasta of Sivaganga Msf's Court as 2d Gumasta of Tirupparanguntam Msf's Court; Akilandam Pillai, 3d Gumasta of Thirupparanguntam Msf's Court as 3d Gumasta of Paramagudi Msf's Court and Paramasevara Aiyan 3d Gumasta of the Paramagudi Msf's Court as 3d Gumasta of Tirupparanguntam Msf's court and that Takids be sent accordingly to the said District Msf's to exact work from the said Gumastas as soon as they join their respective Courts.

(True Translation)

Ag District Judge

B

Proceedings of the Civil Court of Madura dated 2d February 1848.

Read application put in by Subbaiyan late Rayasam in the Subordinate Court setting forth his claims and stating that he is suffering very much because he is unemployed and praying that the Court will confer on him any post, however low it may be, which may become vacant and thus relieve him.

Akilandam Pillai 3d Gumasta of the Paramagudi Infr. court will act from the 1st Instant as 5th gumasta of Tirupparangunram Infr. court in the room of Subbanaranaiyar; Vayapuri Pillai will succeed Akilandam Pillai; Srinivassaiyangar will succeed Vayapuri Pillai; and the applicant Subbayar will succeed Srinivasaaiyangar. Separate Takeeds will be sent to the said 2 Munsifs.

True Translate

Ag. District Judge

D. Ar. m. No 215 of 75.

Sen m No 2150 of 75

C

31st October 1854.

Proceedings of Government

As the sanction of the Government of India dated 15th September 1853 for Rs 25 in addition to Rs 45 already sanctioned for the establishment of the Muftee Sadr Amin's Court, has since been received it is ordered that the establishment be made up and arranged as follows to take effect from 1st November next.

As at present stands:-	Rs	As	P
1. Sayad Tajudeen Saib	14	–	–
1. Asirvadam Pillai	12	–	–
1. Nagalingam Pillai sent for from Paramagudi Mfs' Court	11	–	–
1 Subbaiyan	11	–	–
1 Akilandam Pillai, sent for from Sivaganga Mfs court	8	–	–
1 Sundarathaiyar	5	–	–
2. Peons (as at present stands)	7	–	–

(True Translate)

Ag District Judge

B.

Proceedings of the Civil Court of Madura dated 2d February 1848.

Read application put in by Subbaiyan late Rayasam in the Subordinate Court setting forth his claims and stating that he is suffering very much because he is unemployed and praying that the court will confer on him any post, however low it may be, which may become vacant and thus relieve him.

Akilandam Pillai 3d Gumasta of the Paramagudi Msf Court will act from the 1st Instant as 5th Gumasta of the Tirupparanguntam Msf court in the room of Subbanaranaiyar; Vayapuri Pillai will succeed Akilandam Pillai; Strinivassaiyangar will succeed Vayapuri Pillai; and the applicant Subbayar will succeed Strinivassaiyangar. Separate Takeeds will be sent to the said 2 Munsifs.

True Translate

Ag District Judge

D. [illegible] No 915 of 45.

Jan in Rs 245 of 5

Proceedings of the Civil Court of Madura dated 15th July 1846.

As it appears that the business in the Tirupparanguntam Munsifs' Court is irregularly conducted the Ag Civil Judge is of opinion that it will be expedient to transfer the Gumastahs of the said court to other District Munsifs Courts and accordingly directs that Minakshisundaram Pillai, 2d Gumasta of the said Court be transferred as 2d Gumasta of Sivaganga Mfs' court; Gopalayangar, 2d Gumasta of Sivaganga Mfs' Court as 2d Gumasta of Tirupparanguntam Mfs' Court; Akilandam Pillai, 3d Gumasta of Thirupparanguntam Mfs' Court as 3d Gumasta of Paramagudi Mfs' Court and Paramesvara Aiyan 3d Gumasta of the Paramagudi Mfs' Court as 3d Gumasta of Tirupparanguntam Mfs' court and that Takids be sent accordingly to the said District Mfs' to exact work from the said Gumastas as soon as they join their respective Courts.

(True Translation)

Ag District Judge

Dism No 215 of 55

C

31st October 1854.

Proceedings of Government

As the sanction of the Government of India dated 15th September 1853 for Rs 25 in addition to Rs 45 already sanctioned for the establishment of the Muftee Sadr Amin's Court, has since been received it is ordered that the establishment be made up and arranged as follows to take effect from 1st November next.

As at present stands:-

	Rs	As	P
1. Sayad Tajudeen Saib	14	"	"
1. Asirvadam Pillai	12	"	"
1. Nagalingam Pillai sent for from Paramagudi Msf Court	11	"	"
1 Subbaiyan	11	"	"
1 Akilandam Pillai, sent for from Sivaganga Msfs court	8	"	"
1 Sundaratiaiyar	5	"	"
2. Peons (as at present stands)	9	"	"

(True Translation)

Ag District Judge

Sm. No 21/95

D

Proceedings of the Civil Court of Madura dated 29th August 1870.

In anticipation of the application put in by Ramasami Iyer Head Gumastah of Madura Munsif's Court the following appointments are made and to have effect from the 1st Proximo.

Akilandam Pillai Head Gumastah of Paramagudi Dist Head Gumastah of Madura District Munsif's Court

× × ×

(Signed) J. D. Goldingham
Civil Judge

The above appointments are made confirmed from this date

16-3-71. (Signed) J. D. Goldingham
Civil Judge

(True Extract)

Ag District Judge

Ramasawmy

[illegible] No 215 of 75.

Extract from the Minute on Madura Munsif's Court.

x x x

The Head gumasta is an old man, who attained the age of 55 in 1872, but was allowed to serve, for 2 years more. I do not think he ought to be continued any longer. His accounts were not kept neatly or very ~~well~~ intelligibly. It would be useless to go into details here, for I pointed them out personally and my Sherestadar made him see how the books of my Court were kept. In the contingent account the credits and debits were in great confusion and often entered on the same side. The Receipts on account of the service of Processes should be totalled up every month in the same book in which they are entered. Some Sums were entered for other Court's Processes, although the payment made for the Service of those processes will have appeared in the accounts of those Courts and no payment was made here. The result is that a single payment has been entered twice and the figures sent up to the High Court are inaccurate.

x x x

(Signed,) P. P. Hutchins
District Judge.
4th March

(True Extract,)

Actg District Judge

Or m No 215 of 75

To

The District Court of
Madura

Memo submitted by Akilandum Pillai late Head gomastah of Madura Munsif's Court.

Horoscope produced by me was prepared by my family priest one Kochaday Soshengan of Madura and delivered to my father according to custom prevailing among Hindus and it is therefore in my house.

The said Soshengan died, it is not known when.

In the order transferring me to Paramagudi on same salary in 1846, it is mentioned that the transfer was owing to some irregularities which have not been particularized. Nor have I behaved irregular. 4 individulas from Madura Mfs Court including myself 2 from Shivagunga Munsif's Court and 2 from Paramagudi Mfs Court were transfered simultaneously.

I have hereby brought the above particulars to the notice of the Court

I hereby declare that the above facts are true

8th February 1875 (Signed) A Kilandum Pilly

The correct date of my birth is 16th March 1817 corresponding to 5th Panguni Dathu. My age calculated from the date of my birth up to 18th December 1874 (on which date I have given in my Service list) is 57 years and 9 months and 2 days. But in the Service list I have stated it to be 57 years and 9 months only. This difference of 2 days is owing to my having failed through want of memory [illegible] date therein. I therefore request the Court to have the 16th March 1817 as the correct English date of my birth & the period of my service as 17 years 9 months and 2 days.

5th March 1875 (Signed) A Kilandum Pillai

(True Translation)

[illegible]
Ag District Judge

Rec — on 21/3/75
Do No. 353

G

Remarks regarding punishments and severe and formal reprimands and all special commendations or marks of approbation

This is to certify that Akilandum Pillai was employed as 3rd Rayasam in my Court from 16th November 1836, and that he conducted himself to my entire satisfaction being an expert writer and intelligent in business. He has been removed with two other Rayasams from my Court at the pleasure of the Ag. Civil Judge without any cause of complaint against him.

Madura
17 July 1846 } (Signed) D Darby
Dist Munsif

I do hereby certify that during the period I held the situation of Dist Munsif of Tirupparangundram, I found bearer Akilandum Pillai always attentive to his duties. He is an able reader and writer and I had no reason to doubt of his character when he performed his duties under me

(Signed) Arunachelam Pillai
late Msf of Tirupparangundram
now Msf of Conadu in the Zillah
of Trichinopoly.

Akilandum Pillai Head Gumastah is an efficient officer but requires looking after his character for honesty has not stood hitherto very high, he has been 31 years in the service

26. 3. 67. (Signed) R. R. Cotton
Civil Judge

True Copy
Ag. District Judge

[illegible]

Con. No 245 of 75

List of Papers
Connected with
Akilandum Pillai's
Application for
Pension

81

Recd 23/3/75

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No.

Fort Saint George.
Accountant General's Office,
19 March 1875.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE District Judge, Madura.

VIDE your Office application for Gratuity — — —on behalf of Akilandam Pillai & Ananta Kistnaiyar.

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points :—

1. Whether the services of the applicants have been verified from the records of your office; if so, why it is not so stated in column "how verified" on the second page of the applications.

2. Whether Akilandum Pillai elects an inferior pension of Rupees four per mensem for his combined inferior and superior services of 37 yrs. 6m 15ds vide Sec: 64 of the C. P. Code. The country or house name of the applicant should be given in case he should elect an inferior pension.

The Service Register of Anantakistna Iyer is herewith returned for want of Judge's signature in the last column of the first page.

J Cooke
Dy. Accountt. Genl.

89

[illegible]

1875

---

(1) The services of the applicant has been verified from records of this office; it was not so stated in column "Remarks" on the 2nd page of the application thro' oversight.

(2) Akilandom Pillai does not elect an inferior pension as per Enclo: II. herewith sent.

The service Register of Anantakistnaiyer is returned duly signed by the undersigned in the last column of the 1st page.

24th March 1875

Ag District Judge

Accountant General's Office
Recd 30 3 1875
Ansd

Pn in No 215 of 75

20964

~~[illegible]~~

Rd 23d March /75

Enclo: II.

See in Rd 1507/75

1340

No. 119
1875

Madura District & Session Court
9th March 1875

From,
F. H. Woodroffe Esquire
Acting District & Session Judge
of Madura

To,
The Accountant General
Madras

Sir,

In forwarding herewith application for Gratuity by Akilandum Pillai and Sholavandan Anantakistnen Head Gumastas of the Madura and Permagudi Munsifs Courts respectively, I have the honor to inform you that the transmission of applications has been delayed chiefly thro' applicants failure to appear on days fixed and supply necessary explanations and informations, notwithstanding that they had repeated notices to do so - The applications were not completed by them so far as they were concerned till before the 8th & 25th February 1875 respectively -

I have further the honor to inform you that I have on their behalf this day requested the Government, when the applications come before them, to recommend to the Government of India to grant applicants Pension instead of Gratuity -

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
F. H. Woodroffe
Ag District & Session Judge

Accountant General's Office
Recd 15
Ansd ___ 3 .1875

J. P. Atkinson
4th

1875
Jud^l. Department

From
The Ag District Judge of
Madura –

To
The Accountant General
Madras.

Dated 9th March } 1875
Recd D.

No 119
Enclosures – Six
&c

Forwarding application of Agilandam Pillai & Ananta:krishnaiyar Head Gumastahs of the Madura & Permagudy Munsifs' Courts respectively for Gratuity and informing that the Ag District Judge has requested the Madras Government to recommend to the Government of India to grant applicants Pension instead of Gratuity.

See in No 915 of 75

19909

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION / GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Akelandam Pillai

2. Father's name. Vythilingam Pillai

3. Sect or Caste. Siva sect, Vellala

4. Residence Madura

5. Present or last employment. Head Gumasta district Munsif's Court of Madura.

6. Date of beginning service. 15th November 1836

7. Date of end of service. 31st May 1874

		Y.	M.	D.
8.	Length of service including breaks	37	6	15
	of which superior	13	8	9
	inferior	23	10	6
	non-qualifying and breaks...	"	"	"

9. Class of {pension / gratuity} applied for, and cause of application* ... Superannuation Pension the late Judge apparently considered him incapacitated by age.

		Rs	A.	P.
10.	[Average] emoluments or pay.	20	"	"
11.	Proposed pension.	"	"	"
12.	Proposed gratuity.	240	"	"

13. Date from which to commence. 1st June 1874

14. Place of payment. Collector's Treasury Madura

15. Age of Applicant on this date. Y 57 M 8 D "

16. Height. Ft 5 In 9

17. Marks. Bamboo Colour has 2 small warts one about 2 inches below the right armpit & the other about 4 inches below the left.

18. Date. 1st December 1874

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Ag District Judge

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Akelandam Pillai

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	Pay. A.	Pay. P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	Period reckoned as service. M.	Period reckoned as service. D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	Period not reckoned as service. M.	Period not reckoned as service. D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Tirupparangundram Munsif's Court	4th Gumasta	7	"	"	"	15th November 1836	28th July 1844	7	8	13	"	"	"			(a) Cannot count for pension or gratuity in Superior Scale.
Madura Do	3d Do	8	"	"	"	29th July 1844	31st Decr. 1844	"	5	2	"	"	"			
	Ag 2d Do	9	8	"	"	1st January 1845	30th September/45	"	9	"	"	"	"	(a) Applicant and another were transferred as the work was being irregularly carried on. Vide enclosure A no other record.		
	3d Gumasta	8	"	"	"	1st October 1845	30th June 1846	"	9	"	"	"	"			
Paramagudy Mufs. Court	3d Do	(a) 8	"	"	"	1st July 1846	31st January/48	1	7	"	a	"	"			
Tirupparangundram Do	5th Do	(b) 6	"	"	"	1st Feby 1848	10th September/48	"	7	10	"	"	"			
Sivagunga Do	3d Do	8	"	"	"	11th September/48	31st Octr. 1854	6	1	20	"	"	"			
Mufl. Sudr Amin Do	5th Do	(c) 8	"	"	"	1st November/54	28th Feby 1858	3	4	"	"	"	"			
	Ag 4th Do	11	"	"	"	1st March /58	31st May 1858	"	3	"	"	"	"			
	5th Do	8	"	"	"	1st June 1858	21st Decr. 1860	2	6	21	"	"	"	(b) Transferred at applicant's request - See enclosure B.		
	Ag Head Do	14	"	"	"	22d Decr. 1860	30th June 1862	1	6	9	"	"	"			
	2d Gumasta	12	"	"	"	1st July 1862	31st July 1862	"	1	"	"	"	"			(c) Verified from the records of this office -
Addl. Munsif's Court Madura	2d Do	12	"	"	"	1st August /62	31st Augt /62	"	1	"	"	"	"	(c) Record does not shew anything against applicant in the transfer vide enclosures C & d.		
	Ag Do	14	"	"	"	1st September/62	14th January /63	"	4	14	b	"	"			
Paramagudy Do	Head Gumasta	20	"	"	"	15th January /63	31st Augt /70	7	7	16	"	"	"			
Madura Do	Do	(c) 20	"	"	"	1st Septr. 1870	31st May 1874	3	9	"	"	"	"			
Total period of {superior / inferior} service...							....	11 37	11 6	" 15	"	"	"			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. There is nothing positive on record against applicant except the remarks of the late Judge enclosure E to the effect that his accounts were not kept neatly or very intelligibly & that his management of Process Peons was not satisfactory and his testimonials show that he did his duty well and satisfactorily on the whole.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. "

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. "

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. "

5. Any other remarks.

Ag District Judge
~~SIGNATURE.~~

No. 213.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ / superior} grades has been duly proved for — 11 years ~~and~~ 11 months ~~days~~; and that a Superannuation {~~pension~~ / gratuity} not exceeding Rs. 220 " " equal to 11 months pay is admissible under Section 57 — of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

The applicant does not elect an inferior pension of 4 Rs. per mensem for his combined inferior & superior service of 37 years 6 months and 15 days - vide accompanying reply memo: dated 24 March 75.

The certificate of the Head of the Office required under rule 2 of Sec: 53 is embodied in the enclosure marked E.

The delay in the submission of this application is explained in the accompanying letter from the Ag District Judge Madura dated 9 March 75 No. 119.

Accountant-General.

Fort Saint George
Accountant General's Office
5 April 1875

To The Under Secretary to Govt.
Pen: Deptt.

Pension 1875 10 Sept

No 915.

Application for {~~Pension or~~ Gratuity —

Date of application. 1st December 1874

Name of Applicant. A Kolandam Pillai

Last Appointment. Head Gumastah District Munsif's Court Madura.

Class of {pension or gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

With an Order No 253

99

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Solavandan Anantakistnaiyar
2. Father's name. Solavandan Armaramaiyar
3. Sect or Caste. Siva Sect, Brahmin
4. Residence Solavandan.
5. Present or last employment. Head Gumastah District Munsif's Court of Dermagudy
6. Date of beginning service. 1st March 1844.
7. Date of end of service. 31st March 1874.

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service including breaks	30	1	"
of which superior	11	5	18
inferior	18	4	12
non-qualifying and breaks...	"	3	"

9. Class of {pension gratuity} applied for, and cause of application* ...Superannuation Pension the late Judge having found applicant inefficient

	Rs	A	P
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Per mensem	18	4	7
11. Proposed pension.	"	"	"
12. Proposed gratuity.	219	7	"

220

13. Date from which to commence. 1st April 1874
14. Place of payment. Collector's Treasury Madura.

	Y	M	D
15. Age of Applicant on this date.	56	8	28

	Ft	In
16. Height.	5	2

17. Marks. Pupil of the left eye disappeared altogether. Small dark mole on the right side of the nose. Marks of leprosy apparently transmitted just above the right hip about 2 inches long by 3/4 broad. The tip joint of the 4th finger right hand turned out from above.
18. Date. 1st December 1874

F. A. Wood

Ag District Judge

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Anantakistnaiyar

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	Pay. A.	Pay. P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Sivaganga Munsif Court Madura District	5th Gumasta	6	„	„	„	1st March 1844	15th November/46	2	8	15	„	„	„	Inferior grade		
		Out of employ				18th November 1846	11th February/47	„	„	„	„	2	26	(a) Vide also remark 1		Cannot Count for pension or gratuity on the Superior scale-
	5th Gumasta	6	„	„	„	12th Feby 1847	13th June 1847	„	4	2	„	„	„	Inferior grade		
	Ag 4th Do	7	„	„	„	14th June 1847	31st August /47	„	2	17	„	„	„	Do		
	4th Do	7	„	„	„	1st September/47	30th June 1853	5	10	„	„	„	„	Do		
	5th Do	6	„	„	„	1st July 1853	31st October 1854	1	4	„	„	„	„	(b) Vide remark 2		
	4th Do	8	„	„	„	1st November/54	31st August /62	7	10	„	„	„	„	Do		
	4th Do	11	„	„	„	1st September/62	11th August /63	„	11	11	„	„	„			
	Ag 3d Do	11	„	„	„	12th August /63	29th February /64	„	6	19	„	„	„			
	Ag 2d Do	14	„	„	„	1st March 1864	31st May 1866	2	3	„	„	„	„			
	2d Do	14	„	„	„	1st June 1866	31st August /66	„	3	„	„	„	„			
		On sick leave				1st Septr. 1866	18th September/66	„	„	18	„	„	„	Inferior Service		
	2d Do	14	„	„	„	19th Septr. 1866	13th Decer /67	1	2	25	„	„	„			Verified from the records of this office-
Dindigul Munsif's Court	Ag 2d Do	14	„	„	„	14th December/67	14th December/68	1	„	1	„	„	„			
Sivaganga Do	2d Do	14	„	„	„	15th December 1868	4th July 1869	„	6	20	„	„	„			
		On private leave with full pay				5th July 1869	24th July 1869	„	„	20	„	„	„	Inferior Service		
	2d Do	14	„	„	„	25th July 1869	31st August 1870	1	1	6	„	„	„			
Permagudy Do	Ag Head Do	20	„	„	„	1st September/70	28th Feby 1871	„	6	„	„	„	„			
	Head Do	20	„	„	„	1st March 1871	9th June 1873	2	3	9	„	„	„			
		On privilege leave on 1/2 pay				10th June 1873	9th July 1873	„	1	„	„	„	„			
		Absent without leave				10th July 1873	13th July 1873	„	„	„	„	„	4	(c) Vide remark 5		
	Do	20	„	„	„	14th July 1873	31st March 1874	„	8	17	„	„	„			
Total period of {superior inferior} service...							....	29 11	10 6	„ 26	„	3	„			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.

2. ~~Explanation of any suspension or degradation.~~

3. ~~Regarding~~ any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. ~~See Chapter XX.~~

4. ~~Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it.~~

5. Any other remarks.

In the matter of the charge of Bribery & receiving improper gratification brought against Lakshmipathi Aiyar the District Munsiff under whom applicant was then serving the late Civil Court in its Proceedings dated 2d February 1847 (Enclosure F) states that it is probable that applicant had part in the matter of the said charge. Also the late District Judge in his Proceedings (Enclosure I) dated 13th October 1873 expressed his opinion to the effect that applicant's work is slovenly & unsatisfactory & he appears to have been fined twice in 1873 for neglect of duty. Beyond these notices I found nothing on record about applicant except what testifies to his trustworthiness, experience & industry and it will be observed that these testimonials of good service are forthcoming without intermission from 1852 to 1871.

(a) On the 16th November 1846 the then Civil Judge dismissed applicant from his office (Enclosure C) on the ground of its having been proved that he had embezzled sums from peons out of batta money collected. The late Sudr Adawlut held that the charge against applicant's superior officer was not proved (Enclosure E) & applicant accordingly petitioned to be reinstated & his petition was acceded to on the 12th February 1847 (Enclosure F) as noted above. In the Pay abstract of November 1846 he appears as drawing pay for the whole of that month.

(b) Enclosure G shews that applicant being appointed 5th Gumasta from 4th Gumasta's place was not due to any fault of his but was "unavoidable under the new arrangements."

(c) Enclosure H shows that applicant having but one month's privilege leave overstaid by 4 days which the late District Judge declined to condone to him. Regarding his age applicant states to the effect (as per Enclosure J) that he has no horoscope, that his parents told him that he entered the Public service when he was 26; that he heard his mother say that he was born on the 2d Masi & that so he has fixed his date of birth as 2d Masi Easwara.

The red ink alterations in applicant's service book have been made in this office with reference to Pay Abstracts.

Corresponding to 11th February 1818

F. A. Wordsworth
Ag District Judge
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

No. 14.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ superior} grades has been duly proved for 11 years 5 months and 18 days; and that a superannuation {~~pension~~ gratuity} not exceeding Rs. 220 „ „ equal to eleven months pay, is admissible under Section 57 of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

The Certificate of the Head of the office required under Rule 2 of Section 53 is embodied in the enclosure marked I. The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the Acting District Judge Madura in his letter No. 119. dated 9 March 1875 accompanying this office report No. 13 of this date.

W. Hodding
Accountant-General.

Fort Saint George
Acctt Genl's Office
5 April 1875

To. The Under Secretary to Govt
Pension Departt.

Pension 1875 Dept
No. 216

Encl 13

APPLICATION FOR ~~PENSION OR~~ GRATUITY—

Date of application. 1st December 1874

Name of Applicant. Solavandan Mantakristnaiyar

Last Appointment. Head Gumastah District Munsif's Court of Permagody

Class of {pension or gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

With (?) Order No. 253.

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following ~~letter~~ Papers

From the Ag. District Judge of Madura (dated 9th March 1875 Nos 119 & 120)
—"— Accountant General (dated 5th April 1875 No. 13414)

Order thereon 15th May 1875 No. 253

12.5.75

Under Sections 53, 56(2) and 57 of the Civil Pension Code (2d Edition) His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to sanction payment of the superannuation gratuities set opposite to their respective names, to the two Head Gumastahs named below who have been superannuated from the District Munsif's Court at Madura after having served for the periods specified.—

2 The Govt. regret that they are unable to comply

comply with the District
Judges recommendation
that the applicants cases
should be exceptionally
treated.

Names	Rank	Service			Gratuity Sanctioned		
		yrs	ms	ds	Rs	A	P
Akelandam Pillay	Head Gumastah	11	11	"	220	"	"
Solavandam Anantakristnaiyar	Head Do.	11	5	18	220	"	"

4/5

Note

The Govt. of India
have recently declined to
admit service as a Munsiff's
clerk on Rs. 10 as qualifying
for pension, and there is
nothing special in these
cases. They might have
had a pension of Rs 4
for combined inferior
and superior service
but they did not elect
to take it.

To the Accountant General with 2 Reg?s
To the District Judge of Madura
– " – Collector of Madura
– " – ~~Accountant General~~
F.M.G.

16 copies

Gratuities to Gomastahs
of the Distt Munsiff's
Court at Madura

Pelin

Govt. of {1875} Madras.

Pension

To The Accountant General

Dated 15th
Recd. } May 1875. No. 253

Nos.

Granting superannuation gratuities to two Head Gomastahs of the District Munsifs' Court at Madura –

C'4 May
R 14 – 8