M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-5-37.

(1) Number of pages 52

(2) Date of repair 24-1-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 74

Press
Mis.

Dated 12-2-1874.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Name.	Residence.	Date of birth.	Age.			Exact Height.		Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
						Years	Ms.	Days.	Feet.	In.				
S. Vadanayagam Pillay.	Vellala.	Mayaveram.	Savarimoothoo Pillay	Colathoor.	19" October 1826	46	8	11	5	5	A brand on the forehead - Has the mark of the bite of a dog on the left arm just above the elbow & a small mole on the left Cheek. Colour dark.	8" December 1873.		J H Nelson Ag. District Judge

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either, good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Criminal Record-Keeper in the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court Trichinopoly	Acting		17·8·0		4th Jany/48				2nd Augt/48	Promotion.	
2nd Gomastah — do —	Permanent		17·8·0		3rd Augt/48				23rd Feby/50	do	
Criminal Record-Keeper — do —	do		17·8·0		24th Feby/50		Was ignominiously dismissed from his office of Translator in the Civil & Session Court of Trichinopoly in the Year 1852. From the time of his appointment to the post of District Moonsif in April, 1857, to the time of the present Acting Judge's enquiry into his conduct in 1872 has been well spoken of by successive District Judges, who however appear never to have inspected his Court and so learnt how things were going on there. The present Acting District Judge recommended his dismissal for habitual gross neglect of duty and total unfitness for his post. Tranquebar 8th December 1873 } J. H. Nelson Ag. District Judge.		10th June/50	do	
Fouzdaree Translator Civil & Session Court — do	do		50·0·0		11th June/50			Ag. District Judge	12th June/52	Dismissed	Ag. District Judge
Criminal Record-Keeper Civil & Session Court-Trichinopoly	do		30·0·0		9th July/53				3rd Dec:/54	Promotion	
Fouzdaree Translator — do	Acting			moiety 25	4th Dec/54				31st March/55	do	
— do — do — do	Permanent		50·0·0		1st Apl:/55				12th Apl:/57	do	
3rd Class District Moonsiff Tranquebar	do		100·0·0		13th Apl:/57				14th May/57	do	
Do — Do Shealy	do		100·0·0		15th May/57				29th Feby/60	do	
2nd Class District Moonsiff Mayaveram	Acting.		150·0·0		1st March 1860				16th Augt/60.	do	
2nd — do — Tranquebar	Permanent		150·0·0		17th Aug:/60				17th Oct./60.	do	
2nd — do — Mayaveram	do		150·0·0		18th Oct./60				30th June/62.	do	
3rd — do — do	do		200·0·0		1st July/62				23rd Apl:/67	do	
2nd — do — do	do		250·0·0		24th Apl:/67				6th Mch./68	do	
1st — do — do	Acting		250·0·0	moiety 25	7th Mch:/68				~~31st~~ 24th Mch:/69	do	
2nd — do — do	Permanent		250·0·0		1st Apl:/69				23rd Aug./69.	do	
1st — do — do	do		300·0·0		24th Augt/69				4th Dec:/72	do	

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination e.g., *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediat head of th Office.

L. d/. 5669/73

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name.	S. Vedanayagam Pillay.
Caste or Race.	- Vellala. Romang Catholic
Residence.	Mayavaram.
FATHER'S, Name.	Savarimuthu Pillay
FATHER'S, Residence.	Colathoor.
Date of birth.	19. October 1826.

H. Recd 13/12/73

L. d/. 8293/73-

Rec: Cl: [illegible] 10/1/74.

123

No. 1715.

From

H. Wigram Esquire,

Thro the Registrar, High court Appellate ~~Side~~,

(Please reply on reverse)

Audit DEPARTMENT.

No.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
8th July 1873.

FROM THE Dy ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE District Judge,
Tranquebar.

~~SIR,~~

With reference to the enclosed Application for pension on behalf of S. Vedanayagam Pillay, the Undersigned has the honor to request information on the following points.

Have the Applicant's services from 9th July /53 to 4th December /72 been verified from the monthly pay bills or acquittance rolls of the Civil Courts?

Why was the Application not submitted by the District Judge under whom he served?

Why was the Medical Certificate not obtained from the Surgeon of the District in which the Applicant served?

Copies of orders of the High Court regarding the applicant's dismissal and its reversal,

Service

(2). The Medical Certificate was obtained from Dr. Vansomeren

service book of the applicant, and
also the Medical Certificate given
by Doctor Vansomeren are necessary.

Please give in item 17 of the
application more descriptive marks
(~~[illegible]~~) of the applicant, if he has any.
delete his colour

R. W. Lodwick

Dy Accountant General

H. C. No. 1377.

From
The Depy. Acct. Genl.
Madras.

In No: 11th July/73
In Rec: 24 Oct/73

D/ 8th July 1873
No. 10.45

Requesting in-formation on certain points connected with the applica-tion for pension on behalf of S. Vedanayagam Pillay

Recd. in the Office 16/1/74

No 16/1/74

123

No. 1715.

From
H. Wigram Esquire,
Registrar of the
High Court of Judicature
at Madras.

To
R. W. Lodwick Esquire,
Deputy Accountant General,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to reply to your letter dated 8th July 1873 on the subject of S. Vedanayagam Pillay's application for pension.

(1.) S. Vedanayagam Pillay's services have been verified by Mr. Nelson, Acting District Judge of North Tanjore, (*vide* copy of his letter annexed).

(2). The Medical Certificate was obtained from Dr. Vansomeren

DVE

as Vedanayagam Pillay was at the time residing in Madras and the Judges of the High Court so directed (vide copy of letter to Dr. Vansomeren annexed).-

(3). Copies of the Orders of the High Court dated 29th November 1872 and 4th July 1873 are annexed together with the Applicant's Service Register and Medical Certificate.-

(4). The Applicant has the mark of the bite of a dog on his left arm just above the elbow and a small mole on his left cheek besides the brand on the forehead already mentioned. His colour is dark.-

High Court of Judicature, Madras, 24th October 1873.-

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servt.,

Registrar,
Appellate Side

Accountant General's Office
Recd 25 10 1873
Ansd

Rev: Dr No 16 of 74.
17257

No. 2058.

Proceedings of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, 29th November 1872.

Read again letter dated 24th August 1872, No. 624, from the Acting Civil Judge of Tranquebar reporting the result of the inspection of the District Munsifs' Courts in his Zillah and recommending the dismissal of Vedanayagam Pillai District Munsif of Mayaveram.

Read also letter dated the 7th November 1872, No. 884, from the same Judge, reporting the result of a formal enquiry into the conduct of the District Munsif of Mayaveram.

After a formal enquiry the District Munsif of Mayaveram Vedanayagam Pillai has been found guilty of systematic neglect of nearly every rule established for his guidance, for the regular transaction of his ministerial duties and for the security of the funds paid into

Court against embezzlement; and the High Court can see no ground for questioning the correctness of this conclusion. He attempts to explain his shortcomings by the pressure of his judicial work and the zeal displayed in their performance. But the records of the High Court shew that for the last three years he has been repeatedly censured for neglect of his judicial duties arising either from idleness or incapacity.

Further, if the Statement E submitted by the Acting Civil Judge is correct the Munsif has been guilty of falsifying his Returns of work. Whether that Statement is correct or not it appears to the High Court that there is amply sufficient ground for removing S. Vedanayagam Pillai from his office of Munsif. The attitude assumed by him in the enquiry held by the Acting Civil Judge is an aggravation of his offence.

The High Court can see no reason for taking a lenient view

of his case and they accordingly direct his dismissal.

(True Extract)

(Signed) H. Wigram,
Ag: Registrar,
Appellate Side.

Exd.

mainly breaches of rules laid down for the regular transaction of the ministerial duties of a District Munsif and for the security of the funds paid into Court, but as he had been on several occasions censured by the High Court for idleness in his judicial work the Court at that time saw no ground for taking a lenient view of his case and on the 29th November 1872 directed his removal.

It subsequently came to their notice that his character for judicial honesty was very high and that his shortcomings were due to the increasing physical debility of a man always feeble. An interview with the Munsif satisfied the Judges who passed the order that this was the real state of the case.

Feeling the importance of showing that honesty is sufficient to palliate, if not excuse many shortcomings the Judges reversed the order for dismissal and directed him to place himself under medical treatment of which the result is Doctor Van Someren's certificate that he is unfit for further service.

Vide Ctr No 1011/14

High Court of
Judicature, Madras,
4th July 1873.

I have the honor to be,
Honorable Sir,
Your most obedt. servant,
H Wigram
Registrar,
Appellate Side.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 5/7.1873
Ansd. __

No 1175.

Proceedings of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, 4th July 1873.

Read Proceedings of the High Court, dated 29th November 1872.

The High Court have contrary to the usual practice reconsidered the case of S. Vedanayagam Pillay late District Munsif of Mayaveram and having received a further report from Mr Nelson on the subject of the Returns of work and had a personal interview with S. Vedanayagam Pillay, they resolve to modify their order of 29th November 1872 and permit S. Vedanayagam Pillay to resign his office of District Munsif.

His application for pension accompanied by a Medical

Judicial 1873 Department
No

From The Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Dated 4th } July 1873
Received }

No 1174.

Enclosure one.

Forwarding for the consideration of Government an application for pension on behalf of S. Vedanayagam Pillay late District Munsif of Mayaveram in the North Tanjore District.

4936

Pen. In. No 16 of /74

Pen. In. No 16 of /74

Certificate will be forwarded to Government through the Registrar with a statement of the facts of the case.

(True Extract).

(Signed) H. Wigram.
Registrar,
Appellate Side.

(True Copies).

H Wigram
Registrar,
Appellate Side.

[illegible]

Exd W. Hamilton

No 33

I W. J. Van Someren M. D. Surgeon Major 1st District do hereby certify that I have carefully examined S Vedanayagam Pillay, late District Munsif of Mayaveram; that his age is by his own statement 46 years and by appearance about 50 years; and that I find him to be suffering from Albuminuria, general feeble respiration, more marked in the right lung, with dulness in the outer portion of the right subclavicular region, and also alteration of voice. Has been under treatment, and though he has gained flesh, yet the nature of his complaint precludes the hope of his ever being fit for service. He is in my opinion unfit for ~~further~~ W. J. v. S! service, and, to the best of my judgment, such disqualification is not the result of intemperance or other irregular habits.

W. J. van Someren M.D.
Surgeon Major
1st District

Madras
12th May 1873.

No 3740 Confirmed
Edward Balfour Surg Genl
Inspector General I.M.D.
Fort St George
3d June 73

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Pro. No 3318 - 1673

Pro: Cu Se 16/6/74

Pro Sc Se 16/6/74

No. 815.

From
H. Wigram Esquire,
Registrar of the
High Court of Judicature
at Madras.

To
Surgeon Major W. J. Vansomeren
M. D., Surgeon,
1st District.

Sir,

The bearer of this S. Vedanayagam Pillay, late District Munsif of Mayaveram, in the North Tanjore District, has been directed to present himself before you for examination. He has been in the Government Service since 4th January 1848 and has been a Munsif since 24th August 1869. The Judges are of opinion that he is physically incapacitated for the arduous work of a Munsif and they desire to recommend him to the Govern:ment for a pension. Should you consider, after examination, that he is unfit for further service, I am to request that you will grant him a cer:tificate to that effect.

High Court of
Judicature, Madras,
18th April 1873.

I have &ca
(Signed) H. Wigram.
Registrar,
Appellate Side.

Exd. Th.

No. 618.

Tranquebar
16th August 1873.

From
J. H. Nelson, Esquire,
Acting District Judge of
North Tanjore.

To
H. Wigram Esquire.
Registrar of the
High Court of Judicature,
Madras.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter dated the 11th July last, No. 1223, I have the honor to return herewith duly signed the Service Book of S. Vedanayagam Pillay, together with his application for pension and to state that his services entered in the application have been corrected as marked in red ink.

I have &ca
(Signed) J. H. Nelson.
Ag. District Judge.

Exd. Th.

946 Tranquebar. 20th November, 1873

From.

J. H. Nelson Esquire

1233
3/11/73

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
31st October 1873.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE District Judge, North Tanjore.

VIDE your Office application for invalid pension on behalf of S. Vedanayagum Pilley

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points:—

Whether the applicant had ~~[illegible]~~ any leave which does not count for pension; if he had, please enter them in the ~~Service~~ Book distinguishing leave on half from ~~that on~~ full pay & stoppage.

Please also fill in the blank columns in the first & second pages of the accompanying service book (to be returned).

J. Donald
Offg Dy Acctt Genl

Ag. District Judge.

13763

165

No. 946 Tranquebar. 20th November, 1873

33

From.

J. H. Nelson, Esquire.
Ag. District Judge
of North Tanjore

To.

The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to the official memorandum from the Assistant to the Accountant General Madras dated 11th instant, No. 7028, I have the honor to inform you that Vedanayagam Pillei has been directed to appear before this court in view to his being examined by the Civil surgeon as to his personal marks of identification; and that the reply to your memorandum of the 31st ultimo must await his arrival here.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servant
J. H. Nelson
Ag. District Judge.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 22 11 1873
Ansd

No. 985 Tranquebar, 8th December,

35

From J. H. Nelson, Esquire,
Acting District Judge,
North Tanjore

To The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir,

In returning herewith the Service Book of Vedanayagam Pillai which accompanied your Deputy's Memorandum* dated 31st October last, I have the honor to state he does not appear to have taken any leave of the kind alluded to since the establishment of this Court (1860)

x herewith returned

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt,
J H Nelson
Ag. District Judge.

Accountant General's Office
Rec: 10 2 1873
Answ..

[illegible]

J. H. Nelson, Esq.,

[illegible]

To

The Accountant General

Madras.

[illegible]

J. H. Nelson

Rec: the 16/11/83

No. 899. 37

Memorandum

The Accountant General having called upon this Court to furnish him with certain information about the service-book of S. Vedanayagam Pillai, the late District Munsif of Mayaveram, Vedanayagam Pillai is hereby directed to present himself before this Court in view to his being examined by the Civil Surgeon of this place as to his personal marks of identification.

District Court
North Tanjore
12th November, 1883

J. H. Nelson
Actg. District Judge.

To
S. Vedanayagam Pillai,
Late District Munsif of Mayaveram,
Royapuram,
Madras.

89

To R. W. Lodwick Esquire
Accountant General
Fort St George
Madras

Sir,

I respectfully beg to inform your Honor that I have received a Memorandum No 899 dated 12th November 1873 from the Acting District Judge of North Tanjore, directing me t[illegible] Court at Tranquebar with a view to my personal marks being taken, although my marks of identification have already been given by the High Court Registrar in his letter to your Office. Being unable to proceed to Tranquebar in consequence of my ill-health for which I am under treatment, I humbly request you

Obs 27/4/74
Rec: ch Fe 16/4/74

will kindly be pleased to communicate to that Officer the marks given by the Registrar, or to be so good as to take my marks in your Office or adopt any other Course that may prevent the necessity of my proceeding to Tranquebar.

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant
S. Nilacanta
Magadaum

Comply with his request remitting the [illegible]

Royapooram
Madras
18th November 1873

Accountant General's Office
Recd 18
Ansd 4/5 N 18.3

Ch: Ch. No. 16 of 74
74

Pro: No. 16 [illegible]

12568

Pension Department

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

~~No~~.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
12th December 1873.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Registrar, High Court of Judicature Appellate side

VIDE your Office application for invalid pension on behalf of S. Vedanayagum Pillay.

The undersigned has the honor to request ~~explanation on the following points:~~ that the Registrar will be good enough to cause the personal marks+ of the applicant to be filled in in the accompanying Service book & Register of Application & to retransmit the same to this department at an early date.

+ Referred to in para 4 of H. C. letter No. 1718 of 24/10/73 9/7/15

W. Donald

Offg. Dy Accountt Genl

...with this Moonsiff and after receiving a further report

No 2220.

Marks duly filled in
on Service Register
and application for Pension
and the same returned

Tindigraeen

Regr. – A. S.
High Court }
17 Dec: 1873 }

H. C. No. 8293 –

From

The Offl. Dr. Acct. Genl.
Madras

Dated 12 }
Recd. 13 } Dec/73.

Encs 2

Requesting that the
personal marks of S. Vedana-
yagum Pillay may be filled in
in the accompanying Service
Book & Register & that the
Books may be retransmitted
at an early date.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 18 }
Ansd __ } 12.1873

[illegible] 16/1/74

T. K.

14242

[illegible] 16/1/74

12568

43

I see no difficulty or
impropriety in pensioning
him – The Acct Genl is
quite agreeable to it, the
High Ct reversed their
dismissal, and adverting
to his weak physique, send
him to the Doctor, who
certifies him incapacitated
for further work.

[illegible] – 29/1 –

Memo for Order

On the 29th November
1872 the High Court passed
proceedings dismissing S.
Vedanayagum Pillai District
Munsiff of Mayaveram in
Tanjore for "systematic
neglect of rules and neglect
of his Judicial duties arising
either from idleness or incapa-
city". They also seemed to
think it probable that he
had falsified returns of work.

2 On the 4th July 1873
they modified this order in a
Proceedings in which they
stated that after a personal
interview with this Moonsiff
and after receiving a further
report

report as to the amount of work done by him they resolved to allow him to resign.

3. On the same date the Judges wrote to Government and narrated the case- They did not state that they thought less gravely of the Moonsiff's conduct, but said that subsequent to his dismissal "it came to their notice that his character for Judicial honesty was very high and that his shortcoming were due to the increasing physical debility of a man always feeble." They further wrote that they satisfied of the truth of the above by a personal interview and feeling the importance of showing that honesty is sufficient to palliate

if

if not excuse many shortcomings they reversed their order of dismissal;" directed him to go to the Medical Officers and the result is Dr. Vansomerens certificate that he is unfit for further service."

4 The applicants service amounts to 19–4–26 and is insufficient to entitle him to a pension unsupported by medical certificate. Now the Judges did not pass their order until they were in receipt of Dr. Vansomerens' certificate and as Vedanayagum Pillai was therein stated to be unfit for service they could not reinstate him. They were accordingly obliged to permit him to resign h appointment from the da

of

of his dismissal, i.e. 4th December 1872, but, as the Medical Certificate is dated 12th May 1873 and speaks only of Vedanayagum Pillai's condition on that date it cannot strictly be used in support of an application for an invalid pension to one who retired several months previous.

5. The Government may be pleased to disregard this fact, but it is submitted that the present is not a case for indulgence, for not only have the Judges themselves spoken of Vedanayagum Pillai's conduct in his recent post in terms of grave disapproval which they have never recalled, but his service book* shows that he has twice been in the service of Government, and has on each occasion been dismissed, though in the second case the order of dismissal has been changed into a permission to resign.

Lee.

I would certainly pension him. The Judges speak very highly of his moral character & we may well know their integrity has its value in our eyes.

E.D.L.

* This says. "ignominiously dismissed from his office of translator in the C. & S. Court of Trichinopoly in the year 52." no reasons are given Lee.

Pension Mem

C: 24th Jany
R 27 " "

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. S. Vedanayagam Pillay

2. Father's name. Savarimoothoo Pillay

3. Sect or Caste. Vellala - Roman Catholic.

4. Residence. Mayaveram.

5. Present or last employment. 1st Class District Munsif of Mayaveram

6. Date of beginning of service 4th January 1848. } 24 - 11 - 1

7. Date of end of service 4th December 1872.

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service including breaks … … …	25	1	"
	19	4	26
of which superior … … … …	"	"	"
inferior … … … …		6	
non-qualifying and breaks … … …	6	8	5

9. Class of {pension / gratuity} applied for, and cause of application* Invalid Pension.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. 282 - 13 - 4

11. Proposed pension. 94 - 4 - 5

12. Proposed gratuity.

13. Date from which to commence. 5th December 1872.

14. Place of payment. Mayaveram.

15. Age of Applicant on this date. 46 years, 8 months & 11 days.

16. Height. 5 feet. 5 inches.

17. Marks. A brand on the forehead - the mark of the bite of a dog on the left arm just above the elbow & a small mole on the left cheek - Colour dark.

18. Date. 4th July 1873.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF S. Vedanayagam Pillay, late District Munsif of Mayavaram.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Principal Sadr Amin's Court Trichinopoly.	Actg Crim.l Record Keeper	17	8	–		4th Jany 1848	2d Augt 1848	..	..	..	..	6	30			This Service does not count for pension being vitiated by dismissal.
	2d Gomastah	17	8	–		3d Augt 1848	23d Feby 1850	..	..	..	1	6	22			
	Crim.l Record Keeper	17	8	–		24th Feby 1850	10th June 1850	..	..	..	–	3	15			
	Translator	50	–	–		11th June 1850	12th June 1852	..	..	..	2	2	2	+		
					Break	13th June 1852	8th July 1853	..	..	..	1	..	26	X Break [illegible]		
Civil & Session Court Trichinopoly.	Crim.l Record Keeper	30	..	–		9th July 1853	3d Decr 1854	1	4	26						
	Actg Translator	30	–	–	25	4th Decr 1854	31st March 1855	..	3	28						
	Translator	50	–	–		1st April 1855	12th April 1857	2	..	12						
	3d Class Distt Munsif Trichinopoly	100	–	..		13th April 1857	14th May 1857	..	1	2						verified from the records of this office
	do do Shiyali	100	–	–		15th May 1857	29th Feby 1860	2	9	17						
						1st March 1860	16th Augt 1860	..	5	16						
	2d do do [illegible]	150	–	–		17th Augt 1860	17th Octr 1860	–	2	2						
	2d do do Tranquebar	150	..	..		18th Octr 1860	30th June 1862	1	8	14						
	2d do do Mayavaram	150	–	–		1st July 1862	23d April 1867	4	9	23						
	3d do do do	200	..	..		24th April 1867	6th March 1868	..	10	13						
	2d do do do	250	–	–		7th March 1868	[illegible] March 1869	1	–	25						
	1st do do do	250	–	–	25	~~1st April~~ 1869	23d Augt 1869	–	4	23 12				2 30 +		
	2d do do [illegible]	250	–	–												
	1st do do do	300	–	–		24th Augt 1869	4th Decr 1872	3	3	~~8~~						
					Total period of {superior / inferior} service ..	....		19	4	25	5	6 8	5			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.

} See Letter to Government dated 4th July 1873 No. 1174

39

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks.

SIGNATURE.

Ex.d C.J.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

No. 476

CERTIFIED that ~~(subject to the remarks below recorded)~~ qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ / superior} grades has been duly proved for 19 years 4 months and 26 days; and that an Invalid {pension / ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 94-4-5 per mensem, would be admissible under Section 56(b), of the 2d authorized Edition of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. Should Government consider that the High Court had the power to cancel their orders of dismissal and allow the District Munsiff to resign his appointment with the view of getting a pension.

W. Donald
Offg. Dy. Accountant-General.

Fort Saint George
Accountt. Genl. Office
7th January 1874

To The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

G.R.

Pension 1874 Departt

No 16

26/8/97

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~OR~~ {~~GRATUITY~~

Date of application.
7th January 1874.

Name of Applicant.
S. Vedanayagam Pillai

Last appointment.
District Munsiff

Class of {pension ~~or~~ {~~gratuity~~

R

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

G.O. No 2913/73 -
G.O. No 3-669/73
G.O. No 4371/73 - [illegible] order

Lat

Order thereon 12th February 1874 No 74

Under sections 49 & 56 (6) of the Civil Pension Code His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to S. Vedanayagam Pillay late First Class District Munsiff of Mayaveram Tanjore District an invalid pension of Rs 94-4-5 ninety four, Annas four & pies five per mensem to which he is entitled for his qualifying superior service of 19 yrs 4 ms & 26 days payable from the 5th December 1872 Lal

from orders

To the Civil Judge of North Tanjore
" " Collector of Tanjore
" " Accountant General
with Rgr
Desp'd 12/2/74

JMC