M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 48

(2) Date of repair 27-1-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 669 Press/Mis.

Dated 6-11- 1874.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 78

Chingleput District, Collector's Office,
Saidapet, 22 August 1873.

FROM

The Ag. COLLECTOR OF THE
Chingleput District.

TO

The Accountant General,
Madras.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward, for the sanction of Government, a register of application for an invalid gratuity of Rs 35 to Gouthem Veerasawmy Naidoo, late a peon in the Salt Department.

Medical certificate enclosed.

2. The net eligible service of the applicant amounted to 19 - 2 - 10 (yrs mths days), as proved from the records, & he was also called Dara Veerasawmy Naidu.

3. The Salt Dy Collector explains that as the applicant fell sick soon after his retirement, there was delay in the production of the Medical certificate, & the submission of this application.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
A W Barlow
Ag. Collector

Accountant General's Office
Recd 25 8 1873
Ansd

E W/

From
THE ACTING COLLECTOR OF
Chingleput.

Dated 22d August 1873.
Received

No. 78

(2 Enclosures)

Requests the sanction of an invalid gratuity of Rs 35 to Veeraswamy Naidoo, late a peon in the Salt Department.

Pro: Sal Rev (64) 15/7/74

3a

I, E. F. Brockman Resident Assistant Surgeon General Hospital, Madras, do hereby certify that I have carefully examined D. Veeraswamy, salt peon at the Madras Cotam, that his age is by his own statement 70 years, and by appearance about that age, and I find him to be unfit for further service from old age and infirmity, and impaired vision not in my opinion attributable to intemperance or other irregular habits

E F Brockman
Resdt: Assist: Surg:
General Hospl

Madras
14 June 1872

Reply.

No. 103

1. The applicant was employed as a Peon in the Madras Salt Cotaus from 7th March 1853 to 21st May 1872 on Rs 7 per mensem, & during this period, he was doing duty for a few years in the Pulidivak Division.

2. A reference to the Annual Returns received from the Land Customs Collector of Madras from 1853, will show that there were 20 permanent Peons on Rs 7 per mensem, & that the applicant was one of them.

3. The testimonials and affidavit referred to in the Remark Column of the History of Service, were inadvertently omitted to be forwarded with the Register of application, & they are now enclosed.

4. The applicant after producing the medical certificate, appears to have fallen dangerously ill & made,

no appearance at all before the Salt Dy Collector for some time, to furnish the requisite information for completing the Register, & subsequently a reference had to be made for further information which was also delayed owing to the applicant's inability through illness to appear before the Salt Dy Collector. Hence the long delay in submitting the applicant's case.

Chingleput Dt.
Collector's Office
Sydapet 5th November
1873.

Dy Collector

Accountant General's Office
Recd 6
Ansd 11 1873

11938

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

~~No.~~

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
26th August 1873.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Collector Chingleput.

VIDE your Office application for invalid gratuity on behalf of Gantham Veerasami Naidoo.

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points:—

1. In what particular Division of the salt department the applicant served from 7th March /53 to 21st May

2. Why the applicant is shown to have been on a pay of Rs 7 a month from 7th March /53 when there appears from the Annual Returns on record in this Office from 1853 to 1860 to be no peons in the entire salt department of the District on that pay but on Rs 4. The Returns from 1861 to 1863 show 20 peons on 7 Rs. each in the salt head Office alone.

Please

3. Please send testimonials and Affidavit referred to in the remark column of the history of service & explain more fully the cause of delay in submission of application until 14 months after the medical Certificate was produced.

W. Donald
for Dy Accountt Genl

No
Port
From
The Dy Accts General.
Dated 26 Augt/58
Recd 28 do
No

Pen:Ch No 647 F/74

TOWN POLICE COURT MADRAS

C. M. C.
Magte

Peon No 2. D. Vrasamey permanent Peon on the Salt Establishment Madras was kept in charge of Pupthewark buildings and gave every satisfaction

April 7th 1859.
Madras.

R. J. Underwood Junr
Supdt. of Salt

I, Darah Veerasawmy Naidoo of Madras Inhabitant do hereby declare that I was born about the year 1802, that I was at first entertained as a Stamper in the Madras Mint when I was Twenty Six years old, that I was there for about Sixteen years according to the Certificate of Colonel J. T. Smith Mint Master here produced marked A and was afterwards about the 5th March 1842 employed as a Peon in the Sayer or Inland Customs Department for about two years or till 1844. I was then transferred to the Salt Department, and about a year after the late Moothoosawmy Pillay was appointed Native Superintendent of Salt, I have no record of my services till March 1853 when Mr Campbell and Mr Underwood gave me the Certificates B and C now produced. I served in the Salt Department from that time up to two months ago. I declare that in all I served the Government for about forty Six years and that my present age is about Seventy. During the above period of Service I have not taken any leave excepting two months when I was sick.—

Declared at the Madras Town Police Court
this 9th day of July 1872. Before Me.

A. J. Campbell

Magistrate of Police & Justice of the Peace

Rev Sec No 647 / 1874

13a

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

10/11/73

No.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
7th November 1873.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Collector
Chingleput

VIDE your Office application for invalid gratuity on behalf of G. Veerasamy

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points:—

1. Why his name is not traceable in the peons' lists of 1st April '65, '66 & 69 in the salt department under the head of Madras Depô or Pulicat Division? Please state in what manner his name is shown in the abovementioned Annual Returns?

2. Under what circumstances i.e. by resignation, dismissal reduction &c. the applicant was without employ between 3rd March 1844 & 7th March /53

3. Why his services prior to the abovementioned break cannot be reckoned in his favor?

4. Were they of a temporary nature

Offg Dy Accountt Genl

No/26. Reply

The applicant was employed as a Peon in the Vallur Salt Division from January 1865 to May 1866; in the Pulicat Division, from June to December 1866 & at the Madras Cottar from January 1867 to the date of his application for gratuity. The Salt Deputy Collector states, that this can be traced from the Pay abstracts of the Salt Divisions, which were submitted to him by the Superintendent of Madras Cottar.

2. He was out of employ from 3d March 1844 to 7 March 1853, in consequence of the abolition of the Mint office.

3. His services prior to the break may be reckoned in his favor with reference to the Certificate given him by the Mint Master, which was already forwarded with my No. 103 dated 5th November last.

4. There are no records in this office to show whether the applicant's appointment in the Mint office was temporary or permanent.

Chingleput Collr's Office
Saidapet 15th December 1873

O. M. Bahers
Ag Collector.

Recd 6/1

Recd Pr 6/47 of 5/1/74

Accountant General's Office
Recd 17 12.1873
Ansd

T. K.

14138

15a

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No.

OMW 3.1.74

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
19th December 1873.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Collector, Chingleput

VIDE your Office application for invalid gratuity on behalf of G. Veerasamy

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points:—

Why is neither the name of Vallur Salt Division nor the applicant's name traceable in the Annual lists of 1st April '65 and 1st April '66 -?

By what name was the said Division known then?

Why is his name not traceable under the head Madras Salt Cottar in the Peons' list of 1st April /71.?

Please state the cause of applicant's non-employment for 9 ys & 4 days between his leaving Sayer or inland Customs deptt. |and not Mint office as stated by the Collector in item 2 of his reply of 15th Dec/73| and entering Salt deptt., Chingleput District

J. Donald
Offg Dy Acctt Genl

No 12

Reply.

Vallur was included in the Allipet Division upto 1867, consequently the applicant's name can probably be traced in the annual lists of the latter Division for 1865 & 1866.

It appears from the Pay abstract of the Madras Cottar that the applicant was employed as a Peon in 1871 under the name of Dara Veerasamy, as he was generally known by that name and not as G. Veerasamy; so his name may be traceable in the annual list of that year as Dara Veerasamy.

There are no records to account for the non-employment of the applicant for 9 years and 4 days.

Saidapet
14° Feby 1874

Collector.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 19 2 1874
Ansd

17304

172

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

~~No.~~

20.2.74

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
20th February 1874.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Collector Chingleput

VIDE your Office application for invalid gratuity on behalf of G Veerasami Naidu

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points:—

Why his name is not traceable in the peons list of 1st April /71 under the head "Madras Cottar"

Was he employed in any other division of the salt department during the said period

If so, in what division -

Cooke
Dy Accountt Genl

No. 42 Reply.

From the enclosed extract from the annual service list for the year 1871, it will be seen that the individual in question, was employed that year at the Madras Kottar, under the name of Perugumpedu Veerasawmy.

He was known as Perugumpedu, Geetham, or Dora ([illegible]) Veerasawmy. The first two are the names respectively of his Village & house, and he was called "Dora" ([illegible]) because he was in charge of a Tenkal Peldivakam. In the pay lists of the Madras Salt Kottar Establishment from January to December 1871, he was entered as Dora Veerasawmy. Vide No. 11 in the said list.

Chingleput Collr's Office
Saidapet 15 July 1874

Collector.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 16 7 1874
Ansd

6483

An Extract from the Nominal Roll of Peons and Servants employed in the Salt Department of the Chingleput District on 1st April 1871.

Madras Cottaur.

Peons on Rupees 7 —— 28

Perugumpedu Veerasawmy —— 11

(True copy)

3d July 1874
Salt Deputy Collr's
Office, Madras

(Deputy Collector

21a

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No.

25-7-74

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
23 July 1874.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,
TO THE Collector of Chingleput

VIDE your application for Gratuity on behalf of G. Veerasamy

THE undersigned has the honour to ~~request~~ Enquire whether the records of the Sayer & Salt Establishments from 5 March 1842 to 6 March/53 are forthcoming.

2. If forthcoming is the applicants name not to be found in them.

3. If they are not forthcoming why statements of applts contemporary Employés were not obtained in support of applts statement that he served in the Sayer & Salt Estabts: during the period in question.

The same should be obtained now & forwarded as early as possible.

Charles E. Cradley
for Dy Accountt Genl.

No. 51

No records of the Sayer and Salt Establishments are forthcoming, from 5th March 1842 to 6th March 1853, and statements of contemporary employés were not taken, because the applicant produced an affidavit from the Town Magistrate for his past service. They have however been since obtained, translations of which are herewith forwarded.

Saidapet
25th August
1874

Collector

W.G.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 27
Ansd 8 1874

9026

234

Translation of Kaifeat obtained from Venkata-royalu Peon Salt Dept., before the Superintt. Madras Salt Kottar.

I know well that Geetham alias Punjambedu Veerasamy was a peon at Ennore Depôt & Kattivakkam Salt pans, during my employment in the Salt Dept. prior to 1853.

Taken from me of my own free will.
(Signed) Venkatroyalu
Before me
(Signed) M. Chinnasamy
Superintendent
5th August 1874.
(True translation)

Collector

W.G.

Translation of Kaifeat obtained from Ramjee Row, Duffador, before the Superintendent of Madras Salt Kottár.

I know that Perupambedu alias Gautham Veerasamy was employed with me in the Sayer Departt. in 1842; that prior to 1852, he was a peon at Attepat and other Salt pans, and that from March 1853 to May 1872, (the date of his applying for pension) he was employed in the Salt department.

Taken from me of my own free will.
(Signed) Ramjee, Duffadar
Before me
(Signed) M. Chinnasamy
Superintendent.

5th August 1874.

(True translation)

Collector.

W.G.

Translation of Kaifeat obtained from Peria-sami Chetty, Shroff of Madras Salt Kottar, before the Supdt. of the Madras Salt Kottar.

I know that Punjambedu alias Dara Veerasamy was, for a long time, employed at Ennore and other Salt pans, prior to 1853, & that, from March 1853 to May 1872, he was doing duty as Peon at the Madras Kottar, Puludivakkam, & other Salt pans.

Taken from me of my own free will.
(Signed) Peddusamy Chetty
Before me
(Signed) M. Chinnasamy
Superintendent
5th Augt. 1874.
(True translation)

Collector.

W.G.

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

8.9.74

~~No.~~

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
5 September 1874.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Chingleput

VIDE your application for Gratuity on behalf of G. Veerasawmy late Peon Salt Department.

THE undersigned has the honour ~~to request~~ in forwarding the Certificate No 23 (to be returned) to enquire

I during what part of the year 1853 the applicant served as Hungamy Peon in the Salt Department; for 3 months ie whether from 7 March 1853 or subsequently. If

II subsequently whether he was transferred to that appointment under the orders of his then official superiors or of his own accord.

Please give some more personal marks for identification as the one already given in the register of application.

would be insufficient for that purpose should the applicant be found eligible for pension on the strength of the Statements of applicants contemporary employes now furnished regarding his service prior to March 1853.

An early reply is solicited

D. Lipsmiding [?]
Offg. Dy. Acctt Genl.

Reply. No. 59.

The applicant served as Haugamy Peon in the Salt Deptt. only for two months from 7th March to 6th May 1853, and not for three months as stated in the Certificate granted by the late Superintt. Mr. Campbell.

He is reported to have died after the submission of his application for gratuity, consequently no additional personal marks can be given for identification.

The widow of the Deceased is reported to claim the gratuity due to her late husband.

Saidapet
25th September
1874

H. E. Stokes
Ag Collector.

No. 407 Govt.

From
The Accountant General

Dated 5th
Recd 8th } Septr 1874

No.

Requesting certain more marks of Veera=sawmy Naick Salt Peon &c.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 29 9 1874
Ansd ___

14795

B

No. 23.

Mofft.

This is to Certify that the Bearer named Darah Veerasawmy was employed as a Peon in the ~~Hunghamy or temporary~~ Permanent Establishment of the Salt Department under me during the period noted in the Margin and that he gave great satisfaction.

From 7th March 1853

To 20th December 1858

He was Hunghamy for 2 Months in the year 1853

Madras, Salt Cotaur,
20th December 1858.

H. Campbell
Supt. of Salt.

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

~~No.~~

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
5 September 1874.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Chemical Examiner in Charge of Assay Office.

~~VIDE your application for~~ ~~on behalf of~~

THE undersigned has the honour to request to be informed on reference to the Mint records whether the service of the individual referred to in the Accompanying Certificate (to be returned) can be verified as Feeder & Lever man in the Stamping room on a pay of Rs. 7 per mensem, & to also to state whether he was a permanent servant or a servant paid from the Contingent charges—

Asst. Dy. Accountt. Genl.

Memo.
Assay Office
Recd. 7 Sept/74.

No. 16

Medical College
H. M.s Assay Office
8th September 1874.

To
The Accountant General
Fort St. George.

Sir,

As the Mint Records retained in the Government Office are only from 1860, I have the honor to state that this Department is unable to verify the services of Feeder and Leverman J. Veerasawmy.

It is inferred from the Certificate that as he was "discharged on account of the low state of the Coinage" he must have belonged to the Contingent or Temporary Establishment and not to the permanent.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant,
Henry King
Chemical Examiner
in charge Assay Depart.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 9/9 1874
Amsd

9664

POLICE COURT
MADRAS.

37a

A

This is to certify that the Bearer J. Veerasawmy was employed at the Mint for about Sixteen Years as a Feeder Boy and Lever man in the Stamping Rooms. He was lately discharged on account of the low state of the Coinage.

Mint,
5th March, 1842.

J. Smith
Mint Master

APPLICATION FOR { ~~PENSION~~ GRATUITY,

1. Name of Applicant. Genthem Veerasamy Naidoo.

2. Father's name. Genthem Mannaroo Naidoo

3. Sect or Caste. Gentoo Caste, Vishnu Sect

4. Residence. Town of Madras.

5. Present or last employment. Salt Peon, Madras Cotaur.

6. Date of beginning of service. Not known.

7. Date of end of service. 21st May 1872

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of Service including breaks.	26	2	20
of which superior	-	-	-
inferior	19	2	16
non-qualifying and breaks	27	4	4

9. Class of { ~~pension~~ gratuity } applied for, and cause of application* Invalid

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. 7 Rs

11. Proposed Pension.

12. Proposed gratuity. 35 Rs

13. Date from which to commence.

14. Place of payment. Madras

15. Age of Applicant on this date. 70 years

16. Height. 5 feet & 9 Inches.

17. Marks. Squint eyed.

18. Date. 22d August 1873

R. W. Barlow
Ag Collector
Signature of the Head of Office.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Genthem Veerasawmy Naidoo

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as Service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Mint	Feeder boy & Lever Man in the Stamping Room	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	"	"	Vide testimonials & affidavit enclosed.		
Sayar or Inland Customs Dept.	Peon					5th March /42	until 2 years (3rd March 44)	2	"	"	2	"	"			
						1st March /44	6th March 1853	9	"	3	9	"	4	unemployed		
Salt Dept. Chingleput Dt.	Peon	7	.	.		7th March 53	21st May 1872	19	2	15 16	"	2	"			
Total period of {superior / inferior} service....								19 30	2 "	16 18	27 16	" 2	4 "			

The applicant's service of 16 years as Feeder-boy and Lever-man in the Stamping Room, Mint Office, is not borne out in the annual Returns on record in this office, nor are the records of the Mint Office retained for the period prior to 1860 as stated by the Chemical Examiner in charge Assay Department in his reply No. 16 dated 8th September 1874 accompanying. Yet the Chemical Examiner infers from a certificate granted to the applicant on the termination of the above service that "he must have belonged to the Contingent or Temporary Establishment and not to the permanent," which inference is supported by the available contingent bills on record in this office.

The Service of 2 years in Sayer or Inland Customs Department cannot be verified from the records of this Office nor from those of the Collector, but it is supported by the applicant's affidavit and statements of his contemporary employees.

As regards the period of 9 years and 3 days shewn in the History of Service as unemployed the Collector states that there are no records to prove whether the applicant was unemployed or not during the period. This Office has taken the period as qualifying with reference to the applicant's affidavit and statements of his contemporary employees which prove that he was employed in the Salt Department of Chingleput District during the period.

Service from 7th March 1853 to 21st May 1872 has been verified from the records of the Collector and the verification confirmed from the records of this Office for the term subsequent to 30th Apr 1866.

The applicant's qualifying inferi service exclusive of service as Feeder-boy &c. and the two months during which he was n Hangamy (or temporary) peon in 1853, amounts to 30 years and 18 days.

39b

Pension 1874 Dr.
No. 647

APPLICATION FOR {~~PENSION o~~
{GRATUITY—

Date of application.
2d October/74

Name of Applicant,
G. Veerasawmy Naidu

Last appointment.
Peon

Class of {~~pension or~~
{gratuity

Amount of pension sanctio[illegible]d.

Amount of gratuity sanctio[illegible]d.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

With an order No.

226
227 /10/74

No. 669

Order thereon 10th November 1874 No. 669

Under Sections 49 & 63 (a) of the Civil Pension Code (2nd Edition) His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Genthem Veerasawmy Naidoo late Salt Peon Madras Cotaur an invalid gratuity of Rs (42) forty two or six months salary to which he is entitled for his qualifying inferior service of 30 yrs & 18 days and under Section 88 Rule 2 of the above Code to sanction its payment to his widow.

To the Collector of Chingleput
~~Accountant~~ General
with Reg.
despd 10/11/74