M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 44

(2) Date of repair 7-1-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3 [✓ Press] / ~~Mis.~~

Dated 5—1— 1874.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,
5th January 1874.

Read the following papers :—

From the District Engineer, Bangalore, dated 2nd July 1873.
Do. Deputy Accountant-General, dated 5th November 1873, No. 342.

ORDER THEREON, 5th January 1874, No. 3.

Application is made for the grant of an invalid pension to S. Appavoo Moodelliar, who was for twenty-five years continuously employed as a Maistry on Government works; but, as it appears that his appointment was at no time a substantive one on a permanent establishment, he is not strictly entitled to any retiring allowance.

2. The applicant retired in 1868, and the Executive Engineer under whom he had been employed strongly recommended that his case should be made a special one, as he had borne a good character, had been a useful and trustworthy servant, and, having lost his eyesight, was no longer able to provide for himself. This recommendation was not then submitted to the Government; but, under the circumstances detailed in it, His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves to forward the case to the Government of India as a special one, and to recommend the grant to S. Appavoo Moodelliar of an invalid pension of Rupees (6) six per mensem, payable in arrears from the 31st August 1868, being the date of his retirement.

(True Extract.)

Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the District Engineer, Bangalore.
,, Controller of Public Works Accounts.
,, Accountant-General.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

Govt. of 1874 Madras.

PENSION.

To

The DISTRICT ENGINEER,
Bangalore,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated January, 5th.
Recd.

G.O., 5th January 1874, No. 3.

JANUARY.

Resolving that the Government of India be requested to sanction the grant, as a special case, of an invalid pension of Rupees 6 per mensem, payable in arrears from the 31st August 1868, to S. Appavoo Moodelliar, late Bricklayer Maistry, District Engineer's Office, Bangalore.

To be returned accompanying answer

Audit Department.

Dy/ ~~No.~~ No 169

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
23d April 1873.

From The Dy/ Accountant General
to the Government of Madras,

To The District Engineer
Bangalore

~~Sir,~~

The Undersigned has the honor to request that the District Engineer will be good enough to forward to this Office an application in the form prescribed at pages 39 to 42 of the Civil Pension Code shewing on the 2d side of it the services in detail (in particular district or division, dates of appointments to several grades &c) of Maistry Appavoo from 1843 to 1868; and further to forward the Medical Certificate of Appavoo's unfitness for further service obtained in 1868. Explaining at the same time the sources from which he was paid during the whole period (whether from Estimates or any other source):-

Please also explain what the

nature

nature of the duties of Appavoo as Maistry were, whether a Bricklayer Maistry or Maistry over a gang of coolies –

An early reply is solicited –

W Dowall
A. f. Dy. Accountt Genl

District Engineer's Office
Bangalore 2d July 1873 –

No. 348

Encl.

Forwards an application for Pension in behalf of Maistry A. Appavoo modestly as requested –

Henry W Vibart
Captain R.E.
District Engr Bangalore

Accountant General's Offe.
Recd 3 7 1873
Ansd ___

Recd No 701 of 1873

4858

No. 318.

Executive Engrs Office
Bangalore 26th June /68.

3

To

The Superintending Engineer
5th Division.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice the following circumstances connected with Maistry Appavoo, trusting that you will be pleased to recommend his case to the favorable consideration of Government.

Appavoo has been employed continuously in the Government service since 1843 as per margin, has borne a good Character and has proved himself a useful and trustworthy servant to Government.

From 1843 to 1855 @ Rs 14 per month.
" 1855 to 1857 " " 15 " "
1857 to 1861 " " 14 " " On reduction of Establisht.
1861 to 1865 " " 15 " "
1865 to 1868 " " 25 " "

The enclosed Medical Certificate

will shew that he is now unfit for further service or of even procuring his livelihood. He is 40 years of age and having served under me during the whole of my former tenure of Office at Bangalore, I can testify to his services and strongly recommend his case to the favorable Consideration of Government.

I believe he is not entitled to any pension but having lost his eyesight and therefore unable further to provide for himself, a gratuity would only form a temporary means of subsistence, and I request on his behalf that as a Special Case he may be granted a pension

I have &c

(Sigd) J. O. Mayne Captn. R.E.
Executive Engr. Bangalore.

"True Copy"

Henry M Vibart Captain R.E.
District Engineer Bangalore

P.W. 8-4/7/73
1180

Recd the 21st Feby/73

Office of the Inspecting Engineer
for Civil and Military Buildings
Chepauk. 20th February 1873.

No. 153

5

From,
Colonel E. A. Foord R. E.
Inspecting Engineer for Civil
and Military Buildings.

To,
Colonel G. W. Walker R. E.
Chief Engineer D.P.W.

Enclosure -
1 Copy of letter
returned -

Sir,

In returning the copy of Captain Mayne's letter received with your Memo. No. 54, dated the 10th Instant, I have the honor to state that the original was received by me on the 29th June 1868, and is marked "recorded 19th April 1869" - I cannot find what was done in the interval - If no application was made to Government for pension or gratuity for the Maistry, it must have been from a belief that the Maistry was not entitled to either under the Pension rules -

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. servant

EA Foord Col. R.E.
Inspecting Engineer for Civil
and Military Buildings.

P. W. 1873 Depart
No. 233

No. 1180.

From
The Supdg Engineer for Civil & Mility: Bldgs:

To, The Chief Engineer D. P. W.

Dated 20 } Feby 1873
Recd 21 }

Enclo: 1

No. 153

Reports why no pension or Gratuity was recommended to Maistry Apparoo.

Referred to the Accountant General for the usual verification and report.

Fort Saint George
7th April 1873.

L. Campbell
Ag Under Secretary

To The Accountant General

Accountant General's Office
Recd 8 4 1873
Ansd

10

Pen. Lr. No. 701 of /73.

6

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No.

Dy No. 1031

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
8th September 1873.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE District Engineer
Bangalore

VIDE your Office application for Pension on behalf of S. Apparoo Moodelly —

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points:—

1. Why was the applicant stated to have been Bricklayer Maistry in the Supdg Engr's Office Mysore Divn from 1843 to 1855, while the Controller of Military Accounts states that the name Cundapah upto 19 July/53 and Sunkara Moodelly upto 1855 appears as Bricklayer Maistries & not the applicant's name?

2. Why was the applicant stated to have been Bricklayer Maistry in 1856 & 1857 in the above mentioned Estabt while the Controller of P.W. Accounts states that no trace of applt's employment can be found?

3. Why was applicant's service of 2 ys & 8 months from 1866 in the Petty Estabt reckoned as qualifying when the same the Controller states does not qualify for pension?

The Letters from the above officers in connection with the verification of applicant's services are herewith forwarded for perusal & return —

Dy Accountant Genl

No 473

District Engineer's Office
Bangalore 3rd October 1873.

From The District Engineer, Bangalore.

to The Deputy Accountant General
Madras.

Sir

With reference to your Memo dated 8th Sept/73, I have the honour to reply to the queries therein specified as follows–

Query 1 & 2. The statement made that Appava Moodely was employed as Bricklayer Maistry in the Superintending Engineer's Office from 1843 to 1856 was based both on the services recorded on Major Mayne's letter No 318 dated 26th June 1868 (copy of which already forwarded) and information obtained from the Maistry as stated in the Column of Application "How verified"–

Query 3. The Maistry's services in the Petty Establishment for 2 years & 8 months, it was not known whether it qualified for pension or not–hence the entry–

Pen: Cha No 701 of 73

From personal observation of the Maistry I am of opinion that he is from old age and want of sight quite unable to earn his own livelihood I therefore request that a pension as recommended by Major Mayne R.E. may be

2

be granted or if this cannot be done, a compassionate allowance may be granted in his favor in consideration of the 25 years services rendered in the Public Works Department–

The enclosures are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servant–

H. M. Vibart
Captain R.E.
District Engineer, Bangalore

Accountant General's Office
Recd 1 11 1873
Ansd

DE 11665

J. H. Young Surgeon Major and Garrison Surgeon of Bangalore do hereby Certify that I have Carefully, examined Apparoo-Maistry Public Works Department, that his age is by his own statement 78 years, & by appearance about 70 years, & that I find him to be unfit for further Service, from olde age & loss of Sight from Cataract in both Eyes - not in my opinion attributable to intemperance or other irregular habits.

Bangalore
1st May
1873

JH Young
Garrison Surgeon

Recd. Jy 27th/73.

Ex. Engineer's Office
No. 318 Bangalore 26th June 1873.

From
Captn. J. O. Mayne R.E.
Executive Engineer
Bangalore.

To
The Superintending Engineer
5th Division

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice the following circumstances connected with Maistry Appavoo trusting that you will be pleased to recommend his case to the favorable consideration of Government.

Appavoo has been employed continuously in the Government service since 1843 as per margin has borne a good character and has proved himself a useful and trustworthy servant to Government.

From 1843	to 1855	at Rs 14	per month
1855	-- 1857	15	
1857	-- 1861	14	on reduction of Establishment
1861	-- 1865	15	
1865	-- 1868	25	

The enclosed Medical Certificate

certificate will show that he is now unfit for further service or of even procuring his livelihood. He is 70 years of age and having served under me during the whole of my former tenure of Office at Bangalore I can testify to his services and strongly recommend his case to the favorable consideration of Government.

I believe he is not entitled to any pension but having lost his eye sight and therefore unable further to provide for himself - a gratuity would only form a temporary means of subsistance. and I request on his behalf that as a special case he may be granted a Pension

I have &c.
(Signed) J. C. Mayne
Captn. R.E.
Executive Engr. Bangalore

"True Copy"

Henry W. Peach Captain R.E.
District Engineer, Bangalore

Audit DEPARTMENT. To be returned with a reply on reverse

No.

11

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
10th July 1873.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Controller of Military Accounts
Madras.

SIR,

The Undersigned has the honor to request, that the Controller will be good enough to inform him whether the service of S. Apparoo Moodelly Maistry, as detailed in the accompanying application (to be returned) can be verified from his records, 2dly whether such service reckons for pension & if so on what scale, 3dly whether the Applicant had had any leave that does not count for pension.

R W Lodwick

Dy Accountt Genl

Recd. Cr. Ofc 10/7/73

Rec. Ac. Br. No 701 of 73

Rec. Ac. Br. No 701 of 73

146

OFFICE OF CONTROLLER OF MILITARY ACCOUNTS,
Fort Saint George, 7 August 1873. 12

No. 721

From Ag.
The Controller of Military Accounts,
Fort St. George,

To

The Accountant General.

With reference to his Deputy's Memorandum dated 10 July 1873, the Acting Controller of Military Accounts has the honor to inform the Accountant General, that the services of S. Appavoo Moodelly as Brick-layer Maistry in the

Superintending

Superintending Engineer's Office, Mysore Division, cannot be verified from the records of this Office.

2d — One Condapah was employed as Bricklayer Maistry in the above Office from 1843 to 19 July 1853 (latter the date on which he died) and was succeeded by Sunkara Moodelly who served up to 1855, subsequent to which the charge ceased to be entered in the Military Disbursements.

3.

3. — The Register of applications received with the above Memorandum is herewith returned.

Lieut. Coll.
Actg Controller of Military Accounts

Accountant General's Office
Recd 8 8 1878
Ansd

Pen: Acc. No 701 A/73

From Ag
The Controller of Military Accounts,
Fort St. George,

To The Accountant General

No. 721
Dated 2' August 1873.
Received 5
Enclosures 2.

Endorsement.

Informs that the services of S. Appavoo Moodelly as Bricklayer Maistry in the Superintending Engineers Office Mysore Division cannot be verified

6/21

To be returned with reply on reverse

Audit DEPARTMENT.

No.

14

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
10th July 1873.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
TO THE Controller of Public Works Accounts Madras.

~~Sir,~~ The Undersigned has the honor to request that the Controller will be good enough to inform him whether the services of Maistry S. Appavoo Moody as shewn below can be verified from the records of his office. 2nd whether such service reckons for pension; & 3rd whether the Applicant obtained any leave that does not count for pension.

Supdg Engrs Office Mysore Divn	Bricklayer Maistry	1843 to 1855	14 Rs.
	Do	1856 to 1857	15 Rs.
Do	Do	1858 to 1861	14 Rs.
Executive Engrs Office	Do	1862 to 1866	15 Rs.
Do	Do	1866 to 31 Augt 1868	25 Rs.
Do			

Dy Accountt Genl.

Office of the Controller of
P.W. Accounts
Fort St. George 23 August 1873

15

No. 393

Memorandum.

From
The Offg. Controller of P.W. Accounts.

To
The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir,

In returning the enclosed Memorandum from the Deputy Accountant General, Madras, the undersigned has the honor to state that there are no Registers on the records of this Office prior to 1st May 1856 from which the services of Bricklayer maistry Appavoo can be verified. As regards subsequent periods, no trace can be found of Appavoo's Employment as a maistry Except for the following periods between the 1st April 1865 and

---

No. 3012

From
The Acctt. General
Madras

Dated 10th
Recd 12 July 1873

Requests to know whether Sub. Appavoo's services can be verified in this office

JY 12

Acctt. Genl. Madras Aug. 1873

Rec. Ca No. 701 of 73.

Rec. Ca No. 701 of 73

and 31st March 1868, when from certain abstracts found on the records of this Office it appears that pay at the rate of Rs. 25 per mensem was drawn by the Executive Engineer at Bangalore for one Appavoo Moodelly as a maistry on the Petty Establishment, viz:

From April 1865 and from July 1865 to 31st December 1865.

From 1st January 1866 to 31st December 1866.

From 1st January 1867 to 31st March 1867.

For May 1867 and from 1st July 1867 to 31st October 1867.

For December 1867 and January 1868.

2 Prior to 1st May 1865 the salaries of members of the Petty Establishment were disbursed from estimates for works. See para 2 of G. O. No. 3530 dated 12th December 1864.

3 Under section 38 clause 1(b) of the Civil Pension Code Appavoo Moodelly's services cannot reckon for pension.

Offg. Controller of P.W. Accounts

Accountant General's Office
Recd 25 8 1873
Ansd

From
The Offg. Controller of
P.W. Accounts
To
The Accountant General
Madras

Dated 23rd } August 1873
Recd.

No. 393

States that Apparoo
Moodelliar's employment as
Maistry can be verified for
certain period only

785

17

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 12th December 1864.

No. 197. Read the following letter from Captain J. F. FISCHER, R. E., Controller of Public Works Accounts, to C. A. ORR, R. E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 26th November 1864, No. 1,777:—

SIR,

With reference to G. O. 23rd instant, No. 3,363, furnishing new forms of Budget Estimates for the ensuing official year, I have the honor to observe that one of the forms required to be filled in by this Office (Appendix C, Part 2) is to show the particulars of Petty Establishments* maintained in each District, but details of these are not given in the estimates now furnished to this Office by Superintending Engineers, and if the Government desire to have these details, these Officers will have to be instructed accordingly.

* Store-keepers.
Native Doctors.
Head Carpenters.
Head Masons.
Head Smiths.

2. The classes of persons to be included in the above Budget are chiefly those permitted to be charged to estimates by the orders of Government on the Report of the Committee on the re-organization of the Department of Public Works, in which it is stated that the sanction of the Government of India to the continuance of this arrangement will be obtained, but this Office is not aware of any orders confirming it—a recent case having occurred in which that Government noticed the charge of Establishments to estimates.

G. O. 18th June 1863, No. 1,599, paragraph 13.

Vide paragraph 5 of Colonel Strachey's letter to this Government, dated 23rd July 1864, in G. O. 20th August 1864.

3. When preparing the Budget of Establishments for the current year Colonel Wilkieson noticed in paragraph 3 of his letter dated 6th November 1863,† No. 1,809, that Petty Establishments of the above-mentioned class will not appear in that part of the Public Works Budget, as the orders of the Government of India on the subject were superseded by G. O. 17th September 1863, No. 2,703.

† G. O. 11th November 1863, No. 3,275.

4. I have further to observe that the Budget Estimate requires both Works and Establishments to be arranged separately under each Executive Division which corresponds to the Executive Ranges within each District. As under recent instructions from the Government of India it appears "that the Officer who draws cash "on a Letter of Credit and makes disbursements "on his own responsibility, must be directly in "account with this Office," and this is the Range Officer; if Letters of Credit are to be issued in his favor, the allotments in the Budget will have to be shown distinctly for each Range instead of for every Civil or Revenue District as at present, and the Budget of Establishments should provide for any increased or modified Establishment which it may be intended to allow for carrying out the above change. If information on this subject is furnished to this Office the Establishment Budget can be correctly prepared at once, and any subsequent revision avoided.

Paragraph 12 of Government of India's letter to the Madras Government, dated 17th June 1864, No. 155A. and letter from that Government, dated 3rd October 1864, No. 586A.

Vide Memorandum from Government, dated 23rd December 1863, calling for revised Establishment Budget.

5. Though several Superintending Engineers have included Conservancy and Neerainickum Establishments in their Budget Lists, these will be omitted in the Estimate from this Office, because not charged in the current year's accounts to the Public Works Department, but provision for these Establishments will have to be made in the Civil or Financial Budget.

No. 198. ORDER THEREON, 12th December 1864, No. 3,530.

1. Superintending Engineers will be requested, with reference to paragraph 1 of the foregoing letter, to furnish the Controller with particulars of the Petty Establishments which are likely to be employed in each District during the ensuing official year.

1875/12 30-73
313/43

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
12th December 1864.

2. These Establishments, consisting of Gomastahs, Maistries, Head Carpenters, Head Masons, and Head Smiths, *bona fide* employed as superintendents or directors of work, and not as workmen, and whose salaries have customarily been provided for in the estimates under the heads "Sundries and Contingencies" or "Extra Superintendence," will, from the 1st May next, form a charge against Part IV of the Budget.

3. Under this arrangement, no provision will be made for Petty (Extra) Establishments in the estimates for Public Works, and the Controller will be careful to see that charges of that description are disallowed in Bills submitted by the Local Officers for expenditure incurred after the close of the current official year.

4. With reference to paragraph 4, the Controller will be furnished with copies of the Statements submitted to the Government of India ~~on~~ the 25th ~~ultimo~~ Octr. last.

5. Provision to the extent of Rupees 20,000 should be made in the Controller's Budget on account of temporary Establishments to aid the Officers employed in the investigation of projects, &c.

(True Extract.)
(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Controller of Public Works Accounts, with eight Statements.
" " ~~Supdg. Engineers~~

Exd. T. O'Connor.

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

~~No.~~

18

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
26 August 1873.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Offg. Controller of Public Works Accounts
Madras.

VIDE your Office ~~application for~~ Memorandum ~~on behalf of~~ No. 393. dated 23 August 1873.

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points:—

1. How was the applicant Apparao Moodelly paid as maistry on the Petty Estabt. ~~paid~~ during the certain periods between 1 April /55 & 31 March /58 detailed in the above memo?

(a) Whether as a servant of a regular permanent Estabt. or like one paid from Estimates for works.

2. Can the services of the applicant on the Petty Estabt. subsequent to April /58 be reckoned as qualifying for pension or gratuity without reference to Clause 1 (b) of sec. 38 of the C. P. Code?

Please send Copy of G.O. No 3530.
dated 12 December /64 alluded to
in para 2 of the Memo -

McDonald
for Dy Accountt Genl

AUDITOR OF P.W. ACCTS. CONTR & AU 28

To the Accountt Genl
Madras 9 Septr
1873. No

No 531

From
The Acctt General
Madras.

No
Dated 26th } Aug 73
Rec 28th }

Requests Explanation
as to the payment of
Appavoo as petty
Maistry

Prev: Lr No 701 of /73

Exd. T. O'Connor.

No 29 & Office of the Controller of
P.W. Accounts.
Fort Saint George, 3d September
1873.

Memorandum.

From,
The Offg: Controller of
P. W. Accounts.

To,
The Accountant General,
Madras.

Enclosures 2
1 Memo:
1 Copy of G.O.
No 3530, dated
12th December
1864. -

In returning the enclosed Memo: from the Deputy Accountant General, Madras, the undersigned has the honor to state that during the periods referred to, Appavoo was paid his salary on audited bills in the same manner as servants of a regular permanent Establishment. -

2. Referring to G.O. No 3530, of the 12th December 1864, a copy of which is herewith forwarded as requested it will be observed that

period

D/5

prior to 1st May 1865 the Salaries of the Members of the Petty Establishment to which Appavoo appears to have been attached were paid from Estimates for works, but that from and after the above date provision was made for the purpose in Part IV. of the Budget. The nature of the Establishment remained however unaltered. Hence under no circumstances do the services of Appavoo subsequent to April 1865 reckon as service for pension.—

Accountant General's Office
Recd 4 9 1873
Ansd ___

Offg: Controller of P.W. Accounts—

From
The Offg: Controller of
P.W. Accounts.
To
The Accountant General
Madras.

Dated 3rd } September 1873
Recd:

No 29

Enclosure 2.

Applying certain in:
formation regarding
the payment of Pension to Appavoo
as Petty Maistry. —

8428

Pen: Dept No 701 9/9/73

21

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter Papers —

From the District Engineer, Bangalore, dated 2nd July 1873 —
No. By Accountant General, dated 5th November 1873. No 342

Order thereon 5th January 1874 No 3

Application is made for the grant of an invalid pension to S. Appavoo Maistry. He was for 26 years continuously employed as a Maistry on Government works, but as it appears that his appointment was at no time a substantive one on a permanent Establishment, he is not strictly entitled to any retiring allowance.

2 The applicant retired in 1868 & the Executive Engineer under whom he had

had been employed strongly recommended that his case should be made a special one as he had borne a good character; had been a useful and trustworthy servant; & having lost his eye-sight, was no longer able to provide for himself. This recommendation was not then submitted to the Government, but under the circumstances detailed in it, His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves to forward the case to the Government of India as a special one and to recommend the grant to Appavoo Moodr. of an invalid pension of Rs/6/ Six per mensem payable in arrears from the 31st August 1868, being the date of his retirement.

This is the amount suggested by the Distt. Engineer &c

22

To

The District Engineer, Bangalore.
" Controller of P.W. Accounts
" Accountant General.

(12 copies)

Pension to one Appavoo
Maistry - Bangalore

29/1 (E)
29/14 (04)

Govt. of {1874} Madras.

Pension

To The District Engineer
Bangalore.

F. No. 127

Dated 5th January } 1874, No. 3
Recd.

Nos.

Resolving that the Government of India be requested to sanction as a special case ~~the~~ ~~grant~~ of an invalid pension of Rupees 6 per mensem payable in arrears from the 31st Augt 1868 to S. Appavoo Mistri late Bricklayer Maistry District Engineer's Office Bangalore -