M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 48

(2) Date of repair 4-2-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 361 Press [✓ Mis.]

Dated 22-6-1874.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department, *22nd June* 1874.

Read the following papers :—

From the Collector of Madura, dated 10th March 1874.
From the Accountant-General, dated 2nd June 1874, No. 17.

ORDER THEREON, 22nd June 1874, No. 361.

The Government observe that the Collector was directed to report on the petition of M. Chinnatumby Sarvai and not to submit on his behalf an application for a gratuity which, under the old rules in force at his retirement, could only have been granted on the production of a medical certificate testifying to his incapacity for further service.

2. No evidence as to incapacity is, however, forthcoming, and his statement as to his not appealing to the Collector against his dismissal, because there was a reduction in establishment, is clearly incorrect, as the reduction referred to did not take place until more than two years after his dismissal.

3. Under these circumstances His Excellency the Governor in Council sees nothing in M. Chinnatumby Sarvai's case upon which to base a special recommendation for it being treated exceptionally.

4. The petitioner will be referred to the Collector.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Collector of Madura.
,, Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of 1874 Madras.

PENSION.

To

The COLLECTOR of MADURA,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated June, 22nd.

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 22nd June 1874, No. 361.

JUNE.

Declining to grant pension or gratuity to Muthanal Chinnatumby Sarvai, late Peon on the establishment of the Collector of Madura.

Enclosure No in a letter
From The Collector
of Madura
To The Acctt General
Madras
Dated 10th March 1874
No

Translation of the
affidavit given by
Muthmal Chinna
tambi Servai and
the original in
vernacular—

Pension 1874 Depart

From The Collector
of Madura
To The Acctt General
Madras

Dated 10th
Despd 11th } March 1874
Recd

No 361

Enclosure 2

Register of applica-
tion for Gratuity
on behalf of Muthu-
nal Chinnatambi
Servai late Peon
of the Salt Head
Cutcherri at
Ramnad.

18668
Pen: Le: No 363 of 1874

Enclosure No in a letter
From the Collector
of Madura
To the Acctt General
Madras
Dated 10th March 1874
No

Petition presented to
Government by Muttu
-nal Chinnattambi
Servai & Registered
in No 1849 of 1873
in Government —

No. 1849 of 1873.

Forwarded to the Collector for report with reference to the explanation submitted by petitioner. Forfeiture of the benefit of all previous service is a severe punishment for overstaying leave if any reasonable explanation is forthcoming.

By order

Fort St George
16th August 1873

J Sturrock
Ag Under Secy to Govt.

Resl No 363/74

Call for a statement
of the pety [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
11-10-73

S. No
172

M
Nov - 73
8 Ind. no

Forwarded to
the Salt Dy Collr
for report.
H. W. Wil

Received Dy Collr
4-10-73.

Send for this man
thro' the Deputy Tahsr
of ~~Shunguvara~~ Ram
nad. M
8-10-73

Recd. No. 3637/74

[illegible]

[illegible]

1874 [illegible] 19 [illegible]
2 [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible] 1861 [illegible]
[illegible] 31½
[illegible] 21 [illegible]
[illegible] 2 [illegible]
[illegible] 1827 [illegible]
[illegible] 1 [illegible] 3 [illegible]
[illegible] 2 [illegible]
[illegible] 3 [illegible] 33
[illegible] 1832 [illegible]
[illegible] 31½ [illegible]
1860 [illegible]
[illegible] 1861 [illegible]
[illegible] 1861 [illegible]
[illegible] 2½ [illegible] 15 [illegible]
[illegible] 20 [illegible]

[illegible] 15 [illegible]

[illegible] 15 [illegible]

[illegible] 62 [illegible]

[illegible] 4 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2 [illegible]

1874 [illegible] 202 [illegible]

[illegible] 1830 [illegible] 6 [illegible]

[illegible] 186 [illegible]

[illegible] 610 [illegible] 23 [illegible]

[illegible] 15 [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

2. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
15 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

1874 [illegible] 24 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

for Colector

1874 Depart. 19

From

The Collector of
Madura

To

The Dy Secty General
Madras.

Dated 16th }
Recd } May 1874

No. 737
Encs 2 (A & B).

Furnishing the additional information called for in his Letter dated 30th March 1874 regarding the service of one M. Chinnatambi Servai applicant for gratuity.

3257

6
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Rev: Sect No. 3637/74

Recd 2d April/74

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No.

Fort Saint George.
Accountant General's Office,
30th March 1874.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Madura.

VIDE your Office application for Gratuity on behalf of M. Chinnatamby Sairvai.

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points:—

1. How long after the applicant's removal from Service for overstaying his leave was there a revision in the Salt Establishment.
2. Was the applicant 65 yrs + 1 month old on the 12 Sept/61 or on the 10 March/74.

Please obtain statements on the following points from the 2 contemporary employes named by the applicant, and forward the same to this office.

(a). Whether the applicant served in Tharakudi & Attengarai Salt Divisions for 3 & 6 yrs respectively.

(b). Whether there is any truth in the applicant's statement regarding his application for an Extension of leave & grant of the same without fixing the term upto which it was granted.

Applicant's petition to Govt. & his Affidavit accompany for reference.

Dy. Accountt. Genl.

1. The Revision of the Salt Establishment took place on the 11th January 1864, 2 years and 4 months after the applicant's removal, under G. O. dated 8th December 1863 No. 2228 communicated in the Board's Proceedings dated 14th December 1863 No. 7882 (Miscellaneous Circular No CV).

2. The applicant was 61 years and 1 month old on the 10th March 1874.

3. The additional information required in sections (a) and (b) of this letter from the two contemporary employes named by the applicant is added to the statements already made by them and they are
×A and B. herewith forwarded together with translations.×

Collector's Office
Madura
on Circuit
(Camp) Sholavandan
16th May 1874 -

J. W. McQuhae
Collector

Accountant General's Office
Recd 22
5 1874
Ansd

P. A

Pet. No 2632 5/74

G No 658

Collector's No 13 of 1873

Government of Madras,
Revenue DEPARTMENT.

Petition No. 1849 of 1873.

From M. Chinnatambi Servai

Occupation. lately a Peon in the Salt Head Cutchery of

Village. Sivagangai of

Talook. Ramanadhapuram

District. Madura.

Dated 23d } July 1873.
Received 28th }

Enclosures. 5.

Abstract.

As directed by endorsement on his former petition, which was returned under para 2, submits the endorsement of the Collector and copies of his petition to that authority; and represents that a few months before the New Arrangement, petr was absent on sick leave; that about the time he was to return to duty, his house was plundered by gang robbers, who murdered petr's brother and inflicted severe wounds on petr himself; that during the preliminary enquiry of the case by the Sub. Magte of Ramnad, petr informed the Amildar Mr Berbice, who held his Office in the same building, the above facts and submitted a written report also; that soon afterwards petr went to the Session Court

Court to which, the above case was committed for trial; that before his return from the Session Court he heard that he was removed from his post on account of his having overstayed his leave; but that before he got his wounds healed, the New Arrangement was brought into force & several of his fellow peons were thrown out of employ; that in consequence petr did not appeal to the Collector against the order of his removal from his post; but that he & two others submitted applications for compassionate allowances, and that petr proved his service of 35 years before the Collector, who however granted him the enclosed endorsement.

Prays that, after due enquiry, compassionate allowance may be granted to petr, who and whose father served the Govt respectively for 35 & 40 years.

19th January 1874.

Statement given before the 2d Class Magistrate of Ramnad by Chinnathambi Servai son of Muthukaruppan Servai residing at Muthunad, Acambadi Caste Age 61, Labourer.

When I was a peon in the Salt Head Kotcheri Ramnad, I obtained leave for one month in June 1861; during the leave I received one Rupee out of my pay Rs 3½ the remainder having been paid to my substitute. I was at first appointed as peon in 1827 in the Tharakudi Salt Division on Rs 3 pay a Month. I served in that division for 2 years, 3 years I think. In 1832 or 1833 I was transferred to the Ramnad Salt Head Katcheri, on Rs 3½ pay. In 1860 or 1861, I do not recollect, I was transferred to the Attingarai Salt Division on equal pay where I served one year. I did my work in the Salt Division and received pay in the Salt Head Katcheri. Again I was transferred to the Salt Head Katcheri. In addition to the leave granted to me for one month in June 1861 on account of sickness, I obtained leave for 15 days from 20th July 1861. In September 1861 I had further leave for 15 days; on the expiration of which leave I did not

not return to my duty in consequence of a murder which was committed in my house a week after obtaining this 15 days leave. Then I asked for an extension of leave, which was granted to me employing a Substitute; but the term of leave was not fixed. I obtained this leave till the final enquiry into the murder case. As soon as I returned to my village from Madura I learnt that my services were dispensed with on the reduction of Establishment at the end of 1862. Head Shroff Pillai told me that all records connected with my service were found out except the record of my ~~having~~ service ~~served~~ at Tharakudi. Since my first appointment and until my transfer to the Salt Head Katcheri I was serving continuously at Tharakudi. I was afterwards in the Salt Head Katcheri till I was transferred to the Allungarai Salt Division. I have now taken some arrack on account of belly ache. I cannot well bring to my memory facts.

(Signed) Narayana Iyer

Dy Tahsildar & 2d Class Magte.

Dy Collector

Recd [illegible] 3/74

[illegible]

27

20th January 1874

Now I am not under the influence of liquor my memory is clear. I was at first entertained as a peon in the Tharakudi Salt Division, and then transferred to the Allungarai Salt Division, in 1830. where I served for 6 years. I was afterwards transferred to the Salt Head Katcheri Ramnad where I was on peon till the reduction of establishment. After the reduction I did not enter in the Police Department. I have not obtained leave except that in 1861. I heard read to me the statement which I gave yesterday. The statement is correct except that part of it relating to my transfer from Tharakudi to the Salt Head Katcheri

Question

It appears from the Register of Leave Application in the salt Head Katcheri that you obtained leave for 15 days from 23d July to 6th August 1861, & that a report was sent on the 2nd September that you did not return to your duty on the expiration of leave. You say that you obtained leave again. Have you any order with you to that effect?

Answer.

As I did not recover from my sickness I did not return to duty on the 6th August 1861, but I appeared before Mr. Burby the then Amildar on 1st September 1861, and applied to him for 15 days leave which he granted to me by a verbal order, appointing the former substitute in my place.

(Signed) Narana Iyer
2d Class Magte
in Pamil

24th January 1874

None of my Contemporaries are now alive, except Muthukaruppen Servai, and Ammasah, who know that I was a peon in the Sharakudi & Attengarai Divisions & the Salt Head Katcheri, Ramnad. These two individuals were peons in the salt Head Katcheri Ramnad; they know the time I was employed in the salt Divisions, & Know in the Sharakudi salt Division too.

(signed) Narana Iyer
2d Class Magte
in Tamil

Manasdram

Dy Collector

J. Rawdon
DC

Pri. Col. No 2634/74

24th January 1874.

Statement given before 2d Class Magistrate of Ramnad by Ammadshah Son of Madarsah living in Ramnad South Street.

I know Mutthinal Chinnathambi Servai I was a peon in the Valinokum Salt Division and in the Salt Head Katcheri. I served for 34 years I now receive a pension of Rs 2½ per Mensem. Chinnathambi was peon in the Sharakudi Salt Division in 1827 when I was in the Valinokum Alam. He was at Sharakudi for 3 years. After my transfer from Valinokum to the Salt Head Katcheri he was also transferred to the Salt Head Katcheri Ramnad.

(Signed) Narana Iyer
2d Class Magistrate
in Tamil

Manasdram

Dy Collector

13th April 1874.

Examination on solemn affirmation of Ammahsah son of Madarsah.

Question. Do you know whether the applicant Chinnatambi served at Tharakudi and Attengarai for 3 and 6 years respectively?

Answer. I know. I do not remember the years. I remember that he served 3 years at Tharakudi, and six years at Attengarai. He was transferred to Attengarai from Tharakudi. He was transferred to the salt Head Katcheri at Ramnad 4 or 5 years after my transfer to the same office from the Valinokam salt Division.

Question. Do you know any thing about the applicant's statement that he applied for an extension of leave, and obtained the same without fixing the term up to which it was granted?

Answer. I know that Chinnathambi obtained leave and another person was acting for him. He obtained leave

Procd. No 3063 [illegible]

leave, because his brother was murdered and he himself had to ~~heal~~ his wound. Mr. Burky the then salt Amildar gave him a verbal order that leave was granted to him and that he would appoint a substitute for Chinnathambi. I was then present in the Office, with the Daffadar and others. the leave was granted in the office. The Amildar said that a substitute would be employed till Chinnathambi returned ~~healed~~ cured of his wounds.

(Signed) Narana Iyen
2d Class Magistrate
in Sanil

Deputy Collector

1874 [illegible] 24 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 34 [illegible] 2½ [illegible]

[illegible] 1827 [illegible]

3 [illegible]

1874 [illegible] 75 [illegible] 3 [illegible]

7

Enclosure

No. 8.

Statement of Armalah with translation.

Enclosed

A

Rec. with Desp. 363/74

1874 … 24

1874 … 15

B

Rec. with Desp. 363/74

[illegible]

10-12 [illegible]

[illegible]

Statements of Muttucaruppen Servy, with translation

Enclosure

B

Rev. Sr. No. 363 of 1874

24th January 1874

Statement given before the 2d Class Magistrate of Ramnad by Muttukaruppen Servai Son of Sangily Servai residing at Ramnad North Street.

I know Muthirul Chinnathambi, now present, for the last 30 years. I was a peon in the Salt Head Kutcheri for 32 years, and I now receive a pension of Rs 2½ per Mensem. I did not serve at Tharakudi. When I was a peon in the Salt Head Kutcheri I went to the Salt Division of Tharakudi but I do not recollect in which year he was at Tharakudi. Chinnathambi was serving at Tharakudi for 2 or 3 years.

(Signed) Narana Iyen
2d Class Magistrate
in Tamil.

Dy Collector

15th April 1874

Examination on solemn affirmation of Muttukaruppan.

Question. Do you know whether the applicant Chinnathambi Servodi the Tharakudi and Attangarai Sal Divisions for 3 and 6 years respectively

Answer. I remember that Chinnathamb served 2 or 3 years in the Tharakudi salt Division and 6 years at Atta garai. I did not serve in the Attangarai Division; but I had seen him serve, when as a peon of the Head Katcheri, I went t the Division.

Question. Do you know anything about the applicant's statement that he appl for an extension of leave, and ob tained the same without fixing t term up to which it was grante

Answer. I do not now recollect t year. Ten or twelve years ago ap cant's brother was murdered, an

Recd. No 3663/74

applicant applied verbally to Mr Busby the late Avildar for leave; he did not specify the term of leave when applying for it. Mr Busby said that he would appoint a substitute for the applicant until his return to duty. The order was given in the Office. I was then present. I do not remember who else were present with me in the Office.

(Signed) Narana Iyer
2nd Class Magistrate
in Ramnad

Deputy Collector

APPLICATION FOR {~~PENSION~~ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant.	Muthunal Chinnattambi Saivai.	
2. Father's name.	Muthucaruppan Saivai.	
3. Sect or Caste.	Agambadia.	
4. Residence.	Muthunal, Ramnad Talug.	
5. Present or last employment.	Peon in the Salt Head Cutcherry, Ramnad	
6. Date of beginning of service	In 1827.	
7. Date of end of service	12th September 1861.	Y. M. D. 34 – 2 – 11
8. Length of service including breaks		" " "
of which superior		34 – 2 – 11.
inferior		" " "
non-qualifying and breaks		
9. Class of {~~pension~~ gratuity} applied for, and cause of application*	Class (a) Invalid Gratuity.	Rs – As – P 3 – 8 – "
10. [Average] emoluments or pay.		" " "
11. ~~Proposed pension.~~		21 – " – "
12. Proposed gratuity.		" " "
13. Date from which to commence.		Ramnad.
14. Place of payment.		Y – M – D 61 – 1 – "
15. Age of Applicant on this date.		Feet Inches 5 3¾.
16. Height.		
17. Marks.	Scar of a punctured wound on the head, a long scar on the forehead left side, a wart on left side of the nose.	
18. Date.	Collector's Office Madura 10th March 1874.	

Collector
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 14 3 1874
Ansd ......

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Muthunal Chinnattamby Sairvai.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	Pay. A.	Pay. P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	Period reckoned as service. M.	Period reckoned as service. D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	Period not reckoned as service. M.	Period not reckoned as service. D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Sarackudi Salt Division	Haval	3	"	"	"	In 1827	30th June 1830	3	"	"				In support of this service there is nothing except the affidavit of the applicant which with a translation is enclosed. The applicant is also unable to give any definite information on the subject.		Service from 1827 to 30th June 1830 has not been verified from the records of the Salt Dy Collector. but the applicants affidavit and the Statements of Contemporary employés Establish the fact that he served in Shara Kudi Salt Division.
Attangarai Salt Division	Do	3	"	-	"	1st July 1830	30th June 1836	6	"	"					From the records of the Salt Dy Collector.	Service from 1st July 1830 to 11th Septr 1861 has been verified from the records of the Salt Deputy Collector.
Salt Head Cutcherry Ramnad	Peon	3	8	-	"	1st July 1836	11th September 1861	25	2	11	-	-	-	Discharged for overstaying his leave.		
					Total period of ~~superior~~ inferior service...		....	34	2	11.	-	-	-			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. There is nothing on the records against the Character or Conduct of the applicant.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. ——— " ———

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. ——— " ———

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. ——— " ———

5. Any other remarks. The applicant was not thrown out of Employment or allowed to retire on account of infirmity and old age. He was in 1861 discharged for overstaying his leave. His explanation of this Conduct is that a robbery and Murder occurred in his house during the period of his leave, and that he was therefore unable to return to duty. He failed to apply for and obtain extension of leave after this event, and he is unable to account satisfactorily for this failure. The Government having remarked on his Petition No 1849 of 1873, that "Forfeiture of the benefit of all previous service is a severe punishment for overstaying leave, if any reasonable explanation is forthcoming," the Collector begs to submit this application for Invalid Gratuity for the orders of Government. The Applicant is not entitled to Invalid Pension, as his service is less than 35 years, and the nature of his case would not admit the grant of a Compensation Pension.

The Government Petition is herewith returned.

Collector.

Pension 1874 Departt
No. 363

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of application.
2d June 1874.

Name of Applicant.
M. Chinnatambi Sarvai

Last appointment.
Peon

Class of {pension ~~or~~ gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

G.O. No. 361 22/6/74

45

Pension Department

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following ~~letter~~

From the Collector of Madura. d/10th March 1874.
" Accountant General -"- 2d June 1874 No. 17

Order thereon, 22d June 1874 No. 361.

The Government observe that the Collector was directed to report on the petition of M. Chinnatamby Sarvai & not to submit on his behalf an application for gratuity which, under the old Rules in force at his retirement, could only have been granted on the production of a Medical Certificate testifying to to his incapacity for further service.

2. No evidence as to incapacity is however forthcoming and his statement as to his not appealing to the Collector against his dismissal, because there was a reduction in Establishment is clearly incorrect as the reduction referred to did not take place until more than two years after his dismissal.

3. Under these circumstances His Excellency the Governor in Council sees nothing in Mr. Chinnasamy Sarvai's case

Note.
His removal from the service dates as far back as 12th Sept 61 when he was removed for absenting himself. He seems to have remained quiet until last year probably because he had voluntarily given up the service for [illegible]

upon which to base a special recommendation, for it being treated exceptionally.

4. The Petitioner will be referred to the Collector.

To
The Collector of Madura } despd
Accountant General } 30/6/74

10-1-85

2740/84

Govt. of {1874} Madras.

No. 1503

Pension

To The Collector of Madura.

Dated. 22d Aug } 1874, No. 361
Recd. }

Nos.

Declining to grant pension or gratuity to Muthanal Chinnattambi Servai late peon on the Establishment of the Collector of Madura.

Ordy 15 June
Rd 22 —

C 17th June /74 R 19th