

(1) Number of pages 36.

(2) Date of repair 24-1-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer GA

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	333	Press Mis.
Dated	13-6-1874.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GA

N^o 39.

Tanjore Sub Collector's Office
Mysapattanam 31st January 1874.

From The Sub Collector
of Tanjore
To The Collector of Tanjore
Sir,

In reply to your letter N^o 60
of the 20th Instant, I have the honor to inform
you that Subbraya Mudali the Applicant was
suffering from Palsy on which account the delay
observed in your letter has been permitted to take
place in the production of the application for
pension by the Applicant.

I have the
(Signed) H. J. Stokes
Sub Collector
(True Copy)

H. J. Stokes
Collector

To be returned with reply on reverse.

3-

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
5th March 1874.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To THE Collector Tanjore

VIDE your Office application for *invalided* *paternity* on behalf of
Subbasaya Mudali.

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points:—

Was the Applicant transferred from
Imperial to Devastram Fund on the 16th March 1874
at her own ^{request} ~~accord~~ or under the orders
of the Collector.

Is there any precedent on the Collector's
record counting Devastram Fund
service as qualifying ^{for gratuity} ~~and~~ if so, quote
G. O. to that effect.

Copy of G. O. referred to in remark
2 on the 3rd page of the application
should be sent.

W. Cooke
By Accountt Genl

Peruon 314/34

No 505

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 28th November 1850.

Read letter from Collector of Tanjore, requesting sanction for increasing the pay of Curnums in his District.

Here enter 12th October 1850 No 92

One in From Govt.
11th April 1807

Para 1. In the Report of the Tanjore Committee dated 22nd February 1807, the inconvenience of the footing on which the Curnums were placed was pointed out.

The Curnum was a mere Conicopoli of the Messengers and did little more than adjust their private accounts. What remuneration he

receivedth was entirely from them, so that as a Circar servant he was utterly inefficient.

Previous to the assembling of the Committee, the Collector had so far improved upon the old plan as to give the Curnum a Sunnud of office and declare that office hereditary.

to an average of about 5 3/4 Rs a month he had also somewhat increased their emoluments but


Reply.

1. The applicant was transferred from Imperial to Divastanam fund under the orders of the Collector.
2. The service rendered on the Divastanam Establishment was taken into account in computing the period of pensionable service in the following cases:
Liagaraja Paudit, Gunastah Sub Collector's office. Vide G. O. 7th September 1861 No 306.
Rama Row, Pishkari in Kamilaru Taluk. G. O. 1st November 1861 No 355.
Viswanatha Mudali, Angur Gunastah. G. O. 13th November 1861 No 371.

3. Copy of G. O. dated 7th January 1857 No 10 referred to accompanies. It will be seen that the abolition of the Divastanam Establishment is alluded to in the Board's Proceedings given in the above G. O.

The appointment of the applicant as Angur Shroff on the salary of Rs 10-8-0 as per G. O. above quoted, but pending the orders of the Government of India, the servants in this establishment were afterwards transferred to Nat Karnam or other establishment and the applicant was appointed a Nat Karnam Shroff on Rs 10-4-0.

Tanjore Collector's office
Situbaiatharam
2nd April 1874.



[Signature]

RC

Collector.

[Signature]

[Handwritten note]
Received 24/4/74

but as this was done by levying an extra cef
on the inhabitants, the Merassidars still
continued to possess an influence over them
which was often exerted to the detriment
of Government.

2. The Committee therefore suggested
that instead of being dependent for their
subsistence on the Merassidars, they should
be secured a remunerative allowance, and
that this should come direct from Govern-
ment. The plan they proposed was, that a
portion of land of the annual value of
36 Pagodas (10½ Rs a month) should be assigned
to each Curnum.

3. This proposition was ordered by
Government to be adopted in their orders of
11 April 1807.

4. In the year 1832 the Collector in
his Report* on the means of improving the
Village Police took occasion to point out the
condition of the Carnums.

5. The land assigned them under the
orders of Government above referred to, was
estimated

o para 3

Estimated at about 22,000 Pagodas (77000 Rs)
per annum, but this land was not in their own
possession. It was held and cultivated by the
Merassidars in common with other lands, they
receiving their own share of the produce and paying
the Circar share to the Curnum instead of to the
Government. This was found to work so un-
equally, depending as it did on the cultivating
Merassidar, that in 1830 (under what sanction
is not stated), the produce of the Carnums
lands was thrown into a general Fund, from
which they were paid a fixed monthly salary
proportioned to their respective charges; As,
however, the produce of the fund varied from
year to year with the state of the crops, and
prices, only 3/4 Rs of the salary was paid
monthly, and the remainder, if any, after the
actual collection of the whole. The final
adjustment being often delayed till the end
of the following fusly.

6. The Collector further reported that
in consequence of the inconvenience thus caused,
he

he had determined on taking the average actual payment of 4 years as the standard amount of the Curmums wages for the whole Province, making little alteration in the proportion of their respective salaries and paying them a monthly amount at that rate.

7. The Collector in proceeding to discuss how the proposed Potails were to be remunerated, * stated that the standard being + para 8 of the Curmums land produce was 88,150 Rs, which he proposed to reduce to 81,597 Rs, leaving 6,553 towards the support of the Potails. This 81,597 Rs is somewhat above

2 per Cent of what he estimated to be the standard being of the Province. The number and rates of pay are shown in Enclosure 2.

8. The Board in their letter under date 1st March 1832 did not approve of the Collector's making the change referred to in para 3 of his letter without sanction, and desired that where he had introduced the alteration, it should not be continued beyond the end of the Fyashy, unless he obtained sanction.

9. In the Board's Proceedings of 16th

o para 12 Standard being Rs 87,69,000
2 per cent w. be. 75,380
Rs 3696
431 6 1/2 - 2,801 1/2
39 7 3/4 - 302 1/4
1,086 per month 6,799 1/4
per annum 81,597

* para 5-

16 July 1835, the above Report of the Collector on increasing the efficiency of the Police was again taken into consideration, and on the subject of Curmums the Board approved of the proposal of the Collector to fix their allowance for the future at Rs 81,597, but observed that the Curmums duties would be diminished by the introduction of Potails. The duties are however distinct, and the present recommendation is based on the increase in the Curmums proper and peculiar duties.

10. Though no separate and distinct sanction was subsequently given to the proposed arrangement for the Curmums, yet the Board consider it sufficient that the general system of Village Police in which the allowances of the Curmums were distinctly alluded to, was approved of

* In extract Min Cos by the Supreme Government, and the Court 8 Feby. 1836
o From Govt. 26 of Directors June 1838

11. Mr Bishop now brings to the notice of the Board of Revenue that though such portion of the revenues of the Curmums lands has been paid to them annually as was proposed by

530 A

by Mr. Henderson and sanctioned as above
 shown, yet that a surplus of Receipts above
 Payments remains and has increased with the
 improvement of the lands and the change of
 Systems under which they have fallen in Communion
 with others, so that had the lands remained
 with the Curmums as originally, they would
 have benefited by the additional revenue
 derived therefrom. He shews moreover that since
 the survey in July 1238, the duties of the Curmums
 have nearly doubled. These facts are known
 to the Board, as also that it has been
 usual to assist the Circar Curmum consider-
 ably in the preparation of their accounts by means
 of the Dewastanum Curmums, a source that
 now must fail in consequence of the disconnection
 of Government with the Hindu Religious
 Establishments.

12. It therefore becomes absolutely
 necessary, as Mr. Bishop observes, that more
 Circar Curmums be employed, and their charges
 equalized. For this purpose, funds may be said
 to be forthcoming in the surplus Collections from
 the Curmums lands.

13. It is shewn that the average Collections
 of

Nat. Village Total
 Average 30,096 97,296 12,732
 Paid 25,955 81,946 1,97,901
 Balance 4,141 15,350 19,491

10 Head or superintending
 Curmums employed in
 Periodical Examination of
 of Cultivation. 14.
 Investigating Complaints
 Superintending the
 Maramut accounts 22
 and working &c

22 Shrotrium 1,584
 158 Amamny 11,376
 30 Salug Nat 3,600
 12 Kozoot Nat 2,160
 18,720

of the Nat Curmums land is Rs 30,000 odd
 per annum, and that of the Village Curmums
 97,000 and odd leaving a surplus of Rs 4,141
 and Rs 15,350 respectively, every year. Total
 Rs 19,491.

The number of Curmums at present em-
 ployed is 1,115; to these it is proposed to add
 22 for the charge of the Shrotrium villages and
 158 more for the Circar villages. These 180 at 6
 Rs a month would cause an expense of Rs 12,960,
 and the 1,273 Curmums of Circar villages would
 have a charge of Rs 3,200 each on an average,
 taking the present standard Benz at Rs
 40,73,000. The extent of land cultivated being
 upwards of 9 lac of acres and this chiefly
 Kungay or bet. Cultivation.

15. He also proposes that 2 Nat. Cur-
 mums should be added to each Talook on 10 Rs
 each, which for 15 Talooks would require Rs
 3,600 a year, and 12 Nat. Curmums for em-
 ployment at the Kozoot on 15 Rs each, making
 a total of Rs 18,720 in excess of present payments.

The excess of Receipts being 19,491 as before stated.
 16. With the belief that considerable assistance
 is required to the Curmum Establishment in Tanjore,
 the

The circumstances of there being surplus funds
to provide for it, and the certainty that the
assistance hitherto rendered by the Devasthanam
Establishment will be, as it ought to be, with-
drawn, the Board of Revenue resolve to re-
commend the plan proposed by Mr. Bishop
for the Sanction of Government.

(A true ^{Extract} copy)

To
Sir H. C. Montgomery Bt.
Chief Secy. to Government:
Secretary
Extract

Revenue Department.

No. 10

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 7th January 1854.

Read the following Extract -

from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Hess enter 28th November 1850 No. 505)

The Right Honorable the
Governor in Council quite agrees with
the Board of Revenue as to the propriety
of strengthening the Establishment of Curmums
and Nat Curmums in the District of Tanjore
and he will be prepared to submit the scheme
which

o The Board under
Mr. Bishop think the
surplus 19,491 do
not include the
6,553 proposed to
be set apart for the
Potails (para 7).
these Proceeding

which they have recommended for the favourable
Consideration of the Government of India. Before
making this reference, it will however be desirable
to ascertain beyond doubt, whether the surplus
revenue derived annually from the Curmums
lands Rupers 19,491 is or is not exclusive of the
sum of Rupers 6,553 set apart under the plan
Sanctioned by the Supreme Government under
date 11th May 1836 for the remuneration of Potails.
If the Surplus does include that sum, it would
be well to revise the arrangement in such a
manner as to adapt it to the resources actually
available, so that its adoption may not involve
any increased charge upon the State.

(A true Extract.)

12/ J. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue dated 13th January 1851.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing
Extract from Minutes of Consultation and
of the Board's Proceedings to which it
is a reply, be communicated to the Acting
Sub.

Sub. Collector in charge of Tanjore, with
reference to Mr. Bishop's letter dated 12th
October last.

2. Mr. Goodwyn will be so good
as to furnish the information required by
Government as early as possible

(A true Extract and Copies)
Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary

To

J. W. Goodwyn Esq.
Ag. Sub Collector
in charge of
Tanjore.

(True Copy)

W. H. Bayley
Collector

34333
 34/74

Name of Officer.		Caste or Race.	Residence.	FATHER'S		Date of Birth.	Age.		Exact Height.		Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Name.	Residence.	Years.	Ms.	Days.	Feet.	In.								
Dubeyya Pradham	Belata	Hyderabad	Hyderabad	26 th November 1819.	55	6	5	6	5	6	Tatto mark on the forehead & one small fox mark on the Right Cheek.	26 th November 1873.	W. G. M. G.	W. G. M. G.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Name of appointment.	Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.
Colliat in the Treasury office Saigon	Permanent	"	6.00	"	1-2-63	[Signature]
Shreff in the Douanier fund Or	Or	"	10.80	"	10-3-64	[Signature]
do. Hautecomme fund Or	Or	"	10.00	"	1-8-51	[Signature]
do. Sea Custom House Singapore	Or	"	7.00	"	1-5-59	[Signature]
do. do. do.	Or	"	10.00	"	1-8-62	[Signature]

8	9	10	11	12
Character of Officer.	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Leave granted on private affairs from 16 th November to 27 th Dec ^r 68	[Signature]	15-3-64	Transfer from Douanier fund Or	[Signature]
Sick leave granted from 18 th September 68 to 11 th February 69.	[Signature]	31-4-59	Transfer from Douanier fund Or	[Signature]
do. do. from 5 th October 71 to 6 th February 73.		31-7-62	Promotion	
Total	Year	Year days		
		30-0		

19-11-14

Revised 3/14/74

SERVICE BOOK

Name.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	FATHER'S.		Date of birth.
			Name.	Residence.	
Subbaragambalari					
Attalari					
Thyapathari					
Thundalugambalari					
Thyendalari					
28 November 1819.					

Circular
11.8.73.

25

I Am. Archdall Gillis Surgeon
of Tranquebar do hereby certify that I have
carefully examined Soobbaragambalari
late Sheriff of the Sea Customs Office Madras
that his age is by his own statement 55, &
by appearance 56 and that I find him to be
unfit for further service, from old age &
infirmity the result of natural causes, and
not in my opinion attributable to intemperance
or other irregular habits.

Tranquebar
11 August 1873

A. G. Archdall
Gillis Surgeon

Received 3/4/74

Explanation of delay

27

End of Series — 5th February 1873
Vide the accompanying copy of Sub Collector's letter
dated 31st January 1874 No. 39 —
Application for pension received 14th August 1873
Forwarded to Treasury Deputy Collector } 14th — Do —
for verification — }
Reply received — — — — — 19th September 1873
Order to Tahsildar — — — — — 20th — Do —
Answer received — — — — — 11th October 1873
Second Order to Tahsildar — — — — — 15th — Do —
Reply received — — — — — 3rd November 1873
Order to Sea Customs Superintendent 15th — Do —
Reply received — — — — — 19th — Do —
Application submitted — — — — — 19th — Do —
Signed 14. J. Stokes
Letter to Sub Collector for certain information 22 November 1873
Reply received — — — — — 6 January 1874
Again letter to Sub Collector — — — — — 20th — Do —
Reply received — — — — — 31st — Do —

W. H. Smith
Collector

No 39.

Tanjore sub Collector's office
Negapatam 31st January 1874.

29

From

The Sub Collector
Tanjore

To

The Collector
Tanjore

Sir,

In reply to your letter no 60
of the 20th Instant, I have the
honor to inform you that subbaraya
Mudali the applicant was suffering
from Paralysis on which account
the delay observed in your letter
has been permitted to take place
in the production of the application
for pension by the applicant.

I have &c.

(signed) H. J. Stokes
sub Collector

/ True Copy /

Stothroth
Collector.

Anderson 3/4/74

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No.

Fort Saint George.
Accountant General's Office,
13th February 1874.

15.2.74

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To THE *Collector of Tanjore*

Vide your Office application for *invalid gratuity* on behalf of
Subbaraya Mudali

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following
points:—

*Cause of non appearance
of the applicants' name in the
Annual Returns on record in
this office from 1854 to 1857.*

*Cause of non appearance
of the applicants' name or post
of Shroff on 10 Rs. in the
Huzur or Nat Karam establishment
in the Annual Returns from
1852 to 1859.*

*Reason of 6 months' delay
in production of Medical
Certificate*

W Cooke

Deputy Accountant General

Received 15/2/74

13/2/74

No 196

Reply

From 1844 to 1851 the applicant was paid from the Drustanum Fund and his name therefore does not appear in the annual return of those years.

In the annual return for 1852 & 1853 the Nat. Census establishment was not exhibited and in those from 1854 to 1859, the applicant's appointment is shown as Census on No 10 though he was designated locally as a shroff.

Explanation for the delay of 6 months in production of Medical certificate has been already submitted with the application for gratuity and that a copy thereof is now again submitted.

Tanjore District Office

Vallam 27 February 1874

Stuttinott

Collector

Accountant General's Office
Recd 2
Ansd 3 1874

No 125

33

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION
GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Subbaraya Mudali* (This is his full name)

2. Father's name. *Amurdalinga Mudali*

3. Sect or Caste. *Vellala*

4. Residence. *Negapatam*

5. Present or last employment. *Shroff in Negapatam Sea Custom Office*

6. Date of beginning of service *1st February 1843*

7. Date of end of service *6th February 1873*

8. Length of service including breaks Y. M. D. *30-6-1843*

of which superior *7-4-16*

inferior *20-9-18*

non-qualifying and breaks *1-10-8*

9. Class of { pension } applied for, and cause of application. * *Invalid gratuity under*

Section 63(a) of the Civil Pension Code

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *10*

11. Proposed pension. *"*

12. Proposed gratuity. *60*

13. Date from which to commence.

14. Place of payment. *Negapatam*

15. Age of applicant on this date. *54*

16. Height. *5-9*

17. Marks. *Tatto mark on the forehead and one small pore mark on the right cheek*

18. Date. *3rd February 1874*

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 10
Ansd 2 1874

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

Stuttinott

Collector

Received 2/4/74

1874

Mc

P. 1874/1876
 28/2/14

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION OR
 { GRATUITY—

Date of application.

Name of applicant. *Subbaraya Mudali*

Last appointment. *Shroff in Negapatam
 Sea Customs Office*

Class of { pension or
 { gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Lost

Order No. 1374 June 1874 P. 333

*Under Section 49 & 63 (a) of
 the Civil Service Code (2nd Edition) the
 Excellency the Governor in Council is
 pleased to grant to Subbaraya Mudali
 late Shroff in Negapatam Sea Customs
 Office an invalid gratuity of Rs. 1000 which
 to which he is entitled for his qualifying
 inferior service of 30 yrs & 7 days.*

guc
guc

With an order P. 333

*Oct 6 June
 Rs. 10--*

*So the Collector of - Mysore
 - " - Accountant - General - Mysore
 - M.C. with stated
 15/6/14*