M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 68

(2) Date of repair 6-2-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 318

Press
~~Mis.~~

Dated 19-6- 1874.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department, *9th June* 1874.

Read the following papers :—

Register of application from the Collector of North Arcot, dated 24th February 1874.

From the Deputy Accountant-General, dated 15th May 1874, No. 66.

ORDER THEREON, 9th June 1874, No. 318.

Under Sections 53, 56 (*c.*), and 57 of the Civil Pension Code (2nd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Kadambi Kristnier, late Deputy Tahsildar of Kongoondy Taluq, North Arcot District, a superannuation pension of Rupees (91-4-10) ninety-one, Annas four, and Pies ten per mensem, to which he is entitled for his qualifying superior service of twenty-five years and twenty-eight days, payable from the 22nd February 1874.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Collector of North Arcot.
" Accountant-General, with Register.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

P. P. R. opened on the 23rd June 74 and the enclosure sent to Govt.

Office Copy
Madras

Govt. of 1874 Madras.

PENSION.

To
THE COLLECTOR OF NORTH ARCOT,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated June, 9th.
Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 9th June 1874, No. 318.

(True Extract)

Acting Under Secy.

JUNE.

Granting a superannuation pension of Rs. 91-4-10 per mensem to Kadambi Kristnier, late Deputy Tahsildar of Kongoondy Talug, North Arcot District.

From
J. D. Robinson Esquire
Acting Collector of N. Arcot

Dated Palmanair 21st May 1860 / No 1010

To The Secretary to the Bd. of Rev.
Madras.

Adverting to Board Petition No. 1259 of 1860 from the Tasildar of the Chittoor Talug, appealing against his transfer to a minor Talug and endorsed to me for report, I have the honor to state that since that protest was made, the official in question has been suspended and will shortly be brought to trial under Regulation IX of 1822 on grave charges of malversation. When petitioner was first transferred to Wandiwash these charges had not assumed the definite shape they now wear, but the rumour was so prevalent that he was exerting all his local influence in suppressing evidence, that I considered it absolutely indispensable to the attainment of the ends of justice that he should be removed to a distance from the scene of my preliminary enquiries. These are now all but completed; and I purpose with my earliest leisure putting petitioner on his trial for the delinquencies with which he stands charged. The case is likely to be an exceedingly lengthy and intricate one, as it is closely connected with some very grave charges of a similar nature against Tolasinga Chetty late Head Sheristadar of this District and Shashagiri Row (now also under suspension), late Deputy Manager and now vernacular Accountant on my office establishment so recently constituted under Mr. Pelly's arrangements.

2. The results of these investigations will, in due course, be communicated to the Board meanwhile I beg with this explanation to return the Petition forwarded to me for report.

I have &c.

(Signed) J. D. Robinson
Acting Collector

(True Copy)

C. Mayaibanker
Deputy Collector

Copy

No. 3259.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 22d June 1861.

Read letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot dated 5th June 1861 No 114.

(In Cons 6th June 1861 No. 3466)

Read also letter from the same officer dated 11th June 1861 No 119.

(In Cons 13th June 1861 No 3588)

Read also letter from the Sub Collector of North Arcot dated 8th June 1861 No 70.

(In Cons: 10th June 1861 No 3527.)

1. As the Board understand them, the following are the circumstances of the case in discussion between the Acting Collector and the Sub Collector of North Arcot in the above letters.

2d. In June last year, Kistnaya Tasildar of the Chittoor Taluq was temporarily removed to Wandiwash, one of the Taluqs of the Sub Division, during Mr. Robinson's preliminary duty, therefore on receipt of Board's Proceedings dated 15th February 1861 No 856, either to have restored Kistnaya to his situation as Tasildar of Chittoor, or to have applied to the Board for permission to keep him under suspension or to transfer him to another Talug.

7. His appointment to Wandiwash was not in accordance with the Board's Circular orders marginally noted which were prominently brought to the Acting Collector's notice in Boards Proceedings dated 7th June 1860 No 2706, and the manner in which the appointment was notified to Mr Blair was condemned by the Board very lately in a similar case*

7th February 1825.
1st September 1828.

8. The Board have no desire to force Kistnaya upon Mr Robinson as Tasildar of the Talug in which his own Head Quarters are situated, and they are glad to observe that an arrangement can be made for avoiding this which will be agreeable to both the Sub Collector and Mr Robinson.

9. As neither of the Tasildars mentioned by Mr Blair appear to conduct their duties in at all a satisfactory manner, or to be deserving of their late increase of salary, the Board will be prepared to take into their consideration the propriety of removing them, on receiving a distinct application from Mr Robinson to this effect, with the Sub Collector's reasons as well as his own for making the proposal.

(A True Extract)

(Sd) R. A. Dalyell
Sub Secretary

(True Copy)
Camayimbantu
Deputy Collector

---

* See Board's Proceedings, 3rd July 1860 No 3046.

Revenue Department

No 4038.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 26th July 1861.

Read letter from the Collector of North Arcot dated 17th July 1861 No 161

(In Cons 20th July 1861 No 4500)

1. The Board sanction the proposed degradation of Trimal Rau from the Tasildary of Polur to the Sub Magistracy of Kalahastri and the appointment of Kristnaia late Tasildar of Chittoor to the former office.

2. Trimal Rau appears to be any thing but a competent or deserving officer and the Board are at a loss to understand how the Collector should have allowed him to reap the benefit of the late increase of salary to Tahsildars, an advantage to which his former services certainly did not entitle him. The Collector will be good enough to

furnish the Board with a report upon Timmala Row's conduct and upon his performance of his duties six months hence, and he will inform him that should a marked improvement fail to appear it will be the duty of the Board to direct his removal from the service without pension.

3. Should his incapacity proceed from physical causes it will be the Collector's duty to urge upon him the advisability of an early application for pension.

Pension 30/9/74

(A true Extract)

(Signed) R. A. Dalyell

Sub Secretary

(True Copy)

Marjoribanks.

Deputy Collector

Ltr 101

Inde 214

15

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

24-4-74

27/4/74

~~No.~~

Fort Saint George.
Accountant General's Office,
22d April 1874.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of North Arcot

VIDE your Office application for Pension. on behalf of H. Kruhurst

The undersigned has the honor to ~~request explanation on the following points~~ enquire why, the :— Applicant is shewn in the register of application to have been in service till 21 February last & retired from 22d while from the Pay Bill for February No 9 he appears to have been paid up to 19th idem only.

J. Cooke

Dy Accountt Genl

No. 21.

Collector's Circuit Office
Palamanair 2nd May 1874.

From
W. S. Whiteside Esquire
Collector of North Arcot

To
R. W. Lodwick Esquire
Accountant General
Fort Saint George

Sir,

With reference to your letter on the reverse dated 22nd Ultimo, I have the honor to state that Bashika Charri who was appointed in lieu of K. Kristnyier was strictly ordered by me to assume charge of the Kangundi Sub Magistrate's Office without fail on the forenoon of the 20th February last, on which date the latter had to quit the service of Government. Bashika Charri however did not obey those instructions and did not assume charge of the Office till late on the

[illegible] of the [illegible]
no pay could be disbursed to Kristnayer on account of Govern-ment for the extra time he was thus improperly employed, the Treasury Deputy Collector was ordered by me to deduct the amount of 2 days' pay from Badluka clerk's salary for the 20th & 21st and disburse the same to Kristnayer. Hence in the Service Register he is shewn to have been in service till the 21st February last.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant
[illegible]
Collector

Accountant General's Office
Recd 8
Ansd 5 1874

From
The Collector
of South Arcot
To
The Accountant General
Dated 5th May 1874.
Despd 5th do
No 21.
Explains certain discrepancies in the Service Register of T. V. Srinivasen.

Pension 20/3/86
2387

Explanation of any suspension or degra-
:dation.

Remark 2. The applicant was under suspension from 11th May 1860 to 4th Augt/61 on a charge of Malversation brought against him under Regulation IX of 1822, but the Board of Revenue on appeal reversed the Sentence and quashed the Proceedings of the Collector in the case as will be observed from the accompanying Copies of the Board's Proceedings dated 29th May 1860 No 2577, and 15th February 1861 No 856, and Endorsement No 1285 dated 17th May 1861 respectively.

The applicant was again under suspension from 22d October 1870 to 28th March 1871 on a Charge of receiving bribe brought against him under section 161 of the Penal Code, but the Sentence of the Joint Magistrate imposing a fine of Rs 500 was reversed on appeal by the Session Court, vide accompanying

Copies of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 9th November 1870 No. 656, 5th December 1870 No 6950 and 17th March 1871 No. 1179. The full pay of the Applicant withheld in the Taluq Pay Abstracts from October 1870 to 28th March 1871 was drawn in the Supplemental Pay Bill dated 19th May 1871 and paid to him under Rule 2 of the Resolution of the Government of India embodied in the Standing Orders of the Board of Revenue No 18 of 1866 394-2 as he was fully acquitted by the Court of Sessions on appeal as above stated.

The Applicant was degraded permanently from the Post of Tahsildar of the Wandiwash Taluq on Rs 200 & that of Dy Tahsildar and Sub Magistrate of Tirvalam on Rs 100 for incompetency, neglect of

duty and disobedience of orders under the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue No 451 dated 25th March 1873. Copy of which is in the Accountant General's Office.

C. S. Whiteside
Collector

North Arcot
Collector's Office
Ranipet 7th March/74

CS

Pension 309/74

G. No. 318

No. 2,577.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th May 1860.

Read letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot, dated 21st May 1860, No. 107 reporting on Petition No. 1,259 of 1860, from Kistniah, Tasildar of Chittoor.

(In Con., 25 May 1860, No. 2,423)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No 1,259 of 1860:

"The Board learn from the Acting Collector that "Petitioner is now under suspension, and about to be "brought to trial under Regulation IX of 1822 on serious "charges of Malversation.

"They therefore reserve their decision on his case until "they shall have before them the results of this trial"

(A true Extract)

(Signed) W. Hudleston.

Sub Secretary

(True Copy)

Deputy Collector

Compd
[illegible]

Pension 309/74

No. 856.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15 February 1861;

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot, dated 2d January 1861, No. 2, submitting with his remarks the records of the case tried against Kistnen, late Tasildar of Chittur, Appellant in Petitions No. 2,838 of 1860 and No. 211 of 1861:—

1. Agreeably to the instructions conveyed in the Board's Endorsement on Petition No. 2,838 of 1860, presented by Kistnen, late Tasildar of Chittoor Talug, I have the honor to forward herewith the Vernacular record (with list) of the case and translation of the Petitioner's defence.

2. The record is so voluminous that to have translated and abstracted it in its integrity, would have drawn more heavily on my own time, and that of my Establishment than could have been done without seriously interfering with the regular business of the office. I have, therefore, confined

myself to a mere abstract of the evidence which bears on the point of the payment to, and receipt by Defendant of the contributions levied in the villages entered in lists A, B & C appended to my decision, and trust that the Board will find in this all that they require, to enable them to arrive at a just estimate of the merits of the case.

3. On the appeal petition, I have no further remarks to offer. Such arguments and objections as deserve notice, have already been fully commented on in my decision.

4. For the rest this Petition abounds in insolent falsehoods and insinuations which carry on their face their own refutation.

Enclosure No.1.

Abstract - Defence of Kistnin, late Tasildar of Chettoor Taluq.

Enclosure No.2.

Abstract - Abstract evidence in support of Statement A.

Enclosure No.3.

Abstract - Abstract evidence in support of Statement B.

Enclosure No.4.

Abstract - Abstract of evidence entered in Statement C.

Enclosure No.5. _ Memorandum

Enclosures No.6 and 7. _ Petitions.

1. The Board have given their best consideration to the papers and remarks submitted by the Acting Collector in this case, but they are unable to confirm his decision and sentence.

2. The offence imputed to the Tasildar is that of having illegally caused to be levied and received a general contribution from the Ryots of his Taluq for his private advantage, but it was obviously necessary that specific instances should be charged and proved against the Tasildar to justify his conviction.

3. As it is however, the Board find that the charge is too vague and indefinite for it to be expected that anyone should plead to it.

It embraces a period of six months; the sums said to have been received are not stated; the villages from which the money is alleged to have been collected are not specified; and several totally distinct pretexts are assigned as the grounds on which the levy was made.

4. The evidence by which the charge is attempted to be proved is for the most part tainted, and, therefore, inadmissible as proof by itself. The witnesses relied on are all public servants, and themselves accessories to the offence ——— for which the accused was tried, while out of 243 depositions only 11 are from the Ryots who are supposed to have contributed, and only 5 of them depose that the demand was nominally made for the Tasildar, the others averring that it was required for Tolasinga Chetty, the late Sheristadar.

5. The Board do not think that the plea put forward by the Acting Collector on behalf of the public Village servants that they acted under coercion and compulsion can be admitted. The coercion could not have been either in extent or character such as to justify the plea.

6. Again in many instances there is only the evidence of one such witness; in others, although the evidence of two witnesses is recorded, that of one of them is mere hearsay; in others again the witnesses do not agree with each other or with what they had before affirmed.

7. The Acting Collector adduces this discrepancy as an additional test and mark of veracity, as proving that the witnesses are not acting in concert. The Board, however, observe that the discrepancy exists as regards the main and chief points of the case which immediately surround the act charged, such

as the amount of money paid, the place where and the person to whom it was paid. When it is remembered that the witnesses are the chief men of the villages, and that the alleged transactions did not occur at a very distant period, the Board do not consider that such discrepancies can exist without materially affecting the credibility of the evidence.

8. The detention of some of the recusant witnesses under surveillance and in confinement goes against the case, and casts an additional doubt upon the evidence to the benefit of which the accused is entitled. It must be assumed from the character of natives that the manner in which some of the early witnesses in the case were treated was likely to affect those who came after, and to lead them to swerve from the truth in the hope of averting similar harsh treatment.

9. The Tasildar states that the case was got up against him by the present Sheristadar, and that a person recommended by, and a country-man of the Sheristadar has succeeded him (Defendant) in office.

10. The kinds of imputations are easily and usually made in such cases as the present, but it would have been more satisfactory had the Board been informed who the person is who has succeeded Appellant as Tasildar.

11. Looking at the statement of the collections and of the villages in which they were made, it is clear that no fixed system could have been observed, and this might also be implied from some of the evidence.

12. This point appears to the Board to tell against the truth of the charge, and to make it appear improbable.

13. The Board on careful consideration of the whole case are unable to confirm the conviction, the evidence throughout — even in its very discrepancies — appearing to them to bear

the stamp of having been got up for the occasion.

14. But supposing the charge to be true, the Board think that it would be most dangerous to sanction the conviction of the accused on such evidence as has been adduced, and after the manner in which he has been tried. Were such proceedings permitted to hold good, no man would be safe.

15. The Board, therefore, while giving Mr. Robinson credit for the best motives, and the most zealous anxiety to advance the public service, resolve to overrule the sentence and to quash the proceedings.

(A True Copy and Extract)

(Signed) W. Hudleston

Sub Secretary

(True Copy)

Deputy Collector

Compd
W.

Received 30/7/61

No. 1285 of 1861.

The Collector will restore the Petitioner to his situation, his proceedings in the case having been reversed in Board's Proceedings, dated February 15th 1861

By order

Revenue Board Office Chepak 17th May /61.

(Signed) R. A. Dalyell

Sub Secretary

(True Copy)

(Signed) R. A. Dalyell

Sub Secretary

(True Copy)

Deputy Collector

Compd
W.

Pension 309/74

No. 6,561

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th November 1870.

Read the following letter from W. H. Comyn, Esquire, Ag Sub Collector, to the Acting Collector of North Arcot, dated Wandwash, 21st October 1870, No. 442:

I have the honor to report that Kishnier, the Tasildar of Wandwash Taluq, has, on the complaint of one Perumal Naicken of Kandiyanellur preferred before me as Joint Magistrate, been placed on his trial on a charge of receiving a bribe, under Section 161 of the Penal Code.

2 The evidence taken on behalf of the prosecution shows *prima facie* the commission of an offence punishable under that section, by the defendant, and a formal charge against him has been framed accordingly. His statement has been recorded, and he has cited certain witnesses not to disprove the charge which he is unable to do, but rather to throw doubt on the statement of the prosecutor.

3 Summonses have been issued for their -

appearance, but it will be impossible to close the case for several days.

4. Meantime the Tasildar will not be in a position to attend to his ordinary duties, and the offence, which is apparently established by the evidence being of a serious nature, and one which, if fully proven, would necessitate his removal from the service, I have found it necessary, with reference to Board's Standing Order, No 391, paragraph 2, (Dalyells Edition), and No 370, paragraph 3, to relieve him of his duties by temporary suspension from office, and have directed the Taluq Sheristadar to take charge of the taluq until the present trial is concluded.

5. I have the honor, therefore, to report the facts for the information of the Board of Revenue, with reference to Standing Order No. 391 above quoted and to state that I will submit a full report on the case as soon as the proceedings terminate

---

No 469

Submitted to the Board of Revenue

Chittoor }
27th October 1870 }

(Signed) W. S. Whiteside
Acting Collector

The Board await the promised report.

(A true copy and Extract)
(Signed) J. Grose
Acting Secretary.

(True Copy)
J A Majoribanks
Deputy Collector

comp.d
C.M.

Revenue 80/74.

No 6,950

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th December 1870.

Read the following letter from W. S. Whiteside, Esquire, Acting Collector of North Arcot, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 14th November 1870, No 494.

I have the honor, with reference to the Acting Sub Collector's letter, dated 21st Ultimo, No 442, copy of which was submitted by me to the Board on the 27 Idem, to report that Kistnaier, Tasildar of the Wandiwash Taluq, was tried and convicted of an offence, punishable under Section 161 of the Penal Code in Calendar Case, No. 76 of 1870, on the file of the Joint Magistrate and was sentenced to pay a fine of five hundred (500) Rupees or in default, to be kept to simple imprisonment for two months. The fine was paid into the Joint Magistrate's Court.

2 A similar charge against him was enquired into by the Acting Joint Magistrate in Calendar No 78 but the accused was discharged under

Section 250 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

3 Copies of both the Calendars are enclosed for the information of the Board of Revenue.

4. Kistnier has taken copies of the depositions and Calendar in the Case in which he has been convicted, in view to presenting an appeal to the Session Court in the regular manner

5 I defer making any remarks with regard to Mr Comyn's recommendation for the filling up of the post of Tasildar of the Wandiwash Taluq, pending the result of that appeal, which I shall communicate to the Board in due course.

Enclosures Nos 1 and 2. Copies of Calendars

The Board await the result of the appeal.

(A true Copy and Extract)

(Signed) J. Grose

Acting Secretary

(True Copy)

A. Marjoribanks

Deputy Collector

comp'd Ch.

Received 20/9/74

No. 1179.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th March 1871.

Read the following letter from W. S. Whiteside, Esq., Acting Collector of North Arcot, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 27th January 1871, No. 51.

I have the honor, with reference to the Proceedings of the Board, dated 5th December 1870, No. 6950, to submit copy of the Proceedings of the Court of Sessions, dated 10th Instant, recorded on the appeal preferred by Kistnier, Tasildar of Wandiwash, against the sentence passed on him by the Acting Joint Magistrate Mr. Comyn in Calendar case No 76 of 1870.

2. The Board will observe, that the Joint Magistrate's sentence has been set aside on the grounds that, giving the fullest credence to the witnesses for the prosecution, the evidence was insufficient to warrant the conviction of the Tasildar.

3. While the trial was pending against him, Kistnier tendered his resignation of the public service,

but it was then of course not accepted. After the Session Court had passed the above quoted Proceedings, he was directed to appear for examination before the Civil Surgeon, in view to the necessary certificate being obtained to support his application for pension. That officer has however now declined to certify the unfitness of Kistnier for further active service, and the question now arises how his services are to be made use of.

4. In the Wandiwash Taluq, where he has been employed for upwards of five years, Kistnier, I am sorry to say, possesses the very worst reputation. Complaints of corruption of all kinds are continually being sent in against him; and, in the face of the petitions that now reach me by nearly every day's post, I do not think it by any means advisable to restore him to office in that Taluq, for I know well that it would be only a few days before he would be again placed on his trial on some other criminal charge. Wandiwash is the only 3d Class Taluq in this District, so that I am not able to transfer him to another Taluq without loss of pay.

5. Before the charge on which he has just been tried was put forward against him, Kistnier expressed a strong desire to be transferred to some other Tamil District, and it happens that Ramiah, at present the 3d Class Tasildar of the Nanguneri Taluq in Tinnevelly, who for ten years worked in this district as Police Inspector and Tasildar is extremely anxious to return to North Arcot.

6. I request that the Board will be pleased in view of the circumstances I have now set forth, to sanction the exchange of these two Tasildars; and they will possibly be the more disposed to make the necessary order when I inform them that Kistnier is one of those officers to whom I specially alluded in paragraph 10 of my letter No 275. dated 5 July 1870. He has relations all over this district, of whom no less than eight are now holding office in the Revenue Department, while he has also other relatives in the Judicial Department

including one in the Civil Court.

7. The present opportunity appears to me to be a very good one for doing something towards breaking up this family clique, and I trust that the Board will approve of the recommendation I have now made.

8. In a recent case in the Bellary District, the Board of Revenue, if I am not misinformed, recommended the Government to dispense with the production of the usual Medical Certificate in the case of a Tasildar whose further services it was deemed inadvisable to retain; and if the Board are not disposed to authorize Kistnier's transfer to the Tinnevelly District, I beg strongly to recommend that in like manner, his inability to produce the required Medical Certificate may be overlooked, and that he be admitted to a pension at once.

Inclosure No. Proceedings of the Sessions Court of Chittoor, dated

dated 10th January 1871.

The Joint Magistrate's conviction has been most properly reversed.

2. It is quite out of the question that the Board should inflict a Tasildar of "the very worst reputation" on the district of Tinnevelly.

3. It is equally out of the question that such a man should be allowed to take a pension without being certified to be physically unfit for work. The Board cannot identify the case to which the Collector refers in paragraph 8.

4. Unless the Collector has proof to bring forward of the corruption which he believes, Kistnier must be sent back to his post at Wandewash.

(A true Copy and Extract)

(Signed) J. Grose
Acting Secretary

(True Copy)

Deputy Collector

Compd

Pension 30/9/74

Dlo 71 Inbo/157

49

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No.

21.3.74

25/3/74

Fort Saint George.
Accountant General's Office,
19 March 1874.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of North Arcot

VIDE your Office application for Pension. on behalf of H. Kristner–

The undersigned has the honor to ~~request explanation on the following points~~ :– return the applicant's "Service Book" for translation into English of the Tamil entries & for insertion of the reason of termination in column 11 of the 7th page; and to Enquire ~~the~~ the

1. Cause of applicant's degradation on the 3rd May/60 from the permanent Thasil-darship of Chittoor on 108 to that of Acting Thasildarship of Wandewash on 81 Rs.;
2. Cause of applicant having been restored to his Appt: on the 5 August/61. instead of immediately on receipt of Board's Proceedings No. 1285 of 17 May/61;
3. Cause of inefficiency and unfitness for further active service, as the Certificate entered opposite to "Any other remarks" on the 3rd page of the application is not in accordance with Rule 2 of Section 53. of the Civil Pension Code.

*2 Edition–

Deputy Accountant General

Received 20/4/74

No. 14 –

North Arcot
Collector's Circuit Office
Camp Polanamari 14th April
1874.

From
W. S. Whiteside Esqre
Collector of North Arcot

To
R. W. Lodwick Esqre
Accountant General
Fort St. George

Sir,

With Reference to your Office letter dated 19th Ultimo on the Reverse, I have the honor to Retransmit herewith the Service Book of N. Kistnier with English Translation of the Tamil Entries after inserting the Reason of termination in Column 11 of the 7th page.

2. The applicant was degraded from 3rd to 10th May 1860 from the post of Tahsildar of the Chittoor Taluk on Rupees 108 to that of Ag. Tahsildar of Wandiwash on 81 Rupees to keep him aloof from the scene of the Preliminary Enquiry into a charge of corruption which

had been brought against him. Vide the accompanying Copy of letter to the Board of Revenue dated 21st May 1860, No. 107.

3 On Receipt of the Boards Proceedings dated 17th May 1861, No. 1285 Mr. Robinson did not Restore M. Kistnier to the Chittoor Taluk which he had formerly held, but nominated him Tahsildar of Wandewash, a Taluk in the Sub Division. To this nomination the then Sub Collector Mr Blair took objection as it was made without his consent. The matter was then submitted to the Board and it was finally decided that Kistnier should be appointed as Tahsildar of Polur Taluk. Hence there was delay in Restoring the applicant between the Receipt of the Board's Proceedings dated 17 May 1861 and the date of his appointment 5th August 1861. Vide the accompanying Copies of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 22d June and 26 July 1861, Nos 3259 & 4038 Respectively.

4 The words "owing to infirmity from age", it is Requested, may be inserted after the words "Active Service" under the head "Any other Remarks", on the 3d page of the application.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

Collector

North Arcot
Collector's Office
Camp Palamanair
14 April 1874

To
R. W. Lodwick Esqre
Accountant General
Fort Saint George

Accountant General's Office
Recd 20 4 1874
Ansd

From:
The Collector
of North Arcot

To
The Accountant General
Fort Saint George

Dated 14 – 4 – 1874
Desp 17 – 4

No 14.

Retransmits the
Service Book of K.
Kistnier with English
translation of the Tamil
entries

Pension 309/74

1242

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	FATHER'S Name.	FATHER'S Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
கி. கிருஷ்ணையர் K. Kristnier	[illegible] Bramin [illegible] Sect	[illegible] [illegible] Street	[illegible] K. [illegible] Iyer	[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible] 11 [illegible] 1819 [illegible] 20th February 1819	54	8	[illegible]	5	5	[illegible] 1873 [illegible] 31.12.73 Several moles on the breast, mark of a healed boil above the right knee. Color Brown.	1873 [illegible] 31 31st October 1873		Collector

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

2

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.
[illegible] Police Gumastha of Sholinghur	[illegible] Permanent	·	9 - 8	-	1839 [illegible] 9th Jany
[illegible] - - - - Magistrate's Gumastah	[illegible] D°	·	12 - 8	·	1847 [illegible] 28 1st Nov
[illegible] D° Head Gumastah	[illegible] Permanent	·	15 12	·	1849 [illegible] 15 19th Feb
[illegible] - - - Police Ameen of Vellore Town Ag	[illegible] D°	·	50 ·	·	1851 [illegible] 26 30th Nov
[illegible] - - - H. Gumastah of Magistrate	[illegible] Permanent	·	15 - 12	-	1851 [illegible] 15 July
[illegible] - - - H. Jevabnevis of Magistrate	[illegible] Permanent	·	31 · 8	·	[illegible] 8 12th Nov
[illegible] - - - Tahsildar of Chendraghery	[illegible] Permanent	·	81 ·	·	1856 [illegible] 15 19th June
[illegible] - - - D° of Chittoor	[illegible] Permanent	·	108 ·	·	1857 [illegible] 27
[illegible] - - - D° of Wandiwash Acting	[illegible]	·	81 ·	·	1860 [illegible] 3 3rd May 1860
Under Suspension					11th May 1860

3

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Copy of the remark taken from the Extract of the Register of undermentioned Servants in the District of North Arcot Kistnaya has long been under my special observation as Magistrate Jevabnevies and both during that period and during his tenure of the office of Tasildar he has performed his duties to my highest satisfaction (Signed) E. Whittingham Collector (True copy) (Signed) A. Sullivan Sub Collector in Charge - Compd M. Collegayal	[illegible] W. Whittingham Collector	1847 [illegible] 28 30th Octr /47 1849 [illegible] 18 18th Febry /49 1851 [illegible] 29 29th Nov /51 [illegible] 15 15th July /51 [illegible] 8 1st Novr /51 1856 [illegible] 18 18th June /56 1857 [illegible] 27 30th Septr /57 1860 [illegible] 2nd May /60 1860 [illegible] 10th May 1860 4th Augt 1861.	[illegible] Promotion [illegible] Promotion [illegible] D° [illegible] D° [illegible] Promotion D° [illegible] D° [illegible] Transfers [illegible] [illegible] 1860 [illegible] 11 [illegible]	W. Whittingham Collector

[illegible] 4038 [illegible]

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
[illegible] Tahsildar of Co[illegible]	[illegible] Permanent		150		1861 [illegible] 5th Augt/61	
[illegible] Tahsildar of Trav[illegible]	[illegible]		200		1864 [illegible] 22 31st March 1864	
[illegible] Do. of [illegible]	[illegible] Permanent		150		[illegible] 15 15th Dec 1864	
[illegible] Do. of Vind[illegible]	[illegible] Permanent		200		1865 [illegible] 29 29th July/65	

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
		1864 [illegible] 22 30th March 1864	[illegible]	
		[illegible] 15th Dec/64	[illegible]	
		1865 [illegible] 29 29th July/65	Transfer	Collector
		1873 [illegible] 5th April 1873	Degradation [illegible]	

[illegible]

1873 [illegible]

431 [illegible]

[illegible]

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
[illegible] 3. [illegible] Sub Magistrate [illegible] of Tirtany	[illegible] Permanent	.	100	-	1873 [illegible] 9th April /73	
[illegible] 3. [illegible] - - - Do of Kangoon [illegible]	[illegible] Permanent	.	100	-	[illegible] 23 23 May /73	

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination e. g., *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
	Collector	1873 [illegible] 23 22 May /73	Transfer	
		21st Feby /74	Retd	Collector

Privilege leave availed by the applicant

[illegible]

From 2nd Novr /63 to 24th [illegible]

1863 [illegible]

	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
1866 [illegible] 15 [illegible] 28 [illegible] From 15th [illegible] to 28th Novr /66	"	"	14
1869 [illegible] 27 [illegible] From 7th to 27th June /69	.	.	21
1870 [illegible] 14 [illegible] From 6th to 14th July /70	.	.	9
1873 [illegible] 25 [illegible] 24 [illegible] From 25th April to 24th June /73	.	2	.
	.	4	7

R. Pl. 518

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. K. Kristnier

Caste or Race. Bramin

Residence. [illegible] Arcot Taluq

FATHER'S. Name. K. Katchpasura Iyer

FATHER'S. Residence. [illegible] Arcot Taluq

Date of birth. 20th February 1819

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~GRATUITY~~

1. Name of Applicant. Kadambi Kristnier
2. Father's name. Kadambi Katchpasura Iyer
3. Sect or Caste. Bramin, Siva Sect
4. Residence. Arcot Taluq
5. Present or last employment. Dy Tahsildar of Kangoondy
6. Date of beginning of service 19th January 1839
7. Date of end of service 21st February 1874

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service including breaks … … …	35	1	2
of which superior … … … …	25	..	26
inferior … … … …	8	9	12
non-qualifying and breaks … … …	1	2	24

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application.* Section 53 & 56 C.
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs. A. P. 182 - 9 - 9
11. Proposed pension. 91 - 4 - 10
12. Proposed gratuity. " " "
13. Date from which to commence. 22d February 1874
14. Place of payment. Arcot Taluq
15. Age of applicant on this date. 55 - .. - 5.
16. Height. Ft. In. 5 - 5
17. Marks. Several moles on the breast; mark of a healed boil above the right knee. colour-Brown
18. Date. 24 February 1874

Collector

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 10 3 1874
Ansd

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Kadambi Kristnier

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	Pay. A.	Pay. P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
North Arcot	Police Gumastah of Sholinghur	9	8	"	"	19th January 1839	30th October 1847	"	"	"	8	9	12		Verified from the Huzzur Records	This period doesnot count for pension on the Superior Scale
	Magistrates Gumastah	12	8	"	"	1st Novr. 1847	18th February 1849	1	3	18						
	Do. Hd. Gumastah	15	12	"	"	19th February 1849	29th May 1851	2	3	9						
	Ag Police Ameen of Vellore Town	50	"	"	"	30th May 1851	15th July 1851	"	1	16						
	Hd. Gumastah	15	12	"	"	16th July 1851	11th November 1851	"	3	26						
	Magistrate Jevobunnis	31	8	"	"	12th November 1851	18th June 1856	4	7	7						
	Tahsildar of Chendragiri	81	"	"	"	19th June 1856	30th Septr. 1857	1	3	12						
	Do. of Chittoor	108	"	"	"	1st October 1857	2d May 1860	2	7	2						Verified from the records of this office. Applicant's qualifying service after deducting the period of service in inferior grades and period of suspension is years 25 " months and 28 days.
	Ag Do. of Wandawash	81	"	"	"	3d May 1860	10 do 1860	"	"	8						
	Under suspension					11th May 1860	4th August 1861	"	"	"	1	2	24			
	Tahsildar of Poloor Taluk	150	"	"	"	5th August 1861	30th March 1864	2	7	26						
	Ag Do. of Wandawash	200	"	"	"	31st March 1864	14th June 1864	"	2	15						
	Tahsildar of Poloor Taluk	150	"	"	"	15th June 1864	29th July 1865	1	1	15						
	Do. of Wandawash	200	"	"	"	30th July 1865	8th April 1873	7	8	9						
	Dy Tahsildar of Tritany	100	"	"	"	9th April 1873	22d July 1873	"	3	14						
	Do. of Hunsundy	100	"	"	"	23d July 1873	21st February 1874	"	6	29						
	Total period of {superior / inferior} service...						....	25	"	26	10	"	6			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Was on divers occasions during his service punished for misconduct & neglect of duty. Was an extremely indifferent public Servant. Too apathetic & disobedient to orders.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Vide accompanying explanation

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. None

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it, None

5. Any other remarks. The applicant was found to be very inefficient and unfit for further active service ~~owing to infirmity from age~~. The service book of the applicant is herewith sent.

North Arcot Collector's Office
Ranipet 7 March 74

No. 66

Compd
Clk

Deputy Collector

Collector
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Certified that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ superior} grades has been duly proved for 25 years " months and 28 days; and that a Superannuation {pension ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 91-4-10 per mensem, equal to half pay is admissible under Section 57 of the Civil Pension Code. X The calculations have been duly verified. X Subject to such reduction as the Government may order on account of the man's indifferent character Vide remark 1 above.

Fort Saint George,
Accountt. Genl's Office,
15th May 1874.

To The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

Offg Accountant-General.

6 Pension 1874 Depart
No. 319

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION OR / GRATUITY—

Date of application.

Name of applicant. K. Kristner.

Last appointment. Dy. Tahsildar of Kangoondy

Class of {pension or / gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

grant the pension proposed

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Order thereon 9th June 1874 No. 318

Under sections 53, 56 (c) & 57 of the Civil Pension Code (2nd Edition) His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Kadambi Kristner late Dy. Tahsildar of Kongoondy Taluq, North Arcot District a Superannuation Pension of Rs. 91-4-10 per mensem to which he is entitled for his qualifying superior service of 25 yrs. & 28 days payable from the 22nd February 1874.

Note.
As this man's reduction to a Dy. Tahsildarship in 73 has already reduced his pension by nearly ½ the amount no further reduction has been made.
A summary of the case will be found in Pro. 878 of 73 d. 9th May 73

21 Coty.

With an order

Coty. 1st June 1874
Recd. 8th —
C 4th June /74 R 5th

To the Collector of North Arcot
— do — Accountant General with Rs. 85 } desp'd 25/6/74
T.M.G.

Govt. of {1874} Madras.

Pension

To the Collector of
North Arcot.

G.O. 9th June, 1874, No. 318

Nos.

Granting a superannuation pension of Rupees 91-4-10 per mensem to Kadambi Kristaier late Dy: Tahsildar of Kongoondy taluq North Arcot District