

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer *g*

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<i>257</i>	Press ☒ Mis.
Dated	<i>6-5-1874.</i>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,
6th May 1874.*

Pension.

Read again Proceedings of Government in the Pension Department, dated 24th April 1872, No. 266.

Read also letter from the District Engineer, Kistna, dated 1st March 1874, No. 123.

ORDER THEREON, 6th May 1874, No. 257.

The Government observe that H. Janakiramiah's claim to pension has already been brought before them on three separate occasions, and has each time been rejected.

2. They see now no reason to question the correctness of the decisions formerly arrived at, and desire that their present Order be accepted as final.

(True Extract.)

L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under Secy. to Govt.

To the District Engineer, Kistna.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

No 131

Office of the District
Engineer Kistna District
Masulipatam, 14th March 1874.

From

Major J. O. Hasted. M.E.
District Engineer Kistna

To Col. G. N. Mather. M.E.
Secretary to Government
Public Works Department

Sir,

I have the honor to inform
you that the date of the order
quoted in the margin of para 3
of my letter no 123 dated 1st instant,
should be "Proceedings of Government
no 55 dated 14th February 1861 Public
Department."

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedt servant

J. O. Hasted Major M.E.
District Engineer Kistna.

1361 Transferred
to Pension Dept
12/3/74

Cost of 1874 Rs. 300000.

PENSION.

To
THE DISTRICT ENGINEER,
Kistna.

Dated May, 6th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 6th May 1874, No. 257.

MAY.

Pension.—Declining to alter the former orders
of Government under which H. Janakiramiah's
claims to pension for service as Accountant in 1st
Range Office, Kistna, have been rejected.

N-123

Krishna District Engineer's
Office Camp Comarabanda
1st March 1874

3
Major J. O. Waddell R.R.
District Engineer, Krishna

Colonel G. W. Walker R.R.
Secretary to Government D.P.W.

In G.O. No. 10266 dated 21st April 1872
Government declined to grant a pension to H. Janakheramiah
on the ground that services performed on an Establishment
not paid for by the Govt of Madras (petitioner
not having been transferred from a permanent
Establishment) did not qualify him for pension.
Janakheramiah has since written petitions
to me and to the Collector requesting that the
subject may once more be laid before Government as
there appears to have been some misconception
as to the ground on which he has so persistently
advanced

Transferred to the

General Department

and continuation of the

District Engineer's

letter quoted within.

When the letter

dear to Govt

for Mr. George J. M. D. M.

21 March 1874

100-1000 1874 1874

10/31 90-1000

from the District Engineer's

letter

to the Secretary

to Govt of M. P. W.

dated 11th March 1874

Recd 20 — 1874

inform the correct

note of a certificate

quoted in his letter 1872

dated 1st March 1874

regarding the claim of

H. Janakheramiah & Co.

Decomment, 1st March 1874

for present

Transferred.

advanced his claims, and after communication with the Collector, I consider that it is only right the subject should again be brought to the notice of Government.

3. The ground on which Janakaramiah now asks for pension, is that pension was granted to one Vana Ramaswamy, late Sheriff of the General Treasury at Cussulipatnam, whose whole service was in Estates with the exception of the last 14 months. The Collector in writing to me on the subject, and forwarding Copy of Proceedings of Government referred to above, says "It is clear from the above that services rendered in the C. Office and Annamangal Estates Department have been rewarded by a pension, and perhaps, if it is quoted, Janakaramiah's services in 1844-55, may be allowed to count as pension." It appears to me that Janakaramiah has some right to quote the case of V. Ramaswamy, as a precedent.

4. Janakaramiah was first recommended for pension by late Col. G. V. Muncom, the then Supy Engr in his letter No 39 dated 13th September 1867. The sum of claims disallowed a period of 11 years, 2 months & 29 days, out of total service of 22 years, 1 month & 29 days, as having been employed

P.O. No 1404 dated 1st June 1868.

P.O. No 1148 dated 10th May 1869.

P.O. No 2142 dated 7th Sept 1870.

P.O. No 266 dated 21st April 1871.

employed under Const of Wardo, and a gratuity of Rs 220, was therefore, sanctioned and paid to him. Janakaramiah then appealed to the Madras Government and the Government declined to reconsider the question. He, thereupon, appealed to the Government of India, who referred him to the Secretary of State for India; the Secretary of State declined to interfere, and so the matter rested for a time. The Superintending Engineer, 2nd Division, in his letter to Government No 1143 dated 24th January 1872, again brought the matter forward, quoting as precedents the cases of V. Ramaswamy and one Pillalamaru Palliah, and the Government in passing order thereupon decided that Pillalamaru Palliah's case was no precedent and declined to alter their decision.

5. This brings the matter down to the present time. I will observe that in the last quoted P.O. the case of V. Ramaswamy was not referred to, it is to this case I now venture to call attention and I may further mention that the Collector writes to me as follows "Pillalamaru Palliah is not a case in point and should not have been

been quoted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant.

I am, Sir, Sir

Respect Engineer. Madras

12th March 1873

Transferred to the
Pension Department.
Minister of the
Depy. Secy.
Chid.

12th March 1873 No 266

Transferred to
C. No. 257 dated
6 May 1873

Transferred to
C. No. 257 dated
6 May 1873

Transferred to
C. No. 257 dated
6 May 1873

Transferred to
C. No. 257 dated
6 May 1873

Transferred to
C. No. 257 dated
6 May 1873

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter again

Progs. of Government Pension Dept. dated 26th April
1872 No 266
Read also the following
letter from the Dist. Engineer dated 1st March 1874 No 123.

Order thereon 6 May 1874 No 257

The Government observe
that H. Panakissamiah's
claim to pension has already
been brought before them
on three separate occasions,
and each time been rejected.

2. They see now no reason
to question the correctness
of the decisions formerly
arrived at, and desire that
their present order be ac-
cepted as final.

I do not quite
understand why
Hassam, to whom the
former refers, got a pension
but as the latter is
distinctly stated to have
been paid from State
money, until about 1860,
the late Mr. Brownell
his service should count
as no ground for giving him a

The District Engineer
Madras. 10th March 1874
No 123.

Yours
J. S. S.

