M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 40

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 17 ~~Press~~ Mis.

Dated 16-1-1874.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Belavendrum Adisium Pillai.	Vellalah.	Salem	Belavendrum Pillai	Carical.	1821	53	-	-	5	3½	One scar on the left eye-brow- One small mole on the right side of the back.	27th November 1873.	C Belavendrapillay	District Judge

The entries in these columns are to be reattested every five years; each attestation should be carefully ruled off the date may be clearly shown.

1 5

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
4th English Writer	Acting	"	"	10 " "	16th September 1843.				31st March 1847	Confirmed.	
Do	Permanent	"	20	"	1st April 1847				5th April 1850	Promotion	
3rd Do	Acting	4th Writer	"	24-8 "	6th April 1850				31st August 1850	Confirmed.	
Do	Permanent	"	24-8 "	"	1st September 1850				17th September 1854	Promotion.	
Criminal Record Keeper	Acting	3rd Writer	"	30 " "	18th September 1854				28th February 1855.	Confirmed.	
Do	Permanent	"	30 " "	"	1st March 1855				6th February 1856	Promotion	
Civil Record Keeper	Acting	Criminal Record Keeper	"	35 " "	7th February 1856.				1st March 1857	Promotion	
Translator	Acting	Do	"	48-6-3	2nd March 1857				31st March 1857	Return of permanent incumbent.	
Civil Record Keeper	Permanent	"	35 " "	"	1st April 1857				30th June 1858	Leave	
Sick leave		"	"	"	1st July 1858				20th July 1858	Rejoined	
Civil Record Keeper	Do	"	35 " "	"	21st July 1858				17th September 1858	Leave.	
Private Affairs Leave		"	"	"	13th September 1858				25th September 1858	Rejoined.	
Civil Record Keeper	Do	"	35 " "	"	27th September 1858				14th April 1859	Promotion	
Nazir	Permanent	"	45 " "	"	15th April 1859				20th July 1860	Promotion.	
3rd Grade Moonsiff of Oosboor	Do	"	100 " "	"	21st July 1860				30th June 1862	Increase of pay.	
Do	Do	"	200 " "	"	1st July 1862				31st December 1862.	Transfer	

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
3rd Grade Moonsiff Namgiripetb.	Permanent	"	200 --	"	1st January 1864.			District Judge	14th April 1864	Transfer	District Judge
Do of Namcul	Do	"	200 --	"	15th April 1864				18th May 1868.	Promotion	
2nd Grade Moonsiff of Namcul	Do	"	250 --	"	19th May 1868				23rd April 1871.	Transfer	
Do Do of Param[illegible]ury	Do	"	250 --	"	24th April 1871				21st October 1873	Retired	

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. Belavendrum Adiium Pillay

Caste or Race. Vellala

Residence. Salem

FATHER'S.	
Name.	Belavendrum Pillay
Residence.	Corical

Date of birth. 1821

95

Salem Magistrate's Office.

Salem, 7th December 1861.

No. 468

From

H. A. Brett Esqre.

Magistrate of Salem

To

J. W. Cherry Esqre.

Session Judge of Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for your information two decisions which I have recently passed in complaints preferred against the District Moonsiff of Namagiripett, that I may bring to your notice the misconduct of the Moonsiff in the matters described in my sentences.

2. Though I have fined the Moonsiff in one of the two cases, and have acquitted him in the other, it is I think proper to inform you, as the Chief Judicial Officer

of the District, of the violent and otherwise unbecoming way in which the Moonsiff conducted himself on the two occasions referred to, and of the seemingly false nature of the complaint he made on oath regarding his alleged loss of one thousand Rupees.

I have &c.
(Signed) H. A. Brett
Magistrate.
(True copy)

Hannyngton
District Judge.

Compared
Srinivasachary D.Mr

Enclosure I
In Letter Regd dated
27th November 1863
from the District
Judge of Salem

Copy of Letter
No 468 dated 2nd
December 1861
from the Magistrate
of Salem

Regina on the prosecution of Mr. R. E. Norfor.

versus.

Defendant.
Adisiem Pillay
District Moonsiff
of Namgherripatt.

Tres-pass.

Charge. That the Defendant did on the 26th November 1861 wilfully and forcibly trespass on a certain portion of the high road near Mulloor that had been closed to the Public while under repair by removing the barriers, and taking his two bullocks over part of the road thus closed to the public.

Complaint made 28th November 1861

The Defendant admits that he removed the barriers by which the road was closed, and that he took his bandy over the closed road.

The 2d witness states that he was stationed at the barriers in question to prevent people from passing over the road under repair, and that the Defendant came in his bandy accompanied by 3 peons and forcibly made his way across.

The 3d witness (the maistry who was superintending the road repairs) proves that the 2d witness ran to inform him that the Defendant had made his way along the closed road by force, and that in consequence he stopped the Defendant as he was proceeding on towards Salem, and expostulated with him for having forced his way along the closed road.

The

The 4th witness proves that the 3d witness stopped the Defendant under the circumstances stated by the 3d.

The Defendant in defence states that he considered himself at liberty to go along the closed road on the ground, 1st, that the 2d witness who opposed his going was not furnished with any written order sanctioning his closing the road and 2dly that there were traces on the road of bandies having already passed. He also complains that his bandy was stopped by 3d witness on a part of the line which was open to traffic, and that because the 3d witness would not allow him to proceed, he was obliged to come into Salem on foot with his wife and children. He also deposes that his bandy contained Rs 1028-8-0, besides other property valued at between 200 to 300 Rupees, and that the 3d witness was warned by the Defendant, when Defendant left the bandy that it contained this property. The Defendant in a separate deposition has deposed on solemn affirmation, that the sum of one thousand Rupees was abstracted from a rattan box kept in the bandy during the time the bandy was detained by the 3d witness and others.

One witness was examined for the defence. This witness proves that the Defts bandy and her own bandy which was in company with the 1st were stopped by the 3d witness.

The Magistrate finds that

that the charge against the Defendant of having forced his way over the closed road is clearly established both by his own statement, and by the evidence of the witnesses for the prosecution. He observed, with regard to the defence, that the road was closed in the way usually adopted on such occasions, and that the Defendant had no right under the circumstances to use the road

It appears that the place at which the Defendant was stopped was, as he states, an open portion of the road. The 3d witness apparently intended to expostulate with the Defendant, but the Defendant was evidently in a great passion at having been opposed in travelling along the closed road, and by at once abandoning his bandy with its contents he thought, it would seem, to fasten on the 3d witness an amount of blame and responsibility which did not properly belong to him. The Defendant should have appealed to the Police at the Mulloor station if the 3d witness had insisted in detaining the bandy, or to the Village Moonsiff to whose charge the 3d witness made over the bandy as soon as the Defendant left it.

With regard to the large sum of money which, according to the Defendant's statement, was stolen from the bandy, the Magistrate cannot credit that any money really was stolen, though the Moonsiff had made his statement on this point on oath. There are several circumstances in the case that render the truth

truth of this complaint very improbable. 1st. If the Moonsiff had really brought so much money with him it is not at all likely that he would have left his bandy entirely in the hands of the Maistry. He might have left one of his peons or at all events the driver, but even the latter he made to leave the bandy without any sufficient reason; 2dly his conduct since this complaint was made has not been the conduct of a person who had really sustained so great a loss. He has shrunk from charging any person with the robbery, and has shown no wish to aid the Police in tracing the money; 3dly, The 3d Witness besides being warned that he would be answerable for the contents of the bandy, was surrounded by laborers and others, till the bandy was made over to the 3 people who were placed in charge of it till the Police arrived from Salem; 4thly, The Moonsiff's account of how he got so large a sum of money (said to be savings from his salary) or his motives for bringing such a sum into Salem during his hurried visit are not satisfactory, and, lastly, the Police who were deputed to make enquiries at the Moonsiff's house at Namgherrypett (which according to the Moonsiff's statement was left in charge of his sons-in-law) and at the Court house at Namgherrypett, found that neither the inmates of the Moonsiff's house, nor the servants of the Court, knew of his having taken such a sum of money to Salem. The Moonsiff states on this last point that no one did know of the matter, except the battah peons who accompanied him. The natural conclusion therefore is that no money was really abstracted.

The Magistrate having convicted the Defendant of the charge laid in the indictment, sentences him to pay a fine of Rupees fifteen under section XXXII Regulation IX of 1816, commutable, if not paid, to 5 days imprisonment.

~~[illegible]~~ (signed) H. A. Brett
December 2d 1861 Magistrate

(True Copy)

District Judge

Compared
Srinivasa Charlu
D. M.

Enclosure II
In Letter No 47
dated 27th Novr
1873 from the Distt
Judge of Salem

Copy of Judgment
in a Criminal
case against
Adisiam Pillay
late Distt Moonsiff
of Darampury
Cri: Ca: No 76 of 1873

Regina on the Prosecution of Mr. R. E. Norfor versus Defendant. Atkisium Pillay District Moonsiff of Namgiripett.

Abusive language.

Charge. That the Defendant did on the 28th November 1861 go to the District Engineer's Office, and make use of abusive language towards the Prosecutor. Complaint made 28th November 1861.

The Defendant denies that he made use of any improper language.

The Prosecutor and his witnesses prove that the complainant came to the Office of the District Engineer to complain that his bandy had been stopped, and that his demeanour towards the Complainant, and [illegible] were both very disrespectful, though the Magistrate does not find that the Defendant actually used abusive language, or so acted as to make himself amenable to punishment. The Magistrate therefore dismisses the Complaint.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Magistrate.

Salem Magistrate's Office, 2nd December 1861

(True copy)

J. C. Hannyngton
District Judge

Compared
Thriviradacharry
Dy Mr

Pension Kr
No 16th January 74
20/17

Enclosure III
In letter No 47
dated 27th Novr
1873 from the Distt
Judge of Salem –

Copy of a decision of the Magistrate of Salem in a case of "Abusive language" brought against B. Adisium Pillay Distt Mff of Darampur

Pro: Sub No 761 of 73

Pro: Sub No 761 of 73

159

235

District Court at Salem
27th November 1873

No 47

From
J. C. Hannyngton Esqre
District Judge of Salem.

To
R. W. Lodwick Esqre
Accountant General
Madras.

Sir,

Under Section 54 of the Civil Pension Code, I have the honor to submit an application from B. Adisium Pillay, the late District Moonsiff of Darampur, for a retiring Pension.

The Applicant's services as shown by the Register of his application extends over a period of 30 years, the whole of which has been superior, and as far as I am able to ascertain from the records of the Court, there are some public proceedings affecting his private character, which, in my opinion, has no bearing upon his Official Career which is free from any imputation throughout, and

DCC

and I accordingly recommend that a retiring pension of 125 Rs which is equal to a moiety of his average salary for the last 5 years may be granted him commencing from the 22nd October 1873, the Moonsiff's connection with the Court of Darampury having ceased from the 21st idem.

With regard to the difference between the date of his retirement and that of his application for pension, I beg to observe that the Moonsiff after retiring from the service and subsequent to Mr Elliott's death which took place about the end of October tried in vain to be reinstated in his Office by petitioning the High Court, by whom he was finally directed to submit his application for pension in due course.

The Service Book of the Moonsiff and the copies of the proceedings referred to are enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

District Judge

Compd M Srinivasa Chary

Accountant General's Office
Recd 3 12 1873
Ansd

Dist Judge of Darampury
Pen. Rol. No 701/73

over not suspecting any harm would happen to her; that she remained
:ount and slept in the house that night, that defendant blew out a lamp
to come and lie down with him; that she refused and at last consented
:ount in a separate room some 3 hours or so and was then told to go back
there next day, as the defendant had promised to give her land and je
7 O'clock defendant told her she had better go to Trichingode; that hav

From

The District Judge
of Salem

Dated 27th Novr
Despd 1st Decr } 1873
Recd

Enclosures 6

Forwarding an
application for a
retiring pension
from B. Adisium
Pillay, late Distt
Moonsiff of Darampu
O No 17 of/74
Rec: 13th Decr/73

O No 17 of/74

[illegible] seduced, she

back in her family, that she had left her husband in South Arcot, because her mother was always quarrelling with her, that her husband sent her to Salem to her uncle and aunt from whence she went to live a while with her brother at Sunkerry droog, but that as he was so constantly on beat duty she had come to her uncle 1st witness and that he was protecting her and wa her guardian whilst absent from her husband.

3rd witness states that he did take the 2nd witness from the defendant's hou on Sunday night at his orders, that whilst near the bandy Medu the village Muniff and a consta came and took him and the 2nd witness to the Police station when statements we taken from th

4th witness states that on 2nd April, he was in verandah of 1st witness's house and saw the 2nd witness ~~in~~ go over to the defendant's house, that thinking nothing of the matter then he nothing; that, the next day on 1st witness telling him he could not find his niece (2nd witness) he (this wit

---

Copy of the charge or substance of the complaint.	Name of complainant.	Names of witnesses for the prosecution.	Names of witnesses for the defence, if any.	Statement of the evidence and grounds conviction.	Finding and sentence.	Offence.	Complaint.	Apprehension or appearance.	Commencing trial.	Sentence.	Explanation delay.
That he on or about the 2nd day of April 1870 at Inamacul did entice f one Narianasami with illicit intercourse with that he has thereby com- ffence punishable under f the Indian Penal Code, nizance.	Regina	1. Manika Pillai. 2. Ammaniammal 3. Mallan. 4. Soobachetty. 5. Apparoo Pillay. 6. Apparoo-	1. Vencatarama Pillai. 2. Raja Beg. 3. Yathoosey. 4. Karamathy Acharry 5. Moonian. 6. Barber Venkata : chellachetti. 8. Moothoocarachetti 9 Sabapathi Pillai. 10. Inalaiandi Pillai 11. meenatchi Soondrum pillai 12. Soondramiyer. 13. Narianasami.	The 1st witness says that on Sunday 2nd April, he missed from his house his niece (2nd witness) that he searched for her that evening and the next morning without success, that he subsequently heard that she the district Munsiff's house (defendant); that he reported to the village Munsiff; that at about 7 P. M. on the 3rd he	The Court finds that Athesayem Pillai is not guilty of the offence specified in the charge, namely, that he has committed the offence of enticing away a married woman, an offence punishable under section 498 of the Indian Penal Code, and directs that the said Athesayem Pillai be discharged under section 255 Criminal Procedure Code. (Signed) C. G. Pochin Magistrate (True Copy) Session Judge.	2nd April 1870.	3rd Do 1870.	7th Do 1870	7th Do 1870	28th Do 1870	

their female relations and protect them from all harm, but he winds up by stating
not with his consent.

It will be thus seen that in consequence of this witness denying that the pr section
was made on his behalf and with his consent, the matter falls to the ground - Had this witness not appeared,
the defendant doubtless would have been punished as the evidence for the prosecution was and
abundant to satisfy the Court that the requisites of section 498 had been fully established, as ara
could be. the nearest relations after the husband (who could not be found) had stated that they
were the 2nd witness's guardians and each and all declared, that the prosecution by the 1st witness was
rightly on behalf of the husband Narinasamy. The 2nd witness had nothing whatever to gain by
stating she had been seduced, on the contrary all to lose, and I am constrained to say that I believe the
evidence of this woman who is only about 20 and whose attractions no doubt affect the defendant's feelings
a little, whenever his eyes across her. For the reason, however, above stated the defendant is
ased. defendant could not scarcely have been nearer conviction and he would be wis

---

the evidence and grounds

Finding and sentence.

n and was living with him (5th witness); that as he had much out door work going his
constable, he asked his uncle 1st witness to take care of and look after his sister; and
in a while take her again, that he bring brother and 6th witness also, they were their
ns and that whilst 2nd witness was living with her uncle 1st witness, he was the guardian
r her on behalf of her husband.

Defendant denies having enticed 2nd witness to his house and states that 2nd witness only
hour or two to his house on the 2nd; that she left the same evening and that he has
rove the same; that 2nd witness came to his house, as she wished to become a Roman
mits that he gave her 5 Rs and a cloth; denies having had connection with 2nd witness;
e case is a lie, got up against him through malice; that 2nd witness was turned away
band for bad conduct and infidelity and that his witnesses will prove her to be a
stitute

[illegible] and was living with him (5th witness) [illegible] his

cution would stand. During the prosecution the whereabouts of the 2nd witness's husband

ascertained. The defendant however made out that he was at a village in South

uddalore, and a summons was accordingly sent, but the husband (Narainasami) was

had gone to Tanjore. The summons was taken to Tanjore and at last the husband made

nce, but the defendant refused to call him as a witness, he (deft) satisfied himself

to prove that 2nd witness (Ammani) was of a bad character, that her husband had

for infidelity, that she (2nd witness) did not sleep in his house on the night of the 2nd. The

these witnesses satisfied me quite clearly that they had all been tutored, and I considered

. As the husband was present, he was summoned as the Court witness and he deposed

2nd witness did not leave him because of bad conduct, but only because she could not

mother. He states that it is the duty of all relations to look after the welfare of
their

- 2017 - 114

Enclosure No IV
In letter No 47 dated
27th November 1873
from the Distt Judge
of Salem

Copy of Calendar
in Case No 3 of 1870 on
the file of the Magte of
Salem

if he take this matter as a warning and a caution for the future –

Compd
Veethanand
3rd VR

35

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. Belavendrum Adisiem Pillay

2. Father's name. Belavendrum Pillay

3. Sect or Caste. Roman Catholic Christian, Vellala Caste.

4. Residence. Salem

5. Present or last employment. 2d Grade District Moonsiff of Darampuri

6. Date of beginning of service 16th September 1843.

7. Date of end of service 21st October 1873

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service including breaks	30	1	6
of which superior	30	"	2
inferior	"	"	"
non-qualifying and breaks	"	1	4

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application* ... Retiring Pension

	Rs	As	P.
10. [Average] emoluments or pay.	250	"	"
11. Proposed pension.	125	"	"
12. ~~Proposed gratuity.~~	"	"	"

13. Date from which to commence. 22d October 1873

14. Place of payment. Treasury of the Salem Collector.

15. Age of Applicant on this date. 53 " "

16. Height. Feet 5 – Inches 3½

17. Marks. One scar on the left eye brow and one small mole on the right side of the back.

18. Date. 19th November 1873.

District Judge
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF *Belavendrum Adiseem Pillay 2d Grade District Moonsiff of Darampuri.*

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	A.	P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Civil and Sessions Court of Salem.	4th English Writer Acting	20	„	„	On full pay	16th Septr 1843	31st March 1847	3	6	15	„	„	„			Verified from the records of this office
	4th Writer	20	„	„	„	1st April 1847	5th April 1850	3	„	5	„	„	„			
	3d Writer Ag	24	8	„	Do.	6th April 1850	31st Augt 1850	„	4	25	„	„	„			
	3d Writer	24	8	„		1st Septr 1850	17th Septr 1854	4	„	17	„	„	„			
	Criminal Record keeper Acting	30	„	„	Do	18th Septr 1854	28th Feby 1855	„	5	13	„	„	„			
	Civil Record keeper	30	„	„		1st March 1855	6th Feby 1856	„	11	6	„	„	„			
	Civil Record keeper Ag	35	„	„	Do	7th Feby 1856	1st March 1857	1	„	23	„	„	„			
	Translator Ag	50	„	„	Do	2d March 1857	31st March do	„	1	„	„	„	„			
	Civil Record keeper	35	„	„		1st April 1857	30th June 1858	1	3	„	„	„	„			
	Sick leave					1st July 1858	20th July 1858	„	„	„	„	„	20			
	Civil Record keeper	35	„	„		21st July 1858	12 Septr 1858	„	1	22	„	„	„			
	Private affairs					13th Septr 1858	26th Septr 1858	„	„	„	„	„	14			
	Civil Record keeper	35	„	„		27th Septr 1858	14 April 1859	„	6	18	„	„	„			
	Nazir	45	„	„		15th April 1859	20th July 1860	1	3	6	„	„	„			
	3d Grade Distt Moonsiff Oosoor	100	„	„		21st July 1860	30th June 1862	1	11	10	„	„	„	Doing duty at Nabmgirlpett		
	Do. Do	200	„	„		1st July 1862	31st Decr 63	1	6	„	„	„	„			
	Do of Kamgherripetta	200	„	„		1st Jany 1864	14th April 1864	„	3	14	„	„	„			
	Do. of Namkul	200	„	„		15th April 1864	18th May 68	4	1	4	„	„	„			
	2d Grade Distt Mff of Namkul	250	„	„		19th May 1868	23d April 1871	2	11	6	„	„	„			
	Do. do of Darampuri	250	„	„		24th April 1871	21st Octr 1873	2	5	28	„	„	„			
						Total period of service		30	„	2	„	1	4			

District Court at
Salem, 27th November
1873

compared
Srinivasa Charry
By m

Ramsay
District Judge

375

9th December 1873.

Pensions Department.

Offg. Accountant-General.

395

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF *Belavendrum Adiseem Pillay 2d Grade*

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. There is nothing on record to the prejudice of the applicant's public character.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. "

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. "

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. "

5. Any other remarks. "

District Judge
SIGNATURE.

No. 444

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that ~~(subject to the remarks below recorded)~~ qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ superior} grades has been duly proved for 30 years ____ months and 2 days; and that a retiring {pension ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 125 a per mensem, is admissible under Section 58 of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

Fort Saint George,
Accountt. Genl's Office,
9th December 1873.

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

McDonald
Offg. Accountant-General.

G.R.

Pensions 1873 Deptt
enclosure No. 4

No 761

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~OR~~
{~~GRATUITY~~

Date of application.
9th December 1873.

Name of Applicant.
B. Adiseam Pillay

Last appointment.
2d Grade Distt. Moonsiff

Class of {pension ~~or~~
{~~gratuity~~

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Order thereon 16th January 1874 No 17

Under Section 38 of the Civil Pension Code His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Belavendrum Adiseam Pillay late 2d Grade District Moonsiff of Darampuri in the Salem District a retiring pension of Rs (125) one hundred and twenty five per mensem to which he is entitled for his qualifying superior service of 30 years & 2 days payable from the 22d October 1873.-