

(1) Number of pages 32

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer G

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revision DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	178	Press Mis.
Dated	27-3-1874.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,
27th March 1874.*

Read the following papers :—

From the Collector of Nellore, dated 17th December 1873.

From the Deputy Accountant-General, dated 28th February 1874, No. 612.

ORDER THEREON, 27th March 1874, No. 178.

Application is made for the grant of a superannuation pension to Iswarapragadu Venkata Row, late Taluq Sheristadar at Kanigiri, in the Nellore District. This man's service of thirty-one years, nine months, and twenty-five days would entitle him to a pension of half his pay or Rupees (30) thirty, but his character being returned as unsatisfactory, His Excellency the Governor in Council is precluded from granting him the full amount, which will accordingly be reduced to a pension of Rupees (27) twenty-seven, payable from the 1st December 1873.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of Nellore.

„ Accountant-General, with Register.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Extract from the District Gazette
of 17th September 1857.

Transfer. 10 September 1857.

It has been reported by the
Overseer of the Telegraph De-
partment that Iswarapagada
Venkatarow, the Mazumdar of
the Kavalali Taluq, had not
rendered the requisite assistance
to the authorities of that depart-
ment. He is in consequence
transferred, temporarily, to the
Mazumdarship of the Badseppur
Taluq on a lower salary.

(True Extract)

Quintin

Collector

Boht. of 1874 31/10/73.

PENSION.

THE COLLECTOR OF NELLORE.

to,

to,

to,

Dated March 27th.

Recd.

Recd.

G.O. 27th March 1874, No. 178.

MARCH.

Granting a reduced pension to Iswarapagada
Venkata Rao, late Sheristadar, Kanigiri Taluq,
Tellore District.

No 553

Co

To, The Deputy Collector
in charge of the Treasury
at New York

When the land relinquish-
ment accounts of the Kanigire
Taluk for the current year were
being prepared, the ryots of some
of the villages presented relinquish-
ments for portions only of their
fields. It appears however that
the extent given up was entered
as the total extent of the entire
fields of the ryots' holdings, with
the object of bringing them under
the rules, so that their relinquish-
ments might be accepted; that
there are many other similar (intentional)
discrepancies in the relinquish-
ment accounts and that in those
relinquishments, marks of certain
ryots were inserted subsequently.

in addition to the signatures already
made, with a dishonest intention.
The Taluk Sheristadar himself
admitted that some of these frauds
had been committed. That these
discrepancies should have occurred
when it was the important duty
of the Taluk Sheristadar to prepare
and examine these accounts himself,
is very irregular, and under these
circumstances, it is fully believed
that Sumerapragada Venkata Rao,
Sheristadar, has knowingly committed
some of these irregularities. As it
would be unsafe to retain in the
service a person of this character,
Venkata Rao is dismissed the
service from the 21st instant, and
the following arrangements were made,
dated 5th April 1862.

(Signed) J. W. B. Dykes
(True Translation) Collector

Sumner
Collector

No 8364
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue,
dated 23rd December 1862.
Read the following letter from
J. W. B. Dykes Esquire, Collector
of Bellary, to W. Audleston Esq.,
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
dated 18th December 1862, No 317.

With reference to the endorsement
of the Board on Petition No 2185
of 1862, wherein the Board direct
that the order dismissing Sumerapra-
gada Venkata Rao, late Taluk
Sheristadar of Nanjiri, be cancelled,
and that he be restored to his
appointment of Sheristadar at the
commencement of 1863, till which
time he will remain under suspension,
I have the honor to request that
I may be informed whether any
proceedings were held on the subject
as none have been received,

*
Dated 30th October 1862
to 7 1862.

The Collector
is informed that
no proceedings
be had

formed that
no proceedings
have been held by the Board
in the matter, except those* referred
to in the Endorsement directing
the restoration of Venkata Rao
from the 1st proximo.

(A True copy & Extract)

signed R. A. Dalgell
Sub Secretary

To J. W. B. Sykes Esquire

Collection of letters.

(True Copy)

Sumner
Collector

Received of Dr. J. B. 138 of 1/44

8275 Kayen River
Sulphur in
shale.

Wm. L. Garrison
Editor

1850

Wm

the good

107

10/2/21

25/11

1884

058

1883

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
<i>Therista Gomastah in the Sub Division</i>	<i>Substantive Permanent</i>		18-		5 th Sept 1836	
<i>Magistrate Janna- Lennis</i>	<i>Acting Permanent</i>	<i>Therista Gomastah in the Sub Division</i>	10-		5 th January 1845	
<i>Therista Gomastah</i>	<i>Substantive Permanent</i>		18-		2 nd March 1845	
<i>Do</i>	<i>Do</i>					
<i>Do</i>	<i>Do</i>		20-		4 th January 1847	
<i>Do</i>	<i>Do</i>		25-		13 th May 1849	
<i>Magundan of Dargoodur Taluk</i>	<i>Do</i>		30-		18 th April 1853	
<i>Magundan of Kavali Taluk</i>	<i>Do</i>		30-		5 th June 1856	
<i>Magundan of Buddipudi Taluk</i>	<i>Do</i>		26-		10 th September 1857	
<i>Magundan of Inamanamallur Taluk</i>	<i>Do</i>		26-		11 th November 1857	
<i>Theristadar of Kanjini Taluk</i>	<i>Do</i>		40-		2 nd January 1861	

8 Character of Officer.	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.		5 th January 1845	Promotion	
		24 th March 1845	Return of permanent incumbent	
		3 rd January 1847	Promotion	
		12 th May 1847	Promotion	
		17 th April 1850	Do	
		1 st June 1856	Do	
		9 th September 1857	Transfer	
		10 th October 1857	Do	
		31 st October 1860	Promotion	
		21 st March 1862	Restoration	
* This is reduction in point of pay. This transfer was made owing to some remissances in important business. Was out of employ from 1st November 1860 till 1st January 1861, both days inclusive, i.e. for 2 months and 1 day on account of the introduction of new establishments. Was dismissed from 21st March 1862 under order dated 21st March 1862 No 35 of the then Sub Collector on the ground that Venkata Ramu neglected to bring to notice files partially relinquished.				

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
19 January 1874.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To The Collector of *Nellore*

VIDE your Office application for

Pension on behalf of
*A. Venkata Row. Sunstadar of Hanigiri
Taluk Nellore.*

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following

points:—

1. What is the correct age of the applicant when first appointed as shown in the Service Book or 55 years as shown in the register of application?
2. Why was service rendered by the applicant before the completion of 22 years of age reckoned as qualifying & included in the total period of service instead of deducting the same from it? Please deduct the same after establishing what his correct age is.
3. Has he drawn any gratuity or pension for his service prior to his appointment?
4. Was the applicant appointed Sunstadar of Hanigiri Taluk on 55 Rs. which the applicant held from 26 April 1874. a full vacancy? If so,
(a) what became of the permanent incumbent?
(b) when did the applicant retire a pensioner?
5. Why was the applicant shown in the History of Service

5. Why was the applt. shown in the History of Service
have been on receipt of 55 Rs upto 30/11/74

- 1 The correct age of the applicant is 55 years as shown in the Register of applications upto 30 November 1873
- 2 The service previous to 22 years of age having been deducted his net service upto 30 November 1873 is 33 years as rounded
- 3 The applicant has not drawn any gratuity or Pension for his service before 1 November 1860
- 4 The post of Kandukuru Substation in which the individual acted from 26 April 1864 was filled up in arranging for a full vacancy of the Dargazim Sahaidar's place, the then Sahaidar having died. Venaganti Narayana Rao Substation of Kandukuru having been made a sub magistral of Pochli & Dwarapragada Venkata Rao as Mag. Substation the other arrangements having been made accordingly all the appointments were confirmed afterwards. He had or been on his permanent

SERVICE BOOK

Name.	Dwarapragada Venkata Rao
Caste or Race.	Godacondani Janti Yudu
Residence.	Chilena
FATHER'S Name.	Dwarapragada Subba Rao
FATHER'S Residence.	Chilena
Date of birth.	10th May of the lunar fortnight of the month of Purnima in the year Samvatsar

Recd 12/12/38 1/4

appointment till he was confirmed on 1 June 1864

5 The applicant was drawing 55 Rupees salary upto 31 October 1865. On the increased scale of salaries in 1865 his salary was raised to Rupees 60. The register is revised accordingly.

6 The applicant having completed his 55th year on 30 November 1873 had to retire. He was old & infirm & was not firm in his work.

7 Periods of absence leaves he had from July 1869 to June 1873 are now entered leaves from to that date cannot be entered as the Record in this office does not afford the required information.

The Record Endorsement referred to is not available in the Record. The applicant is not here. It is said he is now at Madras.

N.B. The applicant obtained absence leave for 1 month from 28 July to 27 Aug in the year 1869 but returned to duty on 1 September. The period of 8 days accordingly is deducted from his leave.

17412
Received
Collector's Office
12 January 1874

Accountant General's Office
Recd 20 2 1874
Sd/-
Collector

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION
GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Isuwarapragada Venkata Row*
2. Father's name. *Isuwarapragada Subba Row*
3. Sect or Caste. *Bramin, Golaconda Vepari, or Vishnuana*
4. Residence. *Tellore*
5. Present or last employment. *Assistant of Kanigisi Taluk*
6. Date of beginning of service *5th September 1836*
7. Date of end of service *30th November 1873*
8. Length of service including breaks *37-2-26*
of which superior *26-9-28*
inferior *10-3-3*
non-qualifying and breaks *4-2-26*
9. Class of {pension} applied for, and cause of application* *Superannuation Pension under Section 53 of the Civil Pension Act*
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *Rupees 60 - - - Sixty Rupees.*
11. Proposed pension. *30 - - -*
12. Proposed gratuity. *- - -*
13. Date from which to commence. *1st December 1873*
14. Place of payment. *Tellore*
15. Age of Applicant on this date. *55 years*
16. Height. *5 feet 6 inches*
17. Marks. *Brown Complexion, Has five circular black scars on the knee of the left leg.*
18. Date. *17th December 1873.*

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim could be fully stated.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 25 12 1873
Ans'd

Collector
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF

Swara Pragnada Venkata Rao

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.		Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.		Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		ES.	A. P.				Y.	M.			
Collector of Mysore	Scrivener Sumanaswami the Sub Collector Office	18			5 th September 1836	5 th January 1845	8	4	1		Verified from the records of the office of the Sub Collector Mysore.
	Magistrate Gowabrigga in D.O.	20		Acting on full pay	21 st March 1845		2	16			Verified by the records of the office of the Sub Collector Mysore.
	Scrivener Sumanaswami D.O.	18			22 nd March 1845	1 st January 1847	1	9	12		Verified by the records of the office of the Sub Collector Mysore.
	D.O.	20			4 th January 1847	12 th March 1849	2	4	9		
	D.O.	25			13 th May 1849	17 th April 1850		4	5		
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	30			18 th April 1850	4 th June 1850	6	1	17		
	D.O. of Taluk	30			5 th June 1850	9 th September 1850	1	3	5		
	D.O. of Taluk	25			10 th September 1850	10 th November 1850		2			
	D.O. of Taluk	25			11 th November 1850	27 th August 1851	1	9	17		
	D.O. of Taluk	25			27 th August 1851	3 rd March 1852	2	3	23		
D. O. of Taluk	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25		overstayed	3 rd March 1852	18 th April 1852					
	Leave on half pay.	25			18 th April 1852	31 st March 1860		6	1		
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
D. O. of Taluk	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
D. O. of Taluk	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					
	Magistrate of Bangalore Taluk	25			1 st April 1860	31 st October 1860					

Deproved for having failed to render the aid required by the Telegraph Department in establishing the line: a translation of the order in the District Gazette is enclosed.

Dismissed for culpable neglect and also for complicity in keeping certain false Returns in relation to the service.

Collector of Bellary	12	25	3	17	1	14	to relinquishment of lands and typing them as correct ones. Trans- lation of the order is belated.
Do. of Kandukur Taluk.	45	1	25	1	3	25	He was reinstated under Board's Order, dated 23 rd December 1862, No. 8364. A copy of which accompanied
Do. of Kandukur Taluk.	55	26 th	April	31 st	1864	1864	Was on Privilege-leave for 1 month from 5 th May to 4 th June 1873.
Do. of Kandukur Taluk.	53	1 st	June	5 th	1865	1865	
Do. of Kandukur Taluk.	60	1 st	January	29 th	1871	1871	
Do. of Kandukur Taluk.	60	30 th	June	4 th	1871	1871	
Do. of Kandukur Taluk.	60	52 nd	September	31 st	1873	1873	
Do. of Kandukur Taluk.	60	1 st	January	30 th	1873	1873	
Do. of Kandukur Taluk.	60	36	1 st	2 nd	1873	1873	

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks.

Satisfactory.

The degradation in 1845 was due to reversion to his substantive appoint-
ment and that in 1858 was on account of his having failed to render
assistance to the Telegraph Department.

He was found inefficient and unfit for further effective service.

He was dismissed in 1862 for having prepared false accounts and

restored from 1st January 1873 under Board's Order.

Santhosh
Collector
SIGNATURE.

No. 612.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in {inferior} grades has been duly proved for 31 years 9 months

and 25 days; and that a {superior} pension {gratuity} not exceeding Rs. 30 " " per mensem is admissible under Section 57 of the Civil Pension Code. The

calculations have been duly verified. (Subject to any reduction the Government may see fit to make on account of the culpable neglect

and complexity in preparing certain false returns reported in the Registrar of application, which led to 9 months

10 days suspension.

Accountant-General's Office
28 February 1874

Blodke
Accountant-General.

To The Under Secretary to Government.
Pensions Department.

Prud'hu 1874, Dept.
No 138

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION OR GRATUITY }

Date of application. 17.12.73. 402838

23.12.73. B.M.

Name of Applicant. Sawara Pragadu Venkata Rao.

Last appointment. Subtadar of Kavigiri Taluk.
Sellore D.

Class of { pension or gratuity } Superannuation Pension.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

1780/74

Pension Department

Memo. for Orders.

Application is made ^{superannuation} for the grant of a pension to Sawara Pragadu Venkata Rao, late Subtadar of Kavigiri in the Bellare District.

This man has served 31 years 9 mos. & 25 days and is entitled to a pension of Rs. 200 half his pay.

His character is returned as unsatisfactory, but the only fault of any consequence on record against him is his having been dismissed by Mr. Dykes for complicity in certain irregular proceedings in the taluk. The Board commended the dismissal with no pension for 9 mos. & 10 days, but the imposition of this punishment shewed that they were dissatisfied with

with him -

4. Should any reduction
be made in the full pension
allowable, orders are requested.
J. W. B.

The applicant was (practically)
fined 405 Rupees for his mis-
deemeanour in 1862 having
lost the pay of his appointment
for $9\frac{1}{2}$ months. I should think
this a sufficient penalty
without making any reduction
in his pension. C. J. L.

It must be noted however
that the Govt of India almost
insist on the provisions of
sec 60-1 Civil Pension Code
being acted upon when
character (as in the present
case) is said to be indifferent.
vide their letter dated 15
Dec last no 3612.

C. J. L.

I think we are bound
to make some reduction -
say from 30 to 27. J. W. B.

Pension to Iswara Prasad
Venkata Rao, late Taluk
Sheristadar at Kanigiri
Nellore D 7

15
Pension Department.

Let
PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter.

Papers:-
From the Collector of Nellore, dated 17th Decem^r 1873.
V^o Deputy & Assistant General, dated 28th Feb^r 1874.
N^o 612
Order thereon 27th March 1874 N^o 178

An Application is made
for the grant of a superannuation
pension to Iswara Prasad
Venkata Rao, late Taluk
Sheristadar, at Kanigiri in
the Nellore District. This
man's service of 31 years, 9
months, and 25 days
would entitle him to a
pension of half his pay or
Rs 30/30, but his character
being returned as unsatisfactory,
His Excellency the Governor
in

in Council is precluded
from granting him the
full amount which will
accordingly be reduced to a
pension of Rs 27/20 twenty seven
payable from the 1st December
1873.

[Signature]

To The Collector of Vellore despo 9/4/74
" Accountant General with register 16 copies

