

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____ 7-1-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revision DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	626	Press Mis.
Dated	18-12-	1873.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

P2

1872/73

Mr 7th
187

Duplicate

I R. Dempster Acting
Zillah Surgeon do hereby certify that I
have carefully examined Moulhi Raghunada Rao,
late Salt Superintendent of Cassergode, that
his age is by his own statement, fifty three years
and by appearance about the same and that
I find him unfit for any further service
from old age and infirmity not in my opinion
attributable to intemperance or other irregular
habits

R. Dempster
Acting Zillah Surgeon
Mangalore
1st May 1871



Order N^o 65 issued to the Tahsildar of the Sundar
pur Taluk.

The purport of your petitions N^{os} 101 and 110
has been understood. You have reported the result
of your enquiry in the matter of the complaint
N^o 101 preferred by Raghavendra Rau, 2nd Police Mun.
Shi doing duty as Salt Shambhog at Yelur, to the
effect that while on the 2nd of June last in the
day time he was in the house of one Sadasheva
with one Mudura, and had in his custody a
Mudda of stolen salt discovered in the house
of the latter, his (Mudura's) nephew, Badiya by
name, and others numbering 31, came there in
a body and dealt blows to and kicked him (com-
plaint) and his Kolkars, and that one of them
Bijju inflicted a wound on the head of Kolkar
Venkata with a knife, and that they rescued the
prisoner and ran away with him, taking the
Mudda of stolen salt with them. In addition to
the complaint made before you by Ragha-
vendra Rau to the above effect, Kolkar Annappa
and the Mapari (measurer) as well as Shrinivas
Rau, who was on the temporary establishment and
has been thrown out of employ, Karty Venkata,
Moger Manja and Manja Hegade have also
made statements before you in the shape of a
complaint, to the effect that the same defendants
also assaulted them; in support of which the three
persons Manjaya, Subraya, and Shivaraya have
given before you their depositions as witnesses,
testifying to what they never saw and what
never took place, simply to favor the above
persons. Although Sadasheva who is the owner
of the house in which the assault is alleged to

File N^o 101 of 1893

have been committed appears to have been absent at the time, he states that the Sampige tree which these witnesses are said to have climbed up, had no flowers at the time, and that no body can climb that tree, and that he has not even heard of the offence having taken place, his wife Manjamma, and mother, Lingamma, state that on the day in question, neither the complainants nor the defendants nor the witnesses had come to the place, and that no offence took place, and as regards the statement of the witnesses, that they saw from the top of the Sampige tree, the assault take place, it appears that the place where the assault is alleged to have taken place, is not visible from the top of that tree. Moreover, if such a number of men assaulted a public servant, and snatched away with both the prisoner and the property, there is no reason why no body should know anything about the matter. It therefore appears that an utterly false complaint has been preferred by the said individuals. Those who did the doing of an act being equally guilty of the offence committed, you will send the said Raghavendra Rau and his others, and the accomplices Manjappa and two others, in all 10 persons, to the Court with an order of commitment under Regulation of 1832, submitting at the same time, copies of the record to this Office, and you will also suspend the said Raghavendra Rau, Holkar Annappa, and Manjappa Santa, and recommend fit persons in their stead. The records of the case received with your above reports are herewith returned. Dated 4 August. Station, Sirce P.S.

Should any additional evidence turn up, while submitting the case to the Court, you will consider the same, and proceed to hold an enquiry

into the matter. Dated as above.

Order N^o 87 issued to the Tahsildar of Gundapur Taluk.

On perusing the memoranda sent by the Ag. Head Assistant Collector, Mr. Forbes, regarding the complaints preferred against Raghavendra Rau, Police 2nd Munshi, doing duty as Salt Shanbhog at Yehur Sub-tannah, and regarding the action taken by him, it appears that it is not safe either to retain him in his former post or to keep him in the service, unless in a subordinate position, and therefore Likhavath Ganapaya whom you have sent to discharge the duties of the said Salt Shanbhog, has been, from the 1st of November, confirmed in the post of the said 2nd Munshi, and Raghavendra Rau appointed to do duty under you in the place of the former, on P. 14 per mensem. You will enter these changes in the Pay Abstract, and make Ganapaya do the duties of 2nd Munshi, and Raghavendra Rau, that of Likhavath, and you will keep an eye over the said Raghavendra Rau. Dated 27 Oct. 1846. Subh. 1256. Station Mangalore.

Order N^o 338 issued to the Tahsildar of Bekal Taluk.

Your Arji N^o 359 has been received. You have reported the result of your enquiry in the matter of Raghavendra Rau, Salt Monegar of Manjeshwar, who is looking after the Saffal work there, having absented himself after entrusting the Saffal accounts to volunteer Subroja, in consequence of ill health, and reporting that he has availed himself of 5 days leave, and have forwarded the deposition

taken by you from him in the matter. Having gone through all the papers connected with this matter, the following order is issued: - The said Allogar was wrong in having absented himself of his own accord, and without obtaining the orders of his superior, and entrusting the accounts to a volunteer, and in having forcibly taken charge of the accounts from the Volunteer who was acting for him, notwithstanding the Sakid issued to him by you, for the purpose of holding an enquiry in the matter, and I am satisfied from the statements of the Kasargod Tappal Gumbastah and of the Sahib Hacherry Gumbastah, as well as from your Illah, that he was not ill. Even supposing he was ill, he should have obtained orders in the usual way and absented himself; but it was wrong that he should have absented himself as he liked and committed irregularities, and his explanation is not satisfactory. He is therefore suspended, as recommended, for six months, from the 18th October. You will submit a report recommending someone to act for him during the interim and stating whether there is any fit person amongst the passed candidates who may be appointed. Dated 14 November 1269 Juffi, Station Mangalore.

(True Translation)

Mr. Mouat.
Collector.

Revenue Department.

Miscellaneous No 4103.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 3rd July 1873.

Read letter from the Collector of South Canara dated 11 June 1873, No. 860, requesting to be informed whether application can be made for gratuity on behalf of Raghavendra Rau, late Asst-Superintendent of Kasargod.

With reference to the Collector's letter No. 860 dated 11 June 1873, the Board desire that an application for gratuity be submitted on behalf of Mulki Raghavendra Rau, as requested in Board's Proceedings 21st October 1870, action on which was delayed by an examination into defalcations in which Mulki Raghavendra Rau was not concerned.

(True Extract)

Signed / H. Goodrich
Asst. Secy.

To The Collector of South Canara
True Copy 1

Mr. Mouat.
Collector.

Copy

No. 6,250.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 21st October 1870.

Read the following letter from A. McC. WEBSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of South Canara, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Mangalore, 26th September 1870, No. 1,278:—

I HAVE the honour to report on Board petition No. 2,122 (herewith returned) presented by Mulki Raghavendra Row, late Salt Superintendent of Cassargode in this district.

2. Petitioner was first entertained in the Government service on the 7th December 1844 as a Salt Likavat in the Kundapur Taluq Cutcherry on a monthly salary of 5 Rupees, on which pay he continued till May 1857, when he was appointed Salt Monigar at Manjeshwar on a pay of 7 Rupees per mensem. When the revised Salt Establishment was introduced into the district, Raghavendra Row was appointed to the post of Salt Superintendent. His nomination to this post appears to have been made simply in consideration of his long service in the Salt Department.

For twenty-thousand he wrote 2,000.
For two-thousand and five-hundred he wrote 25,000.
For two and three-quarters he wrote (1st) $1\frac{3}{4}$ (and
2nd) $2\frac{3}{4}$
For two and a half do. do. $2\frac{1}{2}$
For two and a quarter do. do. $2\frac{1}{4}$
RS. A. P.
2 8 5
3 4 6
9 12 3
4 2 1

His total... 19 9 3 instead of Rupees 19-11-3
(5X) Rupees 3-6-0 = Rupees 16-1-0 instead of Rs. 16-14-0
RS. A. P.
7,052 6 5
783 15 4
6 13 9
7,843 15 2 for 7,843-3-6

3. My predecessor, Mr. Thomas, found him to be totally unfit to serve in the responsible post of Salt Superintendent, and fearing that his retention in that appointment would be dangerous, personally examined him when he was at Cassargode on circuit. From a slip of paper left by Mr. Thomas, showing the result of his examination, it appears that the man is scarcely able to write or add a few figures together correctly. I beg to insert in the margin the result of the examination. The original paper is enclosed for the Board's information.

4. He appears therefore to have called on the petitioner to take his pension. Petitioner was not, however, eligible for pension, so Mr. Thomas reduced him to the post of Dufterbund. This post petitioner declined to take up. As petitioner, though now unfit for further service, is 55 years of age, has served Government for 26 years, and as only 5 years of that time count for pension, I beg to recommend that he be allowed a gratuity of Rupees 180, being six months' pay.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Result of examination of Raghavendra Row.

THE Board agree with the Collector. He should submit an application for a gratuity in the usual form.

* Petition No. 2,122 of 1870.

2. The petitioner* will be referred to the Collector.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. B. PENNINGTON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Acting Collector of South Canara, with one enclosure returned.

Exd. T. Cartledge.

To be returned with reply on reverse.

DEPARTMENT.

No.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
19th August 1873.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To The Collector, South Canara.

Vide your Office application for invalid gratuity on behalf of
M. Raghavendra Row.

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points:—

Why there is a discrepancy in the Applicant's age between what was shown in item 15 of the Application and in the Collector's letter recorded in the Board's Proceedings No 6250 of 21st October 1870?

Why the Medical Certificate bears a date about 14 months subsequent to the man's ceasing to do duty?

Please

1870.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 21ST OCTOBER 1870, No. 6,250.

Received

S. Raghavendra Row

Encl.

OCTOBER.

No. 6,250.

Gratuity—to M. Raghavendra Row, late Salt Superintendent of Cassergode in South Canara District and Petitioner in No. 2,122 of 1870.—Collector requested to submit an application for, in usual form.—Petitioner referred to Collector.

M. Raghavendra Row

Please explain why the Applicant was recommended to a Gratuity of 6 months pay, when he appears from Section 45 & Rule 2 of the Civil Pension Code, to be entitled to nothing in as much as he declined to take a post to which he was degraded for inefficiency - vide para 4 of the Collector's letter recorded in the abovementioned Proceedings.

Approved
By Commr. Genl

N^o 1438. Reply

Query. The Applicant's age given in this office letter, embodied in Board's Proceedings dated 25th October 1870, N^o 6250, differs from that entered in item No 15 of the Application, in as much as the former is based on the unverified entry in the

the Kanarese Service Register maintained in this office, while the latter is in accord with the Applicant's horoscope and should therefore be taken as correct.

2. The Applicant ceased to do duty from the 28th February 1870, having declined to take up an inferior post to which he was degraded. But his case for gratuity was only taken into consideration after the receipt, in the month of November of that year, of the Board's Proceedings noted above, in which the Collector was desired to submit an application for gratuity on his behalf. The Applicant however presented himself before my predecessor in April 1871, when he was sent to the Zillah as Surgeon for examination. Hence the delay in obtaining the medical Certificate.

3. Although the Applicant declined to take up the post of Daftardar to which he was degraded by me for inefficiency, my predecessor in reporting upon the Applicant's petition to the Board of Revenue praying for re-instatement, recommended him in consideration

tion of his service extending over 25 years,
for a gratuity equal to six months' pay, and
the Board of Revenue having granted his
recommendation, an Application for gratuity
was accordingly submitted - Rule 2, Section
145 in the Pension Code does not seem to be
applicable to the present case, because
the Medical Certificate proves that apart
from inefficiency, the applicant is in-
capacitated for further service from old
age and infirmity -

South Canara Collector's } Mr. Mowat.
Office, Mangalore, } Collector.
2^d September 1873

Accountant General's Office
Recd 6
Ans'd 9 1873

8561

8561

Recd. No. 593 of 1873.

Applied to the pension
Commissioner in that Dept.
the Government of Madras
Memo dated 19th August
1873. - 1873-1874.

1873-1874.
The Collector
of South Canara
The Dy. Secy. to the
Govt. of Madras
Dated 20th July 1873.
No. 1438.

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION
GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Mulky Raghavendra Rao*
2. Father's name. *Narsappa (adoptive father)*
3. Sect or Caste. *Carnataka Brahmin.*
4. Residence. *Kasaragod in South Canara*
5. Present or last employment. *Salt Superintendent of Kasaragod*
6. Date of beginning of service *7th December 1844.*
7. Date of end of service *28th February 1870.*
8. Length of service including breaks
of which superior
inferior
non-qualifying and breaks
9. Class of {pension} applied for, and cause of application. * *He invoked gratuity under*
{gratuity} *clause a, sec. 56 of the C. Pension Code, the applicant being*
incapacitated for further service from old age and infirmity
(Vide list. certificate enclosed)
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *Rs. 30.*
11. Proposed pension.
12. Proposed gratuity. *180 Rupees.*
13. Date from which to commence.
14. Place of payment.
15. Age of applicant on this date. *Year. months. Day.*
51. 11. 11.
16. Height.
7. Marks.
8. Date. *13th August 1873*

Y. M. D.
25. 2. 24.
6. " "
18. 5. 29.
" 8. 25.

Accounts of General (M)
Recd 18 8 1873
Ansdu

If the application is for a compensation
on or gratuity, the nature of the change of
employment which has given rise to the claim
to be fully stated.

M. Thumar
Collector
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

8561

Mulki. Raghavendra Rai, late Salt Superintendent of
Bhagalpore!

Verified from the
records of this
Office:-

No remarks. Got rid of for stupidity.

- Nov.

to know

Q/c 302

10
 contributed to a quantity of
 Iron Code ~~XXXXXX~~
 ate

Fort Saint George,
Accompanied by }
12 September 1843 }

6th Dec 1873
No 593

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION OR GRATUITY —	
Date of application.	11 th September 73
Name of applicant.	Shri Raghavendra Row
Last appointment.	Shri Superintendent
Class of { pension or gratuity.	
Amount of pension sanctioned.	
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.	
Date of commencement.	
Date of sanction.	

Order No 626 of 73

626

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter Papers

From the Collector of South Canara, dated 13th August 1873.
- Do Deputy Assistant General, dated 11th September 1873 No 302
Order thereon 18th December 1873 No 626

Application is made
for the grant of an invalid's
gratuity of six months pay
amounting to Rs 180 to Shri
Raghavendra Row, late Satt
Superintendent of Kasargod, in
South Canara District, for a
qualifying superior service of
6 years.

Sol

2. The Government observe
that the medical certificate is
dated one year and two months
subsequent to the date on
which the applicant ceased to
do

do duty and that as a matter of
fact he voluntarily left the
service of the Government and
was not compelled to retire
by incapacity for further
service -

3 Under these circumstances
he is not entitled to any
gratuity.

To the Collector of South Canara }
" Accountant General. } 20/8/74

*Fruticosa Macaensis, lat.
Salt Soil, Kasego in Guara.*

Port. of 1873 **MDCCCLXXIII.**

To
*The Collector of
Salt Customs.*

Dated *15th December*
Recd. 1873, No. 626

Nos.

*According to Grant
granted to the
Fazenda de Maca,
late salt depositary,
to be removed in the
District of Salt
Customs -*