M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 62

(2) Date of repair 20/12.61

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	616	~~Press~~ Mis.
Dated	9—12— 1873.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GODAVERY DISTRICT,
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
Cocanada 26th July 1873.

NO. 163.

From W. S. Foster Esquire
Ag. Collector

To
J. Lushington Esquire
Accountant General
Fort St George

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith an application for superannuation pension of Rs 8-5-4 on behalf of Haridoss Porushattam, late a Revenue Inspector of the Bheemavaram Taluq.

2. The whole period of his services in inferior and superior grades including breaks & amounts to Y. 39 - M. 9 - Days 28.

3. The applicant was dismissed on the 9th September 1835, ~~&~~ was degraded from 12 to 10 Rs in 1852, and was suspended

on two occasions viz. on the 16th April 1853 and on 27th July 1860

4. From document No. 5 dated 27th July 1860, however, it would appear that he was dismissed by the Joint Magistrate from the 25th idem; but from a note entered in the Merit book, it is proved that the punishment was mitigated to one years suspension on appeal, by Mr. A. Purvis, Collector. The term of suspension expired on the 24th July 1861 but he was not provided with a post until 1st September 1861 because his post was filled up and there was no other vacancy. The order mitigating the punishment is not forthcoming.

5. Translations of orders of dismissals, degradations & suspensions as well as the order of his reappointment in 1861 are enclosed.

1 Order of dismissal to the Amin of Ramachandrapur
2 Do — Do to the Applicant
3 Do — Do of degradation to Do
4 Do — Do of suspension to Do
5 Letter of dismissal Do
6 Order of reappointment Do
7 Affidavit

6. The period of his qualifying services in superior grades amounts to Y $\overset{M\ D}{19.7.21}$ of which Y 16-2-21 only have been verified by Record. Records of the remaining period are not forthcoming, and the applicant could not produce evidence of any contemporary employers. He gave, however, an affidavit that his services with the exception mentioned have been continuous, and I see no reason to disbelieve it.

7. No medical certificate is necessary in the applicants case under Government Order dated 18th July 1872 No. 571, and his unfitness for further service has been certified by the Divisional Officer under whom he is employed.

8. As before stated, I have not been supplied with the Service books referred to in Section 69 of the Civil Pension Code, & therefore none has been given to the applicant. I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

W. S. Foster

Ag. Collector

Accountant General's Office
Recd 5 8 1873
Ansd

The Collect
Godavery District,

To The Accountant General Fort St George

Dated 26th July 1873 No. 163.

Received.

Enclosures 8

SUBJECT.

Forwarding an application for pension on behalf of Haridoss Purushottam, Revenue Inspector Bheemavarammalikig

161 6756

Pen: Cu/Pg 720/73

dismissed –
Rajahmundry District
Collr Office Cocanada 14 Sept 1835
(Signed)
Coll

73

Statement of Service of the 2d Class Revenue no 7 Inspector of the Bheemavaram Taluq up to the end of June 1873

Designation of Office	Name	Age up to the End of June y	m	d	Pay	Office	Pay	Details of Service: From what to what date	Service y	m	d	Age when entered in the Service	
2d Class Revenue Inspector	Haridoss Purushottum	62	4	1	25	Aptt Sanabuinis Kota Ramachendrapur Taluq	10	From 30th Septr 1833 to 8th September 1835	1	11	9	y m d 22-7-5	There is a break of m d 3-12 from 9th Septr to 21st Decr 1835 as I was dismissed on the former date in consequence of a false charge having been preferred by me. No order appears to have been issued but the service is entered with reference to the pay abstracts.
						Police Mudatgar do do	10	22d Decr 1835 to 30th June 1837	1	6	8		
						Do do	12	1st July 1837 to 27th July 1839	2	.	26		
						Sub Officer of Ravilapalem Penugonda Taluq	10	28th July 1839 to 30th Septr 1845	6	2	2		
						Mudatgar of Tanuku Taluq	10	1st Octor /45 to 28th Feby 1848	2	4	27		
						Samutdar of Kodamunchi by Nursapur Taluq	12	29th Feby /48 to 18th Octor 1850	2	7	19		
						Sub Officer & Samutdar of Palcole do Taluq	12	19th Octor 1850 to 24th May 1852	1	7	7		I was suspended by the Sub Collr on the 16th April 1853 for not having collected the arrears of revenue due by the Samut and on the 20th June I was restored to my post the break from the former to the latter date being 2 months 4 days.
						Samutdar of Penuma=ram Dom Taluq	10	25th May 1852 to 31st May 1858 y m d 6 .. 6 Deduct vacant period .. 2-4 5-10-2	5	10	2		
						Sub Officer & Samutdar of Palcole do Taluq	12	1st June 1858 to 24th July 1860	2	1	24		The Joint Magistrate dismissed me on 25th July 1860 for the fault of my having used compulsion together with some others to a pariah to revert to the service of a labourer under some ryot tho' he gave it up. The period of suspension from that date on appeal is as follows.
						Samutdar of Yenugu=vanilanka do Taluq	10	1st Septr 1861 to 31st Jany 1862	..	5	..		
						Revenue Inspector of Kadaly range do Taluq	17	1st Feby 1862 to 31st Octor 1865	3	8	30		
							25	1st Novr /65 to 10th Septr 1869	3	10	10		
						2d Class Revenue Inspector Bheemavaram Taluq	25	11th Septr 1869 to 30th June 1873	3	9	19		y m d From 25th July 1860 to 24th July 1861 1 " "
									38	2	3		

Particulars	y	m	d
Rs 10 Service	18	3	18
Service from 12 Rs	19	10	15
	38	2	3
Add on account of breaks	1	6	23
	39	8	26

	y	m	d
As there was no vacancy the period of being without employ from 25th July to 31st Augt 1861	"	1	7
	1	1	7
Add the above break of service	"	5	16
	1	6	23

I do hereby solemnly declare that I have produced the service list with reference to the orders &c that were with me.

19th June 1873 (Sd) Haridoss Purushottum
Revenue Inspector.

(True Translation)

W S Foster
As. Collector

Encl. letter
From the Actg. Collector of Godavery
To Secretary to Government
Dated 28th July 1843
No. 163.
Translation of the affidavit

(2.1.) No 1

9B

Order to Haridas Purushottam.

As you have preferred a groundless, Improper and False charge against Gurjada Sriramulu you have been dismissed from the 9th Instant. -

Rajahmundry District
Collector's Office Coconada
14th September 1835
} (Signed) M Lewin
Collector

No 2

2068 (2.1.) To The Tahsildar of Ramachendrapur Taluk

Haridas Purushottam, the Police Madutgar under you, addressed an English Petition to me on the 9th Instant requesting that the Sub Officer's post might be Conferred on him, and stating among other Circumstances that Gurjada Sriramulu, the Huzur Magistrate writer was trying his best to get Gurjada Kondamma, Samutdar of Ramachendrapur, appointed to the post alluded to, because he was a relative of his.

You are hereby informed that this Purushottam has been dismissed from the 9th Instant. You are ordered to have the charge of his Office assumed

To a man of Sriramulu's enlightenment there would be no occasion to interfere in such matters. Such being the case, he preferred a false complaint against Sriramulu without any cause whatever. He is therefore dismissed -

Rajahmundry District
Collr Office Coconada 14 Sept 1835 } (Signed) M Lewin
Collector

No. 3

From the SubCollector of Rajahmundry
To, Haridas Purushottum, Sumutdar
of Palkollu, Magultur Taluk

As you had not collected the Revenue of the villages in your Sumut for Fusli 1260 according to the instalments, an Additional Sumutdar had been appointed. He therefore recovered the greater amount of Arrears. You have allowed Rupees 347-1-3 to lie over on account of the Revenue for the current year without taking active steps for the due realization of the Kists; Although You should now be necessarily dismissed from Service because you were so neglectful towards the settlement of the demand and Collections, Yet, in anticipation that you would in future be careful, I have reduced you to the Penumadam Sumutdar's post, which is a lower grade on 10 Rupees pay, from the 25th Instant. You will therefore go to that Sumut and perform the duties of your Office with proper care. Should you not be active in the management of the Government affairs even there, you are informed that you are not safe.-

Rajahmundry District
SubCollector's Office
Narsapoor 26th May 1852
(Signed) G.N. Taylor

(L.1.)

No. 4

To Haredas Purushattam
Sumutdar of Penumadam, Mogultur Taluk

Whereas strict orders have been issued to you pointing out that a great portion of the amount of Kists of the Current year's Revenue was outstanding against the villages of your Sumut; and that it should be brought to account within the End of March, and warning that you would otherwise be dismissed, Rupees 989-3-6 appear to have been allowed to lie over as an arrear of Kists without paying any attention to the instructions contained in the said Order. Because you have neglected the chief duty connected with your post of making the collections of Revenue, there is no use of allowing you to hold that post; You ought to have therefore been dismissed now only: but you are for the present suspended from your Office from this date, and Chillarige Bhimahsenarao is appointed to act for you. You are therefore informed, that you will not in future receive pay, and that the Acting incumbent will draw it. But if you be in company with the Acting Sumutdar and collect within the end of the month the entire balance of Kists due on the said Sumut, you will be restored to your post. If not, you will lose your appointment.-

Further you are to make over the charge of your Office to the Acting Samutdar, and obtain a receipt from him to that Effect.

Rajahmundry Distt.
Sub Collector's Office
Penupalem, 16 April 1853 } (Signed) G.A. Tayler
Sub Collector

No 5

No 54. From Adivi Ramachundrudu
Tahsildar of Narsapur Taluk.
To Haridas Parushottum Pantulu
Samutdar and Sub Police Officer
of Palkole.

I made a report to the Huzur an Enquiry into a charge complaining, among other Circumstances, that you and some others sent for Kota Nagadu (complainant) who is an Inhabitant of Palkole, and compelled him to take up the service of a laborer under Balla Ammanna (Defendant) while he gave up that service.

From that report the Joint Magistrate observed that it was proved that you had sent for the Complainant by a Volunteer peon and ordered him to work as a laborer under the Defendant, or to pay up the amount due to him; that you had compelled him to do so keeping him in the Cutcherry for 3 or 4 days and that the said Volunteer peon had taken one Anna as batta from the Prosecutor.

While you had no interference whatever in the matter, you sent a batta peon with a Takid, thereby procured the attendance of the Prosecutor from another village and used compulsion to him. This amounts to the Exercise of an authority which is not vested in you. For this fault, and for the investigation conducted by you of a charge No 260 preferred by the Prosecutrix Bhatta Janiki which you should not have held as well as for several other reasons that led to a conclusion that you have committed similar acts of ignorance, the Joint Magistrate considered you to be unfit for the Government service and issued an order No. 242 stating your dismissal from the 25th Instant. You are therefore to make over to the Samutdar of Vallur, the entire charge of your Police and Revenue duties, and obtain a receipt from him for the same.

Narsapur
27th July 1860 } (Signed) Adivi
Ramachundrudu
Tahsildar.

(L.S.) No 736. No 6

From the Collector of the

Godavery District

To Haridas Purushuttum

Suspended Samutdar of Palkole Samut.

As the time of your suspension has expired, You are appointed Samutdar of Enumavanilanka from 1st September on a salary of Rupees 10 under the Tahsildar of Narsapur - You had better therefore take charge of the Vallur Samut from Kopella Venkatrao, Samutdar of that Samut, and perform with great care the duties attached to your Office -

(Signed) A Purvis
Collector

Cocanada
27th August 1861

True Translations

W S Foster
Dy Collector

Tra. letter
From Acting Collector
of Godavery
To the Accountant
General Fort St George
Dated 26th July 1873
No 1153.
Translations
6 Orders &ca

Rec. Col. 26/7/73.

Proc 3054
16 Aug.

1153

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No.

Fort Saint George.
Accountant General's Office,
8th August 1873.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Acting Collector of Godavery

VIDE your Office application for Pension on behalf of Haidoss Poorooshotam—

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points :—

1. Why was the applicant shewn in the history of service to have been Police Sarabuwis of Drakshārama from 1 August/37 to 27 July/39, while from the Annual returns of 1 May/38 & 39, he appears to have been Mudatgar?

   (a) What was meant by Mudatgar and Samutdar?

2. What was the cause of degradation of the applicant on the 28 July/39 to the post of 10 Rupees pay from that of 12 Rupees?

3. Why 1st January 1865 was shewn as the date on which applicants pay was raised from 17 to 25 Rupees, while from the Audit Register for 1865/66 – 1 November/65 appears to have been the date of increase?

4. Why was applicant shewn to have been on sick leave for 1 Mo & 5 days only from 24 October/66, while from bills for October No 44. paid in Nov: and supplemental bill for Nov: & Dec/66 No 68. paid in January/67. he appears to have been absent from 8 Octr: to 23 November/66- is. 1 Mo & 16 days—

5. Why was Applicant's absence on Private affairs leave from 11th to 21 September /70 omitted to be shewn in the Register?

Please send Applicant's Service book - This book can be had on indent from the Supdt of Stationery, Madras. See Notification published at page 1205 of the Fort Saint George Gazette of 1872.

W. Goodrich
Dy Accountt Genl

Recd the 22/ /73

8871

No. 191.

To
The Dy. Accountant General
Fort Saint George.

The applicant was Madadgar in Drakshavama in 1837 to 1839. "Madadgar" is a gumasta. He was doing the duty of Police Jaunbuinis, i.e., reading the Police papers to the Head of Police. His name was therefore been entered in the Taluk Pay Abstracts as Police Jaunbuinis. Samtdar in old times represented the present Revenue Inspector.

2. The applicant was appointed while Madadgar to be Sub Officer with a reduction of 2 Rs in his pay. No reasons were recorded. It is supposed that the latter office was more dignified than the former.

3. The date of applicant's promotion was the 1st November and

5. This was Applicant's absence and his leave were the same as stated by you in paras 3, 4 & 5. The record keeper here made a mistake.

4. Indent has been sent to the Superintendent of Stationery, but the books have not been received.

Godavery District
Collector's office
Cocanada 4th September
1873

Ag. Collector

Accountant General's Office
Recd 11 9 1873
Ansd

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

~~No.~~

Rd 3538
19th

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
13 September 1873.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 30 .10 1873
Ansd

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Acting Collector of Godavery

VIDE your Office application for Pension on behalf of A. Poorooshottam -

Schmet
Sent A 130
24/9/73

The undersigned has the honor to request ~~explanation on the following points:~~ that the Collector will be good enough to forward to this office Applicant's service book duly filled up immediately after its receipt from the Supdt. of Stationery who was indented upon for the same vide para 4. of reply mem No 191 d/ 4 Instant -

Please state under what order of Govt. the Applt was retained in service after the lapse of one year for which sanction was accorded in G.O. No 709 d/ 1 May 1872 R.D.

(a). If he was not retained under a Govt. order please obtain sanction for his having been retained in service till 24 July last the date on which his services were dispensed with.

Please also state why the Applt. could not produce evidence of Contemporary Employes in support of his statement regarding his service.

Donald
Dy Accountt Genl

No. 221. To The Accountant General Fort St. Geo.

1 The Service Book has been sent to the Applicant for attestation and will shortly be sent.

2. The Special sanction of Government was applied for on the 24th September 1873 for the Applicant's having been retained in Service till the 24th July last.

3 The applicant first said he could not find any contemporary employees who could give the information about his services. But the General duty Deputy Collector reports that the Applicant has since been able to name two witnesses,x whose depositions are enclosed. I am inclined to believe these statements, as the deponents are reported to be respectable people.

x Vide translation of his deposition enclosed.

Godavery District
Collector's Office
Cocanada 23d October
1873

H Richardson
Deputy Collector
for Acg Collector, absent
on duty.

9/2511

Exd. K. Seshagiri Rao
English Clerk

TK

---

203 B

Narsapur
29th September 1873

Deposition given by Haridoss Purushottam, who retired from service for pension, 2d Class Revenue Inspector.

I can prove my former service by Kakulavarapu Ramagee Row pantulu, an inhabitant of Narsapur, who is a pensioned Head Gumasta of this Taluq & who is aware of my services from the entertainment and by Indumakhy Sreenivasarow, a Revenue Inspector of the Taluq, who is aware of my service from 1848. I therefore request that they may be examined.

I have heard the deposition; it is correct.

(Signed) Haridoss Pinchattam

Taken before me this 29th day of September 1873

(Signed) A. Sudarshana Row
Deputy Collector

(True Translation)

H Richardson
Deputy Collector
for Ag Collector

Ex. H.S.C

Pre: Co: No 20/73

Narsapur
29th September 1873

1st Witness.

Deposition, given on solemn affirmation by Kakulanarasapu Annajirow, Removed Headgumasta on the establishment of the Narsapur Taluk.

I have known from 1833, Haridoss Purushottam Pantulu, Revenue Inspector of the Bheemavaram Taluk, who was ordered to retire. This man was employed as Assistant Jamabundi in Kota Ramachendrapur Taluk on 10 Rs. pay and was afterwards transferred to the Police Madatgar's post on a similar salary in the same Taluk. From this, he

up

he was promoted to a Madatgar's place on 12 Rs. He was there transferred to the post of Police Sub officer at Ramlapalem, in Penugonda Taluk on a salary of 10 Rs. He was again transferred from this to the Madatgar's post in the Tanuku Taluk on equal pay. From this, he was promoted to be Samutdar of Kodamanchili on 12 Rs in the Narsapur Taluk. From this post, he was transferred, to that of Palcole Samut on equal pay and thence to Pennadam Samutdar's post on 10 Rs. He was again transferred

to Palcole to be the Sub officer and Samutdar and was reduced to Yenuganamilanka Samutdar's post on 10 Rs. He was employed as Revenue Inspector of Kadali Range on 17 Rs, in the new arrangement in 1862. He held this and a similar one on 25 Rs for a certain time. He was transferred from this to the post of a Revenue Inspector on 25 Rs in the Bheemavaram Taluk. He was thus employed till two months back.

Of the Service of 39 years and odd from 1833 from which date

date, I have known Purushottam Pantulu, there were breaks amounting in all to 18 months, as particularized below:-

	Months - Days
A break, occassioned by the dismissal of this man from the Assistant [illegible] post of Kota Ramachandrapur Taluk in 1835 on the ground of his having preferred a false complaint and by restoration to the same, after about 3 months	3 —
A break consequent on the suspension from Samutdar's post of Pennumadam in the Narsapur Taluk for 2 months in 1853 for not having Collected the arrears of Revenue	2
When he was holding the Palcole Samutdar's post, he was dismissed from service in 1860 for his having improperly sent for a field labourer. In appeal, it was decided that he should be suspended for 12 months only.	12
	17 —

2/.

	m. - d
Brought forward -	17 — "
The probable period intervening the date of the expiration of suspension and that of re-appointment in consequence of his post having been permanently filled up	1 — "
	18 — "

G - 1 — 6 — "

But there were no other breaks.

I and Purushottam Pantulu being residents of this district, and as I held the Madatgar's post in the Taluks of Narsapur, and Tanuku, I am well acquainted with the details of his service.

I am 72 years old.

I have heard the deposition. It is correct.

(Sd) Pakulanarapu
Annaji Row.

29th September 1873.

Taken

Taken before me on solemn affirmation, this 29th day of September 1873

(Signed) A. Sudersana Row
Dy Collector.

(True Translation)

H Richardson
Deputy Collector for
Actg Collector

E.K.S.R.C.

Collector's office and a simil...

33

Narsapur.
29th September 1873.

2nd Witness.

Deposition, given on solemn affirmation by Indummkhy Sreenivasarow, 2nd class Revenue Inspector in the Bhimavaram Taluk.

From 1848, I have known Haridass Purushottam Pantulu, who was ordered to retire from Service.

This man held the Kodamanchili Samutdar's post on 12 Rupees for upwards of 2 years from 1848. From this, he was transferred to the Palcole Samutdar and Sub office on equal pay in 1850 and held the same for about a year and half.

He

He was thence reduced to the Pennumadam Samutdar's post on 10 Rs pay. While he was holding this post, he was suspended for 2 months for his not having realized the arrears of Revenue. He held this post for about 6 years. He was transferred to the Samutdar's post of Palcole on 12 Rs in 1,858. This was held by him for upwards of 2 years. While he was holding this post, he was suspended for one year on the ground of a complaint against him for his having improperly sent for one of the field labourers. He was afterwards reduced to the Yenuganamilanka Samutdarship ~~post~~ on 10 Rs. He held this post for 5 months. In the new arrangement, that was carried out on the 1st February, 1862, he was made 2nd class Revenue Inspector in the Narsapur Taluk. He held this post for more than 7 years. He was transferred from Kadali Range to an Inspector's post in the Bheemavaram Taluk on equal pay. He held it for about 4 years.

There are no other breaks in the Service of Purushottam Pantulu than the 14 months of suspensions, as already detailed above. When he was holding the

Palcole Samutdar's post, he was dismissed first in 1860, but in appeal, the dismissal was reduced to suspension for 12 months. As another man had been permanently appointed to it on the dismissal, he could not be restored to his post and was therefore without employ for one month until a vacancy occurred.

There are no other breaks than the total period of 15 months above alluded to. I have known the service of Haridoss Purushottam Pantulu properly well, because I held a gumasta's post in the Sub Collector's

2/

Collector's office and a similar post in the Narsapur and Bhimavaram Taluk as well as that of a Revenue Inspector.

I have heard the deposition; it is correct.

(Signed) J. Sreenivasarowe.
Revenue Inspector.

Taken before me on solemn affirmation this 29th day of September 1873.

(Signed) A. Sudersana Row
Dy Collector.

(True Translation)

H. Richardson
Dy Collector for
Ag Collector.

Exd K. S.E.O

Pen: Sel No 720/73

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	FATHER'S Name.	FATHER'S Residence.	Date of birth.	Age.			Exact Height.		Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
						Years	Ms.	Days.	Feet.	In.				
Haridoss Purushottum	Bramin - Mardhana	Cocanada	Haridoss Timmoji	Cocanada	About 26th March 1811	62	5	-	5	2	One Scar on the left arm made by a "Lidi" nut; one burn near the navel on the belly; one mole on the forehead	26th July 1873		W S Foster Coll

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

39B

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
			Rs			
Madatgar of Kota Ramachendrapur Taluk -	Permanent and Substantive	"	10	✓ "	1st October 1833	
Do -	do -	"	10	✓ "	22d Decr 1835	
Do	Do	"	12	✓	1st Aug 1837	
Sub Officer of Ravulapalem Penugonda Division	Do	"	10	✓	28th July 1839	
Madatgar of Tanuku Taluk	Do	"	10	✓	1st Octr 1845	
Samutdar of Kodamanchili Mogultur Taluk -	Do	"	12		1st March 1848	
Do - of Palcole Do Taluk	Do	"	12		1st Octr 1850	
Do of Penumadam Do Taluk	Do	"	10		25th May 1852	
Do —— Do —— Do -	Do	"	10	✓	20th June 1853	

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. Haridoss Purushottum

Caste or Race. Bramin Mardhava

Residence. Cocanada

FATHER'S. Name. Haridoss Timmaji

FATHER'S. Residence. Cocanada

Date of birth. 26th March 1811

No 616 of /73

Pen: Sub No 720/73.

---

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Under dismissal from 9th September 1835 to 21st December 1835 viz — Yr Md 3-13 under an order of Collector Mr Lewin d/14th September 1835 for having preferred a groundless charge against an official — Degraded on the 25th May 1852 from 12 to 10 Rs by the Sub Collector Mr Taylor for remissness in collecting revenue — Vide order dated 26th May 1852 from the Sub Collector Under Suspension from 16th April to 19th June 1853 viz — 2-4 for the above reason — Vide order from the Sub Collector dated 16th April 1853 —	W S Foster Ac Coll.	8th Septr 1835	Dismissed	W S Foster Ac Coll
		31st July 1837	Promoted	
		27th July 1839	Transferred	
		30th Septr 1845	Do-	
		End of Feby 1848	Promoted	
		30th Septr 1850	Transferred	
		24th May 1852	Degraded	
		15th April 1853	Suspended	
		31st May 1858	Promoted	

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Samutdar of Palcole, Mogultur Taluk	Permanent & Substantive	"	12	"	1st June 1858		Was dismissed on the Under suspension from 25th July 1860 to 31st Aug 1861 viz 1Y 1m 6d under an order of the Joint Magistrate Mr Vanasgnew for having compelled a field labourer to join his masters service But the dismissal was cancelled by the Collector on appeal & the man was under suspension from 25th July 1860 to 31st Aug 1861 viz 1-1-6 Order not forthcoming - Vide letter from the Narsapur (head) Police to the man dated 27th July 1860 - - - - 1m 15d Leave on private affairs from 6th July 1863 to 20th Aug 1863 — viz 0-1-15 Sick leave from 8th October 1866 to 23rd November 1866 viz 0-1-16 Leave on private affairs — 11th September to 21st September 1870 0-0-11	G. J. Foster Coll	24th July 1860	Suspended	G. J. Foster Coll
Do of Yenuguvanilanka Narsapur Taluk	Do	"	10		1st Septr 1861				31st Jany 1862	Promoted	
Revenue Inspector Narsapur Taluk	Do	"	17		1st Feby 1862				31st Octr 1865	Do	
Do do	Do	"	25		1st August 1865				30th Septr 1869	Transferred	
Do do of Bhimavaram Taluk	Do		25		1st Octr 1869				24th July 1873	Retired	

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	Si

---

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~GRATUITY.~~

1. Name of Applicant. Haridoss Purushattam

2. Father's name. Haridoss Timmajy

3. Sect or Caste. Bramin. Mardhava

4. Residence. Cocanada.

5. Present or last employment. Revenue Inspector Bheemavaram Taluq

6. Date of beginning of service 1st October 1833

7. Date of end of service 24th July 1873.

		Y. M. D
8. Length of service including breaks	... ...	39 · 9 · 28
of which superior	... ...	19 - 7 - 21
inferior	... ...	18 · 4 - 24
non-qualifying and breaks	... ...	1 - 9 · 13

9. Class of {pension / gratuity} applied for, and cause of application.* ... Superannuation Pension from old age

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. 25 Rupees.

11. Proposed pension. Rs 8 - 5 - 4.

12. Proposed gratuity. " " "

13. Date from which to commence. 25th July 1873

14. Place of payment. Narsapur

15. Age of applicant on this date. y. m. d. 62 - 5 - "

16. Height. Feet 5. Inches 2.

17. Marks. One scar on the left arm made by a (Jidi) nut. One burn near the navel on the belly. One mole on the forehead.

18. Date. 26th July 1873

*If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

W S Foster
Ag Collector
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Haridoss Purushottam

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Collector's Establishment	Assistant Police Sanadimis in the Kota: Ramachendrapur Taluq	10	.		"	1st Octor/1833	30th Septr 1834	"	"	"	1	.		Inferior	By record	This period does not count for any retiring allowance having been vitiated by dismissal.
						1st Octor/34	31st Decr 1834	"	"	"	"	3	"	Do	Evidence	
						1st January/35	8th Septr/35	"	"	"	"	8	8	Do	Record	
						9th Septr/35	21st Decr/35	"	"	"	"	3	13	Without Employ having been dismissed		This period does not count for pension on the superior scale being service in inferior grades
	Do	10	.		"	22d Decr/35	31st Augt/36	.				8	10	Inferior	Record.	
	Do do of Drukshârama	10	.		..	1st Septr/36	30th June/37	.				10		Do	Do	
						1st July/37	31st July/37	-				1	"	Do	Evidence	
	[illegible] Do	12	-		-	1st Augt/37	27th July/39	1	11	27	.	.		Superior	Record	verified from the records of this and Collr's offices
	Total period of {superior / inferior} service...					....										
	Sub Office of Rewulapailem in the Pennugonda Div.	10	.		..	28th July/39	30th June/41	.	.	.	1	11	3	Inferior	Do	
						1st July/41	30th June/42	-	-	-	1	.	.	Do	Evidence	
						1st July/42	31st Decr/42	.	.	.	.	6	.	Do	Record	
						1st January/43	31st January/43	"	.	.	.	1	"	Do	Evidence	Vide 2nd remark above.
						1st Febry/43	30th April/44	-	-	.	1	3	"	Do	Record	
						1st May/44	31st May/44	.	.	.	.	1	"	Do	Evidence	
						1st June/44	30th Septr/45	-	-	.	1	4	-	Do	Record	
	Madatgar Tanuku Taluq	10				1st Octor/45	End of February 1848	.	-	.	2	5		Do	Do	

Samutdar of Kodamanchilly in Mogultur Taluq	12	.	.	1st March 1848	30th Septr 1850	2	7	.	.	.	-	Superior	Record	Verified from the records of this and Collector's Offices
Samutdar and Sub-Officer of Palcole in the Mogultur Taluq	12	.	.	1st Octor/50	24th May 1852	1	7	24	"	.		Do	Do	In the annual return of 1st May 1852 he was shown to have been on receipt of 10 Rupees but from the moyenzabitha he appears to have drawn at 12 Rs. upto 24th May 1852.
Samutdar of Penumadam Mogultur Taluq	10	.	.	25th May/52	15th April/53	-	-	.	.	10	22	Inferior	Do	
				16th April/53	19th June/53	-	-	.	.	2	4	Under Suspension		Vide remark No. 2 above
Do	10	-	-	20th June/53	30th June/53	-	-	.	.	.	11	Inferior	Record	Verified from the records of this and Collector's Offices — The Applicant was dismissed on the 25th July 1860 by the Joint Magistrate but the dismissal on appeal to the Collector was commuted into suspension — When the applicant was dismissed his place having been permanently filled up he was not provided with a place immediately on expiration of suspension period but was provided with one on 10 Rs. after the lapse of one month and 7 days — Vide Collector's letters dated 27th August /61 and 26th July 1873 No. 163
				1st July/53	31st Decr/53	-	-	-	6	.		Do	Evidence	
				1st January/54	31st May/58	-	.	.	4	5	.	Do	Record	
Samutdar of Palcole Mogultur Taluq	12	.	.	1st June/58	30th June/58	-	1	"	-	-	-	Superior	Do	
				1st July/58	31st May/59	..	11	-	-	-	-	Do	Evidence	
				1st June/59	31st Octor/59	-	5	.	-	-	-	Do	Record	
				1st Nov/59	30th Nov/59	-	1	-	-	-	-	Do	Evidence	
				1st Decr/59	24th July/60	-	7	24	-	-	-	Do	Record	
Do of Yenugu:vanilapaka Samut of Narsapur Taluq	10	.	- - -	25th July/60	31st Augt/61	-	-	-	1	1	6	Under Suspension		
				1st Septr/61	31st Jany/62	-	-	-	-	5	-	Inferior	By record	Vide remark No. 2 above

Revenue Inspector of Mursap Riding	17	.	.	.	1st February 1862	End of Feby 1862	..	1	..	-	.	-	Superior	Evidence
					1st March 1862	31st May/62	.	3	.	.	.	.	Do	Record.
					1st June/62	30th June/62	..	1	..	-	.	-	Do	Evidence
					1st July/62	31st Augt/62	.	2	.	-	-	.	Do	Record
					1st Sept/62	30th Septr/62	-	1	-	.	.	.	Do	Evidence
					1st Octo/62	31st Janny/63	..	4	-	-	.	.	Do	Record.
					1st Febry/63	End of Febry 1863	..	1	..	-	.	-	Do	Evidence
					1st March/63	31st March 1863 }	..	1	..	-	.	-	Do	Record
					1st April/63	30th April/63	..	1	.	-			Do	Evidence
					1st May/63	5th July/63	.	2	5	-	.	.	Do	Record
					6th July/63	20th Augt/63	-	.	.	..	1.	15	Do Leave on half pay	Record
					21st Augt/63	31st Octor/63	-	2	11	-	.	.	Do (Superior)	Do
					1st Novr/63	30th June/64	.	8	.	.	-	-	Do	Evidence
					1st July/64	31st July/64	.	1	-	-	-	.	Do	Record.
					1st Augt/64	31st Augt/64	.	1	.	-	.	.	Do	Evidence
Do	25	.	.	.	1st Septr/64	31st Decr/64	-	4	.	-	.	.	Do	Record
					1st Janny/65	31st March 1865	.	3	.	-	.	.	Do	Do
					1st Apl/65	31st May/65	-	2	.	.	.		Do	Evidence
					1st June/65	31st Octor/65	.	5	.	-	-		Do	Record

Rev. Inspr of [illegible] [illegible] Taluq				1st Novr/65	30th Novr/65	.	1	.	.	.	.	Superior	Evidence	
				1st Decr/65	31st Augt/66	.	9	.	-	.	.	Do	Record	
				1st Septr/66	30th Septr/66	.	1	.	-	.	.	Do	Evidence	
				1st Octr/66	23rd Octr/66	-	-	23	-	.	.	Do	Record	
				24th Octr/66	28th Novr/66	-	-	.	-	1	5	Do Sick leave on half pay	Do	
				29th Novr/66	31st Decr/66	-	1	3	—	.	.	Do	Record	
				1st Jany/67	31st Jany/67	.	1	.	-	.	.	Do	Evidence	Verified from the records of this Office Portions of service which have not been verified from the records have been supported by applicants affidavit and statements of contemporary employees Applicants qualifying service after deducting the period vitiated by dismissal, suspension and leaves is years 19-6-27.
				1st Feby/67	31st Augt/67	.	7	.	-	.	.	Do	Record	
				1st Septr/67	30th Septr/67	-	1	-	.	.	.	Do	Evidence	
				1st Octr/67	31st Octr/67	-	1	.	.	-	-	Do	Record	
				1st Novr/67	31st Decr/67	-	2	.	-	.	.	Do	Evidence	
				1st Jany/68	End of Feby 1868	-	2	.	-	.	.	Do	Record	
				1st March/68	31st March 1868	..	1	.	-	.	-	Do	Evidence	
				1st Apl/68	31st May/68	-	2	.	-	.	.	Do	Record.	
				1st June/68	31st July/68	.	2	.	-	.	.	Do	Evidence	
				1st Augt/68	31st Augt/68	.	1	.	.	.	.	Do	Record.	
				1st Septr/68	30th Septr/68	..	1	-	-	.	.	Do	Evidence	
				1st Octr/68	31st Octr/68	..	1	-	.	.	.	Do	Record	
				1st Novr/68	30th Novr/68	.	1	-	.	.	.	Superior	Evidence	
				1st Decr/68	31st Decr/68	-	1	-	.	.	.	Do	Record.	
				1st Jany/69	End of Feby 1869	..	2	.	-	-	-	Do	Do	
				1st March/69	31st March 1869	..	1	.	.	.	.	Do	Evidence	
Revenue Inspector of Bheemavarum Taluq	25	.	. -	1st Octr/69	30th Novr/70	1	2	.	-	.	-	Do	Record	
				1st April/69	30th Septr/69	..	6	.	-	.	.	Do	Record	
				1st Decr/70	31st Decr/70	..	1	.	-	-	-	Do	Evidence	
				1st Jany/71	24th Feby/73	2	6	24	-	-	.	Do	Record	
					Total.	19	7	21	20	2	7			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.

Was dismissed under an order of Collector Mr Lewin dated 14th Sept 1835 for having preferred a groundless charge against an official. Vide dep. order to Amin of Ramachendrapur of the same date. Was degraded from 12 to 10 Rs salary on 25th May 1852 by the Sub Collector Mr Taylor for remissness in collecting revenue. Was suspended on 16 April 1853 by the Sub Collector Mr Taylor for the same reason. Was dismissed on the 25th July 1860 by the Joint Magistrate Mr Vandergen for having compelled a field labourer to join his Master's service, but the dismissal was cancelled by the Collector Mr Purvis on appeal & the man was suspended for a year. Order not forthcoming. Vide letter from the Sirsapur Head Police to the man dated 27th July 1860. I do not see any reason to think that there is any blot in the man's character notwithstanding he was suspended, degraded & dismissed, as none of the faults for which he was punished really affect his general character

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. none

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. none.

5. Any other remarks. none

W. S. Foster
Ag. Collector
SIGNATURE.

No. 410

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ superior} grades has been duly proved for 19 years 6 months and 27 days; and that a Superannuation {pension ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 8-5-4 per mensem, is admissible under Section 57 of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

Fort Saint George
Accountant Genl.'s Office
19th November 1873

W. Donald
Offg. Dy. Accountant-General.

To The Undersecretary to Government
Pension Department

Pension 1873 Depart.
No 720

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION OR
{~~GRATUITY~~

Date of application. 26th July 1873

Name of applicant. Haridoss Purushattam

Last appointment. Revenue Inspector Bheema
varam Taluq

Class of {pension ~~or~~
{~~gratuity~~ Superannuation

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement. 25th July 1873

Date of sanction.

List

Order thereon 9th December 1873 No 616

Under sections 53 & 56(b) of the Civil Pension Code His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Haridoss Purushattam late Revenue Inspector Bheemavaram Taluq Godavery District a Superannuation pension of Rs 8-5-4 per mensem payable from the 25th July 1873.

With an order No 616

To the Collector of the Godavery District
—"— Accountant-General with register } despd 12/12/73
G.M.G