

(1) Number of pages 8(2) Date of repair 19-12-40(3) Initials of the Referencer G

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

501

Press

~~Mis.~~

Dated

6-10-1873.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,

6th October 1873.

Read the following letter from R. W. LODWICK, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Hon. W. HUDLESTON, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Fort St. George, 8th September 1873, No. 293 :—

Audit Department.

I have the honour, with reference to paragraph 2 of G. O., No. 453, dated 1st September 1873, Pension Department, to submit that the orders in the cases of the two individuals referred to in the margin of Proceedings of Government of the 4th July last, No. 337, Pension Department, and alluded to in the second paragraph of Government Order under acknowledgment, appear to be special and individual, and not of general application. It does not, therefore, appear to me clear that the principle laid down, that certain Maistries are to be exempted from the provision of the Pension Code, which excludes this class of men by name from the category of those entitled to superior pension, is correct.

2. I, therefore, respectfully request that the Right Honourable the Governor in Council may be moved to obtain from the Government of India clear orders relative to the classes of Maistries which are eligible to pension under Section 38 (1-b) of the Pension Code.

ORDER THEREON, 6th October 1873, No. 501.

In the case of Nati Ramanna, which was one of those alluded to in G. O., dated 4th July 1873, No. 337, his service as Maistry was assumed to qualify; but a reference was made to the Government of India on another point, viz., a break in his service exceeding one year. Before disposing of his case the Government of India called for information as to the circumstances under which he was allowed to count his service as Maistry as qualifying for pension, and were informed, in reply, that the designation "Maistry," formerly applied to certain subordinate officials in the Public Works Department, did not imply that they were Artificers, as they were in fact Overseers, and reference was made to a previous correspondence and

* G. O., dated 21st March 1865, No. 103. order* in which the matter had been fully explained. On receipt of this reply the Government of India sanctioned the grant of the pension, notwithstanding the break of one year's service, and without alluding further to the question of the service as Maistry.

2. In the case which has given rise to this reference† on the part of the Accountant-General, the exclusion or inclusion of the service does not affect the amount of pension to which the applicant is entitled, and it is, therefore, unnecessary to address the Government of India so far as it is concerned; but, if the Accountant-General is not yet satisfied, he is at liberty to bring the question before Government when occasion again arises.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General.

Ed. J. H. Burns.

Audit DEPARTMENT.

No. 293

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
8 September 1873.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To THE

Chief Secretary
to Government
Pension Department

SIR,

I have the honor with reference to para 2 of Government Order No. 453 dated 1st September 1873 Pension Department, to submit that the Orders in the cases of the two individuals referred to in the margin of Proceedings of Government of the 14th July last No. 33 Pension Department, and alluded to in the 2^d para of Government Order under acknowledgment appear to be special and individual, and not of general application. It does not therefore appear to me clear, that the principle laid down, that certain ministries are to be exempted from the provision of the Pension Code which excludes this class of men by name from the category of those entitled to pension, is correct.

2. I therefore respectfully request that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council may be moved to obtain from the Government of India, clear orders relative to the classes of ministries which are eligible to pension under Section 38. 1. b. of the Pension Code.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your obedient servant

Peradurich

Accountant General

Comptroller

Bolet. of 1873

PENSION.

2^a

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Dated October, 6th.

Recd.

Ent.

G. O., 6th October 1873, No. 501.

OCTOBER

NO. 34-35.

Considering it unnecessary to address the Government of India on the question as to the classes of Ministries which are eligible to pension under Section 38 (1-b) of the Pension Code, for the reason stated.

Madras 1873 Sept 2
From
The Accountant General
to
The Chief Secretary
to Government
Revenue Department

Dated 8th Sept 1873.
No. 293.

No.
Requesting that clear
orders from Government
of India may be
obtained relative to the
Classes of Praichies
which are eligible to
pension under Section
381b of the Pension Code

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from the

Accountant General, dated
8th September 1873, No. 293.

Order thereon, 6th October 1873, No. 501.

Note

This is already the second
reference which has been
made although no order
has been received without
objection of Mr. Rushington.

This question is now
before the Govt of India
reference to the Govt of India
in which the applicant is
recommended to a
half pension for his
service including nine years
odd as a Praichy and falls
short of 25 years & nine
days.

In the case of Naki
Ramanna, which was one
of those alluded to in
G. O. dated 4th July 1873,
No. 537, his service as
Praichy was assumed
to qualify, but a reference
was made to the Govern-
ment of India on another
point, namely a break
in his service exceeding
one year. Before disposing
of his case the Govern-
ment of India called
for information as to the
circumstances under
which he was allowed
to count his service
as

as *Maistry*, as qualifying
for pension, and were
informed in reply, that
the designation "*Maistry*",
formerly applied to certain
Subordinate officials
in the Public Works Dept.,
did not imply that they
were artificers, as they
were in fact Overseers,
and reference was made
to a previous correspond-
-ence and order, in which *Govt. G.O. of 21st March 1865*
the matter had been fully
explained. On receipt of
this reply the Government
of India sanctioned the
grant of the pension
notwithstanding the
break of one year's service,
and without alluding further
to the question of the ser-
-vice as *Maistry*.

In the case which
has given rise to this
reference on the part *Govt. G.O. of 21st Sept 1873 No 453*

of the Accountant General
the exclusion or inclusion
of the service does not
affect the amount of
pension to which the
Applicant is entitled,
and it is therefore unnec-
-essary to address the
Government of India
so far as it is concerned,
but if the Accountant
General is not yet satis-
-fied, he is at liberty
to ^{bring} raise the question
when occasion again
arises.

Before Govt

To the Accountant General } *S & C 21, copies*
desp'd 17/10/73

For 1 September 1873 No. 453

India

Govt. of 11873 India.

To

The Secretary of the

Dated 1st
Recd. 1st

1873, No. 453

Nos.

Considering it is

necessary to submit
the Government of India
on the question as to the
classes of persons
which are eligible
to Indian and British
38.1.6 of the Indian
Code for the reasons
stated.

Report