M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 66

(2) Date of repair 9-12-40

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 257 Press/Mis.

Dated 20-5 1873.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

9.12.40

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department, *20th May* 1873.

Read application from Mohadeen Saib, of Ramachendrapur Taluq, Godavery District, through the Accountant-General, dated 4th November 1872.

ORDER THEREON, 20th May 1873, No. 257.

The Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Mohadeen Saib, lately a tappal peon in the Godavery District, an invalid gratuity of Rupees 30 for his qualifying inferior service of years 29-6-24.

2. The Accountant-General will be informed that the Acting Collector of the Godavery was mistaken in supposing that the District Postal Establishment, prior to its reorganization by Mr. Pelly, was otherwise than permanent.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Collector of the Godavery District.
,, Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of 1873 Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE COLLECTOR OF THE
GODAVERY DISTRICT,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated May, 20th.

Recd. Encl.

G. O., 20th May 1873, No. 257.

MAY.

Granting an invalid gratuity of Rupees 30 to Mohadeen Saib, late a tappal peon at Drakshurams Godavery District.

---

51 No. 376.

Godavery District
Collector's Office
Cocanada
4th November 1872

From

W. S. Foster Esqre

3/

Ag. Collector

To

F. Lushington Esqre
Accountant General
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith an application for an invalid gratuity of Rupees 30 in favor of Mohadeen saib, late a tappal peon in the Ramachendrapur Taluq on the District Post Establishment.

2 A medical certificate certifying his unfitness for further service is herein enclosed. It was granted on the 28th October 1872 while the peon was summarily

discharged as unfit from old age in January last.

3 Mohadeen Saibs services extend over a period of $\overset{Y\ \ M\ \ D}{39-0-23}$ exclusive of breaks and non-qualifying service. Some evidence was adduced to shew that his services were continuous, but I am not satisfied that Mohadeen Saib was re-employed immediately after his suspension in 1836. There are no records to shew that he was re-employed in Peddapur, Yeleswaram or Yernagudem as he stated in his affidavit. The witnesses cannot say whether there were any breaks in the applicants

service

5

service, but I cannot but think that his services from April 1842 when he was re-employed as verified by records were continuous, though a few months here and there could not be verified by records simply because they are not forthcoming. As it appears that the applicant was suspended in 1836 for not having detected a crime, but as it does not appear that he was reinstated, I am of opinion that he forfeits his past services up to 1836, and I am not consequently prepared to recommend him for pension, though he apparently has 39 years' service. The order of his suspension and the order of his re-appointment are not forthcoming; but both points have been verified by entries in pay abstracts. Translation of the applicant's Affidavit and of the depositions of his contemporary employes are herewith forwarded.

4 A great deal of time has been taken up in searching records for the verification of the applicant's services, and the delay in the submission of this report is in consequence.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

W S Foster
A. Collector

Accountant General's Office
Recd 12
Ansd 5 11 1872

P.A

From 1872
The Collector
of Godavery District
To
The Accountant General
Madras.
Dated 4th November 1872
Received
No. 376
Encls. 5.
No.

Subject.

Forwarding an application for a pension of Rs 30 on behalf of Mohadeen Saib late a Duffadar Peon in the Revenue Establishment.

No 11074 878

No. 154 1/11/72 2057 Certificate 9/

I, W. E. Wright Civil Surgeon Coconada do hereby Certify that I have carefully examined Mohadeen Saib, that his age is by his own statement 80 years and by appearance about 70 and that I find him to be unfit for further service from Chronic Rheumatism, old age and infirmity not in my opinion attributable to intemperance or other irregular habits.

W E Wright
Civil Surgeon
Coconada

Coconada
28th October
1872

Statement given on solemn Affirmation by Mohaddinsaib, late Tappal Runner, between Draksharama and Kotipalli in Ramachendrapur Taluk.

My father Dada Saib was a Dalayet on Rebati Huzur While Mr Kendersley was the Collector of this District. On the death of my father, Lal Saib then a peon in the Peddapur Taluk was appointed to fill his place and I was granted his situation on Rs 4. I served as such for about 12 years, and then Madala Papayya a peon in the Cocanada Dn and myself exchanged our situations

how long he has served there.

on Mutual Consent: Mr. Hanbury was the then Collector of this District I served in the Cocanada Division for 8 years. I and 4 other peons were then suspended for a period of three Months for not being able to apprehend the thieves Concerned in a Case of House breaking then Committed. After the term of the said suspension was over, I was favored with the situation of a Sayer peon on Rs 4 in the Peddapur Taluk and posted to Eleswaram Chouky, where I served for 4 years. I was afterwards appointed to act for a peon on 5 Rs in the Yerra Gudem Taluk, where I worked for one year. I was then sent as a peon in Cola Ramachandrapur Taluk on Rs 4, where I Conducted my duties for 10 years. I was afterwards appointed a peon on Rs 5 in the Amlapur Taluk, where I discharged my functions for a period of 16 years. I used to Convey Mails from Amlapur to Kotipalli. I was afterwards transferred to Drakshrama as a Tappal peon it being my residence while holding that situation my services were dispensed with. I used to Convey Mails from Drakshrama to Arevattam. I cannot say from and to what year I served the Government. As I am an illetrate I have no good Memory I can prove the fact of my having

how long he has served there.

Served the Government as above detailed by Lal Saib and Shaik Kannan who have known me and who are now on the Pension List. I have heard this Statement and it is Correct.

Mark of Mohaddin
Saib
is affixed

3rd October 1872

Taken before me this 3rd October
1872

(Signed) A Richardson
Dy Collector

True Translation

W S Foster
Ag Collector

Statement given on solemn affirmation by Lal Saib lately an attender in the Head Assistant Collector's Office of this District and at present a Pensioner on Rupees 4 per month.

I have known Mohaddeen Saib before you. Previous to about 40 years viz during the incumbency of Mr Kindersley, when I was favored with a Dalayet's place at Huzur, this Mohaddeen saib was granted the peons situation on Rupees 4 per month in the Peddapur Taluq, which I had been holding up to that time. I think that he
served

served there for about 10 years. He was afterwards appointed a Police Peon on Rupees 4 in the Cocanada Division, where he served for about 7 or 8 years. He was afterwards appointed a Sayer peon in the Peddapur Taluq. I do not remember the period he served there. Sometime afterwards he was sent as a Revenue peon in Kota Ramachendrapur Taluq. I do not know at what rate he drew his salary there. I recollect that he rendered his services there for about 10 or 12 years. When the District Tappal

Establishment

establishment was organized he was granted a peon's situation in that Department and posted to Amalapur Taluq where I heard he served for a period of about 15 years. He was afterwards transferred as a Tappal Peon in Draksharama. I heard that he drew his pay at Rupees 5 per month in both the above stations. He was serving at Draksharama until discontinued. I cannot say how long he has served there.

Ser.

served there for about 10 years.

I have heard this statement, and it is correct.

Mark of Lal Saib
is affixed

3rd October 1872

Taken before me this 3rd October 1872

(Signed) H Richardson
Deputy Collector

(True Translation)

W S Foster
Ag Collector

Statement given on Solemn affirmation by Sheik Kamam Saib lately a peon in the Kota Ramachandrapur Taluq and at present a Pensioner.

I have known Mohadeen Saib now present. About 40 years ago, when Mr Kindersely was the Collector of this District, I was a dalayet on Rupees 6 at Huzur. The said Mohadeen Saib was at that time appointed a Peon on 4 Rupees per month in the Peddapur Taluq. He is the son of Dada Saib my contemporary Dalayet. From Peddapur he was transferred to the Cocanada Division as a Police Peon.

I do not remember what pay he used to draw. Some time afterwards he was directed to take up the situation of a sayer Peon at Yelesvaram Chowky attached to the Peddapur Taluq. While holding this situation he was appointed a Revenue peon in the Ramachendrapur Taluq, where I heard, he drew his pay at Rupees 4 per month. From that Taluq he was sent as Tappal peon in the Amalapur Taluq. I heard that he drew his pay there also at Rupees 4 per month; but I am not certain of it. I do not know the station to

to which he was transferred from Amalapur as well as the period he served there. As I was reduced in the re-arrangement of Taluqs I know nothing of the subsequent proceedings. I do not remember the period he served in each station.

I have heard this statement and it is correct.

Mark of Sheik Ramcam
is affixed.

3rd October 1872

Taken before me this 3rd October 1872.
(Signed) H. Richardson
Dy Collector

(True Translation)

W S Foster
As Collector

1872

In a letter from
The Ag Collector, Godavery
D.t.
To
The Accountant General
Madras
Dated 4th November 1872
No. 376.

Translations of
1 Statement of the
Applicant
2 Statements of the
Contemporary Employes

3.

Audit DEPARTMENT. (Please return this with reply on reverse) ~~No.~~ Dated 21st November 1872.

23

FROM Dy Aud 4880 2 Dev

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,

To

THE Collector, Godavari District

VIDE { your / this } Office Letter No. 376 — dated 4th November 1872.

THE undersigned has the honor to request information on the following points-

Why was there a delay of about 9 months in obtaining a medical certificate in applicant's behalf when he appears to have ceased to do duty on the 18th Jany 1872. The explanation given by the Collr. in para 2 of his letter does not appear satisfactory

Why was the post of Tahsil tappal been not shown in the Annual Return of permanent establishment on record in this Office from 1855 to 1865; whether such establishment was permanent or temporary: & if permanent, under what head and in what bills was ~~that~~ it shown during the period in question and under what authority

Why was the applicant's temporary service of 2 months & 19 days in ~~[illegible]~~ taken in the Column headed "Period reckoned as service"

Why was the applicant shown in the history of service as lappal peon in Amalapur & Ramachendrapur talugs when he appears from the nominal rolls of peons furnished by the Collector to have been a runner and not a peon -

for Dy Accountt Genl

No. 422.

25

To

The Dy. Accountant General
Fort Saint George.

Mohaddin Saib was dismissed as unfit for further service by old age in January last by the Deputy Collector, but the matter of obtaining the necessary medical certificate was overlooked in that Office. About the time of sending the application for gratuity, one was called for.

2. The cost of the District Post Establishments has from 1855 up to 1864 been paid and entered as contingencies of the Postal Department, and passed by the Post Master General under the authority given him in a letter from the Secretary to the Government of India in the Home Department to the Director General

of the Post Office in India: dated 6th October 1854 No. 1067 (Copy enclosed). The establishment was not therefore shewn in the annual returns furnished to your Office. The revision of District Post Establishments was sanctioned in Government Order dated 8th July 1864 No. 1186, and the applicant's services from 1st August 1864 from which date the order came into force are on the permanent Establishment.

3. The applicant's service of m - d 2 - 19 in 1842 in the Extra post was entered in the column headed "Period reckoned as service" by a clerical error.

4. The applicant was a runner from the revision in 1864, and before that he was called a peon

Accountant General's Offi
Recd 19 12 1872
Ansd

Godavery District
Collector's Office
Cocanada, 11th December
1872

W. S. Foster
Ag. Collector.

Exd W.R.C.

Extract from a letter from the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department No. 1067 dated the 6th October 1854.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 477 dated the 9th ultimo suggesting, as the Post Office Act and Rules have been passed, that the local Governments of Madras and Bombay may be relieved from the charge of the Post Office in those presidencies, and that rules be laid down as to the authority to be vested in Post Masters General and the Director General of the Post Office of India respectively, as regards the sanction of contingent expenditure in different Post Offices and as regards the entertainment of Extra Establishments.

2. In reply I am directed to state that the Governments of Fort Saint George and Bombay have been requested to instruct the Post Masters General at those Presidencies, to consider themselves sub-

-ordinate to you as Director General of the Post Office of India, and to be guided in future by such orders as they may receive from you.

3. Under the circumstances represented in paras 2 to 19 the Governor General in Council has been pleased to sanction the following rules submitted by you specifying the powers to be vested in the several local Post Masters General in connection with the Director General of the Post Office of India namely:

1st That Post Masters General shall pass bills for "Contingent charges and incidental expenses" of the Post Office Department not incurred in his own Office, nor coming under the description of Establishment nor otherwise fixed by order of Government provided that the total amount of each bill shall not in any month exceed the sum of 500 rupees.

2nd That within the same limit as to amount, Post Masters General shall have power to sanction temporary

and

and experimental establishments, &c. for a period not exceeding three months. A report of the circumstances under which the establishment is sanctioned, shall in every case be made to the Director General of the Post Office on the day on which sanction is given by the Post Master General.

3. That with the sanction of the Director General of the Post Office, Post Masters General may employ on lines on which runners convey the mails, contracting overseers on an allowance not exceeding eight annas a mile per month for every additional half mile of speed beyond 5 miles per hour in the dry season, and 4½ during the rains, at which the mails may be carried.

4. That Post Masters General shall have power to pass bills for establishment on all existing Bullock Train and mail Cart lines, when within the amount sanctioned or hitherto drawn, and shall also have power to pass all bills for the repair of Waggons and other Vehicles and for

the workshop attached to the several divisions.

5. That in the Dawk Bearers' Department, Post Masters General under whose charge Travellers' Bungalows may be placed shall pass bills for ordinary contingencies and repairs, provided the total amount of such bills does not exceed the sum of 500 rupees, and there be money available at the credit of the staging Bungalow Fund.

You are requested to submit at the close of each quarter for the information and orders of the Supreme Government a Statement of all charges passed under the present authority, either by you or by the Post Masters General of the several presidencies.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ H. B. Riddle
Director General of Post Office in India

/True Copy/
/Signed/ R. H. Williamson
Post Master General.

/True Copy/

W S Foster
Ag Collector.

Audit DEPARTMENT. (To be returned with reply on reverse)

~~No.~~

Fort Saint George, 33

Accountant General's Office,

20 December 1872.

Reg 5571
27 Dec

Dy

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Collector Godavari District

~~Sir,~~ Vide your office memo No 422 of 11th December/72 regarding inferior pension on behalf of Mohadeen Saib

The undersigned has the honor to enquire whether the temporary or extra establishments in which the Applicant is said to have been employed from 2d November/54 to 31st July '64 was made permanent and can count that service under Rule I Section 17 of the Civil Pension Code.

If the same establishment was not included in Honble Rt Hon'ble Mr Pelly's scheme & not made permanent, the cause of such service being included with the other should be explained

J. Donald
Offg Dy Acctt Genl

No. 8

To
The Accountant General
Fort St George

The establishment in which Mohadeen Saib was employed from 2d November 1854 to 31st July 1864 was not made permanent, and may therefore be excluded from the qualifying service.

2. It was entered as qualifying from a misapprehension of the nature of the establishment.

W. S. Foster
Actg Collector

Godavery District
Collectors Office
Cocanada
7th January 1873

Exd & Rd

Accountant General's Office
Recd 11
Ansd 1 1873

19449

35

1873 Dept.
In a letter from the
Collector Godavery Dt
To the Under Secretary
to Government
Pension Dept
Dated 20 March /73
Recd
No 5

Extract from the
pay Abstract

Pens: Ltr No 207 of /73.

Pension Department.

Dec 8/12/73

37

No.

Memorandum.

The Under Secretary to Govt., Public &ca Departt. is directed to request that the Collector of the Godavery District, will with reference to the accompanying Application for gratuity on behalf of Mohedeen Saib furnish a copy of the entries in the pay abstract, adduced in para 3 of his letter of the 4th Novr. 1872 No. 376, in proof of the order of suspension & also explain the grounds on which the statement "that the estabt. on which Mohedeen Saib from 2d Novr. 1854 to 31st July 1864 was not made permanent" rests vide answer to Accountt. General's memo of the 20th Decr. 1872.

Fort St. George.
February 1873.
To The Collr. of Godavery District

(Sgd) C. A. Galton
Ag: Under Secretary

With R No. 76 of 1873

Pay Abstract of the Cocanada Taluk
Rajahmundry Zilla - Fasli 1246
from 1st to 30th September 1836.

[illegible]
[illegible] 1246 [illegible] 1836 - 1 [illegible]
[illegible]

No	Designation of Officer	Names of Officers	Salary for the month			Total
7	Peons	To Mohudin Sahib for 21 days from the 1st to the 21st having been suspended till offenders in a theft case committed at Cocanada are arrested	2	12	10	
		To Ungepilly Venketroydu appointed to act, for 7 days from the 24th	"	14	11	

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
			[illegible]	
7	[illegible]	[illegible]	2.12.10	
		[illegible]	" 14 11	

Godavery District
Collector's Office
Camp Nursapur
20th March 1873

True Extract & translation

W S Foster
Ag Collector

No. 5

Godavery District
Collector's Office
Camp Narsapur
20th March 1873

From
W. S. Foster Esquire
Ag Collector

To
C. A. Galton Esquire
Ag. Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department
Fort St George

Sir,

Enclosures returned

Referring to your Official Memorandum of the 8th ultimo No. 4, I have the honor to enclose an Extract from the Pay Abstract of the Cocanada Taluk for September 1836, in which mention has been made of the Applicant's Suspension. In the Abstracts of the subsequent months, the man appointed to act for Mohudin Sahib was shewn as confirmed in his peonship, and no mention of Mohudin Sahib was made.

2 It is clear that the Establishment on which the Applicant served from 2d November 1854 to 31st July 1864 was temporary as the charge was debited to Postal Contingencies, and there is nothing in my Office to

shew that the establishment has since been made permanent.

I have the honorable
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant,

W S Foster
Ag Collector

Exd W. Ramachandrama
Ag Clerk

Pens. 1873 Letter
Trin.
the Collector No. 29 / 1
Godavery
To
the Secretary
to Govt. Revenue Dept.
Fort St. George
dated 20 March/73
Recd. 25 — "
No. 5
Encl 2
No

Forwarding an
Extract from the Pay
Abstract of Cocanada
for Sept 1836 regarding
Mohadeen Saib's service

APPLICATION FOR {~~PENSION~~ GRATUITY.

43/

1. Name of Applicant. Mohadeen Saib
2. Father's name. Dada Saib
3. Sect or Caste. Mahomedan Sunni
4. Residence. Drakshasrama in Ramachendrapur Talug
5. Present or last employment. Talug Tappa Peon at Drakshasrama
6. Date of beginning of service 1st June 1827
7. Date of end of service 18th January 1872

	Y. M. D.
8. Length of service including breaks	44-7-18
of which superior	" — " — "
inferior	39 — " — 23
non-qualifying and breaks	5-7-15

9. Class of {pension / gratuity} applied for, and cause of application.* ... Invalid gratuity from old age
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. 5 Rupees
11. ~~Proposed pension.~~
12. Proposed gratuity. 30 Rupees
13. Date from which to commence.
14. Place of payment. Drakshasrama in the Ramachendrapur Talug
15. Age of applicant on this date. 80 years
16. Height. Feet 5 — Inch 1
17. Marks. A burning mark on each of the temples, one on the neck and another between eyebrows. Scars on and about the left knee. Short man black color. White leprosy on throat and the lower lip.
18. Date. 4 November 1872

W. S. Foster
Ag. Collector
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Mohadeen Saib

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	A.	P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Collector of Rajahmundry (now Godavery)	Police peon	4	″	″	″	22nd May 1827	31st May 1827	″	″	10	″	″	″	Inferior	not verified by records	Verified partly from the records of this office partly from those of the Collector and partly supported by the applicants affidavit and Statements of Contemporary Employees but Service from 22d May 1827 to 20 Septr 1836 were not counted in the applicants favor as he does not seem from the Collectors records to have been re-instated on the expiry of his term of suspension and the break
	Peddapur Taluk Do	4	″	″	″	1st June ″	30th June ″	″	1	″	″	″	″	Do	verified By records	
	Do	4	″	″	″	1st July ″	30th June 1828	1	″	″	″	″	″	Do	not verified	
	Do	4	″	″	″	1st July 1828	30th November 1828	″	5	″	″	″	″	leave on pay	verified By records	
	Do	4	″	″	″	1st December 1828	31st March 1829	″	4	″	″	″	″	Inferior	not verified	
	Do	4	″	″	″	1st April 1829	31st December 1829	″	9	″	″	″	″	Do	verified By records	
	Do	4	″	″	″	1st January 1830	end of February 1830	″	2	″	″	″	″	Do	not verified	
	Do	4	″	″	″	1st March 1830	16th April 1830	″	1	16	″	″	″	Do	verified By records	
	Police peon in Cocanada Division	4	″	″	″	17th April 1830	30th November 1830	″	7	14	″	″	″	Do	Do	
	Do	4	″	″	″	1st December 1830	31st December 1830	″	1	″	″	″	″	Do	not verified	
	Do	4	″	″	″	1st January 1831	30th November 1832	1	11	″	″	″	″	Do	verified By records	
	~~Total period of~~ {superior inferior} service...						....									
	Do	4	″	″	″	1st December 1832	31st December 1832	″	1	″	″	″	″	Do	not verified	caused thereby amounts to 5 yrs 6 months and 15 days Vide para 3 of the Collectors letter No. 376 dated 4 Novr last His services subsequent to 5 April 1842 to 31 July 1864 are also thrown out of calculation they being of a temporary nature. Vide paras 3 & 1 of the Collectors reply dated 17 Decr 1872 and
	Do	4	″	″	″	1st January 1833	20th September 1836	3	8	20				Do	verified By records.	
	″	″	″	″	″	21st September 1836	5th April 1842	″	″	″	5	6	15	without employ	not verified.	
	Salt temporary peon in Pittapur Division.	4	″	″	″	6th April 1842	24th June 1842	″	2	19	″	″	″	Inferior	verified By records	
	Police peon in Drakcharama Division	4	″	″	″	25th June 1842	30th June 1845	3	″	6	″	″	″	Do	Do	
	Do	4	″	″	″	1st July 1845	30th September 1845	″	3	″	″	″	″	Do	not verified	
	Do	4	″	″	″	1st October 1845	30th June 1846	″	9	″	″	″	″	Do	verified By records	
	Do in Kota Ramachandrapur Talug	4	″	″	″	1st July 1846	30th June 1847	1	″	″	″	″	″	Do	Do.	
						1st July 1847	30th June 1848	1	″	″	″	″	″	Do	not verified	
	Do	4	″	″	″	1st July 1848	1st November 1854	6	4	1	″	″	″	Do	verified By records	
	Tahsil Tappal peon in Amalapur Talug	4	″	″	″	2nd November 1854	30th June 1855	″	7	29	″	″	″	Do	Do	

~~55~~

Tahsil Tappal pron in Ama-lapur Taluq	4	" "	"	1st July 1855	31st July 1855	"	1	"	"	" "	Inferior	not verified	and 7th Jany 1873 Nos 422 & 8 enclosed
Do	4	" "	"	1st August 1855	31st August 1855	"	1	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	The only service which qualifies the applicant for an invalid gratuity is that from 1st Augt 1864 which amounts to Yrs 7-4-19
Do	4	" "	"	1st September 1855	31st December 1855	"	4	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st January 1856	31st January 1857	1	1	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st February 1857	End of February 1857	"	1	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st March 1857	30th April 1857	"	2	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st May 1857	30 June "	"	2	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st July "	End of February 1858	"	8	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st March 1858	31st March 1858	"	1	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st April 1858	31st May 1858	"	2	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st June 1858	30th June 1859	1	1	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st July 1859	30th September 1859	"	3	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st October 1859	31st October 1859	"	1	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st November 1859	30th November 1859	"	1	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st December 1859	30th June 1860	"	7	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st July 1860	30th September 1860	"	3	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st October "	30th November 1860	"	2	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st December 1860	31st December 1860	"	1	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st January 1861	30th April 1861	"	4	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st May "	31st May 1861	"	1	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st June "	31st August "	"	3	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st September "	31st October "	"	2	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st November "	30th November "	"	1	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st December "	31st January 1862	"	2	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st February 1862	End of February "	"	1	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st March "	31st October "	"	8	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st November "	30th November "	"	1	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st December "	31st January 1863	"	2	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st February 1863	31st March "	"	2	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st April "	30th June "	"	3	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	
Do	4	" "	"	1st July "	31st July "	"	1	"	"	" "	Do	not verified	
Do	4	" "	"	1st August "	31st January 1864	"	6	"	"	" "	Do	verified By records	

47

Tahsil Tappal Peon in Amalapur Taluq.	4	"	"	"	1st February 1864	End of February 1864	"	1	"	"	"	"	Inferior	not verified
Do	4	"	"	"	1st March 1864	31st March 1864	"	1	"	"	"	"	Do	verified By records
Do	4	"	"	"	1st April "	30th April "	"	1	"	"	"	"	Do	not verified
Do	4	"	"	"	1st May "	31st July "	"	3	"	"	"	"	Do	verified By records
Do	5	"	"	"	1st August "	30th November "	"	4	"	"	"	"	Do	Do
Do	5	"	"	"	1st December "	31st January 1865	"	2	"	√ "	"	"	Do	not verified
Do	5	"	"	"	1st February 1865	31st May 1866	1	4	"	√ "	"	"	Do	verified By records
Do	5	"	"	"	1st June 1866	30th June 1866	"	"	"	"	1	"	Suspended for not having Carried Tappal from 15th May	Do
Do	5	"	"	"	1st July "	31st July 1866	"	1	"	√ "	"	"	Inferior	Do
Do	5	"	"	"	1st August "	31st August "	"	1	"	√ "	"	"	Do	not verified
Do	5	"	"	"	1st September "	7th December 1868	2	3	7	√ "	"	"	Do	verified By records
Do in Ramachandrapur Taluq	5	"	"	"	8th December 1868	30th April 1870	1	4	24	√ "	"	"	Do	Do
Do	5	"	"	"	1st May 1870	30th May 1870	"	1	"	√ "	"	"	Do	not verified
Do	5	"	"	"	1st June "	30th June "	"	1	"	√ "	"	"	Do	verified By records
Do	5	"	"	"	1st July "	30th November 1870	"	5	"	√ "	"	"	Do	not verified
Do	5	"	"	"	1st December 1870	31st May 1871	"	6	"	√ "	"	"	Do	verified By records
Do	5	"	"	"	1st June 1871	30th June/71	"	1	"	√ "	"	"	Do	not verified
Do	5	"	"	"	1st July 1871	18th January 1872	"	6	18	√ "	"	"	Do	verified By records
							39	"	14	5	7	15		

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Was suspended for an indefinite period in 1836 for not having detected a case of theft and again in 1866 for one month for not having carried the tappal. The orders suspending him are not on record.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. — " —

5. Any other remarks. — " —

W S Foster
Ag Collector
SIGNATURE.

No 89

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {inferior ~~superior~~} grades has been duly proved for 7 years 4 months and 19 days; and that an invalid {~~pension~~ gratuity} not exceeding Rs. 15 " " equal to 3 months pay is admissible under Section 63 (a) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

The cause of delay in submission of application and in production of Medical Certificate by the Collector will be found explained in his letters No 376 & 422 dated 4th Novr and 11 Decr 1872.

W Donald
Offg Dy Accountant-General.

Fort Saint George
Account Genls Office
1st February 1873

To
The Chief Secretary to Government
Civil Pension Department

53

9

Pens. 1873 Deptt.
No. 78.

APPLICATION FOR {~~PENSION~~ OR GRATUITY—	
Date of application.	4 November 1872
Name of applicant.	Muhdeen Saib
Last appointment.	Taluk Tappal peon of Drakharama
Class of {pension or gratuity.	Gratuity
Amount of pension sanctioned.	
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.	
Date of commencement.	
Date of sanction.	G.O. 20 May 1873 No 257

Memo. No. [illegible] 8/23/73 G.O. No 257

55

Pension Department

Memo: for Orders.

Application is made for the grant of an invalid gratuity for inferior service to one Mohadeen Saib, lately a Tappal Peon in the Godavery District.

2. This man's total length of actual service is $\overset{yrs.}{39}$-$\overset{m.}{0}$-$\overset{d.}{12}$, but his qualifying service is computed to be but $\overset{yrs.}{7}$-$\overset{m.}{4}$-$\overset{d.}{19}$.

3. He was first employed in the year 1827 as a Police Peon and served in that capacity until 1836, when he was suspended until the offenders in a theft case should be arrested. The Collector states that he is unable to find that he was reinstated

and that nothing is recorded of him until the 5th April 1842 when he was appointed a temporary salt peon. In June of the same year he was made a Police Peon & remained one until November 1854 when he became a Tappal Peon & as such served in different Taluqs until his retirement in Jany 72.

4. As he was not reinstated, his service up to 1836 cannot reckon for pension but from the 25th of June 1842 until 8th Jany 1872 a period of 29y - 6m - 24d he was employed ontinuously. Of this period, owever, the Offg Dy Accountant General strikes t the portion up to the 1st of July 1864 on the ground

57

ground that until that time his services were "of a temporary nature", the Collector having stated that the establishment on which the man was employed from 22nd Novr 1854 until 31st July 1864 was not made permanent.

5. Being called upon for an explanation of this statement, the Collector states that "it is clear the establishment was temporary as the charge was debited to Postal contingencies and there is nothing in my Office to show that the establishment has since been made permanent".

6. It seems that there is here a misunderstanding. The District Postal establishment, prior to Mr. Pelly's reorganization, was to all

intents & purposes a permanent establishment. It was simply enlarged by Mr Pelly & put on a different footing. P. Venkatroydu of the Kistna District Postal establishment, whose case was submitted to the supreme Govt. on the technical point of his having omitted to refund a gratuity previously received (vide G.O. No. 12 dated 9th Jany 1873) was dealt with as though his service× had been on a permanent establishment and there was no difference as far as can be ascertained between one District Postal establishment & another.

7. All peons were under the Collector who transferred them from salt to Revenue from that to the Police & thence to the District Tappal according to his pleasure. It never occurred to him that any of his successors would hereafter speak of one thus transferred as having belonged to a temporary establishment. It is submitted that the collector's statement is incorrect & that this aged servant is fully entitled to an invalid gratuity for that portion of his service in the continuity of which there was no break ($29^{\text{yrs.}}$ - $6^{\text{m.}}$ - $24^{\text{d.}}$)

8. If this presumption be correct, a gratuity of 30 Rs. can be granted to him, whereas under the Offg. Dy. Accountant General's Computation, he can only receive one of Rs. 15.

The

× prior to the 1st of August /64 the date of the introduction of the new scheme. Im

Yes.
J.O.S.

9. The Accountant General will have to be informed that the Collector misapprehended the nature of the District Postal establishment - Let.

Gratuity to Mohadeen Saib
late Tappal Peon Godavery Dt.

8

Under

C; 16 April

C 2/5 73
R 7/5

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following ~~letter~~ application from Mohadeen Saib of Ramachendrapur Talug, Godavery District through the Accountant General, dated 4th November 1872.

Order thereon. 20 May 1873 No 257

The Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Mohadeen Sahib, lately a tappal peon in the Godavery District an invalid gratuity of Rs 30 for his qualifying inferior service of Years 29-6-24

2. The Accountant General will be informed that the Acting Collector of the Godavery ~~District~~

was mistaken in supposing that the District Postal Establishment prior to its re-organization by Mr. Pelly was otherwise than permanent -

Jal

To the Collector of Godavery District
" " - Accountant General } 16 Copies

15-2-81 ✓
10-9-83 ✓
31/3/84 ✓
11/10/84 ✓

Govt. of {1873} Madras.

Pension

To

The Collector of
Godavery District.

Dated 20.
Recd.
} May 1873, No. 257

Nos. 257

Granting an invalid gratuity of Rs. 30 to Moh-deen Saib, late a tappal peon at Drakshararma Godavery District.

Precedent

e12/5