

(1) Number of pages 28

(2) Date of repair 4-12-40

(3) Initials of the Referencer G

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revision DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<u>691</u>	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	<u>22-3-1873.</u>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

22nd March 1873, No. 191.

Pension.

Read the following papers :—

- From the Acting Civil Judge of Mangalore, dated 30th September 1872.*
„ *Officiating Deputy Accountant-General, dated 11th January 1873, No. 84.*
„ *Acting Civil Judge of Mangalore, dated 19th February 1873, No. 6.*

ORDER THEREON, 22nd March 1873, No. 191.

Under Sections 53 and 64 of the Civil Pension Code, His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Gadahada Anantaya, late 2nd Gamastah in the Barkur Munsif's Court, South Canara, an inferior pension of Rupees 4 per mensem, payable from the 1st January 1872.

2. Anantaya's request to be reinstated on the strength of a medical certificate affirming his fitness for further service cannot be complied with, the Civil Judge having reported that he considers the applicant mentally unfit for the performance of his duties.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Civil Judge of Mangalore.
„ the Collector of South Canara.
„ the Accountant-General, with Register.
„ G. Anantaya.

1/6

Mangalore, 19 Feb. 1873

From A. C. Barnoll Esq.
 Esq. Civil Judge of Mangalore.
 To The Honorable W. Rudolph Esq.
 Chief Secretary to Government
 Fort St. George.

Sir,
 With reference to the Order of
 Retiree's Pension of 25 Annas per
 the honor to state that G. Manthappa
 the late 2nd Gunasta of the Parkur
 Messrs' Court is mentally unfit for
 any further service.

I have the honor to be
 Sir,

Your most obedt. servant
 A. Devulce,
 Esq. Civil Judge

Civil Court
 Mangalore,
 19 Feb. 1873

Govt. of 1871

PENSION.

To THE CIVIL JUDGE.

At Mangalore.

David Barnoll, Esq.

Esq.

Esq.

O O. 22nd March 1873. No. 101.

MAR 21

Received of the Civil Judge of Mangalore the sum of Rs. 4
 to the credit of the pension of the late G. Manthappa in the Estate
 Messrs' Court, South Canara.

Pension Department.

No. 1.
Memorandum.

The Under Secretary to Govt.
Public & Department is directed to
request that the Civil Judge of Man-
galore, will with reference to his
Application for pension on behalf
of G. Anantaya Sifumastad in the
Warburth & Anantaya Court, express
his opinion as to the efficiency of
the latter, the Jallah Surgeon having
certified that he is fit for further
service.

For St. George 22nd Aug 1873
/ Sd/- C. A. Galtow.
C. Under Secretary.

To The Civil Judge of Mangalore.

Received 22nd Aug 1873
J. (1873) J. 1873

of Civil Judge

for Mangalore

For 19th & 20th Aug 1873

1873

For 19th & 20th Aug 1873

1873

For 19th & 20th Aug 1873

For 19th & 20th Aug 1873

For 19th & 20th Aug 1873

For 19th & 20th Aug 1873

For 19th & 20th Aug 1873

For 19th & 20th Aug 1873

For 19th & 20th Aug 1873

13.11.70

13.11.70

7

J. N. Kempster Acting Zilla
Magistrate Bangalore do hereby certify that
I have examined a man named "G. Kuntia" &
am of opinion that he is fit for further service

Bangalore
12. November 1970

R. Kempster
Acting Zilla Magistrate
Bangalore

AMOUNT DEPARTMENT.

(Please reply on reverse)

No.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
29th March 1872.

By
FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
To THE Civil Judge, Bangalore.

~~See~~ Vide your office Application
for superior position on behalf of
H. Chantayya of 30th Sept/72

The undersigned has the honor
to request that the Civil Judge will be good
enough to state whether the Applicant's service
from 1st February/35 to 31st Aug/72 can be
verified from the records of his office, and
if not, why.

Testimonials of Character which
the Applicant may have obtained from
his official superiors, or, in the absence of
~~such~~ ^{affidavits} affidavits & statements of contemporaries
employees ^{with} English translations should
be obtained and forwarded if the said
service cannot be verified from official
records.

Blair
For Dy Accountt General

6.12.72

The Applicant's service from 1st November 1835 to 31st August 1863 has been verified by the Record keeper of this Court in reference to the necessary records and a translation of the Applicant's testimonials is hereto appended.

Carl Court
Bangalore
18th December 1872

A. W. M. M.

By Carl Judge

Accountant General's Office
Recd 23 12 1872
Ans'd

13568

Remarks on the Registrar of
Services of J. Anantaya, 2nd Gunasta
of the Baram & Mysore Court.

"He is a long standing Gunasta
in my Court. There is no other Gunasta
of so long a standing. Altho there is
no occasion now to take this into consi-
deration for his promotion, I trust my
successors will take it into consideration."

23rd April 1867

Signed Mangaraja
Mysore.

"From the time I took charge of
this Court on the 8th May 1867 he has
conducted with propriety and credit the
duties of receiving Miscellaneous Petitions
and arranging the old records in his charge
as also the business of Small Cause Suits
with which he was entrusted for some
time in addition to his own duties. His
unfortunate case that he had no promotion
for the last 9 years taken together with
his long service is a matter for regret."

25th January 1874

Signed L. W. M. M. Mysore

Has given me satisfaction in the
discharge of his duties from the time I
took charge of the Court in February 1871.
11th January 1872. Signed/ A. J. Coelha
Munsif

With reference to the Civil Court's
order No 43 his service was closed on the
1st January 1872 and he permitted to retire.
11th January 1872. Signed/ A. J. Coelha
Munsif

(True Translation)

Mivaru

Sgt. Civil Judge

S.
Lushington Esq.
The Accountant General
Madras.

2/12 The humble petition of J. Ananthaya
second gumasta of the Munsiff's Court of
Barkore South Canara.
Dated 23rd November 1872.

Honoured Sir,

I beg most respectfully to represent that
in December last the late Civil Judge
~~issued an order~~ of his own motion and with-
out any recommendation from the Munsiff
compelling me to retire and apply for pension
on the single ground that I had just completed
the 55th year of my age, upon which I sub-
sequently represented that I was perfectly fit
physically and mentally to perform my duties
efficiently for 5 years more and that until
that I might be allowed to continue in my
post. My representation was not heard and
I was ordered to make an application at once
for pension ~~and to~~ obey the order ~~and to~~ avoid
displeasure and ultimate loss of even my

pension which may perhaps result from such dis-
-pleasure, I submitted an application which, I
hear, was forwarded to you, I was lately required
to produce a medical certificate and upon
the certificate granted by the Zillah Surgeon
declaring that I am quite fit for further service,
I again represented to the Acting Civil Judge
that according to the last para of Government
proceedings dated 30th September 1872 in the
case of Narsal Muniff Court Gumasta
Murtiappa I was entitled to be reinstated,
but my prayer was not heard.

I am a person burdened with a
large family for the support of which I entirely
depend upon the present employment, I having no
other property for subsistence and on the other
hand, most of my service being in inferior
grade, I am not entitled to half of my present
pay, and the compensation allowance is scarcely
sufficient for bare necessities of life. I also
fear that in consequence of the medical
certificate of fitness it might be against
rules to grant me even the allowance in
which case I will have to go a begging after
serving faithfully for 37 years and I hope
it can never be the intention of the Govern-
ment or any other officers that this should

be the fate of a Government servant.

I therefore humbly beseech your honours
to interfere on behalf of a helpless servant
and by a reference to the Government in
connection with my pension application
and calling upon the Acting Civil Judge
to explain why my application was for-
warded in defiance of the Government
proceedings above referred to, to obtain
orders for my reinstatement, and I shall
as in duty bound ever pray. ~~Yours faithfully~~

Wm. A. Muniff

Recd. 22. Mar. 1872

12680

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION
{ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Gadachadar Anantayya*
2. Father's name. *Gadachadar Dasappaayya*
3. Sect or Caste. *Shivalla Brahmins*
4. Residence. *Kundapur.*
5. Present or last employment. *Late 2^d Gunadar of the Madras Inf. Regt.*
6. Date of beginning of service *1st February 1835.*
7. Date of end of service *31st December 1871.*
- | | V. | M. | D. |
|--|----|----|----|
| 8. Length of service including breaks | 36 | 11 | 3. |
| of which superior | 9 | 2 | 28 |
| inferior | 25 | 10 | " |
| non-qualifying and breaks | 1 | 10 | 5. |
9. Class of {pension} applied for, and cause of application* } *C. Superannuation pension.*
 {gratuity} *Has applied for pension under the 55 years rule.*
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *14 Rupees a month.*
11. Proposed pension. *4 Rupees a month.*
12. Proposed gratuity. *None.*
13. Date from which to commence. *1st January 1872.*
14. Place of payment. *Kundapur in South Canara.*
15. Age of Applicant on this date. *57. 2. "*
16. Height. *5 feet 4 inches.*
17. Marks. *A long narrow scar measuring 1 1/2 inch above the fore-head - one mole on left cheek - dark complexion ears bored.*
18. Date. *30th September 1872*

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

M. A. ...
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF *Georgios P. Pantayev*

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.		Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A. P.				Y.	M.	D.			
<i>High Mts Court</i>	<i>Guarantee</i>	5	"	RS.	1 st Feb 1885	9 th Sept 1885	-	-	7	Under 22 years of age		Verified
<i>Id.</i>	<i>Id.</i>	8	"	"	10 th Sept "	5 th August 1886	-	-	10	Id.		partly from the
<i>Id.</i>	<i>Id.</i>	6	"	"	6 th August 1886	3 rd Nov "	-	-	2	Id.		receipts of
<i>Id.</i>	<i>Id.</i>	6	"	"	3 rd Nov "	12 th April 1887	-	-	4	Id.		the office
<i>Id.</i>	<i>Id.</i>	5	"	"	13 th April 1887	10 th Dec 1888	-	-	1	Id.		and from
<i>Bartholomew's Co.</i>	<i>Id.</i>	6	"	"	13 th Dec 1888	25 th May 1889	-	-	14	Id.		the Court
<i>Id.</i>	<i>Id.</i>	8	"	"	25 th May 1889	1 st July 1889	-	-	2	Id.		Guar.
<i>Id.</i>	<i>Id.</i>	9	"	"	2 nd July 1889	13 th Jan 1890	-	-	6	Id.		Id.
<i>Id.</i>	<i>Id.</i>	10	"	"	14 th Jan 1890	4 th June 1890	-	-	3	Id.		Id.
<i>Id.</i>	<i>Id.</i>	9	"	"	5 th June 1890	31 st Aug "	-	-	2	Id.		Id.
<i>Id.</i>	<i>Id.</i>	14	"	"	1 st Sept "	28 th Nov 1891	9	2	28	Id.		Id.
<i>Id.</i>	<i>Id.</i>	"	"	"	29 th Nov 1891	31 st Dec "	-	-	1	Id.		Id.
<i>Id.</i>	<i>Id.</i>	"	"	"	31 st Dec "	"	-	-	1	Id.		Id.
Total period of {superior} service...							9	2	28	27	8	5

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

- As to character and past conduct of Applicant. *The Manager under whom the applicant served testified to his good character.*
- Explanation of any suspension or degradation. *Degradation on the first occasion for negligence in respect of a plaint which was signed from the record now and found after some time, and on the second occasion for disrespectful conduct to the Manager.*
- Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. *None.*
- Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. *This is a case of application under 35 years' rule, and not on abolition of office.*

5. Any other remarks.

None.
A. P. The above statement this application is attributable partly to the difficulty in getting the old records which had to be referred to in order to ascertain the correctness of the entries and partly to the adjournment of Court for 2 months and partly to the non receipt of the printed forms.

At 84

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in {inferior} grades has been duly proved for 36 years 11 months and 4 days; and that a {superior} pension {gratuity} not exceeding Rs. 4 per mensem is admissible under Section 64 of the Civil Pension Code. The

calculations have been duly verified. *The applicant was ordered by Government in their order Proceedings No. 754 dated 7th December 1891 to be retired from service under 35 years rule but he appears from the record Certificates dated 12th November last - herein enclosed to be still fit for further service and his petition for reinstatement is accompanied by a report of this Office dated 29th November last -*

For about George's request of Court Office 11th January 1893.

To the Chief Secretary to Government Pension Department.

At 84
By Accountant-General.

SIGNATURE.

At 84

Pension 1873 Departs
p. 20.

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION OR GRATUITY

Date of application.

30th Sept 1873

Name of Applicant.

G. Anantaya.

Last appointment.

Gumastah.

Class of { pension or gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Wk order 191
Nov 22 March 73

Oct 19 191 March 73

But Mr Pennell has
described him as an old
distant "mentally unfit
for further service" "Can we
recommend a man of his age under
such circumstances?"

He must retire

1873

If he is still
fit for duty
and has
been found
partially fit
not seen why he
should be forced
to retire

The Civil Judge reports that
G. Anantaya is mentally
unfit

Memorandum for
orders.

Application is
made for the grant of
a superannuation pen-
sion to G. Anantaya, 2^d
Gumastah in the Barter
Brussels Court, South
Canada, whose age is given
as 57 years 2 months and
who is entitled to an inferi-
or pension of Rs. 4 for his
combined service amounting
to 36 years 11 months 4 days,
of which the last 9 years
2 months 28 days only was
passed in superior grade,
the rest being inferior. The
Accountant General for-
wards with the Register a
petition from the gumastah
to the effect that he has
been forced by the Civil
Judge.

judge to retire and pray-
ing for reinstatement, as
he has been certified by the
Tillah Surgeon to be fit
for further service. As
the gumastah was serving
in a superior post at the
time of his removal and
was ^{on} 55 years of age, he
was liable to be superannuated
under the rules in force
at the time at the discre-
tion of the head of the
Department, and the
Civil Judge has already
in his letter of the 8th Novr.
1871, intimated that he has
no special reasons for
the petitioner's retention
it seems advisable to
reject the application for
reinstatement. Cdr.

unfit for further duty. In a
precisely similar case had
also come up from Mangalore
one Sthanjathaya having
been removed by the Civil
Judge under the 55 years
rule, and having produced
a certificate from the Civil
Surgeon affirming his fitness
for further service. The
former officer must be the
best judge of the mental ca-
-pacity of his subordinates
and should therefore deem
advisable not to comply with
the prayer for reinstatement.

Cdr.

Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter Papers

From the City Civil Judge of Mangalore (dated 30th Sept. 1872)
— " — Offg Dy Assistant General — (— 11th January 1873/84)
— " — City Civil Judge of Mangalore (— 19th February 1873/84)

Order thereon 22nd March 1873 No 191

Under Section 53 & 64 of the Civil Pension Code His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Sadulada Anantayya late 2nd Gunastah in the Pudukkottai District, South Canara an inferior pension of Rs 100 per mensem payable from the 1st January 1872.

2 Anantayya's request to be reinstated in the strength of a Medical Certificate affirming his fitness for further service, cannot be complied with, the Civil Judge having reported that he considers the applicant mentally unfit for the performance of his duties. —

To the Civil Judge of Mangalore.
— " — Collector of South Canara.
— " — Assistant General with Govt.
Copy to S. Anantayya.
M.G.

despd 24/3/73

21. 10. 1873

21. 10. 1873
21. 10. 1873
21. 10. 1873

41-7-301
Bout. of 1873} **ENDING.**

To Benjamin
McCune of New York

Dated 29 March }
Recd. 1873, No. 191

Nos.

Presenting you superior
production of England & Co.
of New York, to the
Government in the
Washington of the
State of New York.