M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 70

(2) Date of repair 16-12-40

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 178

Press
Mis.

Dated 20-3-1873.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

138/a

Chingleput Collector's Office
Saidapet 19th February 1873

From

The Deputy Collector
In Charge of the Treasury
Chingleput District

To

The Deputy Accountant General
Fort Saint George

Sir

Adverting to your letter dated 17th December 1872, I have the honor to state that the Offices of Peshcar of Pinnur and Pongolum of the late Madras District (now Chingleput) were vacant between 22nd August 1860 and 10th July 1861 and from 11th July to 31st October 1861 respectively. The Peshcar of the former was dismissed from the Service on account of storing salt in excess of the prescribed quantity; and the Peshcar of the latter on

account

account of the permanent incumbent of that Division having been transferred to the Pianur Division on increased salary from the 15th July 1861.

Mysore Streenevasa Iyengar was appointed to act as Superintendent at the Pongolem Salt Division from 15th July to 31st October 1861. This individual was also acting from 22nd August 1860 to 10th July 1861 at Pianur or until the post was permanently filled up.

It is not known why he was not confirmed in either of the posts.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

Accountant General's Office
Recd 20 2 1873
Ansd

Alex Smith
Dy Collector

Com Pd

To be returned with reply on reverse

Audt Department.

Emergent

No.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
17 December 1872.

20-12-72
17/12/73

From The Accountant General
to the Government of Madras,

To The Collector of Chingleput

Sir, With reference to an application for pension from the Collector of Nellore on behalf of Mysore Streenivasa Iyengar late a clerk of Krishnapatam Salt Dn the undersigned has the honor to enquire whether ~~[illegible]~~ the offices of Peishkar of Pianur & Pungalam Salt Divisions of the late Madras District (now Chingleput) were fully vacant between 22d Augt 60 and 10 July 61, and from 11 July to 31 Octr 61 respectively. The above named individual is shown in the Service Registers and in the Bills to have acted in these appointments on full pay during the above period; and it is necessary that this office should be informed what became of the permanent incumbent and why this individual was not confirmed in either of them.

[illegible]onald
Offg Dy Accountt Genl

From
Dep.y Collector
in Charge of Treasury
Chingleput

Dated 19th February 1873
Recd

No 28
43

Giving some information regarding Mysore Streenivasa Iyengar's Pension

---

Submitted to Government in continuation of this office report dated 5th December 1872 No 534

[illegible]

Offg Dy Accountt Genl

Fort Saint George
Acctt Genl's Office
22 February 1873

To the Chief Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept.

Accountant General's Office,
Madras, 16 Decr 1872

My dear Galton

I return the Case you sent back to me & have left the matter in Govt's hands - I hope you will get an answer favorable to yr view from the Sup: Govt.

Yrs truly
Donald

No 515. Govt

From,
The Dy Acctt Genl

Dated 17 } Decr/72
Recd 20 }
No

Requesting further information regarding Mysore Streenivasa Iyengar

From
Alexander Smith Esquire
Salt Deputy Collector
Madras District

To
Mysore Sreenivasa Ayengar
Ag. Peishkar of Pyanoor.

As you have been for certain reasons appointed to act as Acting Peishkar of Poongolam, on a salary of Rs 25 per month, and Mahalinga Iyer is appointed to act at Pyanoor, you will make the necessary entries in the Pay Abstract accordingly, and make over your charge to Mahalinga Iyer on his arrival at your place, informing me of the same. You should immediately after start for Poongolam and receive charge from Appasawmy and continue there attending to your official duties till further orders.

Madras Salt Estate
10 July 1861

(Signed) A. Smith
Deputy Collector

Free Translation

Ag. Head Asst. Collector
for Collector

NB8.

enclosure to [illegible]
application No 1542
dated 6.7.72

1172 [illegible]

6

Deposition given on solemn affirmation this 1st day of July 1872

A. M. F.
18 72

by the ~~witness for the~~ in ~~case No~~ of the

Name Mysore Srinivasaiyar

Surname Rangachari

Father's Name

Residence Conjeveram

Profession Govt Service

Age 60

Religion

Caste if a Hindu Brahmin

On Jany 1st 1862 I was Peshcar at Cummepagunta in the Madras Zillah on Rupees 28. I drew pay for January, February, March, April & May in that appointment.

Sutrala Lakshmikanta Row was then (1862) Superintendent. Sham Row was havildar. Kanchi Sankaraya was Shroff.

Read over to deponent in Telugu and acknowledged by him to be correct

Gordon Mackenzie
Asst. Mgte.

Catt
6-7-72

Enclosure to Pension
application No 1572
dated 6.7.72

Statement of services of Mysore Sreenivasa Iyengar Deputy Sumprathy Hoozoor Department so far as they are verified by the records of Madras Deputy Collector's Office

Years	Months	Salary Rs	a	p	Post	Remarks.
1855	July to October and December	17	8	0	Deputy Sumprathy	The pay abstract of December of this Year cannot be found.
1856	February to June and August to November	17	8	0	Do	The pay abstracts of January, July and December of this Year cannot be found.
1857	January to December	17	8	0	Do	—
1858	April & September	17	8	0	Do	The pay abstracts of the remaining months in this year cannot be found.
1859	January to December	17	8	0	Do	—
1860	January to May 17th	17	8	0	Do	

Madras Deputy Collector's Office
14th November 1871

(Signed) R. Ragonatha Row
Deputy Collector

(True Copy) (Signed) D. F. Arbuthnot
Offg Collector of Madras

(True Copy)

Ag H[illegible] Collector
for Collector

Enclosure to Pension
application No 1542
dated 6.7.72

Dy. Collector's Office
Madras 3 October 1862.

I hereby certify that M. Streenivasa Iyengar has been employed in this office from 18 July 1855 to 17 May 1860, $\overset{y}{4}-\overset{m}{10}-\overset{d}{1}$ as Deputy Sampratty & Goomasta on a salary of 17½ Rs per month & performed his duties satisfactorily

(Signed) B. Cunliffe

14th October 1862.

True Copy

Ag. Hd. Asstt. Collector
for Collector

M.S.I.

Enclosure to Pension
application No. 1542
dated 6.7.72

Madras Salt Kotar
19th August 1862.

16

Sumparthy from 15th May to 20th July 1860 —
Peshcar of Pyanur from 22nd August 1860 to 10th July 1861
Peshcar of Poongoburu from 11th July to 31st October 1861
Peshcar of Choonoopa Coonda from 30th October to 31st December 1861.

This is to certify that the bearer M. Streenivasa Iyengar was employed under me in the salt Department for about 18 months, in different capacities as marginally noted, during which time he gave satisfaction.

(Signed) Alex Smith

Deputy Collector

True Copy

Ag Hd Asstt Collector
for Collector

MS

enclosure to Receive
application No 1872
dtd 0-7-72.

No 15

Chingleput Collector's Office
Sydapet 9th January 1872.

From

R. A. Dalyell Esquire
Collector of Chingleput.

To

The Deputy Collector in charge
of the Treasury Nellore.

Sir

In returning the enclosure to your office docket dated 25th October 1871, No 742, I have the honor to forward a list, and copy of a letter× received from the offices of the Collector of Madras and the Salt Deputy Collector, regarding the services of Mysore Streenivasa Iyengar, therein named.

× Enclosures 3.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
your most Obedt. Servt.

(Signed) R. A. Dalyell
Collector

True Copy

~~Asst. Hd. Asstt. Collector~~
for Collector

enclosure to Pension
application No 1572
dated 7-7-

No. 624.

Salt Dy. Collector's Office
Madras 15th December 1871.

From

P. Chentsal Rao,
Dy. Collector in ch. of Salt
Chingleput District

To

R. W. Barlow Esquire
Acting Collector of
Chingleput District

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement of the 4th Ultimo, I have the honor to state that I have caused a careful search to be made among the records of this office, and found only three Takids, copies herein enclosed, from which it appears that Mysore Srinivasa Iyengar, Clerk in Kistnapatam Salt Division, served in the Salt Department of this District from 22nd August, 1860 to 14th July 1861, as Acting Peishcar of Pianoor, from 15th July to 31st October 1861 as Poongolum Peishcar, & as Chunnamboo
column

-column Peishcar from 1st November 1861; but to what date he continued in the latter appointment does not appear from the records, though I am informed by my office people that he remained in the appointment till the date of the transfer of Sunnapugunta to the Nellore District.

2. There are no records in this Office showing Srinivasa Iyengars services previous to the 22nd August 1860.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt. Servt.
(Signed) R. Chentsal Rao
Deputy Collector

(True Copy)
(Signed) R. A. Dalyell
Collector

(True Copy)

Ag. Hd. Asstt. Collector
for Collector

15

To be returned with reply on reverse

Audit. DEPARTMENT.

3/8/72

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
30 July 1872.

FROM
The Offg Deputy ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO

THE Collector of Nellore

Vide your office application for pension on behalf of M. Streenevassiengar

The Undersigned has the honor to request to be furnished with Explanation on the following points.

1. Has the applicant drawn any gratuity for his services prior to 21 July/60 the date on which he was thrown out of employ by abolition of his office. (Please forward with translation copy of order which threw him out of employ as also copy of Board Order dated 17 July/60 quoted in the Service Register.)
2. Why were the periods of applicant acting service when out of employ shewn in the column "Period reckoned as Service" while such periods do not count for pension.
3. From what date was Sunnapugunta Kottar transferred to the Nellore District from Madras.
4. Why was the applicant shewn in the Service Register to have been in Chunnamboocolum while in Madras District, for Novr: & Decr/61 only; while in the Column "period reckoned as service" he is stated to have been there for 7 months.
5. From Bills on record in this office the applt appears to have drawn pay up to April/62 from Madras Dist: & from May in Nellore Dist. while so why was he stated to have drawn pay from Nellore from June/62 only.
6. Why was the applt shewn in the Service Register to have been in Sunnapugunta Kottar up to 3 Aug/62 while from the Bill for June paid in July/62 he appears to have been transferred to Gungapatnam from 19 June only.

7. Why was the applicant's name not shewn in the Annual returns of 1 May/62 either in the Madras or Nellore Districts though he appears to have been permanently appointed as Peishkar of Chunnam-bootolum from Nov/57 from his Acting Appointment.

Please explain the cause of the Salt Deputy Collector's observation in para 3 of his letter No 50 dated 13 June/62 that the Applt was under suspension from 21 July/60 to 20 Augt. During the same period in the Applicant is shewn in the service Register to have been out of employ by abolition of Office -

W Donald
Offg Dy Accountant Genl

DEPARTMENT.
ACCT. GENL'S OFFICE, MADRAS.
No.
From
The Dept. Accountant General, Madras.
To
Pen. No 877
No 2092
Dated
Recd.
Ansd
No. of answer.
Subject

Reply to Objections

Query 1. Copy & translation of the order which threw Srinivasa Iyengar out of employ on 21st July 1860 is enclosed. The Collector of Chingleput has been written to for information regarding gratuity & for Copy of Board's Order dated 17 July 1860 & he has been this day requested to send the information direct to your Office.

Do. 2. His services from 22d August 1860 to 31st October 1861 is reckoned as service as per Certificate of Mr Smith already submitted where he is entered as permanent Gumastah. He seems to have drawn the full pay all the while. The word acting was inserted according ~~to the Letters of appointment~~ which the person produced.

Do. 3. Seenappagunta was transferred to the District from Madras as per G. O. No 2101 dated 1st November 1861 Paras 17 & 18. The actual date of transfer was 1st January 1862.

Do. 4. The Service of 5 months from January 1862 to 31st May 1862 has been declared to in the Affidavit & it has been accordingly ~~included in the Service opposite to~~ Chunnambookolum. During that period he was doing Service in the District but he seems to have been paid by transfer receipt from the Madras District up to April 1862 where it was debited against the allotment for the year. In

May

May he was paid in this District by a supplemental Bill which has been discovered since the submission of the Application. In the Column "Date of ord" opposite to Chunnambukolum May 1862 should be entered instead of December 1861. The Service in this District is entered according to Pay abstracts from 1st June 1862.

Query 5. The Applicant drew his pay in this District from May 1862 as per Supplemental Salary Pay Bill dated 26 June 1862, the requisite alteration may be made in the history of his Service. But at the time of preparing the Register this fact was not known & all service which was not traceable in Regular Pay abstracts of this District was entered against Madras District, the affidavit having covered the whole period.

Query 6. Though the applicant had been transferred to Gangapatnam Kotar from 19 June 1862, yet he was allowed to do duty at Sunnapugunta till relieved by the newly appointed Peishkar, who relieved him & ~~joined~~ on 4th August 1862. He (the Applicant) accordingly continued to draw his pay as Peishkar in the Chunnambukolum Kotar upto that period viz 3rd August 1862. On a reference to the Supplemental Salary Pay abstract for June 1862 dated 20th August & that for July & August it will be observed that he drew his full pay. It appears likely that the pay of Gangapatnam clerk was drawn in his name & paid to the person that actually did work there.

With regard to the concluding Para of the Memo, the applicant was not suspended but was out of employ from 21st July to 21st August 1860 having been thrown out of employ under Board's Proceedings dated 17th July 1860 the Copy of the order referred to in the 1st Para proves this fact. The grounds for the statement in the Deputy Collector's Takeed are not known.

Query 7 - Vide reply to Query 5.

Dy Collector

~~d 7~~

Accountant General's Office
Recd 16 ... 1862
Ansd

J Mackenzie
Asst Collector
for Collector

From

B. Cunliffe Esqre

Collector of Madras

To

Srinivasa Iyengar

Salt Samprati (Accountant)

in the Collector's Office

As the Salt Establishment was abolished from 17th July 1860 under Boards order Your services are discontinued from 21st July 1860.

Camp Saidapatt
21st July 1860 } (Signed) B. Cunliffe
Collector

(True ~~Copy~~ Translation)

Deputy Collector

R - B
L - 6

11884

(Seal)

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1860 [illegible]
[illegible]

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Collr

(True Copy)

Dy Collr

No. 167

Chingleput District, Collector's Office,
Saidapet, 20th November 1872.

FROM

R. W. Barlow Esquire,

Ag COLLECTOR OF THE
CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT.

TO

F. Lushington Esquire,
Accountant General, Madras.

SIR,

At the request of the Deputy Collector, in charge of the Treasury Nell I have the honor to forward Extract fro the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th July 1860, under which [illegible] Srinivasa Iyengar, lately employed in the Madras District, was dispens with, & to inform you that no gratu appears to have been paid for his services prior to 21st July 1860.

I have the honor to
Sir
your most obedt

R W Barlow

Accountant General's Office
Recd 23
Ansd
11 18 2

Revenue Department.

No. 3255.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 17th July 1860.

Read letter from the Collector of Madras dated 9th July 1860 No. 244.
(In Cons: 11th July 1860 No. 3373)

× × × ×

Para 9 4. With respect to the increase in the Salt Establishment, the Collector explains that a sum of Rs 115-8-- on account of the Salt Department attached to the Huzur Cutcherry of the late Chingleput District, & Rs 58 for raising the pay of the Madras Superintendent, have been included in the Salt Establishment, entered for the amalgamated Districts. This is, however, erroneous. The old Salt Establishment attached to the Chingleput Huzur Cutcherry, should have been abolished, when Mr. Pelly's new scale of Establishment was introduced into the

The Collector of Ching
Dated 20 November 18
Recd.
No. 167
(1 Enclosure.)
Submits certain
information rega
the services of M
Sreenivasa Iy
No. 1226

Huzūr Cutcherry of the new Madras District. Mr Cunliffe will therefore dispense at once with the Salt establishment, amounting to Rs 115-8-a per Mensem.

5. The increase of Rs 58 for raising the pay of the Madras Depôt Superintendent, though recommended by the Board & approved of by Government, cannot be disbursed, until Mr Pelly's new Scale of Establishment for the Salt Depᵗᵐ is finally sanctioned by Government. The Madras Salt Establishment however, need not be entered at all in the list. The Sum of Rs 115-8-a should alone be entered under the old Chingleput Establishment, as it is a reduction.

x x x x

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. D. Sim,
Secretary.

(True Copy)

Ag. Collector.

Ed
W

No. 117.

From C. Narayanasami Modelliar,
Deputy Collector in charge of Salt, Nellore.

To
Sutrala Latshmi Kanta Rao
Salt Superintendent of Tada Division.

I have received your Arzi dated 9th March No 178, stating that the Pay abstracts sent by you during the last month, to the Treasury Deputy Collector were all passed except that of the Sunnapugunta Kotar; that you would wish to know whether or not the sum of Rs 111-0-0 received by the Peishkar of Sunnapugunta from the Ponneri Tahsildar for Salaries of the Establishment for January should be disbursed.

2 I send you herewith copy of a Yadast No 75 dated 29th received from the Collector whom I requested permission thro' Yadast No 196 dated 16th to disburse Rs 86-8-3

the salaries of the Sunnapugunta Establishment and to remit the salaries of the Punkolam Establishment and of those absent on leave to the Ponneri Tahsildar.

3. You are required to disburse Rs 86-8-3, the salary of the Establishment at Sunnapugunta, agreeably to the Collector's orders, and remit the balance to the Ponneri Tahsildar, intimating the same to this office.

5th April 1862 } (Signed) C. Narayanasawmi
Krishnapatam } Deputy Collector

(True Translation)

Ag Head Asst Collector
for Collector

El

Enclosure to Revision
application 6/1872
s.D. 6.7.72.

No 29

To

The Superintendent
Jada Division

The Pay of the Establishment of Sunnapugunta Kistar for the month of May 1862, as passed by the Civil Auditor on the 16th August is Rs 89-8-9. You are to deduct 8 annas from the pay of the Peishkar for Income Tax, and disburse the salaries of the Establishment debiting the amount in your accounts. Credit 8 annas to Income Tax.

19th August 1862 } (Signed) W. E. Jellicoe
Deputy Collector

(True Translation)

Ag Head Asst Collector
for Collector

El

re Closure of Pension application No 1542 dd. 6.7.72.

From
C. Narrayaunsawmy Modaliar
Salt Dy Collector. Nellore.

To
Mysore Streenivasa Iyengar
Peishkar of Sunnapgunta Colaur.

It would seem that the Daffadar of Sunnapgunta, Mannaru Naidu having reason to suspect the passport for 123 maunds that you gave to 4 Erukulas on 12th March last, after selling them 125 maunds of salt under "Sales for other Districts" from Heap No. 1 of Fasly 1269 in No 1 Platform, detained the said Erukulas and wrote to the Superintendent on the 13th Idem to come and settle the matter, and that the Superintendent having accordingly called there at about 7 A.M. on the morning of the 14th and measured the Salt of the Erukulas found an excess of M. 5–10–5, and arrived at at the following measurements.

	Tums.	P.
Theroor Gargadu	121 —	3
Gojjala Gargadu	61	1 1/2
Merapati Chennugadu Do Gengadu &c	177 —	4 1/2
Poojari Gargadu	93 —	5 1/3
Total	453 —	6 1/3
Maunds.	128 — 18 —	5

Upon this, I sent for the sale and Cash books of the Place from You and on investigation thereof found the following entries.

Under 12th Idem sold to 4 Erukulas
Tums 395 — P. 5
On 13th, No 48333 to 39 of the sale book; to 7 individuals under "sales for other Districts" M 144 — 6 1/4.

and under 14th Idem there were 4 entries Nos 48640 to 43, and an interpolation is evidently made above the total of the 13th, the entry running as follows. to an eighth individual Gargadu

49

M 49 bearing No 48641. And the Nos of the subsequent entries under the 14th seem to have been altered into 48641, 48642, 48643 and 48644. This was clearly established to me, and though in your deposition before me on the 29th of that month You would not agree to it but endeavoured to make a rambling defence, on my bringing the suspicions roused in my mind to the notice of the Collector personally on 4th April, You were sent for along with the shroff, Duffadar and Watch Peon of the Platform together with your books to Venad, and the Collector was so displeased with You for the tampering with Your books of which he was satisfied You were guilty that he directed me to visit You with the punishment due to Your deserts.

2. When, on the investigation of the Superintendent on the 14th March, an exag

of Mr. 5-18-5 was discovered, You gave a permit to one Chennigadu, one of the Ennkeelas who purchased salt on the 12th, for 8 Maunds (which You never sold to him at all) adding Mr 2-21-11 to the quantity actually in excess and sent this to him through the Duffadar, who handed it to Peon Shaik Imam for transmission. This You are aware, has been confessed to by the said Peon. It is clear therefore that You have embezzled public money to the amount of Rs 8-3-0 (the price of Mr. 5-18-5 of excess salt) and that You made fictitious entry to save yourself from the consequence of the exposure made by the Duffadar and the consequent investigation of the Superintendent – thereby alleging that you sold to Chennigadu salt, which You had never sold to him at all, and giving him a permit illegally.

3 Besides this I have come to understand that You were suspended for one month in 1860 (from 21st July to 20th August) for irregularities in Your sales. Again, since You were posted to Sunrapegunta, in November 1861, up to May last, You seem to have sold only M. 3298-30-2 during the 7 months. From 1st January last, though no sales have taken place in Poongolam &c, from examining Your sales which have been, up to March, only Maunds 1685, in April Maunds 470-20-2, and to the end of May Maunds 199-9-6, it seems proper to infer that thefts are frequent in the shell pits, pans, and swamps, from the salt accumulating there. In the Sunrapegunta Platform

and Akhilandeswari Platform, in the heaps Nos 2 and 4 of Fasli 1268 and Fasli 1269, you have shown a wastage of from Mds 116—34—11 to Maunds 195 of Salt. But when, in accordance with your request, myself and the Superintendent inspected the heaps on 26th April last, on removing the covering of the heaps on the Sunnapugunta Platform, we found it apparent that a wastage could be admitted to the extent only of 30 or 40 Merkans on account of the holes made in the heaps through rain &c. From this it is clear that the extraordinary wastage you have been charging for the heaps you have been clearing off, are due only to the frauds you have been perpetrating similar to the one brought to light by the investigation of the Superintendent on the present occasion.

4. While this is so, you are aware that Peer Shaik Imam who stole 3 Padies of Salt from Heap No 1 of Fasli 1269 on 2d April, and the parties who sold salt to certain Erukulas on 21st Idem, having been put on their trial before the Tahsildar of Ponneri, have been convicted and sentenced by him. The fact is also well known that measurer Ramaswami Modali took one seer of salt in a merkan after the day's sale was over. Moreover, from personal inspection all round of the 2d and 3rd Heaps on the 25th and 26th April and uncovering the 1st Heap, I discovered holes in them as though salt had been secretly stolen, and I also found ample reason to believe that you did not properly perform your duties yourself,

nor exacted their efficient discharge from your subordinates. From all this, it is clear, that you are not capable of taking proper steps to prevent thefts and irregularities, in connection with the spontaneous salt in swamps, shell pits &c.

5. But this is not all. As you seem to have, from your own deposition under date 30th April and those of the villagers of Vinayakunidibba, Sallaneriguntu &c, unnecessarily submitted an estimate for Rs 20-6- to the Deputy Collector of Madras in reply to his No. 121 dated 15th November, for cost of covering the spontaneous salt that accumulated at the above places (though you knew the expense should have been borne by the villagers themselves) and afterwards finding no occasion for the money sent it it back, without reporting to me any thing in connection with it, you no doubt deserve dismissal, but I am willing to be lenient towards you for once this time, and I have accordingly posted you to a place of Rs 20 as Gumastah of Gargapatnam Cotaur You will therefore hand over your charge to your Hirsindar and assuming the Gumastah's charge at Gargapatnam from the Hirsindah of that place, conduct yourself (from henceforth at least) with fidelity and zeal for the public interests.

13th June 1862 } (Signed) C. Narayanasamy Moodali.
Camp Isakapalli } Deputy Collector

(True Translation)

Ag Head Asst Collector
for Collector

wBn ll

Enclosure to Peekin
application 40/1872
dated 6-7-72

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION ~~GRATUITY~~

1. Name of Applicant. Mysore Srinivasa Ayengar

2. Father's name. Rangacharloo.

3. Sect or Caste. Bramin, Vyshnava

4. Residence. Nellore.

5. Present or last employment. 2nd Clerk of the Kistnapatam Salt Division

6. Date of beginning of service 18 July 1855

7. Date of end of service 10 September 1871.

	Y. M. D.
8. Length of service including breaks	16-1-28
of which superior	15-7-22
inferior	0
non-qualifying and breaks	0-5-6

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application.* Superannuation pension By retirement under 55 years rule.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. 20-0-0 Rupees.

11. Proposed pension. R a p 6-10-8

~~12. Proposed gratuity.~~

13. Date from which to commence. 11th September 1871

14. Place of payment. Nellore

15. Age of applicant on this date. 60 years.

16. Height. 5 Feet 3 Inches.

17. Marks. 2 Moles on his breast & the fore finger of his right hand is crooked.

18. Date. 6th July 1872

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Accountant General's Office
Recd. 15 7 1872
Ansd

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

By Head Asst. Collector
for Collector

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Mysore Sreenivasa Ayengar

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Collector of Madras District.	Deputy Samprati Huzur	17	8	-	- - -	18 July 1855	17 May 1860.	4	10	1	-	-	-	- - - -	By Certificate	Verified from the records of this office
	Do Salt Department Huzur	17	8	-	- - -	18 May 1860	20 July 1860.	-	2	4	-	-	-			
	Unemployed by abolition of his office				- - -	21st July 1860	21st August 1860	-	-	-	-	1	1	As per Board's order dated 17 July 1860		
	Acting Peishcar of Ponnere	28	-		- - -	22d August 1860	10th July 1861.	-	10	20	-	-	-	- - - -	Certificate	Acting appointments will not count
	Acting Peishcar of Poongalam	25	-		- - -	11 July 1861	31st October 1861	-	3	21	-	-	-	- - - -	Do	
	Peishcar of Chennambacolum.	28	-		- - -	1st November 1861	31st December 1861	-	7	-	-	-	-			Verified from the records of this office. The applicant does not appear to have drawn any acting allowance during the last 5 years of his service. Applicant's qualifying service after deducting periods of acting appointments and leave is yrs 14-5-13
								6	9	16	-	1	1			
Collector of Nellore District.	Peishcar of Sumatapu gunta.	28	-	-	- - -	1st June 1862	3d August 1862	-	2	3	-	-	-			
	Gumasta of Gangapatam	20	-	-	- - -	4th August 1862	31st March 1864	1	7	28	-	-	-			
	Gumasta of Kristnapatam	20	-	-	- - -	1st April 1864	1st July 1868.	2	3	1	-	-	-			

Acting Assistant Superintendent of Kristnapatam	20	.	- - -	2nd July 1866	31st July 1866	.	1	.	.	.	.		
Clerk of Do	20	. .	- - -	1st August 1866	25th Novr 1866	.	3	25	.	.	-		
	Leave on full pay			26th Novr 1866	5th Decr 1866	.	.	.	.	.	10	without pay	Verified by the Records of this office
Do	20	. .	- - -	6th Decr 1866	22nd Feby 1868	1	2	17	-	-			
	Leave on full pay			23rd Feby 1868	3rd March 1868	.	.	.	.	.	9	without pay	
Do	20	. .	- - -	4th March 1868	29th June 1868	.	3	26	-	-			
	Leave on full pay			30th June 1868	9th Septr 1868	.	.	.	.	2	10	without pay	
Do	20	. .	- -	10th Septr 1868	25th May 1869	.	8	16	.	.			
	Leave on full pay			26th May 1869	31st July 1869	.	.	.	.	2	6	without pay	
Do	20	. .	- - -	1st August 1869	10th Septr 1871	2	1	10	.	.	.		
						8	10	6	.	5	5		

The Collector of Chingleput District in which Sreenevasa Ayengar had been employed previously was written to on the 25th October 1871, regarding the verification of his service & that officer's reply was received on the 11th January 1872.

The records in that District were found incomplete. The services of Sreenevasa Ayengar from 1st January to 31st May 1862 are not traceable in Huzur Records. A copy of list & of Salt Deputy Collector's letter is forwarded herewith & 2 certificates possessed by him one from Mr Smith Deputy Collector & the other from Mr Cunliffe Collector. His services are believed in that District to have been continued upto 31st May 1862. The Deputy Collector of that District states that very likely the applicant continued to serve till the Kotar was transferred to this District, an Affidavit declared to by Sreenevasa Ayengar before the

Magistrate for his services from 1st January to 31st May 1862 is enclosed. The delay in this case is owing to the unavoidable correspondence with the Madras District & the difficulty of verification of services & drawing up a clear list of his services. Sreenivasa Ayengar who was ordered on 2nd May 1872 to appear before a Magistrate for Affidavit delayed doing so hoping to find some record accounting for his service from January to 31 May 1862. The following orders have since been found on search in the Records at his suggestion. Jabid No 117 dated 5 April 1862 2ndly Jabid No 29 dated 19 August 1862. These show that pay for January 1862 & May 1862 were disbursed here.

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. 1st Degraded on the 11 July 1861 from Rs 28 to 25 Rs and posted to Poongolam Peishkar for certain reasons stated vide Translation of Madras Deputy Collector's Jabid dated 10th July 1861. 2nd Degraded on 1st August 1862 for certain irregularities in selling salt when he was Peishkar of [illegible] such as giving false passes, [illegible] accounts to conceal frauds.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks.

SIGNATURE.
Ag [illegible] for Collector

No. 534.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ / superior} grades has been duly proved for 14 years 5 months and 13 days; and that a superannuation {~~pension~~ / gratuity} not exceeding Rs. 240 [illegible], is admissible under Section 57 of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. Should Government consider that the period during which he acted as Peishkar from 22 Aug 1860 to 31 Oct 1861 should be reckoned as qualifying service though he was not confirmed in the appointment in which he acted but for one of same designation and in the same establishment a superannuation pension not exceeding Rs 6-10-8 per mensem would be admissible under Section 57 for his qualifying service of years 15-7-24. See 17 rule 2 of [illegible] is not clear.

The cause of delay in the submission of this application by the Collector is explained by him in the Register at foot of the history of service.

W. Donald
Offg Accountant-General.

Fort Saint George
Account Genl's Office
5th December 1872

To
The Secretary to Government
Civil Pension Department

Pension Depart.

No. 1241.

Application for {Pension ~~or~~ ~~Gratuity~~

Date of application.
6th July 1872.

Name of applicant.
M. Sreenivassa Ayengar.

Last appointment.
2nd Clerk of the Kistnapatam Salt Division

Class of {pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

O. No 178 of March 1873

The Collector of Nellore
To
The Dy. Accountant General
Dated 6 July 72
Dated 5 Dec 72
No 1542
Encl: 10
Pension application on behalf of Mysore Sreenivassa Ayengar 2nd Clerk of Kistnapatam [illegible]

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Nellore dated 6th July 1872 No 1542 and from the Dy. Accountant General dated 5th December 1872 No 534.

Order thereon 20th March 1873 No. 178.

Under Sections 53 & 56(b) of the Civil Pension Code His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Mysore Streenivassa Ayengar, late 2nd clerk of the Kistnapatam Salt Division Nellore District, a superannuation pension of Rs (6-10-8) six annas ten and pice eight per mensem equal to one third of his salary for the last 5 years payable from the 11th September 1871

2. With reference to the Dy. Accountant General's remark

that it is doubtful whether the period from 22d August 1860 to 31st October 1861, during which the applicant acted as Peishcar, should be reckoned as qualifying service, inasmuch as he was not eventually confirmed in the identical appointment in which he acted but in one of the same designation and on the same establishment, the Government are of opinion that the period in question is not ~~covered~~ barred by the terms of clause 2 of Sec. 17 Civil Pension Code

3 The Resolution on which the clause in question is based not being noted in the margin of the Code, the Govt have no means of ascertaining what were the circumstances of the particular case which evoked the ruling referred to, but it could not have been the intention of the Govt of India to make the admissibility of any period of service dependent upon the contingency of an officer appointed to act in a full vacancy being eventually confirmed in the identical post to the exclusion of all similar ones on the same establishment.

4 Such a construction of the rule would, in the present case, operate with peculiar hardship as the applicant was thrown out of employment by reduction of establishment only a month before the period the validity of which is called in question, and it is therefore from no fault of his own that the supposed flaw in his subsequent service results

5 As it appears that Streenevassa Iyengar was acting

acting in a full vacancy from 22d August 1860 to 31st October 1861, the reason for his not being confirmed therein not being ascertainable, the period in question has been admitted –

Chl.

To the Collector of Nellore
" " Accountant General
with Regr for entry
desp'd 21/3/73

Govt. of {1873} Madras.

Pension

To The Collector of Nellore

Dated 20th March }
Recd. } 1873, No. 178

Nos.

Granting a superan-
-nuation pension of
Rs 6-10-8 to M. Sreeni-
-vassa Iyengar Late
2nd Clerk of the Kistna-
-patam Sub Division
Nellore District.

C. 3d March
R. 4 —