

1. Number of pages: 16
2. Date of repair: 15-8-36
3. Initials of Referencer.

M.R.O. 1-82 400-29-11-80

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	887	Press Mis.
Dated	20-12-	18) 2. 16

Not to be folded or roughly handled

15/9/36
A

Aud. DEPARTMENT.

I he returned with reply on reverse.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,

17 Oct. 1872.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To The *Collector of Cuddapah*

*about
Pension
application*

*Vide of office Application for
pension on behalf of S. Naghoba Row.*

The Undersigned has the honor
to request explanation on the following
points.

1. Why was the period of service by *W. M. D.* 1-6-20.
shown in the column "period reckoned as
service" since that period did not count
for pension, having been vitiated by dismissal.
2. Why was the Applicant stated in the
Service Register to have ceased to do duty
from 1 January last, while from the Supple-
-mental Rule he b1. paid in April 192,
he appeared to have drawn pay for January
and 25 days of February also and retired only
from 26th of that month. Mention should
be made whether or not the Applicant
did duty during the period for which he
drew allowance from January.
3. What was the Cause of delay in the
submission of the application until
7th Instant when the Applicant is stated
to have ceased to do duty from 1 January last.

Please

Please forward Copies of orders
(with translation) in connection with
the applicants suspension and reinstatement
- ment in 1864.

Donald
Off Dy acctg Genl.

No 20.

Replies.

The period of service viz¹ - 6th 20 previous to dismissal 2.
of the Individual from employment, has been taken into account,
because, he was again entertained in the public service.

The applicants employment ceased from 26th February 2
1872, and not from 1st January 1872, as erroneously shown in the
application.

The delay in the submission of the application is 3
owing to references being made to the former services of the
applicant, and also to an enquiry, which it was necessary to
make regarding the applicants misconduct.

Copies of the orders of the late Collector (with trans-
lations) dated 6th February, and 10th June 1864 Nos 22 & 70
respectively in connection with the applicants suspension
and reinstatement are herewith transmitted.

Cuddapah Collector
Office 4th Nov 1872

Accountant General's Office
Recd 8
Ans'd 11 18 72

E. C. S. C.

11460

Translation of an order from the Ag. Collector
of Cuddapah dated 6th February 1864 No 22
to the Tahsildar of Pullumpett.

Your Dyzers Nos 4 and 10, the Taffal
Book, and the depositions were read. You state
therein that although Ragoba Row the Cash-
Gumastah lost a letter of Credit (an im-
portant document) to the address of Mr. B. B.
and although it was his duty, as Cash Gu-
mastah, to have marked down the date of
its despatch, he denies it, and utters a down-
right falsehood in saying that he sent it
to the Taffal Gumastah, while the Taffal
Gumastah states that it never came to him
nor was it entered in the Taffal Book.

The Taffal Book is herewith returned.
It does not appear to have been properly
kept, nor are the daily entries written clearly.
It is necessary to dismiss Tancoha Row &
Taffal Gumastah at once for this fault.
But a warning is given him this time. The
pages of every Taffal Book must, in future,
be numbered, and each page sealed with
your Inohur. It should then be given to
the Taffal Gumastah with orders not to make
any erasures, or corrections, but to write in
the order of dates, all the names clearly and
legibly. It is the duty of the Tahaz Sherastah
to see.

to see every now and then whether it is correctly kept or not, and he should be held responsible for its correctness. If it appears that this order is not carried out, a very unpleasant Hukum will be the result. The explanation submitted by Ragoba Row the Cash Gurnasta is not satisfactory. As the work of the Treasury is of a very delicate nature, it was the duty of the Cash Gurnasta to have marked down all the papers sent, and to show them whenever referred to on the subject. But he has failed to do so, and thus occasioned much inconvenience. The Duplicate of the letter of Credit lost is herewith sent, and the work connected with it must be done with the least possible delay. It is but right for the reasons mentioned above that Ragoba Row Cash Gurnasta should be once dismissed from the service from the 8th Instant. But as he is an old servant he is suspended for 6 months. As regards the temporary filling up of his appointment, you must submit a report to the Head Assistant Collector, and then act up to his order. You must inform all your subordinates that any one of them found guilty of such dereliction of duty, will always be punished in the same way.

/Signed/ H. G. Smith
Ag. Collector
/True Translation/

W. M. M. M.
Collector

C. C. S. C.

Translation of an order from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah No 70 dated 10th June 1864 to the Tahsildar of Pullumpett.

There was a Hukum issued from this Office No. 22 respecting the loss of a letter of Credit that Cash Gurnasta Ragoba Row should be suspended for 6 months from the 8th February. But considering that the punishment he has already undergone is perfectly sufficient, he is restored to his appointment from the 15th Instant. He has been accordingly directed to proceed at once to you. You are directed to note this down in the Pay Abstract for this month, and to order him to perform his duties hereafter with care and attention.

/Signed/ H. G. Smith
Ag. Collector.

/True Translation/

W. M. M. M.
Collector

C. C. S. C.

[illegible]

[illegible]

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION
GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Sidhant Adghola Row*
2. Father's name. *Sidhant Bheema Row*
3. Sect or Caste. *Brahmin Madhwa.*
4. Residence. *At present Hadisi in Guddapah District*
5. Present or last employment. *2^d or Cash Jumnatah of Hadisi taluk*
6. Date of beginning of service *19th July 1832*
7. Date of end of service *31st December 1871*
8. Length of service including breaks — *39-5-19*
- of which superior *19-2-14*
- inferior *18-11-10*
- non-qualifying and breaks *3-3-25*
9. Class of {pension} applied for, and cause of application* *2^d Chap Pension applied for after the age of 55 years as the applicant is considered inefficient for further service.*
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *Rs 1200 during the last 5 years or Rs 20 per mensem.*
11. Proposed pension. *Rs 4/4 per mensem*
12. Proposed gratuity.
13. Date from which to commence. *1st January 1872.*
14. Place of payment. *Collector's Treasury at Guddapah.*
15. Age of Applicant on this date. *58-3-23 up to 31st Dec 1871*
16. Height. *Feet 5 Inch 1 1/4*
17. Marks. *A large scar on the left nipple. Round scar on the centre of the back, and another scar over the right foot - color Bamboo.*
18. Date. *7 October 1872*

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Accountant General
Recd 11
Ans 10 1872

W. N. S. S. S.
Collector
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

*Pens 1260/72
F. A. R. 889.*

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF

Sidhant Raghoba Rao

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		ES.	A. P.	ES.		Y.	M.	D.			
Collector of Guadalupe.	Laya, Mitter	7		"	19 th July 1832 - 20 th March 1835	2	1		Superior grade		Had not been verified as the service with not comply pension.
	William Taylor			"	21 st March 1835 - 20 th May 1835		2	1			
	And of employment by the first Lt.			"	21 st May 1835 - 12 th Aug. 1837	2	2	23			
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	10	8	"	13 th Aug. 1837 - 21 st June 1838	"	"	"			Had not been verified as the service with not comply pension.
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	10	8	"	22 nd June 1838 - 28 th Feb. 1839	1	1	10			
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	10	8	"	28 th Feb. 1839 - 11 th June 1839	"	"	3	For pending for let 3 return of cultivation		
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	10	8	"	18 th June 1839 - 11 th Aug. 1840	1	"	24	Superior grade		
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	10	"	"	12 th July 1840 - 5 th Aug. 1843	"	"	12	Superior grade		
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	10	"	"	6 th Aug. 1843 - 22 nd Aug. 1843	"	"	"	Superior grade.		
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	10	"	"	13 th July 1843 - 17 th Oct. 1843	"	"	3			
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	10	"	"	18 th Oct. 1843 - 24 th Oct. 1843	"	"	"			
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	10	"	"	25 th Oct. 1843 - 31 st Dec. 1843	"	3	"	Superior grade		
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	12	"	"	14 th Nov. 1843 - 5 th Dec. 1843	"	10	5			
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	12	"	"	18 th Dec. 1843 - 10 th Dec. 1843	"	"	1	5		Verified for of this. Office not appear any acting during the of that service
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	12	"	"	11 th Dec. 1843 - 16 th May 1845	"	"	"			Qualifying for that service
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	20	"	"	17 th May 1845 - 12 th Aug. 1845	3	2	27			Qualifying for that service
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	20	"	"	12 th Aug. 1845 - 10 th Dec. 1845	1	7	29			Qualifying for that service
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	20	"	"	10 th Dec. 1845 - 11 th Dec. 1845	"	10	4			Qualifying for that service
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	20	"	"	11 th Dec. 1845 - 11 th Dec. 1845	"	"	"			Qualifying for that service
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	20	"	"	15 th Dec. 1845 - 22 nd June 1845	"	11	28			Qualifying for that service
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	20	"	"	23 rd June 1845 - 22 nd June 1845	"	"	"	10		Qualifying for that service
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	20	"	"	23 rd June 1845 - 18 th July 1845	4	7	26			Qualifying for that service
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	20	"	"	19 th July 1845 - 12 th Oct. 1845	"	"	1	17		Qualifying for that service
	Commissioner of Guadalupe	20	"	"	8 th Oct. 1845 - 23 rd May 1845	"	1	16			Qualifying for that service
Commissioner of Guadalupe	20	"	"	24 th May 1845 - 31 st Dec. 1845	1	7	8			Qualifying for that service	
Total period of {superior} service... inferior						17	2	14	22	3	5

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks.

With reference to the applicant's misconduct, I recommend that his pension be reduced to Rs. 4. When introduced on the last occasion he was told by a late collector that his son was not to be introduced in the public service in any capacity for 3 years. He kept back this order from the knowledge of the collector and Tahildar, and his son was appointed to act in his place before the 3 years had elapsed.

No. 480

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in {superior} grades has been duly proved for 15 years 9 months and 12 days; and that a {pension} {gratuity} not exceeding Rs. 6-10-8 per mensem, is admissible under Section 57 of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

The cause of delay in the submission of the application has been explained by the Collector in his reply on memorandum No. 20 dated 12th instant. The collector recommends the applicant for a pension of Rs. 4. Refers only for the reason given opposite. No. 6. Any other remarks? Division to the applicant with commences from 26th February last, and not from 1st January as erroneously first shown by the Collector and subsequently rectified by him. vide para 2 of the above memorandum.

for the Secretary to Government Pension Department.

for the Accountant-General.

Pension 1872 Dept
88101 24760.

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION OR
GRATUITY }

Date of application.

7th October 1872.

Name of Applicant.

S. Ponghola Rao

Last appointment.

Salug Gumarston

Class of { pension or
gratuity }

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

With order 8889

0:25 hrs
23rd Dec

Order the 20th December 1872 No 889.

Under sections 53 and 56 (b) of the
Civil Pensions Code His Excellency, the
Governor in Council is pleased to sanction
Richard Ponghola Rao, late Civil Engineer,
= Sub of Madras Salug Gumarston District
a superannuation pension of Rs 6.00.00
= Rs 6.00.00 for his right for service equal
to one third of his salary for the last 5
years, payable from the 26th February 1872.
= It is recommended that the Collector
recommendations that the Applicant
pension should be reduced to Rs 4.00.00
the Govt are of opinion that the pe-
= nalty proposed is altogether out of
proportion to the merits and services.

M. C.

Vide remark 5/10/72 of the Register

To the Collector of Cadiz.

" Account of the Cadiz. Dec 7 20/12/72

M.C.