1. Number of pages. 1-50
2. Date of repair. 13-8-36
3. Initials of Referencer.

M.R.O. 1—32,400—22-11-30

31-8-36

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 793	Press Mis.
Dated 1-11-	1872

Not to be folded or roughly handled

13-8-36

Form No 5 Police Service and Superannuation Fund

Application for gratuity under Rule I Godavery District 1 AP

1	2	3	4	5			6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Full name of the individual for whom the allowance is asked	Present Rank	Present age	Period of Service in Each grade									Abstract of the grounds of the application	Remarks by the Supt of Police on the general character & conduct of the applicant throughout his service	Explanation of any degradation	Proposed amount of gratuity	
			Grade	Pay			Date of entering Each grade	Date of leaving each grade	Years	Months	Days					
Kunchala Lokanady No 828/1385	2 class Constable	39	Peon	4	-		From 15 December 1859 to 11 July 1862 y M D 2-6-28 Acquittance Rolls were not found for the following months y M D March April May July December 1860 } 0-5- April 1861 0-1- January March } 0-3- April 1862 } 0-9- Police Department	1862 11 July	1	9	28	Discharged having been declared by the Medical Officer to be unfit for further service being over 55 years of age	Good	None	~~71~~	~~8~~
			2 class Constable	6	8	-	15th July 1862 2 class Constable	4th October 1871 2 class Constable	9	3	2 ③				58	8
							Total		11	1	1					

Office of the Supt of Police
Godavery District
Rajahmundry
5th June 1872

E.C.G.

Ag Deputy Genl of Police

Chas. A. Porteous Capt
Superintendent of Police
G.D.

3 M

Proceedings of a Medical Committee assembled at the Police Hospital Rajahmundry on the 16th May 1872, for the purpose of Examining into the health &c of the undermentioned Police Constables.

No 126, 1 class Constable Fattemahemmud,

No 1385, 2 class Constable Kancherla Sobhanadry,

The Committee having assembled, and Examined into the health of the above Police Constables, are of opinion that they are old and infirm, and physically unfit for further service.—

Disability, in opinion of Committee, C.D. not attributable to intemperance or other irregular habits.

J. Niedeebeek Surgeon
Superintendent of Central Jail

C. Day
Assistant Surgeon
A.G. Zilla Surgeon

No. 177

5 Sp

From

The Collector

Godavery district.

To

The Superintendent of Police

Rajahmundry.

Dated 29th April
Received 1st May } 1872.

Subject

Your letters of the 17th February 1872 no 56 and the 31st March 1872 no 124.

Copies of the 2 statements received from the Vernacular Record Keeper regarding the past services in the Revenue Department of the two, + 1 Fathe Mahamed Constables there in 2. K. Sobbanadry. referred to are enclosed.

(S) H. E. Sullivan

Ag. Collector.

(True Copy)

Captain

Superintendent of Police G D

No. 55

Godavery district
Collector's Office
Camp Mandapeta 9th Feby/72

From

H. C Sullivan Esqr
Ag. Collector

To

Captain C. A Porteous
Superintendent of Police
Rajahmundry

Sir,

8th November/71
No. 313
Do " 318
17 January 1872
No 19
Kuncharla Sobbanadry.
Fathe Mahamed.

With reference to your letters noted in the margin, I have the honor to inform you that the Huzur native Record keeper reports that the list of service of the two persons as per margin, late Peons of Relangy and Rentapade Talugs, when they formed a portion of the late Masulipatam district is not on the record of this Office.

2. As regards the services of the remaining persons search is being made. Meanwhile, I request that the Exact dates of the admission of the individuals referred to, into the Police may be ascertained and communicated to this Office.

(True Copy)

I have &c. &c.
H. C. Sullivan Es.
Ag. Collector.

(True Copy)

Chas. A. Porteous Capt (S)
Superintendent of Police

1872

From

The Collector
Godavery District

To

The Superintendent
of Police G.D.

Dated 9th Febry. No. 55

Enclosures none
Received 12/2/72.

Subject.

Informing that the Huzur native record keeper reports that the service list of 2 peons is not on the records, and that a search will made as regards others requesting to know the xact dates of admission o the police of the peons rred to in his letters.

No. 1583 Kistna District Collector's
Office
Masulipatam 31 May 1872

9 App

From

The Acting Collector of the
Kistna District

To

The Superintendent of Police
Godavery District.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that the records of the Talugs referred to in your letters No. 178 & 179 of 16th instant, were transfered to the collector of Godavery district after those Talugs were transferred. I am therefore unable to give you the information.

I have &c. &c.
(S) Deman
Acting Collector.
(True Copy)

ed
(S) W. Ramayya.

(True copy)
Chas. [illegible]
Capt.
Superintendent of Police
G.D.

Department.

From

The Collector
of Kistna
District

To

The Superintendent
of Police
Godavery district.

Dated 31 May 1872.
Received

No. 1583
Enclosure

Informing that no information required can be given as the records were transferred to Godavery Collector.

Godavery **DISTRICT.** 11 AP

జిల్లా.

Declaration of conditions under which the Undermentioned Police Officer elects to serve for Pension and Gratuity.

ఈక్రిందచెప్పిన పోలీసు వుద్యోగస్థుడు పింఛనుకొరకున్ను గ్రాట్యూటీకొరకున్ను కొలువుచేయడమునకు కోరుకొన్న షరతులనుగురించిచెప్పిన రూఢి వాక్యము.

General No. జనరల్ నంబరు.	Rank. దర్జా.	Name in full. పేరు పూరాగా.	Date of Enlistment. నవుకరిలో దాఖలైనతారీఖు.	Date of election. కోరుకొన్న తారీఖు.	Signature of Officer taking Declaration. రూఢివాక్యము పుచ్చుకొన్న ఆఫీసరుయొక్క దస్కతు.
1385 ~~828~~	2nd Class Const	K. Sabhanadry శొభనాద్రి	15th July 1862	18th July 1872	[illegible]

I K. Sabhanadry described above now serving as 2nd Class constable in Madras Police do hereby elect to serve for Pension and Gratuity under Superannuation Fund Rules

పయినచెప్పినటువంటిన్ని, యిప్పుడు మద్రాసు పోలీసులో కొలువుచేసేటటువంటిన్ని శొభనాద్రి అనే నేను* [illegible] ప్రకారము పింఛనుకొరకున్ను, గ్రాట్యూటీకొరకున్ను కొలువుచేయడమునకు యిందువల్ల కోరుకొంటున్నాను. — [illegible]

* Here enter conditions of Superannuation Fund Rules of or under conditions laid down in G. O. G. I. dated 19th July 1871

*ఇక్కడ సూపరన్యుయేషన్ ఫండు నిబంధనల షరతుల ప్రకారము కోరేదిన్ని లేక 1871 - టో సంవత్సరం జూలై నెల 19 తేది ఇండియా గవర్నమెంటు జనరల్ ఆర్డరులో చెప్పియున్న షరతుల ప్రకారము కోరేదిన్ని, వ్రాయవలసినది.

Discharged having been declared by a Medical Officer to be unfit for further service being over 55 years.

2. Election taken as instructed by Asstt Inspector General in his memo No 2630 dated 9th July 1872

Entered

NO. 328.

GODAVERY DISTRICT,
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Cocanada 2nd ~~Septr~~ Septr 1872.

From

W. S. Foster Esqre
A/Collector.

To

13 AP

Captain C. A. Portrous
Superintendent of Police, Rajahmundry

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 12th Ultimo No 285, I have the honor to enclose Copy of the order No 103 dated 17th March 1840 issued by Mr J Grant the then Collector of Masulipatam as also Statements of 3 persons noted in the margin who confirm the Statement of the Constable Sobhanadry that he continuously served in the Relangy Taluq ~~[illegible]~~

1 Mahammed Gúlab.
2 Lál Khán
3 A Kumiri Góvinda.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obdt Servt.

W S Foster
A/Collector

Cpd R/C

1872

The Collector
Godavery District.

To

The Superintendent of
Police Godavery Dt.

Dated 2nd September 1872

Received

No. 328.

Enclo: 4.

No.

Subject

Enclosing copy of an order No. 103 d/17th March 1840 issued by the then Collector of Masulipatam as also statements of 3 persons confirming the statements of Constable Subbanad &c.

Masulipatam
17th March 1840

(Signd

P. Grant
Collector

A Sundurraze
Tahasildar

Received 23rd March
1840

No 103

Ralungy

(Seal)

17th

To

The Tahasildar of Ralungy
Talug

Peon Kancherla Shobanadry of Tervoor Division is transferred to your Division Vice C Narsimloo who goes to Tervoor as Soon Shobanadry reports his arrival there, you shall take Security Bond and forward the Same to this office. in the meantime employ him as a Peon in the usual way

Masulipatam
17th March 1840

(Signed)
P Grant
Collector

(True extract)

(Signed) A Sundurraze
Tahasildar

(Sd) G. Ramarazee
Peshkar

19 [illegible]

[illegible] 26 [illegible] 1872 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 20 [illegible]

[illegible] 1862 [illegible]

[illegible] 13 [illegible] 4 [illegible]

[illegible] 3-8- [illegible]

[illegible]

Tahasildar

Camp Tanukoo
26th August 1872

Mahamed Ghaleeh Peon
Tanukoo on solemn affir=
=mation states AP

21

Kancherla Shobhanadry came to where I was from another Division until the amalagamation of the said Taluq we both served in the same division for 20 years He was employed in that division till 1862 without any break in his service. He was neither suspended nor dismissed. at the time of amalagamation Shobhanadry was transferred to Palue. His pay was 4 Rupees a month. mine was Rs 3—8—.

deposition taken in my presence

(Signed) A Sundaraze
Tahasildar

23 [illegible]
[illegible] 26 [illegible] 1872 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1862 [illegible]

[illegible]

Bahadur

Camp Janukoo
26 August 1872

Ankoonoory Govindoo Peon
Janukoo on Solemn affir-
=mation States 25 AP

I was a peon in Ralungy division when Kancherla Shobanadry was transferred from Tervoor. Mahamed Galeeb was also my Comrade. Till the amalagamation in 1862 Shobanadry served as a Peon without any break in his Service — I also served with him — at the time of amalagamation Shobanadry was transferred to Police

Deposition taken in my
presence

(Signed) A. Sundurraze
Tahsildar

[illegible]

[illegible] 26 [illegible] 1872 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 27 AP

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 14 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1862 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 13 [illegible] 4 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Camp Tanukoo
26 August 1872

Lall Khan Peon Tanukoo
on Solemn 29 AP affirmation States

I heard of K Shobhanadry's transfer to Ralungy division from Tervoor Talug I was then aged 14 years 6 years after I was entertained as a Peon in Tanukoo Talug I have known Shobanadry since till 1862 he was employed as a Peon without any break in his Service -

at the time of amalagamation he was transferred to Police his pay was 4 Rupees a month -

I have known Shobanadry previous to my entertainment as a Peon because my father was a Peon in Tanukoo Talug and that I was in the habit of going to Ralungy. deposition taken in my presence

S/ A Sundurraze
Tahsildar

1872 Deptt. 6

Enclosure No. 1.
In a letter from the Ag. Inspr.
Genl. of Police dated Madras
19th September 1872 No. 3323.

Gratuity application &ca

Statement of Services of Kunchirla
Lobanaday

33 AP

Rank	Where employed	From what date to what date	Pay	Period of Service			Remarks
				Years	Months	Days	

Kunchirla Lobanaday was a Peon in the Ralungy Taluq previous to December 1859. The Division being amalgamated with Kistna District no records were transferred to this District

Rank	Where employed	From what date to what date	Pay	Years	Months	Days	Remarks
w	Ralungy Division	From 15th December 1859 to 11th July 1862 y m d 2-6-28 acquittance Rolls were not found for the following months y m d March April May July December 1860 } 0-5- April 1861 0-1- January March April 1862 } 0-3- 0-9-	4	1	9	28	

It appears from the acquittance Roll of July 1862 that his pay was drawn from 1st to 11th for that month. A remark was therein made that he entered the Police without permission when he was sent to Tlapavaram to collect the Revenue and that his services were dispensed with by order of the then Sub Collector dated 8th July 1862 No 199. No copy of the order was found in the record therefore it has not been sent.

(True translation from Telugu)

Chas. D. Portend Capt

Superintendent of Police

E.C.G.

No. 315.

Office of the Supdt of Police
Godavery District,
Rajahmundry 9th September 1872.

From
The Superintendent of Police
Godavery District

To
The Assistant Inspector General
of Madras Police
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 2211 dated 19th June 1872, I have the honor to inform you that constable No. 1385 K. Sobhanady therein alluded to was discharged on the strength of Government Order No. 2931 dated 25th June 1871 he having been pronounced by the acting Civil Surgeon to be over 55 years of age.

After I received a memo from the Assistant Inspector General of Police saying that it was not merely sufficient that this man should be pronounced over 55 years of age by the Doctor, but should be declared "unfit" for further service, as well as over age, he was again sent before the Acting Civil Surgeon who in December 1871 gave a certificate of his unfitness. I have since been in correspondence with the Collectors Godavery and Krishna Districts regarding the former service of this man which was furnished to me on 2d June 1872, when the roll was prepared and forwarded. Certain gratuity rolls having been returned by the Assistant Inspector General informing me that the certificates should be signed by two medical Officers a fresh certificate for this man also was obtained on 16 May 1872.

This man's election certificate for gratuity is herewith forwarded as the order on the subject is dated 9 December 1871 and his discharge was in October 1871 his declaration could not be taken before his discharge.

I further have the honor to forward herewith a letter from the Collector of this District with 4 Enclosures for your information.

37 AP

* * * *

(True Extract)

WC [illegible] Major
Asst. Inspector Genl of Police

1872 Deptt

Enclosure No. II

In letter from the Ie

Inspr Genl of Police

dated Madras 19

Sept 1872 No. 3323.

Copy extract of letter

from the Supdt of Police

Godavery explaining

the cause of delay

in the submission

of the present applⁿ

Pension Dept.

Memo. 39 AP

The explanation of the apparent anomaly remarked upon by pencil note in the margin of the accompanying draft G.O is to be found in the more liberal nature of the Superannuation Fund Rules as compared with the Rules applicable to servants of Government in other Departments. The Superannuation Fund Rules give a gratuity of one months pay for each year of service over 7 years. If previous service in any other Department ~~[illegible]~~ is claimed the scale laid down in the U.C.S Rules ~~[illegible]~~ is adopted (Section 63 of the Pension Code) under which the highest gratuity allowed is six months pay. In the present case the applicant claims previous service in the Revenue Department amounting to apparently about 20 years, or thereabouts His total service would amount to about 30 years, and would entitle him to six months pay, whereas for his police service alone, 9 years 3 months, he would be entitled to 9 months pay.

In fact under the Superannuation Fund Rules previous service in the Revenue Department ~~was~~ is of no value unless it ~~was~~ is of sufficient length to give a title to pension in combination with the Police service (35 years) or unless the police service ~~was~~ is of shorter duration than 7 years in which case the peon might fall back

upon

upon his revenue service and get a gratuity for that.

The conditions of the New Rules of the 19th July 1871 are much more favorable as regards gratuities for combined service, the rate being one months pay for every complete two years of service not exceeding 12 months pay in all, vide Clause V. of the Rules.

Had the applicant elected the New Rules he would have been entitled to a gratuity of 12 months pay, but he elected the Superannuation Fund Rules under which he secured a larger gratuity for his purely police service than for his revenue service and Police Service combined.

I observe however that the date of election is subsequent to the date of retirement (an irregularity which has been committed on already and will no doubt not occur in future) so that the comparative advantages of the two sets of Rules could not have been understood; otherwise the applicant would have elected those most favorable to himself, viz. the New Rules. Still this cannot be taken into consideration - His election ought to have been recorded before retirement in which case he would certainly have elected the Superannuation Fund Rules which, are in respect of pensions, decidedly the most advantageous and are invariably chosen in preference to the New Rules.

There is however, one point in which I think I have been in error -

The

The application should have been sent through the Accountant General with a view to the verification of the Revenue service - I did not adopt this course in the first instance, because the evidence of service now adduced is so vague, as to be worthless and reference to the Accountant General involves delay; but - it is possible that the extent of the service in the Revenue Department may be more clearly ascertained through the medium of the Accountant General. The evidence of service of prous in the Kistna District is always unsatisfactory owing to the destruction of the records by retirement (an irregularity which has been commented on already and will no doubt not occur in future) so that the comparative advantages of the two sets of Rules could not have been understood, otherwise the applicant would have elected those most favorable to himself, viz. the New Rules. Still this cannot be taken into consideration — his election ought to have been recorded before retirement in which case he would certainly have elected the Superannuation Fund Rules which are in respect of pensions decidedly the most advantageous and are invariably chosen in preference to the New Rules.

There is however one point in which I think I have been in error —

The

The application should have been sent through the Accountant General with a view to the verification of the Revenue service — I did not adopt this course in the first instance, because the evidence of service now adduced is so vague, as to be worthless and reference to the Accountant General involves delay, but it is possible that the extent of the service in the Revenue Department may be more clearly ascertained through the medium of the Accountant General. The evidence of service of persons in the Kistna District is always unsatisfactory owing to the destruction of the records by the Cyclone, hence I do not anticipate that the service claimed can be verified.— As it is the proper course however I beg leave to refer the application to the Accountant General, the draft being cancelled.

Wd.

Issues an explanation of
the operation of the Police
Superannuation Fund Rules

---

c-17-10
2.18-10.

Audit.

No. 3323

Chief Office of the Inspector General of Police,
Madras, 19 September 1872

47 AP

From

The Ag Inspector General of Police,

To

The Secretary to Government
Pension Department
Fort Saint George

Sir,

Enclosures. Two

I have the honor to submit for the orders of Government an application for the grant of gratuity to 2d class Constable Soobhanadry No. 1385 of the Godavery District.

2. The constable has served for more than nine years in the Police and has borne a good character. He is now unfit for further service as certified by the Medical Officers.

3. Soobhanadry would have been entitled to a gratuity of Rupees 58. 8. 0

under the Rules of the Police Superannuation Fund.

4. I beg to forward for the information of Government a copy of letter No. 315 dated 9th September 1872, from the Superintendent of Police, Godavery, explaining that the cause of delay in submitting the present application was owing to the discharge of the constable in October 1871, under the 55 years order and subsequently obtaining a medical certificate as well as to correspondence in obtaining service List from the Revenue Department.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedt. Servant

W. C. D[illegible] Major
Ag. Inspector General of Police.

Pension 1872 Dept.

No. 1130.

From
The Inspector General
of Police,

To
The Secy to Govt

Fort St. George

Dated 19th September 1872

No. 3323.

Submits an application for the grant of gratuity to 2d Class Constable Soobhanadoo of the Godavery Dist.

With an order No. 793

Surely this is not correct

Order thereon 1st November 1872 No. 793.

Under sections 104 & 105 of the Civil Pension Code His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to 2d Class Constable Soobhanadoo of the Godavery Police Force an invalid gratuity of Rs 58-8-0 equal to nine months salary for his service of 9 yrs 3 ms 2 days.

As the applicant has elected the Superannuation Fund Rules under which he is entitled to a larger sum for his service in the Police than could be granted under those Rules for the combined service claimed it is unnecessary to consider the evidence adduced in support of his previous service in the Revenue Departt which cannot be verified from the records.

To the Inspector General of Police
" Collector of Godavery District
" Accountant General
P.W.G.

Despd 9/11/72