1. Number of pieces 14
2. Date of Receipt 17.7.36
3. Initials of Reference 4921

M.R.O. 1—22,400—22-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 77		Press Mis.
Dated	18	.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17.7.36

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,
5th March 1872.

Read again Proceedings of Government, dated 16th January 1872, No. 34.

Read also the following letter from the Collector of Kurnool, dated 22nd January 1872, No. 15.

ORDER THEREON, 5th March 1872, No. 77.

U. Soobba Row entered the service of Government as a "Karkoon" in the Sirwell Taluq, Kurnool District, on 11th April 1848, and served until March 10th, 1871, on salaries varying between Rupees 12 and Rupees 22-8-0. His last appointment was that of 2nd Gumastah in the Sub-Magistrate's Office at Atmakur on Rupees 15. His inability to serve longer, owing to old age and infirmity, is duly certified to.

2. Excluding a break of sixteen days (from 13th to 28th August 1854) during which Subba Row was unemployed, owing to reduction of establishment, and another break of nine months and thirteen days, resulting from his dismissal, which is the cause of the present application, the total service of Subba Row is twenty-two years one month and two days, and were it not for his dismissal he would be entitled under Section 56(*b*) of the Civil Pension Code to an invalid pension of Rupees 5.

3. On April 1st, 1866, the Collector dismissed Subba Row from the post of Revenue Inspector for having made an incorrect report regarding the condition of certain land; but, having subsequently satisfied himself that the error was due to carelessness and not traceable to any improper motive, he, on 14th January 1866, re-employed Subba Row in a permanent post.

4. Subba Row was dismissed hastily without due inquiry being instituted as to the extent of his offence, and the fact that he was re-employed so soon afterwards leads the Government to believe that the Collector was desirous of condoning the applicant's offence and did not contemplate the effect of his previous dismissal on his right to pension.

5. Under the Rules, if he had been regularly dismissed, Subba Row's service prior to April 1st, 1866, would not count for pension, and he would be only eligible for an invalid gratuity of Rupees 75; but, owing to the circumstances of his case, his dismissal cannot be actually considered a dismissal, and the Government resolve to sanction the grant to the applicant of a pension of Rupees 5 per mensem, for which the length of his service renders him eligible.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool.
,, Accountant-General.
,, Financial Department.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

Govt. of 1872 [illegible]

PENSION.

To

The COLLECTOR or KURNOOL.

&c., &c.,

Dated March, 6th.

Read.

G. O., 6th March 1872, No. 77.

MARCH.

Granting a pension of Rupees 6 to U. Soobba
Row, late 2nd Gumastah in the Ahmakur Sub-
Magistrate's office.

No 15. Kurnool Collector's Office
22nd January 1872.

From

T. A. A. Chase Esquire, 3
Collector of Kurnool.

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

In reply to Government order No 34 dated 16th January 1872, I have the honor to inform you, that no records or rights to show that U. Subba Row's report regarding the Durkhast land, was simply a careless one, and not attributable to any improper motive.

2. It appears from a Extract of Deputy Collector Sudersana Row dated 11th January 1866 (a translation of which was furnished to the Accountant General) that Verbal orders were issued by the then Collector for the reappointment of Subba Row.

3. I was informed by the office Servants who were employed at that time that the report of the

We hereby Collector and the orders of the Collector (both Verbal) were to the effect that Subba Row had been guilty simply of carelessness. The remark in the pension application was accordingly entered.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servant
T. Cuake
Collector

Pension Department

Memo for Orders

Question. Whether the Service rendered by M. Subba Row before his dismissal is to be allowed to count towards pension or whether any indulgence is to be shewn to him in any way.

A. Subba Row entered the Government Service in 1848 and left it in March 1871 owing to his incapacity for further service. His total service was 22 years one month, which, if continuous would entitle him to an invalid pension of Rs 5. He was once degraded from the post of munshi on account of unfitness for the post

on.

2. Excluding a break of 16 days (from 13th to 28 August 1857) during which Subba Row was unemployed owing to reduction of establishment, and another break of nine months and thirteen days resulting from his dismissal, which is the cause of the present Application, the total service of Subba Row is 22 years, one month and two days, and, were it not for his dismissal he would be entitled under section 56 (b) of the Civil pension Code to an increased pension of Rs 5.

3. On April 7th 1866, the Collector dismissed Subba Row from the post of Revenue Inspector for having made an incorrect report regarding the Condition of certain land but having subsequently satisfied himself that the Error was due to Carelessness and not traceable to any improper motive, he on 11th January 1867 re-employed Subba Row in a permanent post.

4. Subba Row was dismissed hastily without due Enquiry being instituted as to the Extent of his offence, and the fact that he was re-employed so soon afterwards leads the Government to believe that the Collector was desirous of Condoning the Applicant's Offence and did not contemplate the effect of his previous dismissal on his right to pension.

Under

5. Under the rules, if he had been regularly discharged, Sabha Row's service prior to April 1st 1866, would not count for pension and he would be only eligible for an invalid gratuity of Rs 75; but owing to the circumstances of his case, his dismissal cannot be actually considered as discharged, the Govt resolved to ~~apply to the Govt of India for their~~ sanction to the grant, ~~a special case~~, to the Applicant of a pension of Rs 5 per mensem for which the length of his service ~~should~~, ~~had he not been dis-missed~~, renders him eligible —.

Ord.
276: 2/3.

12 Copies order

To The Collector of Kurnool.
" — Accountant General.
" — Financial Department.

Govt. of {1872} Madras.

Pension

To

The Collector of
Kurnool

Dated 5 March
Recd. } 1872, No. 77

Nos.

Granting a pension of Rs 5 to A. Subba Rau late 2nd Gumastah in the Allambur Sub-Magistrates Office.