1. Number of pages. 18
2. Date of repair. 13-8-3
3. Initials of Repairer.
17.8.34

M.R.O. 1—32,400—22-11-30.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 762 Press—Mis.

Dated 17·10 1872

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 40 Masulipatam
Master Attendt's Office
29th August 1872.

From
The Master Attendant
Masulipatam

To
The Accountant General
Fort Saint George

Sir,

With reference to your Dockets of the 12th Ultimo and 24th Instant, I have the honor to state that the Applicant for Pension seems to have served from 1835 to 1848 as hammer man in the Masulipatam Arsenal and some other post as deposed to by him and his Witnesses before the Assistant Magistrate and from private inquiries.

The dates of beginning and end of service for 12 years and 11 Months ought not to have been entered in the Application as the Records of my Office do not shew. These 12 Years & 11 Months service were verified by an Oath before the Assistant Magistrate.

As 22 Years, 2 Months and one day of the Applicant's service are plainly shewn by the Records and this service itself seems good for the daily risk he had run in his work & the fracture he sustained to his leg once by a fall he had from the Flag Staff.

Our returns shew that Point Divi Light was established in 1851 not 1855 as stated in your letter of the 12th July 1872.

I request that he be granted the inferior pension considering the 12 years & 11 Months service, which he had deposed to before the Assistant Magistrate C. L. B. Cumming Esqre and which that Gentleman had believed as good.

The Enclosures are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servant
Henry Richardson
Master Attendant

Accountant General's Office
Recd 6 9 1872
Ansd)

1851 [illegible] 24

8426

(Please Return this with reply)

Audit DEPARTMENT.

~~No.~~

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
12th July 1872.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Master Attendant,
Masulipatam

~~SIR,~~

Vide your office application on behalf of late Hd. Light Keeper S, Thathia of 7th June 172

The undersigned has the honor to enquire ~~as to~~ why the applicant is shewn to have served as Light Keeper Flag staff at Masulipatam from 1st January /38 to 30th Sept /48 when the Annual Returns on record in this office from 1838 to 1840 do not shew either a lascar or a Light Keeper on Rs. 7 a month but a pilot peon on Rs. 4 - in & the Returns from 1841, 2 Lascars on Rs. 7 each were shewn -

Point Devy Estt. is shewn only ~~from~~ in the Returns from 1855.

More descriptive marks of the applicant should be given in item 17 of the application

Seeniah
Assistt. to the Acctt. Genl

5

Noakes

OFFICE OF CONTROLLER OF MILITARY ACCOUNTS,
Fort Saint George, 20th July 1872,

No. 376.

From Ag.
The Controller of Military Accounts,
Fort St. George,

To

The Accountant General.

Sir,

With reference to your Assistant's Memorandum dated 12th July 1872, I have the honor to inform you that there are no acquittance Rolls attached to the Abstracts of the Ordnance Department at Masulipatam from

Ex

from 1st November 1835 to 30 April 1837 and that the name of S. Satayya does not appear to be in the Rolls of the above Department from 1st May to 31st December 1837.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Obedient Servant

Wm Cullen Lieut Coll
Ag Controller of Mily Acc

Accountant General's Office
Recd 22 } 7 18[illegible]
Ansd __ }

PA

From Ag.
The Controller of Military Accounts,
Fort St. George,

To

The Accountant Genera

No. 376.
Dated 20th July }
Received } 1872.

Enclosure.

Endorsement.

Informs that the name of S. Satayya does not appear to be in the Rolls of the Ordnance Department at Masulipatam.

5942.

No. 22.

Masulipatam
Master Attendant's Office
25th May 1872.

From
The Master Attendant
Masulipatam

To
The Master Attendant
Administrative Department
Madras.

Sir

In reply to your Docket No. 2542, of 13th May, on the subject of the Pension application for the late Head Light keeper, I have the honor to explain that the delay in forwarding his pension papers is caused by our having to seek for information in the records of the Katchery since the year 1833, so as to try and make the man's Affidavit agree with the facts of the case, so that there may be no risk of the poor man losing his Pension by any oversight of ours.

The papers will be ready for transmission in a very few days more.

I have &c
(Signed) Henry Richardson
Master Attendant

Forwarded

No. 3201.

Forwarded to the Accountant General for his information, with reference to this office letter No. 2737, of 15th May 1872.

(By order)

Madras.
Marine Office.
7th June 1872.

E. Marshall
1st Asst. Master Attendant
Administrative Department

Accountant General's Office
Recd 10 6 1872
Ansd

PA

From
The Master Attendant

Dated 7th June 1872

No: 3201

Forwards copy of explanatory letter from the Master Attendant Masulipatam relative to the delay in submitting the pension papers of the Head Lasc. Peyer

3725

Administrative Department

No. 2737

From
The Master Attendant
Administrative Department
Madras

To
The Officiating Asst. Acct. General
Madras

Sir

I have the honor, by desire of the Master Attendant, Administrative Department, to acknowledge your memorandum marginally noted and to inform you that the Master Attendant, Masulipatam, has again been directed to furnish the necessary papers on account of Tatayya's pension and to explain the cause of the delay in submitting the same.

No. 1114 of 13th May 1872

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt. Servant

Madras
Marine Office
15th May 1872

E. Marshall
1st Asst. Master Attendant
Administrative Department

Accountant General's Office
Recd 16 5 1872
Ansd

PA

From
The Master Attendant
Adml. Dept.

Dated 10 Aug 1872

To
The Master Attendant
Masulipatam has again been desired to furnish the necessary papers on account of Zatiayah pension and to explain the cause of delay.

2571

No. 160

Masulipatam
Master Attndt's Office
14th December 1871

From
The Master Attendant
Masulipatam

To
The Master Attendant
Administrative Dept.
Madras

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 6639 of the 15th November 1871 I have the honor to forward the information required, and further Affidavits made by him Zathiah in proof of his term of Service before the Assistant Magistrate Kistna District. The Records of our Office shew that Zathia late Head Light Keeper was employed under Mr. Alexander Master Attendant from the 1st October 1848 as per pay Bill to 31st July 1851 being 2 years & ten months as a Lightkeeper. Then under Mr P. Webb Superintendent of Sea Customs & Acting Master Attendant from 1st August 1851 to the 29th January 1855 or three years five months & twenty nine days as a lightkeeper. Then under Mr Maiden Master Attendant from 30th January 1855 to the 30th June 1857 being 2 years - 5 months - & 1 days as a lightkeeper. Then under Mr. Maiden Master Attendant as Head lightkeeper from 1st July 1857 to the 25th July 1865 being 8 years no months & 25 days. Then under Mr. Graves Master Atten-dant from the 26th July 1865 to the 4th April 1866 being 8 months & 10 days. Then under Mr. Maiden Master Attendant from 5th April 1866 to the 27th June 1870 being 4 years 2 Months & 23 days. Then under Mr. Gahan Acting Master Attendant from 28th June to 1st December 1870 being 5 Months & 3 days. In all 22 years 2 months & 1 day as previously reported. At the time of the Cyclone many records were lost, that might have shewn a much longer time of service under Mr. Alexander and there are many people here who know that he was so employed, but we have no records to shew it.

There was no Copies kept of the former Affidavits which I forwarded to you in my letter of the 31st October but if required no doubt they can be obtained from the Accountant General's Office

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedt. Servt.
Henry Richardson
Master Attendant

See Letter No: 391 of 23 Jany/72

No. 5393 of 1871

From

The Master Attendt

Masulipatam

Dated 14 Dec 1871

Recd

No 160.

Forwards affidavits and information respecting Tatayis services.

Recd 6/7/72.

1

No. 26 Masulipatam

Master Attendts Office

7th June 1872.

From

The Master Attendant

Masulipatam

To,

The Master Attendant

Administrative Deptt

Madras

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 1339 dated the 14th March 1872 I have the honor to forward herewith the Pension form duly filled up in this Office for the late Head light keeper Singadalah Tatayya.

The petition of Tatayya is herewith returned.

The reason of the great delay in forwarding these papers was caused by the great research necessary to ascertain whether the man's Statement agreed with the fact as to whether Major Foord was the Ordnance Officer at this place at the time the man was a Hammerman (as stated) in the Arsenal under Major Foord. And after going thro' a file of Documents in the

the Collector's Cutchery we were fortunate enough to find in the Fort Saint George Gazette of the 1st October 1835 that Captain Foord was gazetted to this place and therefore I think the mans Statement may be taken as true and his claim for Pension substantiated.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedt. Servt.
Henry Richardson
Master Attendant

COURT FEES
8
EIGHT ANNAS
V R
A
MADRAS

STAMP DEPARTMENT
7 AUG
1871
KISTNAH

1 FEBRUARY 71

To
Captain H. Richardson
Master Attendant of
Masulipatam

Honored Sir,

You were pleased to say that a Gratuity was sanctioned to be given to me and that you will pay me the same. I find people who have served less than I, were entitled to pension monthly, I therefore beg deferentially to decline taking the gratuity till I lay my whole service before you and you very kindly reconsider my case. I served

	Years
Under Captain Ford Commissary of Ordnance in Bunder Fort as Hammerman in the Arsenal	2
Under Mr Richard Alexander, Master Attendant, as Light Lascar	12
Under Mr Norton, Supt. of light House at Devy, as Lascar	8
Under Captain's Maiden, Graves and Guhan as Tindal	15
	37

I have served Government very faithfully and carefully good 37 years spending my prime of life in Government service and am now allowed only a gratuity to end the remainder of my days in begging, after I spend these few Rupees gratuity, when others who have less service, are receiving life pensions. My present age is 62 years. I entered Government service at 25 years in the Ordnance Department and as I have only a few years to live more I humbly beseech your goodness to recommend me for pension and see me kindly end the remainder of my days comfortably praising God and the most equitable Government.

I have people still living to swear to my having served in the above capacities and I would also swear to the same.

No 2606 [illegible]
From
The Master Attendant
Masulipatam
Dated 7th June /71
Recd 14 " "
No 20
Forwarding pension form
of [illegible] lightkeeper [illegible]
[illegible] &c.

[illegible]
[illegible] 14 [illegible] 1871 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

For which act of benevolence ~~and~~ I will ever offer up over prayers to God till our lives end to bless you and your family with long life and happiness.

With due respect,
I beg to remain,
Honored Sir,
Your humble Servant—

Masulipatam
30th October 1871—}

The Mark + of Singadala
Tatiah
Late Head Light Keeper

[illegible]

[illegible]

2

Asst Magistrate's Court
Kistna
November 30th/71.

I, Singa Razu Tatiah, do hereby make Oath & say that I first entered the Govt. Service as a Hammerer in the General Store department in Bundar Fort on a Salary of 7 Rupees. In this post I served 2 years — I forget Comm.'s name there but Major Ford was Ordnance Officer & in charge of Stores at the time — then hearing my post wd be abolished I asked Major Ford to recommend me for a Lascar's post to Mr. R. Alexander Master Attd. — Major Ford did so, & Mr. Alexander gave me a Lascar's or light Keeper's post in the Fort Light house — on 7 Rs. In this post I remained 12 years in the Fort Light House — Then the Light House being transferred to Divy Point — I was moved there also & remained there over 8 years under the Light House Superintendent Mr. Norton (?) — on a pay of 7 Rs. monthly — Then Capt. Maiden got a light set up in Masula — in addition to Divy Light — & gave me a Tandel's ~~post~~ (i.e. Head Lascar) post in the Masula Light House — with a Salary of 10 Rupees — In this post I remained ~~about~~ 16 years — Then [illegible] Capt. Jahan the Master Attend.t ~~told me~~ to ~~take~~ Pension as I was over-old for Service — & on Doctor's Certificate of incapacity for further duty I retired — I entered the Service in the 2d year after the Great "Famine".

[illegible] X

Sworn before me in Masulipatam East Indies, this 30th day of Nov.r Eighteen Hundred & Seventy One.

C. C. Cumming
Asst Magte. & J. P.—
Rd. 3

Chittury Kapanna — aged 60 (?) former Contractor of the General Store & Arsenal Departmt. — now living by retail shop trade — & residing in Bundar Fort — on solemn affirmation deposed

" I have known ~~App.~~ from early man~~boy~~hood — & before he entered Govt. service — I remember Applicant entering Govt. service — ~~It may be in 34 or 35 AD~~ It may have been in 1834 or 1835 — am not sure; but about that time. His first post was a Hammerer's on 7 Rs. pay under Major Ford. in wh. he remained about 2 years — I used to see him when I went to the store departmt. to purchase old stores (broken iron — guns &c) —

afterwards I knew Applicant when in the Fort Light House — under Mr. Alexr. ("Dick Dora") I used to see him when I went to Mr. Alexr's shop — to suppl him with empty Bottles for the Liquor he sold — Mr. Alexr's shop was near the Light House & I often saw Applicant inside it — I dont know how long applicant held his post under Mr Alexr in the Fort. Afterwards he was moved to Hamsaldiv Light House — I dont know how many years he was there — He was there under Mr Norton — Light House Supdt. — Then Appt. was moved to Fort again under Captn. Maiden — & remained on under him till Captn Gahan's time — I never heard of his being suspended or dismissed during all his service — I never saw applicant at Hamsaldiv — but I often saw him at Mr. Norton's House in the Fort — & also at Captn. Maiden's House — when I went to suppl these Gentlemen with ~~[illegible]~~ Sugar, Ghee, oils &c — He wd. come there for his salary — & for oil for the Light House — Applicant left Govt service — about a year ago" —

This Evidence was read over to the witness in Telugu language which he understood & acknowledged it to be correct.

ChRCumming
Assistant Master

Simmudu Veerasamy, son of Do. Reddi

a Telaga. ae 50. — late a Clasy of Store Departmt. — & now pensioned — & residence in Bandar — deposeth on solemn affirmation

" I know Applicant. I have known him from early manhood — ere he entered Circar's service — I knew the applicant when he was a Hammerer under Major Ford's ~~days~~ Commissary of Stores — on 7 Rs. a month. He held that post for about 2 years — I was then Clasy in Store Departmt. & so constantly saw applicant. Applicant was then taken into Light House by Mr. "Dick" (Alexander?) — as Light Keeper on 7 Rs. — I knew him there for 6 or 7 yrs. after wh. I went to Hyderabad — I returned in a year — to find applicant still Light keeper at Fort. I cant say how long he held this post — I remained in Store Depmt. of Bandar for 10 or 12 yrs & then went again to Hyderabad — During this period of 10 or 12 yrs. I heard of applicant's transfer to Hamsaldiv — While he was there — I did not see him to my recollection — He held a Light Keeper's post there — I took Pension at Hyderabad 7 or 8 years ago — after this I heard that applicant had been appointed Tandel in Fort Light House by Captn. Maiden — during time he was Tandel I didnt see or visit him. I dont know when he left Govt. service — I believe the applicant has served the Govt. about 36 years" —

This evidence was read over to the witness in the Telugu language which he understood — He acknowledged it to be correct.

ChRCumming
~~Asst~~ Asst Master G & W.

N.B. (To be returned with reply on the reverse)

DEPARTMENT. No. 8414 Dated 13 March 1872.

FROM
The Actg. ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO
THE Master Attendant
Madras

VIDE { your ~~this~~ } Office Letter to Chief Secy to Govt. No. 397 dated 23d January 1872.
for inferior pension on behalf of C. Zatayya. with 4 enclosures.

THE undersigned has the honor to ~~state~~ Request that a register of Application in the prescribed form containing all particulars for inferior pension ~~may~~ be sent.

be returned

W Rhodes

Acting Accountt. General

No. 3347.

Official Memo.

1. The undersigned has the honor to forward as requested a register of applica-tion for inferior pension on behalf of C Tatayya, late head lightkeeper at Masulipatam, which was received yesterday from the Master Attendant of that port.

x with letter from Master Attendt. Masulipatam dated 7 June 1872 No. 26.

2 Two enclosures are herewith returned.

Madras Marine Office 15 June 1872

J B Crowther
for Master Attendant
Administrative Deptt.

To
The Accountant General
Fort St George

Accountant General's Office
Recd 17 . 6 1872
Ansd

PA

4040

No 1123 of 1872

187 .

Department.

ACCTT. GENL.'S OFFICE, MADRAS.

No.

From
The Accountant General,
Fort St. George,

To
The Master Attendant
Madras.

Dated 13
Recd. 15 } March 1872.

No. 8414

Requests that a Register of application for inferior pension may be sent on behalf of C. Tatayya -

---

Masulipatam
Master Attendant's Office
31st October 1871

No. 157

From
The Master Attendant
Masulipatam

To,
The Master Attendant
Administrative Deptt.
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose a Petition from the late Head Lightkeeper Singadalah Fatiah which speaks for itself; from all I can learn I believe this Mans statement to be true, and that he has served in the Government service for the term he states. but on the last occasion when proving his Case before the Assistant Magistrate here, he omitted to bring forward Evidence of his Two years service in the Ordnance Department which does not appear in the Affidavits forwarded to your Department on the 26th July 1871 with the Pension form.

I beg your kind consideration for a rehearing of this matter.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obdt. Servt.
Henry Richardson
Master Attendant

No 4728 of 1871
From
The Master Attendant
Masulipatam

Dated 31st Decr 71
Recd 6 Jany 72

No. 157

Submits a petition from S. Tatiah late Head Lightkeeper shewing that he served 37 years and claiming a pension instead of the gratuity granted

Pn. Do. 16 Novr No 6639

In letter No: 397 of 23d Jany 72 to Govt Marine Dept.

Papers:

Pens 65/72.

Administrative Department.

No 397
Madras
Marine Office
23d January 1872

From
H. D. E. Dalrymple Esquire
Master Attendant
Administrative Department
Madras

To
The Honourable R. S. Ellis C.B.
Chief Secretary to Government
Marine Department
Fort Saint George

Sir

In the Proceedings of Government Pension Department, dated 21st August last, No 435, sanction was accorded for a gratuity of 6 months' pay to C. Tatayya, late a lightkeeper at Masulipatam. A copy of those Proceedings were communicated to the Master Attendant of that port, in view to the disbursement of the amount to the individual named, when that officer sent me a petition from him representing that his service amounted to 37 years, and claiming a pension instead of a gratuity.

2 I thereupon called the attention of Captain Richardson, the Master Attendant, to the statement of service furnished by his predecessor, Captain Gahan, in his application for gratuity for the lightkeeper in question, which was as per margin, and requested him to afford such information as would be necessary to determine whether the period of service set forth in the petition abovementioned was admissible.

	years. Months. Days
Lightkeeper from 1st October 1848 to 30th June 1857	8 – 9 – "
Head-Do from 1st July 1857 to 1st December 1870	13 – 5 – 1
	22 – 2 – 1

3 From the reply received I find that the term of the lightkeeper's service as given by Captain Gahan is according to the existing records correct; however Captain Richardson states that "at the "time of the Cyclone many records "were lost that might have shewn "a much longer time of service under "Mr Alexander, and there are many "people here who know that he "was so employed", and submits affidavits from 2 parties besides the petitioner himself in support of the period of service claimed by him.

4 With the foregoing explanations, I beg to submit to Government the papers marginally noted, and to solicit their

Letter from the Master Attendant Masulipatam to the Master Attendant, Administrative Department, Madras, dated 31st October 1871, No 157.
Petition from C. Tatayya to the Master Attendant, Masulipatam, dated 30th October 1871.
Letter from the Master Attendant Masulipatam to the Master Attendant, Administrative Department Madras dated 14th December 1871 No 160.
Affidavits from C. Tatayya C. Naganna and S. Veerasawmy

their orders thereon.

I have the honour to be
Sir
your most Obedt. Servant
H. D. E. Dalrymple
Master Attendant
Administrative Department.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 7 3 1872
Ansd

Pension 1872 Departt.
No. 65
From
The Master Attendant
Administrative Dept
To
The Secretary to
Government
Marine Department
Fort St. George
Dated 23rd January 1872
Recd 29 " " "
No. 1391
Enclosures.
Submits correspondence
relating to the services of
C. Fatiah late a Head Lightkeeper
at Masulipatam who claims
37 years service and
asks for a pension
on account of the protracted

Pens: 21st October 1871 No. 2443

Referred to the Accountant
General for report
Fort Saint George }
6 March 1872 } H. Wellesley
Under Secretary
To The Accountt. General

420

16146

33

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION / GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant.	Singadalah Fatayya
2. Father's name.	Manniah
3. Sect or Caste.	Telugu
4. Residence.	Bunder Fort
5. Present or last employment.	Head Lightkeeper
6. Date of beginning of service	1st November 1835
7. Date of end of service	1st December 1870

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service including breaks	35	1	1
of which superior			
inferior	35	1	1
non-qualifying and breaks	..	..	

9. Class of {pension / gratuity} applied for, and cause of application.* ...	Inferior because the Applicant is unfit for further service
10. [Average] emoluments or pay.	10
11. Proposed pension.	4
12. Proposed gratuity.	. . . . .
13. Date from which to commence.	2nd December 1870
14. Place of payment.	Masulipatam
15. Age of applicant on this date.	55
16. Height.	Ft. 5 In. 3
17. Marks.	Tatoo mark on his right forearm in the Telugu language Sreeramajeyam HR Large scar under left knee
18. Date.	7th June 1872

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Henry Richardson
Master Attendant
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Singadalah Fatayya.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	Pay. A.	Pay. P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	Period reckoned as service. M.	Period reckoned as service. D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	Period not reckoned as service. M.	Period not reckoned as service. D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Ordnance Department	Hammer man	7				1st November 1835	31st December 1837	2	2	"	"	"	"		Cannot be verified	
Master Attendant Masulipatam	Light Keeper Flag Staff at Masulipatam	7				1st January 1838	30th September 1848	10	9	"	"	"	"			
	Do.	7				1st October 1848	31st July 1851	2	10	"	"	"	"		Verified from the Records of the Master Attendants Office	
	Do. Point Divi	7	"	"		1st August 1851	30 June 1857	5	11	"	"	"	"			
	Head Light Keeper Masulipatam Flag Staff.	10	"	"		1st July 1857	1st December 1870	13	5	1	"	"	"			
					Total period of {superior / inferior} service...	....		35	1	1						

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. None

32-11-1

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. None

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Unfit for further Service

5. Any other remarks. None

Henry Richardson
Master Attendant
SIGNATURE.

No. 55.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in {inferior / superior} grades has been duly proved for years months days; and that a {pension / gratuity} not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Section of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

It is necessary to draw attention to the fact that this case has been already once disposed of and troubles Government again only because no pains were taken by the Officer putting forward the first claim to ascertain the whole of the man's service. He now claims service of 2 years and 2 months in the Masulipatam Arsenal; as his claim for the months from 1st May to 31st December 1837 must be regarded as disproved (see the Military Controllers letter) the allegations of service in earlier months cannot be credited and consequently service of 33 years only could be admitted which will not give more than 6 months gratuity already granted. The Marine department has no record whereby to test the alleged service under it.

Offg. Accountant General

Fort Saint George
Accountt. Genl's Office
20th Septem

To,
The Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

GR

Dc/ 1133.

Pension 1872 Deptt

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~OR~~ GRATUITY.—

Date of application.
7th June 1872

Name of applicant.
S. Sattayya

Last appointment.
Hd Light Keeper

Class of {pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned

Amount of gratuity sanction

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

37

Memo for Orders.

Application is made for the grant of an inferior pension of Rs. 4 to Singadalah Satayya late Head light-Keeper at Masulipatam.

The applicant's case has already been before Govt. once, and a gratuity of Rs: 60, six months' pay, was granted to him under date 21st August 1871 for the part of service then claimed and [illegible] on his behalf, namely, 22 yrs. - 2 m. - 1 d.

2. A ~~Claim~~ Title is now ~~put forward~~ set up to continuous service from 1st Novr./35 which, if satisfactorily established, would give the applicant a little over 35 years service (35 yrs 1 mth 1 day) ~~[illegible] 1 mth 1 dy.~~ and entitle him to the pension ~~claimed~~ applied for. There is, however, absolutely no proof of the additional service

claimed beyond the applicant's affidavit and the statement of two witnesses, which are too vague to admit of verification, and grave doubt is thrown upon ~~[illegible]~~ that portion of the evidence which relates to the period of service alleged to have been passed in the Ordnance Dept. at Masulipatam (2 yrs 2 mos) by the fact that the applicant's name does not appear in the Regimental Rolls attached to the Abstracts ~~during~~ that portion of the period for which alone those documents are forthcoming namely fr. 1st May to 31st Dec 1837 – which goes to disprove his claim to service for that period at least and to create ~~[illegible]~~ a disbelief in ~~[illegible]~~ the reality of the remainder of the service in that Department

3. It is true that it has been ascertained that Major Hoord was the Ordnance Officer at the time at which the applicant asserts that he received his first appointment from that gentleman, but his Fatayya name does not appear on the Rolls of the Ordnance Dept. from 1st May to 31st Dec. 1837, which goes to disprove his claim to service for that period at least, & to cast doubt on his claims to any service in that Dept.

4. The mere fact of the correctness of the applicant's statement in regard to the name of the officer in charge of the Ordnance Dept. at Masulipatam in 1835 cannot be taken as establishing his claim to a certain definite period of service in that Dept., though, if coupled with other facts tending to show that the claim was well founded, the point might be material. Any presumption, however, which might otherwise have arisen in the applicant's favor from the fact is, as matters stand, outweighed by the absence of the applicant's name from the Rolls during a portion of the service claimed in the Ordnance Dept.

5. The total period claimed, if satisfactorily proved, would exceed by a few days only the limit of service prescribed for an inferior service pension and the

Govt. are of opinion that the suspicion which attaches to a portion of that service is, ~~I consider,~~ in the opinion of Govt. fatal to the title set up, and resolve to reject the Claim.

~~5. It is, however, of course, open to the Govt. to give the applicant the benefits of the doubts should they see fit to waive the objection.~~

[illegible].

I think that the Errn. inclusion of the claimant's name in the acquittance rolls attached to the Masulm. Ordnance abstracts from May to Dec. 37. When according to his own account he was employed there as a Hammerman is fatal to the admission of service prior to 31. Dec. 37.

The remaining service claimed Even if all proved to: it is not suft. to entitle the Claimt. to more than the gratuity already granted.

5 Oct. 72

Pension Finance
for orders

Memo:

43

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again the Pro: of Govt. Pension Dept. dated 21st August 1871 No 435

Read also the following ~~letter~~ papers

From the Master Attendant, Administrative Department, dated 23d. January 1872 No. 397. and from the Officiating Accountant General, dated 20th September 1872 No 55.

Order thereon of dated 17th Oct/72 No. 762.

Application is made for the grant of an inferior Service pension of Rs 4 to Sungadalah Satayya, late Head light keeper at Masulipatam.

2. The Applicant's case has already been before Government once, and a gratuity of Rs 60, six months pay, was granted to him under date 21st August 1871 for the period of service then claimed on his behalf namely 22 yrs. 2 Mons. 1 day.

2. A

3. A title is now set up to continuous service from 1st November 1835 which if satisfactorily established would give the Applicant a little over 35 years service (35 yrs. 1 month. 1 day) and entitle him to the pension applied for. There is, however, absolutely no proof of the additional service claimed beyond the Applicants Affidavit and the statements of two Witnesses which are too vague to admit of verification, and grave doubt is thrown upon that portion of the evidence which relates to the period of service alleged to have been passed in the Ordnance Department at Masulipatam (2 yrs. 2 months) by the fact that the Applicants name does not appear in the

acquittance

acquittance Rolls attached to the Abstracts during that portion of the period for which alone those documents are forthcoming, namely from 1st May to 31st December 1837, which goes to disprove his claim to service for that period at least and to create a disbelief in the reality of the remainder of the service in that Department.

4. It is true that it has been ascertained that Major Ford was the Ordnance Officer at the time at which the Applicant asserts that he received his first appointment from that gentleman, but the mere fact of the correctness of the Applicants statement in regard to the name of the officer in

charge of the Ordnance Dept at Masulipatam in 1835 cannot be taken as establishing his claim to a certain definite period of service in that Dept, though if coupled with others facts tending to show that the claim was well founded, the point might be material. Any presumption, however, which might otherwise have arisen ~~in~~ ~~the~~ in the applicants favor from the fact is, as matters stand, outweighed by the absence of the Applicants name from the Rolls during a portion of the service claimed in the Ordnance Department.

5. The total period claimed, if satisfactorily proved, would exceed by a few days only the limit of service prescribed for an inferior service pension and the Government are of opinion that the suspicion which attaches to a portion of that service is fatal to the title set up, and resolve to reject the claim.

(Sd.)

Master Attendant. Madras. } Des^{d} 28/10/72.
Accountant General. }

Govt. of {1872} Madras.

Pension

To

The Master Attendant
Madras.

Dated Octr 17th }
Recd. } 1872, No. 762.

Nos.

Rejecting the claim to Pension of S. Sattaya late Hd. Light Keeper Master Attendants Estt Masulipatam.

Send out