

1. Number of pages 24  
2. Date of repair 15-8-36  
3. Initials of Referee.

M.R.O. 1-22,400-22-11-80

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

|          |        |               |
|----------|--------|---------------|
| G.O. No. | 730    | Press<br>Mis. |
| Dated    | 21-10- | 18/2          |

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1578-86  
1578-86

8/2/72

in his presence  
appointed

J. B. Thomas. Acting  
Zillah Surgeon Timmerelly do  
hereby certify that I have  
carefully examined Narayan  
Rao Revenue Inspector in the  
Timmerelly District and that  
his age is by his own  
Statement 45. and by appear-  
ance 50, and that I find him  
to be unfit for further  
service from old age and  
infirmity; the result is  
not in my opinion at-  
tributable to intemperance  
or other irregular habits.

J. B. Thomas  
Acting Zillah  
Surgeon  
Palamcottah  
7<sup>th</sup> February  
1872

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No. 1158

Fort Saint George,  
Accountant General's Office,  
14<sup>th</sup> May 1872.

FROM <sup>Offr</sup>  
THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO  
THE Collector of Tinnevely

SIR,

I have the honor to say that unless the medical certificate in the case of C. Narayana Row can be amended case for pension is not made out.

He was removed from a sedentary post to one requiring activity avowedly because he was inefficient, then taken leave, and is at once certified by the Civil Surgeon to be incapable of further service "in his present post." This procedure would only in effect evade rule 2 of section 45 of the Pension Code.

I should be glad of early reply in order that I may dispose of the case.

I have &c

(Signed) R. Taylor  
Offr Accountant General.

/ True Copy /

*Beavis*

Offr to the Acct Genl

See No 496.

10496

35  
Ruzar Cutcherry  
Tinnevely 3 June 1872

From R. R. Puckle Esqre  
Collector

To R. Taylor Esqre  
Asst Accountant General  
Fort Saint George.

Sir, I have the honor to forward an  
Amended Certificate in the case alluded to in  
your letter No. 1158 dated 14 May 1872, should  
this be insufficient to entitle the man to  
pension, I can provide for him in my office,  
but my office unfortunately is already well  
filled with men capable of serving there under  
surveillance as unfit for employment  
elsewhere -

I have &c.  
1 June 1872 / Signed R. R. Puckle  
Collector  
~~Beccial~~  
Off Asst to the Asst Genl

DEPARTMENT.

ACCT. GENL'S OFFICE, MADRAS.

No.

FROM

THE DEPT. ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS.

To

Dated  
Recd.  
Ans'd.

No. of answer

Subject.

no 59.

Long the Assistant Surgeon.  
Trincomally

So R. W. Pickle Esq  
Collector of Trincomally

Sir I have the honor in reply to your  
enforcement on the 22nd June  
letter no 4168 dated 14 May 192.  
to inform you that I have examined  
the man referred to (Harvey and Shaw)  
+ that I consider that he is not fit  
for active duty. Such as are required  
of a man in his appointment, but  
is fit for a deputy or a subordinate.  
I have the honor to be  
Sir

Yours more obediently  
J. B. Thomas  
Asst. Surg. Major.  
Trincomally.

Palanacottah  
1 June 1872.

113

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION  
GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Coimbatore Narayana Row*
2. Father's name. *Coimbatore Subba Row*
3. Sect or Caste. *Mahratta Brahmin*
4. Residence. *Tinnivelly*
5. Present or last employment. *1<sup>st</sup> Class Revenue Inspector Tiruvellore District*
6. Date of beginning of service *1<sup>st</sup> April 1847*
7. Date of end of service *6<sup>th</sup> February 1872*
- |                                       | Y.  | M.  | D.  |         |
|---------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| 8. Length of service including breaks | ... | ... | ... | 24-10-6 |
| of which superior                     | ... | ... | ... | 21-5-21 |
| inferior                              | ... | ... | ... |         |
| non-qualifying and breaks             | ... | ... | ... | 3-4-15  |
9. Class of {pension  
gratuity} applied for, and cause of application.\* *Invalid Pension*
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *59 3/4 Rupees*
11. Proposed pension. *20 Rupees*
12. Proposed gratuity.
13. Date from which to commence. *7<sup>th</sup> February 1872*
14. Place of payment. *Madras Presidency*
15. Age of applicant on this date. *44 - 6*
16. Height. *5 feet 3 inches*
17. Marks. *2 scars on the right knee & the other on the same thigh and on the right arm pt. 1 Scar on elbow, no other marks.*
18. Date. *3<sup>rd</sup> May 1872*

\* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Accountant General's Office  
Recd 7  
Ans'd 5 1872

*PA*  
*Sh. S. S. S.*  
*Deputy Collector*  
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

*No 496*

| HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF <i>Comptroller Narayana Rao</i> |                                                  |      |       |                   |                                |                                 |                             |    |    |                                 |          |                                        |                                                          |                                                          |                        |    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------|-------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----|----|---------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----|
| Establishment.                                                             | Appointment.                                     | Pay. |       | Acting allowance. | 'Date of beginning.            | Date of end.                    | Period reckoned as service. |    |    | Period not reckoned as service. | Remarks. | How verified.                          | Remarks by Accountant-General.                           |                                                          |                        |    |
|                                                                            |                                                  | RS.  | A. P. |                   |                                |                                 | Y.                          | M. | D. |                                 |          |                                        |                                                          | Y.                                                       | M.                     | D. |
| <i>Collector's Office</i>                                                  | <i>Major General at Collector's Office</i>       | 17   | 8     | RS.               | 1 <sup>st</sup> April 1867     | 18 <sup>th</sup> February 1868  | -                           | -  | -  | -                               | 15       | <i>Drawn from the Official Account</i> | <i>Verified by the Officer in Charge of the Accounts</i> | <i>Verified by the Officer in Charge of the Accounts</i> |                        |    |
|                                                                            | <i>Major General at Collector's Office</i>       | 130  | -     | -                 | 19 <sup>th</sup> February 1868 | 31 <sup>st</sup> July 1870      | -                           | -  | -  | -                               | 2        |                                        |                                                          |                                                          | 5                      | 12 |
|                                                                            | <i>Major General at Collector's Office</i>       | 30   | -     | -                 | 1 <sup>st</sup> August 1870    | 6 <sup>th</sup> October 1862    | 12                          | 2  | 6  | -                               | -        |                                        |                                                          |                                                          | -                      |    |
|                                                                            | <i>Major General at Collector's Office</i>       | 45   | -     | -                 | 7 <sup>th</sup> October 1862   | 13 <sup>th</sup> September 1864 | 1                           | 11 | 24 | -                               | -        |                                        |                                                          |                                                          | -                      |    |
|                                                                            | <i>Major General at Collector's Office</i>       | 45   | -     | -                 | 1 <sup>st</sup> October 1864   | 31 <sup>st</sup> October 1865   | 1                           | 1  | -  | -                               | -        |                                        |                                                          |                                                          | -                      |    |
|                                                                            | <i>Major General at Collector's Office</i>       | 60   | -     | -                 | 1 <sup>st</sup> November 1865  | 30 <sup>th</sup> April 1867     | 1                           | 6  | -  | -                               | -        |                                        |                                                          |                                                          | -                      |    |
|                                                                            | <i>Major General at Collector's Office</i>       | 60   | -     | -                 | 1 <sup>st</sup> May 1867       | 21 <sup>st</sup> March 1869     | 1                           | 10 | 21 | -                               | -        |                                        |                                                          |                                                          | -                      |    |
|                                                                            | <i>Major General at Collector's Office</i>       | 60   | -     | -                 | 22 <sup>nd</sup> March 1869    | 31 <sup>st</sup> December 1869  | -                           | 6  | 9  | -                               | -        |                                        |                                                          |                                                          | -                      |    |
|                                                                            | <i>Major General at Collector's Office</i>       | 60   | -     | -                 | 1 <sup>st</sup> October 1869   | 24 <sup>th</sup> November 1870  | 1                           | 1  | 24 | -                               | -        |                                        |                                                          |                                                          | -                      |    |
|                                                                            | <i>Major General at Collector's Office</i>       | 60   | -     | -                 | 25 <sup>th</sup> November 1870 | 22 <sup>nd</sup> January 1872   | 1                           | 1  | 27 | -                               | -        |                                        |                                                          |                                                          | -                      |    |
|                                                                            | <i>Major General at Collector's Office</i>       | 30   | -     | -                 | 23 <sup>rd</sup> January 1872  | 6 <sup>th</sup> February 1872   | -                           | -  | -  | -                               | 15       |                                        |                                                          |                                                          | <i>On private duty</i> |    |
|                                                                            | Total period of {superior} service... {inferior} |      |       |                   |                                |                                 |                             | 21 | 5  | 21                              |          |                                        |                                                          |                                                          |                        |    |

**REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.**

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. *Nothing on record against him*

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. *Degraded from 60 to 30 Pay on the 23<sup>rd</sup> January 1872 for inefficiency.*

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks. *Medical Certificate dated 7<sup>th</sup> February 1872 is herein enclosed. The delay is attributable to the slow progress of Application having been received from Madras on the 20<sup>th</sup> April 1872.*

**CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.**

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in {superior} grades has been duly proved for {inferior} months and 15 days; and that an invalid {pension} not exceeding Rs. 14.11.5. per mensem, is admissible under Section 54 (b) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

Copy of objection to Collector 1158 dated 8<sup>th</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1871, and of Collector's reply are appended, it is submitted that Section 115 Rule 2 of Pension Code prevents grant of pension in such cases.

*For Stamp & Seal*

*13 June 1872*

*Accountant-General.*

Approved by the Board of Directors  
1912  
C. H. 885

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION OR  
GRATUITY }

Date of application.

30 May 1912

Name of applicant.

C. E. Harrington, Esq.

Last appointment.

Surgeon

Class of { pension or  
gratuity }

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

C. H. 730.872

Memo for Mr. [unclear]

It is unfortunate that the Coler should have transferred him to a post for which he is physically unfit. I do not see how we can get over this and I think the Coler should be re-assigned to make him a postal but necessarily in [unclear]

Mr. W. Ashurst

Letter to [unclear]

to do the work of [unclear] Inspector but on Medical Exam? he is found unfit for any thing but sedentary work. This is a case where it is quite reasonable to give the man the pension he has earned.

So far from being disposed to treat the man harshly Mr. Puckle says that he can provide for him as a forwarde

83

It is not unwilling to do this - but he knows not how greatly such arrangements cripple an office.

There appears to have not been the slightest intention on Mr. Puckle's part to get rid of an efficient man by pensioning him.

As to the justice of the man's reduction from a [unclear] [unclear]

93

Q. in 1898 [unclear]

we have no reason  
for withholding it  
to have been either  
harsh or unjust.

The case has never  
been before before &  
The man has made  
no appeal wh. he  
would certainly have

done if aggrieved  
It is submitted that  
the pension  
should stand  
This

Memo by the Chief Secy.

I submit that the opinion  
of the Under Secy does not  
seem to be borne out by the  
facts.

The Collector finds a man inefficient  
as a Taluq Sheristadar, he  
gives him a chance as a  
Revenue Inspector which  
requires honesty and a certain  
amount of activity as he  
is required to be constantly  
on the move. An indifferent  
Sheristadar might be a  
competent Revenue Inspector  
a man does not attempt

Memorandum for orders.

Application for pension is  
made on behalf of Coimbatore  
Narayana Rao late 1st Class  
Revenue Inspector, Shrivilliputar  
Talug, Sinnerelly district, whose  
qualifying service in superior  
grades amounts to 22 years 5 months  
15 days, and would entitle him to  
an invalid pension of Rs 19-4-8  
equal to a third of his average  
salary for the past five years, on  
production of a medical certifi-  
cate of incapacity for further ser-  
vice.

1. It appears that the appli-  
cant held the post of Sherista-  
dar of the Sinnerelly talug, up  
to the 23<sup>rd</sup> January 1872, on which  
date he is stated to have been  
degraded to the post of 1st Class  
Revenue Inspector for ineffi-  
ciency

1898/9/10

-iciency. He appears to have done no duty in that post but to have taken 15 days' leave on the expiration of which he retired ~~producing a~~ medical certificate to the effect that he is "unfit for further service in his present appointment from old age and infirmity." The man's age is only 44 years and 6 months, and the Zillah Surgeon in a communication to the Collector explains that he considers him unfit for active duties, such as are required in ~~his present post of~~ <sup>the post of</sup> Revenue Inspector, but fit for the sedentary work of a goomastah.

3. The Officiating Accountant General objects to the certificate produced, and points out that the course followed by the Collector in removing the applicant from a sedentary post to one requiring activity, avowedly because he was inefficient, and then applying for a pension on the ground of the applicant's incapacity for the

I don't see how the Collector could decide until the man had tried the work of Revenue Inspector, which he does not seem to have attempted.

though not necessarily in his own office.

that the Judge

11  
the duties of the latter post is an evasion of Rule 2 see 45 Civil Pension Code.  
4. ~~The~~ <sup>The</sup> Accountant General's objection ~~appears to be~~ <sup>is</sup> valid and as ~~the man~~ <sup>he</sup> cannot get a pension without a medical certificate, the Collector should, I submit, be required to provide him with a post, the duties of which are of a sedentary nature, but the degradation from a post of Rs 60 to one of Rs 30 for general inefficiency appears to be a rather severe penalty to impose. Orders are requested on this point.  
5. The present case is similar to one recently adduced by the Board (the case of a Tashildar in Nellore) in connection with which they recommended a modification of the form of medical certificate at present in use, so as to admit of a declaration of inability to perform the active duties of an office being accepted as sufficient proof of disqualification for

for further service, and as  
conferring a title to pension.

The disposal of this case de-  
pends on the orders passed on  
the above general question,  
the papers connected with which  
are in circulation.

*Memo for Orders*

*Application for pension  
on behalf of Narayana Row  
late 1st Class Revenue Inspector  
Tinnevely District.*

*Col.*

*504/700*  
The Govt. having decided their inability to  
perform the active duties of a post is  
a sufficient disqualification for service  
the post - applicant will be entitled to  
a pension of one third salary. But I  
should draw attention to another feature in  
this case. It is the case of a man who is  
permitted to remain in the same manner  
admitted by the Collector of Tinnevely in the  
present instance. It is undoubtedly an evasion  
of the Rules as provided for by the Local  
Government. Further the applicant appears  
to have been harshly treated - I respectfully  
submit that he should be reinstated. I value  
the liberty of submitting this case for review  
again, because apparently these points  
have now been taken into consideration, the  
validity of the Medical certificate produced  
probably being taken to be the only person  
for determination.  
*Col.*

123  
Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,  
4th October 1872.

Read the following papers:—

From the Collector of Tinnevely, dated 3rd May 1872.

„ Officiating Deputy Accountant-General, dated 13th June 1872, No. 154.

ORDER THEREON, 4th October 1872, No. 730.

Application for pension is made on behalf of Coimbatore Narayana Row, late  
1st Class Revenue Inspector, Strivilliputur Taluq, Tinnevely District, whose  
qualifying service in superior grades amounts to 22 years, 5 months, and 15 days,  
and would entitle him to an invalid pension of Rupees 19-4-8, equal to a third of  
his average salary for the past five years, on production of a medical certificate of  
incapacity for further service.

2. It appears that the applicant held the post of Sheristadar of the Tinnevely  
Taluq up to the 23rd January 1872, on which date he is stated to have been  
degraded to the post of 1st Class Revenue Inspector for inefficiency. He appears  
to have done no duty in that post, but to have taken fifteen days' leave, on the  
expiration of which he retired producing a medical certificate to the effect that he is  
“unfit for further service in his present appointment from old age and infirmity.”  
The man's age is only 44 years and 6 months, and the Zillah Surgeon, in a commu-  
nication to the Collector, explains that he considers him unfit for active duties,  
such as are required in the post of Revenue Inspector, but fit for the sedentary  
work of a Goomastah.

3. The Officiating Accountant-General objects to the certificate produced, and  
points out that the removal of the applicant from a sedentary post to one requiring  
activity avowedly because he was inefficient, and resulting in an application for a  
pension on the ground of the applicant's incapacity for the duties of the latter post,  
is in effect to evade Rule 2, Section 45, Civil Pension Code.

4. The Governor in Council is of opinion that the Accountant-General's  
objection is valid, and, as Narayana Row cannot be granted a pension without a  
medical certificate, the Collector should provide him with a post, the duties of  
which are of a sedentary nature, but not necessarily in his own office.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Collector of Tinnevely.

„ Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter.

From the Collector of Tinnevely, dated 3<sup>rd</sup> May 1872.  
 " " Offg. Dy. Accountant General, dated 13<sup>th</sup> June 1872.  
 No. 154.

Order thereon of 20<sup>th</sup> Dec 17 28730.

Application for pension is made on behalf of Coimbatore Narayana Row, late 1<sup>st</sup> Class Class Revenue Inspector Shrivilliputur Taluq, Tinnevely District, whose qualifying service in superior grades amounts to 22 years 5 months 15 days, and would entitle him to an invalid pension of Rupees 19.4.8, equal to a third of his average salary for the past five years, on production of a medical certificate of incapacity for further service.  
 2. It appears that the applicant held the post of

*[Handwritten signature]*

Govt. of 118723 Madras

PENSION.

To THE COLLECTOR OF TINNEVELLY,  
 &c., &c., &c.

Dated October, 4<sup>th</sup>.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 4<sup>th</sup> October 1872, No. 730.

OCTOBER.

Intimating that C. Narayana Row, late a Revenue Inspector, is not entitled to pension or gratuity without medical certificate, and that he should be provided with a post, the duties of which are of a sedentary nature.

of Sheristadar of the Tanjore-  
velly taluq, up to the 23<sup>rd</sup>  
January 1872, on which date  
he is stated to have been  
degraded to the post of 1<sup>st</sup>  
Class Revenue Inspector  
for inefficiency. He appears  
to have done no duty in that  
post but to have taken 15  
days leave, on the expiration  
of which he retired produ-  
cing a medical certificate  
to the effect that he is  
unfit for further service in  
his present Appointment from  
old age and infirmity. The  
man's age is only 44 years  
and 6 months, and the  
Billa's Lagoon in a Commu-  
nication to the Collector ex-  
plains that he considers  
him unfit for active duties,  
such as are required in the  
post of Revenue Inspector,  
but fit for the sedentary  
work of a Goomastah.

3 The Officiating Accountant  
General

General objects to the certifi-  
cate produced, and points  
out that the ~~same~~ <sup>same</sup> follows  
by the ~~Collector~~ <sup>Collector</sup> in removing  
of the Applicant from a  
sedentary post to one re-  
quiring activity avowedly  
because he was inefficient  
~~and the Applicant~~ <sup>and the Applicant</sup> for a  
pension on the ground of  
the Applicant's incapacity  
for the duties of the latter  
post is ~~in violation of~~ <sup>in violation of</sup> Rule  
2 Section 45 Civil Procedure  
Code. The ~~Secretary~~ <sup>Secretary</sup> of the Province  
4. The Accountant Genl.  
objects ~~apparently~~ <sup>is</sup> the valid  
and as Narayana Rao can  
not ~~get~~ <sup>grant</sup> a pension without  
a medical certificate, the  
Collector should provide him  
with a post, the duties of  
which are of a sedentary  
nature, though not necessa-  
rily in his own Office.

L. M. Lr.

To The Collector of Tanjore  
" Accountant Genl.

Q. 20 copies

Bot. of 1872, **Madras.**

To Pension

*John Collector of  
Tumacac*

Dated *Oct 24*  
Recd. 1872, No. 730.

Nos.

*Notwithstanding that the above  
has been to the Pension Office, it is  
not until the 24th of October that  
it has been received and the  
only that the should be pro-  
vided with a part of the  
of which are of a quantity  
nature.*