

1. Number of copies 1/3
2. Date of receipt 7-11-30
3. Initials of Receiver

M.R.O. 1-33400-23-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	11	Press
		Mis.
Dated	2-7-30	18

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No 36

Northwest Collection Office
Camp Belmar 16 August 1872

To: Mr. Whitely, Esq.
By Collector of Northwest
The Accountant General.
St. Louis.

With reference to your letter of the 8th instant on the reverse I have the honor to state that the several defects therein noticed are explained as follows:

1. The transfer of the applicant Mr. Eschler to the Quartermaster's Department was under the orders of his superior, a copy of the order with translation is herewith sent.

2. The pay of the applicant from 1st November 1865 to 30 October 1866 at 1200 per month is a mistake. It should be at 1000 - 400 per annum & the necessary alterations have now been made in the Register of Application.

3. The leave of which the applicant really availed himself was from 3rd July to 31 August 1867 as now shown in the Register of Application.

4. The Register of Application is herewith returned.

Yours most obedt servant
W. Whitely
By Collector

Accountant General's Office
Recd 24 8 1872
Ans

Copy of the order
noted in the file
16 Aug 1872

7884

Subd 199. To be returned with reply on reverse
295 DEPARTMENT.

W.S. 5-72

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
St. George 1872.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To The Acting Collector, North Arcot.

Re your office application for payment
on the half of St. George's Road.

The undersigned has the honor
to request the furnished with information
on the following points:-

1. Was the transfer of the applicants to the
Income Tax District in May 62 under the order
of his official superior or of his own
accord, if under the former please forward
copy of the order with translation.
2. Why has the Applicant shown in the same
Register to have been from 1st July 62 to
30 Oct 66 on a pay of Rs 12 only while from
the Audit Register for 1865-66 his pay appears
to have been raised to Rs 15 from 1 Nov 66.
3. Why was the Applicant shown in the same
Register to have been on Private Affairs
leave only for 120 days from 3rd July 67
while from the Audit Register he appears
to have had leave up to 31 Aug 67 (i.e. 11 days
in excess).

The Register of applications is
herewith returned for correction being made
(if any)

Done and

By Accountant General

Handwritten text in Tamil script, likely a reply or official communication, covering the majority of the right page.

ink
295

Received of Mr. J. H. ...
the sum of ...
for ...
...
...
...
...
...

(Signed) J. H. ...

Ag. Collector

(The ...)

W. H. ...

Ag. Collector

Proceeding: A Medical examining Committee assembled at Villavieja on the 10th June 1872 under the provisions of C. 1. 1871.
 Criminal Department No 235, L. 1. 1871, for the examination of ~~the~~ man of the Mag. Police Establishment considered unfit for further
 service
 Signed: Major E. Dixon 2nd Lt. 1st President

Surgeon Major C. Dixon, 2^d Regt L.C. President
 Apt Surgeon D. N. Thompson
 16th Regt N. I. } Acorn

[Faint handwritten notes, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.]

I hereby certify that the above is a correct register of the individual therein named ^{connected in General Service, not the result of his} ~~and his~~ ^{and his} service computed to this date.

Office of the Superintendent of Police
Billam 30 May 1872

3
W. H. M. C. C.
D. A. C. Superintendent of S. S. S.

Given August Major
 243 Regt M L C

Dr. Thompson M. D.
Ap^l Surgeon
16 Regt. N. A. I.

President	James Special Medical Invaliding Committee
Members	

Extract from the North Arcot
District Gazette dated 28th January 1865.
Page 61.

At Subba Rao, Income Tax Gamasta
of Punganur the Gamasta of Pal-
manair Taluk viz Narayanasami
promoted.

(True Extract)

M. Jayabantes
Dy. Collector

293

17/11/72. Letter returned with reply, in reverse
DEPARTMENT.
29/10/72
From THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
27 August 1872.

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
To THE Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Under your office reply & memo of
objection dated 5 instant in the case of K.
Shappa Rao.

The undersigned has the honor
to request explanation on the following points.

1. Why was the applicant shown in the Service
Register as permanent from 10 Sept 71 to 15 May 72 while in the
order which transferred him as Income Tax
Inspector of Pongalur he was styled as Acting
Inspector of Pongalur. If he was acting
was he acting in a place which was fully
vacant, was he allowed to draw the full pay
of it, and what became of the permanent
incumbent?
2. Why was he shown as permanent Income Tax
Inspector of Pongalur while the order ~~transferred~~
~~that~~ that he was appointed only to Act until
further orders.
3. When was the Applicant confirmed in the
Appointment of Inspector of Pongalur
and was such Confirmation with effect
from 10 Sept 71. (The applicant however was
shown as permanent from 10 Sept 71 to 15 May 72 in the Annual
Returns) Please forward copies (with translation)
of

29

Order
for transferring ^{him} to the maximum salary
from Prisoner and Confirmation order.

The rights of application is herewith
returned for the necessary correction being
made.

Ronald
H. H. H. H.