

1. Number of Pages 2
2. Date of Memo 17.9.54
3. Name of Officer
M.O. 1-55/50-1211-40

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	Press Mis.
Dated	18/9/54

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Post DEPARTMENT.

To be returned with reply on reverse

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,

13 August 1872.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Tanjore

Vide your office application for
pension ^{for} ~~in~~ behalf of M. Venkatarani Row.

He has resigned and has the honor
to request to be furnished with explanation
on the following points.

Why was the applicant's name entered
in the Annual Returns of May 50, 55, and
1858 to 1860, as Venkatarani Row instead
of M. Venkatarani Row as shown in the
Service Register.

What was the cause of non-appearance
of the applicant's name in the Annual
Return of May 50. (Please send a copy
of the list of the Revenue in the
District as they stood on the 1st May 50.)

What was the cause of the applicant
being ordered by the Sub Collector to be
re-employed. Vide Remittance in Bill No 83
paid Rs 204 1/2 while from Bill No 4 paid
on the 8 of the same month he appeared
to have applied for pension from 23rd
March last.

[Signature]
By Accountant General

On a reference to Office copies of annual returns for 1855 to 1858 & from 1858 to 1860 I find that Venkateswari Perisname is correctly given in all except that for 1859 when in this return his name was first entered as Venkateswari Rao but subsequently corrected into Venkateswari Rao. I am unable to account how it happened to be entered as Venkateswari Rao in the return sent to your Office.

2 In the return for 1861 his name is shown erroneously as Pragaswari Rao; also the date of appointment is correctly given as 1st May 1861 (Festival of the Annulara Sany) for May 1861 anniversary.

3 From the remarks in the revised & supplemental Pay Abstract sent by the For Customs Superintendent of Trincomalee it appears Venkateswari Rao put in his application for pension on the 23rd March 1872 and the Sub Collector then ordered that he be removed from the service from that date; but on coming to know that Venkateswari Rao had only a net salary of Rs 100 per mensem subsequently ordered that he be re-employed from the same date.

W. M. J. S. M.
T. J. S. M. Office
28 August 1872
Offs Collector

Accountants Office
Recd 30 8 1872
S. N. S.

PA

1426

Explanation for delay

Applicant retired on the 7th May 1872

Verified list of service received from the Treasury & Collector } 5th July 1872

Order to the Namimalam Tahsil: -
- also to report his opinion of the } 19th July 1872
applicant's conduct -

Explanation received from the Tahildar } 23rd July 1872

Application despatched by the Sub Collector } 25th July 1872

W. M. J. S. M.
Offs Collector

Pay Abstract of Nannulam Salub for May 1861.

No.	Name of officer	Designation of Officer	Pay	Deduction of Income Tax				Total amount payable
				At 3 per cent	At 1 per cent	At 2 per cent	Total Deduction	
1	Chokkalinga Pillai	Head const	250	7 8	2 8	"	10	250
2	Marandappa	Head const	55	1 10	8	"	2 2	52 10
	Shethayisser	(R. Kommeti)	20	"	"	"	6	19 10
4	Shrinivasa Rao	2 D ^r	20	"	"	"	6	19 10
5	A. Umachala Pillai	3 D ^r	15	"	"	"	"	15
6	Anantha Krishna	4 D ^r	15	"	"	"	"	15
7	Sivachittambaram Pillai	5 D ^r	12	"	"	"	"	12
8	Ranga Rao from 1st 11th June 1861 to 12th 13th Dec 61	6 D ^r	12	"	"	"	"	12
9	Techkumang Rao	7 D ^r	12	"	"	"	"	12
10	Rangaswamy Pillai	8 D ^r	12	"	"	"	"	12
11	Soma Rao	Head const	20	"	"	"	6	19 10
12	Tendakrishna	2 D ^r	17	"	"	"	5	16 10
13	Rangaswamy	3 D ^r	15	"	"	"	"	15
14	Vijayalinga Pillai	1st Sheriff	15	"	"	"	"	15
15	Vijayalinga Pattam	2 D ^r	10	"	"	"	"	10
	1 Rafterman	7	"	"	"	"	"	7
	1 Rafterman to same	8	"	"	"	"	"	8
	21 Rafterman to same	105	"	"	"	"	"	105
	3 Rafterman to same	10	"	"	"	"	"	10
Total 639				9 2	3	17	13 9	615 10

Tamper

22nd August 1861

Sd/-

(True Copy)

R. Subramanyam
Dy. Collector

J. A. M. G. Archdall Assistant Surgeon
in Her Majesty's Indian Medical Service
do hereby certify that I have carefully
examined Venkasaani Row Clerk of the
Thiromalanasel Sea Customs; that his age
is, by his own statement (51) years, and by
appearance about (50) years; and that I find
him to be unfit for further service, from
defective vision, ~~and~~ not, in my opinion
attributable to intemperance, or other irregular
habits.

Tranquebar
8th May 1872

J. A. M. G. Archdall
Jellah Surgeon

APPLICATION FOR PENSION
(GRATUITY).

1. Name of Applicant. *Mayasaram Venkasaani Row*
2. Father's name. *Varraina Row*
3. Sect or Caste. *Utharadatta Bramin*
4. Residence. *Mayasaram*
5. Present or last employment. *Act. Clerk, Thiromalanasel Sea Customs*
6. Date of beginning of service *7th February 1838*
7. Date of end of service *7th May 1872*
8. Length of service including breaks

	Y.	M.	D.
Length of service including breaks	34	2	29
of which superior	28	11	2
inferior	"	"	"
non-qualifying and breaks	5	4	1
9. Class of {pension} applied for, and cause of application. * *Class B Section 46 Civil*
being permanently incapacitated for public service by
bodily infirmity
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *15-7-9*
11. Proposed pension. *7-11-12*
12. Proposed gratuity. *"*
13. Date from which to commence. *8th May 1872*
14. Place of payment. *Mayasaram*
15. Age of applicant on this date. *51*
16. Height. *5-5*
17. Marks. *Small Scar on left cheek close to ear, scar on back, tumor*
small mark of small pox on center of bridge of nose.
18. Date. *8th August 1872*

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 16
Ans 8 1872

Signature of the Head of Office

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF *Prabhu Chandra*

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
						E.	M.	D.	E.	M.	D.	
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	RS. A. P.	RS.	7 May 1895	28 March 1901				5			<i>Not not be - verified as this - Service does not count for pension being in Service before 22 years of age. - Verified from the records of the Office - the Applica- vant without the last 5 years of his service has drawn acting allowance - since he had been in - some not to be in - Calcutta during the average through - to be by the - Collecting Office - - can to give - Service after de- - during period of service before 22 1/4 of age 18 5-3-13 and not 5-1-20 as shown by the Collector & drawn 28-9-98 and not 28-9-11-2.</i>
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5		29 March 1901	10 June 1901	1	2	3				
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5		14 July 1901	21 July 1901	4	2					
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5		1 Oct 1901	15 Dec 1901	2	0	15				
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5		1 Oct 1901	5 July 1902							
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5		6 May 1902	18 Dec 1902	1	5	14				
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5		19 Dec 1902	24 Dec 1902			6				
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5		25 Dec 1902	18 Dec 1902			22				
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5		10 Dec 1902	5 Dec 1902							
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5		6 May 1903	21 Dec 1903	1	4	14				
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5		22 June 1903	21 Dec 1903			1				
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5		22 June 1903	15 Dec 1903	1	4	29				
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5		20 Dec 1903	20 June 1904			4				
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5		20 Dec 1904	20 June 1905			4				
	<i>Revenue Service</i>	0 5				28	11	2				

Total period of {superior} service...
{inferior}

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

Prabhu Chandra

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks.

19 368

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Considering that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in {inferior} grades has been duly proved for 28 years 9 months and 19 days; and that a ~~uninterrupted~~ pension {superior} not exceeding Rs. 7-11-1/2 p. a. month, is admissible under Section 56 (a) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. The Cause of delay in the submission of the application is explained by the Collector in his Memo. herewith accompanying.

First class - Grade 1 - 14 September 1905

To, The Secretary to Government Pension Department.

Prabhu Chandra
Accountant-General.

Pension 1872 Sep
E. H. L. W. H. M.

Application for Pension on

Date of application

5th Aug 1872

Name of applicant

Mr. V. S. Subramanyam

Last appointment

Sea Customs Band

Class of

Pension or gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned

Amount of gratuity sanctioned

Date of commencement

Date of sanction

With a salary

Order of sanction of Pension

Under Section 49 & 50 (C) of the Civil Pension Code the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Mr. V. S. Subramanyam Sea Customs Band Head Clerk, Immatured Sea Customs in the Empire District an unexpired pension of Rs 7-11-1 per annum being a moiety of his average salary for the last 5 years payable from the 8th May 1872.

To the Collector of Tanjore
J. S. Subramanyam
with Rs 100/-

Done at Tanjore 25/9