114
29.7.36

M.R.O. 1—82 400—22-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 443 Press.
Mis.

Dated 29-5-1872.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

29.7.36

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue,
dated 6th January 1869.

Read the following letter from W. B. Dykes Esq.
Collector of Nellore, to the Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue, dated 2nd December 1868.

No 4267:—

I have the honor to submit, for the orders of the Board, the enclosed correspondence, with reference to a charge made by Vayidulu Hanumaji Rao, against the Deputy Inspector General of Police of the Central Range.

2. Hanumaji Rao, in his Arzi to the Sub-Collector No. 295, a translation of which I also enclose, charged the Deputy Inspector General amongst other matters with coming in to his Cutcherry and grossly abusing him: which statement the Deputy Inspector General informs me, is wholly false.

3. Vayidula Hanumaji Rao was transferred to Nellore from the Kistna District, I believe for misconduct. From the day of his arrival he has discharged his duties in such a manner as to utterly discredit the office he holds, and gravely obstruct the due transaction of all public business with which he is in any way concerned.

4. He has steadily subjected the Police in his Talook to every species of annoyance and opposition. He has now it appears from the letter of the Deputy

Inspector General consistently crowned his preceding acts by insulting the Deputy Inspector General and forwarding a false report of what had taken place to the Acting Sub-Collector.

5. And I can only repeat the opinion I myself and the other officers under whome he has served have so repeatedly expressed in the correspondence noted marginally, that his continued retention in the Office he now holds is contrary to the public interests.

Boards Proceedings, No. 3918 of 24th June 1864.
Boards Do. No. 3032 of 6th May 1869.

Enclosure No. I. — Correspondence.

The Board have carefully considered the correspondence which accompanies this letter, and are of opinion that the Tahsildar, Vayidula Hanumaji Rao, is unfit for the responsibility of the post which he now holds, and must be removed from it forthwith.

They are not prepared, however, to order that Hanumaji Rao should be dismissed from the Public Service, and they desire that the Collector will report whether there is not some subordinate post where his services may be made available.

(A true copy and Extract)

(Signed) J. Grose,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Nellore.

Fred. S. Cartledge

True Copy
Dy. Collector

No. 3032.
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue,
dated 6th May 1869.

Read the following letter from J. W. B. Dykes, Collector of Nellore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 11th March 1869, No. 546.

As I am informed that Vaidula Hanumaji Rao left this place some days ago for Madras, I think it probable that he will in due course make his appearance at the Board's Office to appeal against removal from Kanigiri, and under these circumstances do myself the honor to enclose copy of a letter which I received from the Acting Sub-Collector, and request, should Vaidula Hanumaji Rao make his appearance, that the Board will be pleased to order him to return a certain public document which it is stated in the Acting Sub-Collector's letter that he has taken away with him from Kanigiri.

2. On the receipt of the Board's Orders sanctioning the arrangements I had proposed, I issued orders to Vaidula Hanumaji Rao under date the 1st February to join the new post to which he had been appointed as Superintendent at Kottapatnam as soon as he had delivered over charge of the Kanigiri Talook.

3. On the 20th February the Acting Sub-Collector

informed me that Vaidula Hanumaji Row had delivered over charge on the 8th idem.

4. On the 27th February I issued orders to Hanumaji Row that as it appeared that he had not yet taken up his new Office I had issued instructions to the Treasury Deputy Collector to stop his pay and to the present Superintendent of Sea Customs not to hand over charge of the office till he, Vaidula Hanumaji Row, had reported his arrival at Kottapatnam, and explained why he had not gone there direct in accordance to my orders.

5. When I wrote this order it appears there was a report then in my Office from Vaidula Hanumaji Row, that had been written on the 12th February and received on the 20th, stating that he had come to Nellore to see his wife and children and would proceed to Kottapatnam in due course.

6. On the 19th February this man addressed an Arzi to the Acting Sub-Collector, enclosing a Certificate from the Zillah Surgeon, and requesting the Acting Sub-Collector to give him leave for two months, the office of Superintendent of Sea Customs not being under the Sub-Collector's control.

7. On the 28th February Vaidula Hanumaji Row addressed an Arzi to me, enclosing a certificate from the Zillah Surgeon, and requesting me to give him leave for two months to proceed to his native village for the restoration of his health.

8. Having come in the first instance to Nellore in direct disobedience to my orders, it appears that Vaidula Hanumaji Row has also taken upon him to leave Nellore without going through the ceremony of waiting to see what orders I had to give on the subject. Sent to this District in disgrace from the Kistna, his conduct has been disobedient and insolent throughout. And I take this opportunity of reporting to the Board that I have now dismissed him from his post of Sea Customs Superintendent, on the following grounds:— Firstly, that he deliberately disobeyed my orders in coming in here, and secondly, that he set my authority at defiance in leaving the district without my orders when there was nothing in the Doctor's certificate to show that such a course was necessary.

9. I trust that the step I have taken will have the Board's approval. It is needed as an example as well as to rid the district of a man whose continuance in the public service is decidedly not to the public interest.

Enclosure No. 1

From J. C. Hughes, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of Nellore, to the Collector of Nellore, dated Singarayakonda, the 4th March 1879, No. 74.

With reference to Arzi No. 20, dated 22nd ultimo, addressed to me as Acting Joint Magistrate, from the Sub-Magistrate's Sheristadar of the

Kanigiri Taluq (copy enclosed), I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to call upon Kadulu Hanumaji Row, lately Tahsildar of the Kanigiri Taluq, to give up my Takeed No. 166, dated 20th ultimo (with its enclosure,) addressed to him in his official capacity as Sub-Magistrate, and to call upon him to explain the circumstances under which he removed these papers from the public records of the Kanigiri Office.

(True Copy)

(Sigd) J. W. B. Dykes,
Collector

Read Petitions No. 898 and 1150 of 1869, from Hanumaji Row, complaining of his dismissal from the Sea Custom Superintendentship of Kodapalem to which he had been degraded from the post of a Tahsildar under the orders of the Board.

[illegible]

The Board have carefully considered this letter and the petitions from Hanumaji Row appealing against the dismissal reported in it.

2. They see no harm in Hanumaji Row's visit to Nellore on his way to Kottapatnam; but in not awaiting the Collector's order on his application for leave, and in going, as he says, to his village, and, as the Collector states, out of the district, before leave had been granted, and when there was clearly no emergent necessity for doing so, he was guilty of a grave offence which his previous bad character rendered it necessary to mark severely.

3. The Board, however, consider dismissal too severe a punishment, and resolve to reduce it to suspension for six months.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) J. Grose
Sub-Secretary.

To The Collector of Nellore,
with two petitions.

Exd. J. Cartledge.

(True Copy)

W. S. V.
E. A.

Deputy Collector

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 1st February 1871.

No. 22. Read the following despatch from the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India (Revenue) to His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor in Council, Fort Saint George, dated India Office, London, 8th December 1870, No. 32.

I have considered in Council the despatch from your Excellency in Council, numbered 35 and dated the 21st of October, with which you transmit a Memorial to my address from Vydala Hanumaji Rao, late Tahsildar of Kanagiri Taluq in the Nellore District, against his removal from that post to an inferior one in the Customs Department, narrate the causes of that removal, and state your opinion that, believing that the memorialist is "not well fitted by his temper and general "qualifications for the position of Tahsildar "you have no recommendation to make on his "behalf."

2. From a careful perusal of the Memorial and of the documents sent home with it, I approve your decision in this case. The Memorialist, although warned on the two previous

occasions referred to by Mr. Dykes, has again shown, by his insolent manner and language on this occasion, that he is quite unfit to be trusted with any magisterial employment and authority. At the same time, I agree with your Excellency in Council that he had been subjected to some provocation. His reduction to the grade of a Superintendent of Sea Customs was a very proper measure. He should be informed that his being continued in that position will depend upon his conduct and demeanour towards those with whom he has official intercourse, and that to ascertain this, half yearly reports will be made for the next two years to the Board of Revenue.

3. I regret to find that Captain Stuart should have been betrayed into using intemperate language towards the Memorialist, however he might have felt himself insulted by what he supposed to be the object of the Peons sent by the Tahsildar. It is to be regretted also that Mr. Dykes seems to have shown some animus against the man even after his degradation.

No. 23 Order thereon, 1st February, No. 217.

Miscellaneous.

Communicated to the Board of Revenue, who will cause the decision of the Secretary of State to be made known to the Memorialist.

2. The Board will also see that the orders of the Secretary of State, in the last sentence of paragraph 2 of the despatch, are duly attended to.

(True Extract)

(Signed) W. Hudleston,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Communicated to the Collector who will inform the Memorialist of the decision of the Secretary of State and submit the reports referred to in para 2 of the despatch in April and October.

Revenue Board Office
Madras 4th February 1871

(By order)
(Signed) J. Grose
Ag Secretary.

To The Collector of Nellore.

(True Copy)

Dy. Collector

Compd. V.V.
Exd.

Nil
to R

Rev: No 501

(13)

Qual.
1st Cl.

I E. E. Lloyd Zillah Surgeon Nellore do hereby Certify that I have Carefully examined the Sea Custom Superintendent Vythoola Hanumojee Raw of Kothapatam of the Nellore District that his age is by his own Statement 52 years and by Appearance about 55 years and that I find him unfit for further Service from age and infirmity not in my Opinion attributable to intemperance or other irregular habits–

E. Lyn Lloyd Surgeon
Zillah Surgeon
Nellore

Nellore
20th September 1871

No 820 Enc: 4.

Reply to Accountant General's objection in letter No 7991, of the 1st March 1872.

In reply to the 1st part of the objection, a copy of letter from the Collector of Kistna District No 1187, dated 12th April 1872, is herewith furnished, and this is all, the information that could be procured on the subject.

2. As to the applicant's staying away on leave after the period of suspension, copies of Collector's orders granting leave, published in the Gazette are enclosed. They were not included in the leave statement as Hanumaji Row did not rejoin after suspension.

PA

Accountant General's Office
Recd 26 4 1872
Ansd

Nellore
Collector's Office
23d April 1872.

Offg Sub Collector
in charge

To The Ag Accountant General.
Madras

DV

No. 1187.

Kistna District
Collector's Office
Masulipatam 12: April 1872.

(17)

From
G. D. Leman Esquire
Ag. Collector of Kistna.

To
The Collector of Nellore.

Sir,

With reference to your Treasury Deputy's Docket, No. 159, dated 14: March 1872, I have the honor to state that there are no Detailed Lists of Establishments for 1855 & 1856 in this Office. From the Lists of 1854, however, it will be seen that the Tahsildar of Kykalur received

As Tahsildar of Kykalur			Rs 30.
Muta			
——	do	—— Korukollu	—— 5
Do.			
——	do	—— Kalidindi	—— 5.
Pargana			
——	do	—— Baharjalli	—— 10
Do.			
			Rs 50

From
The Offg Sub Collector
in Charge of Nellore

To The Accountant Genl
Madras

Dated 23-4-72
Despd 24-4-72
No 820 Enc: 4.

Replies their objection
in their letter No. 7991 dated
1st March 1872.

1601

Vide under head Revenue.

I presume the same practice held in the subsequent years.- In the year 1858, V. Hanumaji Row evidently received pay at the rate of Rs.50 per mensem for Revenue Duties and Rs 35 for Police.-

I have &c

(signed) G. D. Leman,-
Acting Collector.-

(True Copy)

Offg Sub Collector
In Charge.-

U

Extracts from the District Gazettes, dated 21st August and 25th September 1869, Pages 486 + 559.

21st August 1869

Leave for six weeks on forfeiture of pay is allowed to V. Hanumaji Rao, Sea Customs Superintendent of Kottapatnam

25th September 1869.

The six weeks leave granted to Vydula Hanumaji Rao, Superintendent of Kottapatnam and Itamukkala Ports, is extended to one month more.

(True Extracts)

Offg Sub Collector
In charge.

U.

N.B. To be returned with reply on the reverse

Recd. 5 March 1872
C. No. 548

21

DEPARTMENT.

No. 7991 Dated 1st March 1872.

FROM
THE Ag. ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Collector
Nellore

VIDE { your ~~this~~ } Office ~~Letter~~ application on behalf of V. Hanumajee Row No. —— dated 4th November — 1871.

THE undersigned has the honor to ~~state~~ observe that altho' it is stated by the Collector of Kistna District in his letter No. 703 of 5th February/72 that V. Hanumajee Row was in receipt of Rs. 85 a month from 25th Jany 1855 to 12 March /59, the annual returns on record in this Office show the applicant's name as under.

			Police	Revenue
1855	Tahsildar	Hy Kalur Rs	35-0-0	30-0-0
1856	—" ——	—" ——	35-0-0	30-0-0
1857	—" ——	—" ——	35-0-0	50-0-0
1858	—"		35-0-0	—" —

2. It is requested that it may be pointed out in what months' leave statement the applicant's leave of absence from 8th Augt. to 18th October 1859 is shewn & why this period was deducted in the total service when not included.

Copy of the Collector's order allowing the applicant to remain on leave after the termination of suspension should be sent.

Actg. Accountant General

Repl. No 207 1872.

DEPARTMENT.

ACCTT. GENL.'S OFFICE, MADRAS.

No.

FROM

THE Asst. ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,

Fort St. George,

To

THE Collector

Nellore

Dated } 1st March } 1872.
Recd. }

No.

Requesting to point out in what month's leave statement V. Hanumaya Row's absence from 8th Oct. to 13th Oct/64 is shown

---

Refr. No 672

Recd 4th December 1871

No. 3522

PENSION REPORT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office
The 2d December 1871.

㉓

To

The Collector Nellore

1 Register of application on behalf of late Sea Custom Dept. V. Hanumaya Recd 9th & 4th November/71

THE undersigned has the honor to return the papers connected with the

Pension ……………………
~~Gratuity~~ ……………………
~~Compassionate Allowances~~ …
} case noted in the margin, received ~~with~~ on the 13th ~~letter~~ ~~Memo.~~ ~~dated~~ November/71 No. —— for want of Nos. 8. 27&37

It is particularly requested that an EARLY reply may be sent, and that this Memo. be re-transmitted.

Beauah
for Assist. to the Accountant General.

1. Detail, with dates, of local posts. } *Vide* paras. 133, 134, 135, and 141, of Chapter III, of the
2. " " of pay. } Civil Auditor's Manual.
3. " of leaves enjoyed other than privilege or preparatory, during the whole period of Service, *vide* Government Notification, published at page 519, 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1863.
4. Full name in item 1 of the Register of application.
5. Certificate of age attested by Head of Department.
6. " that alleged services from to are proved from the records.
7. " of past character, *vide* page 90, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1832.
8. Explanation of apparent break between 30th April & 20th May 63
9. " " degradation.
10. Insertion of desired place of payment.
11. Printed form of application for under the new Rules published at page 621, of the 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
12. Printed form of application for gratuity under the old Rules, which can be had from the Superintendent of Stationery.
13. One Register (printed) of all applications for gratuity should be sent to this Department, as required under the recent Orders of Government, instead of the separate manuscript Registers for each individual, herewith returned.
14. Insertion in item 15 of the Register of all descriptive marks agreeably to Notification published at page 802, of 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
15. Insertion in the Leave Statement, of the period of absence with loss of full and half pay, respectively, during the period of
16. Insertion in of the Register, of the proposed amount of Gratuity.
17. Information as to whether the applicant received any gratuity for past service in consequence of the abolition of his Office on the if so, a copy of the order sanctioning such gratuity must be furnished.
18. For deletion of Remarks at foot of the Register, if the applicant did not receive any gratuity.
19. For the deletion of grounds of retirement, &c., other than those required under N.B. at foot of the Register.
20. Discharge Certificate from the Superintendent of Police shewing the period of the applicant's employment in the new Police, and why his services were dispensed with.

DVC

Government Order 18 February

1. Testimonials of character or Certificates given to applicant by the Head of an Office, or, (in the absence of such Certificates), an affidavit signed before the Head of the Department, in support of the applicant's service from to which cannot be proved from the official records, and a statement of contemporary employés as required by the Notifications published at page 995, 2nd Volume of *Fort St. George Gazette* for 1868, and at page 1,576, 2nd Volume of do. for 1870.

22. Explanation of the cause of delay in submission of application until when the applicant appears from the statement of service to have ceased to do duty on the

23. Explanation why the applicant was retained in Government service from to when the Medical Certificate was dated *vide* Government Notification, published at pages 603 and 473, 1st and 2nd Volumes, respectively of the Official Gazette for 1866.

24. Explanation why the applicant was recommended in of the Register, for a gratuity of Rupees equal to months salary, when he is eligible to the gratuity of Rupees equal to months salary under the

Vide Inspector General's Circular No. 1,517, dated 25th April 1868, Indian Medical Department.

25. Medical Certificate in prescribed form shewing the applicant's inability to serve longer.
    a. Vide Government Notifications, published at pages 740 and 632, Volume 1 of Gazette for 1858 and 1863, respectively.
    b. Agreeably to the Orders of Government, dated 14th June 1866, No. 224, as the applicant's service exclusive of the periods

    amounts to
    c. Vide Government Notification, published at page 705, 1st Volume, and page 450, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1865 and 1866, respectively.

26. Explanation why Medical Certificate is dated after the applicant ceased to do duty, instead of on, or before, his retirement from Government service.

27. Transmission of the True Copy of the orders as under
Board of Revenue 6th January & 5th May/69 No. 41 & 3032
Govt. Order — 1st February/71 No. 217.

28. Translation of the orders of under which the applicant

29. Explanation as to whether the applicant applied for during his life, if so, when he applied, and when he died.

30. Explanation as to whether the applicant's heirs applied for the arrears of due to the applicant up to the date of decease, together with date of application for

31. Insertion in the 1st and 2nd sides of the Register, of the correct periods of years.

as pencilled, instead of years.

32. Insertion in the Register of application as to whether the applicant for Compassionate allowance has been employed since the date of the abolition of Office in , and whether holds any employment at present.

33. English Translation of affidavit to be given, on the back of the same (herewith returned), so as

34. Signature of the applicant, to be affixed at foot of affidavit in

35. Transmission of the Printed Memo. from this Department, dated as required therein, as the cannot be disposed of without it.

36. Insertion in Clause 3 of Item No. 7, in the 1st side of the Register, of the date, and No. of the Order of Government, under which the applicant was thrown out of employ by reduction of Establishment.

37. Information why the applicant is shewn in the statement of service on Rs. 85 a month from 25th January/55 to 14th March/59 when he appears from the annual Returns on record in this office to have been in receipt of pay as follows.

1855 -	Rs. 35	1858 ·	Rs. 35
1856 -	" 30		
1857 -	" 50		

+In the Return for 1857 he is shewn under the head Sea Custom Establishment & in that for 1859 neither his name nor the Taluq named Martur is at all shewn.

No. 336 Case: 5.

25

Reply to the Remarks from the Assistant to the Officiating Accountant General on the Pension application of Vydula Hanumaji Row, late Sea Custom Superintendent of Kottapalam.

8. Hanumaji Row delivered over the charge of the Office of the Tahsildar of Vinukonda on the 30th April and received that of the Tahsildar of Kanigiri Taluq of this District on the 20th May 1863. He was on his journey from Vinukonda to Kanigiri during the interval. He was transferred under Boards' Proceedings dated 22nd April 1863. No. 2,331.

27. The true copies of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 6th January and 5th May 1869 Nos. 41 and 3032 and Government Order 1st February

1871. No 217 are forwarded herewith as requested.

37. From 1855 to 1858 Hanumaji Row was employed in the Kristna District. Copy of a letter No 703 dated 5th February 1872 from the Acting Collector of Kristna District giving explanation on the subject is herewith submitted.-

J McCarthy

Ag. Hd. Asst. Collector.
for Collector.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 24 2 1872
Ansd

(271)

* The leave Statement has been altered in red ink in reference to Government Order No 90 dated 15th January 1872 which converted the Privilege leave of one month and one day into sick leave.-

Nellore.
Collector's Office
21st February 1872

J McCarthy
Ag. Hd. Asst. Collector
for Collector.

recd 22-2-72

No 703.

Kistna District
Collector's Office
5th February 1872

From
J. C. Hannyngton Esqr
Acting Collector
Kistna District

To
[illegible] Agnew Esqr
Collector
Nellore District

In reply to your Treasury Deputy's letter No 849 dated 9th December 1871, I have the honor to state as follows:—

1855 & 1856. There are no detailed lists of Servants in this Office for 1855 & 1856, and the Accountant General has been applied to for copies.

1857. In that year Hanumajirow was Tahsildar of Tykaloor Talug and as such exercising the powers of a Simple Revenue Officer, received the salary of Rupees 50: and exercising also the powers of a Subordinate Magistrate, an additional salary of Rupees 35 (Vide, for latter item, under Magisterial Establishment)

1858. Same as above.

In the year 1857 he is shown under head Extra Establishment, as Tykaloor was

‡ I do hereby certify, that to the best of

14

From The Collector of Nellore

To The Dy Asst to the Accountant Genl Madras.

Dated 21.2.72
Despd 22-2-72
Recd.

No 336 Cur: 5.

257

Replying to his remarks on the Pension application of Vepula Hanumaji Row late Sea Custom Supdt of Kottapatam in Nellore Dt.

15336

under one administration since its reversion to Government in 1847, tho' the Revenue Establishment there was not yet made permanent, pending the introduction of the Honorable Pelly's scale. This circumstance, however, should not be allowed to vitiate the continuity of Hanumajirow's Services in permanent grades. Vide enclosed Extract of G. O. No. 711 of 1870 para 3 Pension Department. The whole G. O. has been communicated by Government to the Accountant General. I would suggest the Accountant General referring to the detailed lists of the late Guntur District, of which Martur was a Taluq for 1859, in which he will be able to find the name of V. Hanumajirow recorded. I would also refer that Officer to the Gazette of the Guntur District for 24th March 1859 page 82.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Sigd) J. C. Hannyngton
Acting Collector

(True Copy)

Deputy Collector

Compd. V.V.
Ext.

---

(31)

Extract from the Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department dated 17th December 1870

× × ×

3. The Government are of opinion that service rendered under the above circumstances should count for pension. The formal revision of the establishments was deferred for the convenience and in the interests of Government; and the servants, of whom it is observed, there are a great many in the Kistna District, should not suffer on this account.

(True Extract)

(Sigd) J. C. Hannyngton
Ag. Collector

(True Copy)

Dy. Collector

Compd. V.V.
Ext.

23

Register *of an application for Superannuation Pension under Pension Rules published under date 3rd May 1864, from the Establishment of the* Collector *of* Nellore.

1	Full name of Pensioner...	Vyduta Hanumaji Row.			
2	Sect or caste...	Brahmin, Maradhava			
3	Residence	Nellore			
4	Present post	Sea Custom Superintendent of Kottapatam			
5	Date of commencement of service...	18th August 1851			
6	Date of retirement from service	20th September 1871			
7*	Abstract of the grounds of application.	1. Good service ... ... 2. Inability to serve longer ... As per Medical certificate accompanying. 3. Reduction of Establishment			
			Years.	Months.	Days.
8*	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances.	1. Resigned the service from. to			
		2.† Reduction of Establishment from 20th March to 30th March 1862	0	0	11
		3. Suspended from 30th May to 16th July 1867	0	1	18
		Suspended from 9th February to 9th August 1869	0	6	0
		4. Dismissed for misconduct from to	0	7	29.
9	Total of service before 22 years of age...				
10	Total of service not in Pension grades		0	2	21
11	Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave				
		Grand Total...	0	[illegible]	27
12	Total period in Pension grades counted as service		18	11	23
13‡	Age of the applicant at the time of this application		55 years		
			Feet.	Inches.	
14	Height		[illegible]	10	
15	Descriptive marks.—	A large scar of a sore on the right posterior A scar of a wound on the forehead left side.			
			Rs.	A.	P.
16	Average salary of past 5 years		130	12	7
17	Proposed amount of Pension...		43	3	0
18	Date from which to commence ...	20th September 1871			
19	Place of payment	Madras.			

* *N. B.*—The reason of the applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being left, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.

† It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year.
It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. A. P.
Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was ———
Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. —— A. P.
Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of 186 .

Accountant General's Office
Recd 13 [illegible] 1871
Ansd

‡ I do hereby certify, that to the best of my belief the applicant's age above stated is

Deputy Collector

Statement of total period of Service.

Establishment.	Post.	Pay: Rs.	A.	P.	Period: From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.	Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts Remarks.
Late Masulipatam District Kristna Collectorate	Peshcar of Ralungy Taluq	20	-	-	18th August 1851	31st December 1852	1	4	14	
	Do of Chintatadudi Paragana in Tirwoor Taluq	20	-	-	1st January 1853	21st July 1854	1	6	21	Verified from the records of this office
	Incharge Tahsildar of Padam Taluq	45	0	0	22d July 1854	24th January 1855	0	6	3	
	Tahsildar of Keykalur	85	0	0	25th January 1855	16th March 1859	4	1	21	Pay here shown is made up by the pay of two or more posts in pensionable grades in different Establishments held at the same time.
	Tahsildar of Marutur Taluq	100	-	-	15th March 1859	25th October 1860	1	7	11	Verified from the records of this office. The applicant's qualifying service after deducting only 4 months & 16 days leave is years 19-2-4 & not yrs 18-10-22. The applicant does not appear to have drawn any acting allowance during the last 5 years of his service. Offg. Accountant General
	Do of Tenali Taluq	100	-	-	26th October 1860	19th March 1862 ×	1	4	25	
					B				11	
	Do of Vinukonda Taluq	80	-	-	31st March 1862	30th September 1862	-	6	-	
	Do of Vinukonda Taluq	150	-	-	1st October 1862	30 April 1863	0	7	0	
					B				19	
							11	8	6	
Collector of Nellore	Tahsildar of Kanigiri Taluq	150	-	-	20 May 1863	29 May 1867	4	-	11	
					B	×		1	18	
	Do	150	-	0	17th July 1867	7 February 1869 ×	1	6	20	

Explanation of Column 8 with quotations of orders of reinstatement.	Explanation of any degradation.
He was unemployed from 20th to 30th March 1862, as his service was dispensed with on the reduction of the Repalli Taluq for 3 months. II His suspension from 30th May 1867 under the Board's Proceedings No. 3012 dated 16 Idem was cancelled in Government Order Petition Department 3d July 1867, and the Government directed that "he should "be immediately restored to Office." III Suspended for 6 months from 8th February 1869. Vide Board's Proceedings No. 3032 dated 5th May 1869. The Collector Mr. Dykes had dismissed him from the office of Sea Customs Superintendent of Kottapatam to which he was reduced for leaving the District without permission; and the Board reduced the punishment to suspension for 6 months.	The degradation on 31st March 1862 was owing to his re-entertainment on the revised Establishment. II The 2d degradation was from the post of Tahsildar of Kanigiri under Board's Proceedings No. 41 dated 6th January 1869, and No. 48 dated 26th Idem for having insulted and annoyed Police Officers of all grades and for his quarrelsome conduct. He was reduced to the Office of Sea Customs Superintendent of Kottapatam, which he did not join after the period of his suspension; but having been allowed to remain on leave of absence, from the termination of the suspension period, he was appointed as Cash Keeper on pay of Rs 125 by the Acting Collector Mr. Melville on the 14th October 1869. Having been found inefficient in the Treasury, he was remanded by the Collector to the office of Sea Customs Superintendent of Kottapatam to which he had been reduced under the Orders of the Board

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT. 29 May/72 No. 443

PENSION 1872 DEPARTMENT.

No. 734.

From the Ag. Acctt Genl.

Fort Saint George.

To the Chief Secretary to Government,

Civil Pension Department.

77

Dated 7 }
Received 8 } May 1872

Enclosures.

Verifies the service of V. Hanumaji Row late Sea Cus Supdt Kottapatam borne on the establishment of the Collr. of Nellore

29/5/72

G.O. No. 443.

PENSION 1872 DEPARTMENT.

No. 733

From the Collector of Nellore

To the ~~Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts.~~ Examiner of Claims Madras

Dated 4 }
Received } November 1871.

Register of an application for Superannuation Pension in behalf of Vydula Hanumaji Row late Sea Cus. Supr. Kottapatam borne on the establishment of the Collector of Nellore

10894

Fol 4.12.71

Fol 5.3.72

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letters.

From the Collector of Nellore, dated 4th November 1871.

From the Ag. Accountant General, dated 7 May 1872 No. 77.

Order thereon 29th May 1872 No. 443.

Under Sections 49 and 56 (b) of the Civil Pension Code, the H~~onorable~~ the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Vydula Hanumaji Row, late Sea Custom Superintendent of Kottapatam in the Nellore District, an invalid pension of Rs 43-8-11 per mensem equal to one-third of his average salary for the last five years with effect

effect, from the 20th September 1871 and payable at Madras.

2. The Government observe that Hammaji Row, was under suspension for six months, from 8th February 1869, for insubordinate conduct, and that under Section 60, he is liable to a reduction of his pension, but as the present Collector, is of opinion, that he has been sufficiently punished for former misconduct and deprecates the imposition of any further penalty the Government are pleased to grant the full amount of pension to which Hammaji Row, is entitled for his services.

To The Collector of Nellore
" Accountant Genl.
with Recs. } Desp. 29/5/72.

Govt. of {1872} Madras.

Pension

To

The Collector of
Nellore

Dated May 29th }
Recd. } 1872, No. 443.

Nos.

Granting a pension
of Rs. 43-8-10 to
V. Hanumaji Row
Late Sea Cus Supt. of
Cottapatam, Nellore
Collectorate.

C4 May
R 27—