

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. *257* 396 - ~~Press~~
Mis.

Dated *15-5-1872*

Not to be folded or roughly handled

20/11/26
21 *21*

12
No. 7,529.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 20th October 1868.

Read the following letter from T. A. N. CHASE, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 9th September 1868, No. 316:—

IN reply to Board's Order, dated 31st August 1868, on Petition No. 2,447 of 1868, (herewith returned,) from Maruf Saib, late Revenue Inspector in the Sirwell talook, I have the honour to state that I was obliged to dismiss him from the service on account of his deceit, and untrustfulness.

2. He was unauthorizedly living for three years in a Government building, formerly used as a Talook Cutcherry, at Chagalmarri, and the Deputy Collector at Nandial fully inquired into the matter, and reported to me that the petitioner falsely stated that the old Cutcherry was built by one Rajakh Meeya, (son of his mother's elder sister,) when a Tahsildar under the Magaloy Government, and that he was residing in it, with the permission of the widow, and son-in-law of Rajakh Meeya, and also the late Tahsildar Rama Row, and named certain witnesses to prove his statements, well-knowing at the time that he was uttering an untruth, and that it was Government property.

3. The evidence of the witnesses and of the villagers clearly showed that his claim was an unfounded one; that the building belonged to Government; and that the petitioner was occupying it without permission. He thereupon withdrew his claim to it.

4. The petitioner's statement that he vacated the building eighteen months previous to the date of his dismissal, is also quite untrue, because the petitioner in his Yadast to the Tahsildar, dated 24th September 1867, admitted that it was in his possession up to that date.

5. The petitioner having been so clearly convicted of falsehood, is manifestly unfit for Government employ, especially in such a trustworthy position as that of a Revenue Inspector.

6. It appears that this old Cutcherry was built at the Government expense, by Tahsildar Tirmal Row, after the establishment of the British rule in Kurnool.

7. I communicated with other Government Departments as to whether this building would be required for their use. The Superintendent of Police has informed me it will be required for the Police when the Talook Cutcherry is removed from Chagalmarri.

8. This building is quite distinct from the house now used as the Cutcherry of the Tahsildar of Sirwell, and I request the Board's permission to hand it over to the Police Department.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

ADVERTING to the nature of the offence committed by the petitioner, and to the length of his service, the Board consider the punishment inflicted to have been disproportionately severe, and are of opinion that suspension for six months, entailing the usual loss of allowances, will be adequate to the requirements of the case.

2. The Collector will, therefore, reinstate the petitioner in his appointment, warning him that any further instance of want of trustworthiness on his part will not fail to meet with the severest punishment.

3. The Collector is authorized to hand over to the Police Department the old Talook Cutcherry, as proposed in the last paragraph of his letter.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) F. BRANDT,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool.

Exd. J. Wright.

Extracts from the Report issued by Mr. W. B. Phillips Esquire Commissioner of the Survey to the Government of the Madras Presidency dated 15th May 1849 No 274.

Para 3. In the paddy fields and villages inspected by the Madras Survey the following appears.

No 69-7-0 In Barhannashapuram
30-15-6 Last year
38-7-6 Current year's
69-7-0

14-11-9 In Barhannashapuram
27- " " 1440 gams of land of ryot
Poddachendadi in the same
29-11-7 Except in the latter case
This was not inspected
but is within the limits
140-13-10

In explanation of this the Madras Surveyor in his examination stated that as the boundaries of the fields could not be identified he could not ascertain exactly what portion of each field was under cultivation and that the area of the cultivation under his view was therefore only approximate. Although repeated orders have been issued to the effect that Barhannashapuram should inspect personally the cultivation in each village.

No. 1868. Department

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 20th October 1868.

No. 7,529.

Petition No. 2447 of 1868—Reinstatement directed of Petitioner in, to post of Revenue Inspector in Sirwell Talook, Kurnool District, from which he was dismissed by Collector—Talook Cutcherry at Chaglamari to be made over to Police Department.

and under the 2nd of Jan. in
the account this Shastee has
failed to do so and his reason
he has given is not at all satisfactory.
Although the officer he has named
willed to serve as a fiscal from
January until January he has
this time been discharged
for a year and Mr. Chidambaram
has reinstated Prishatkar Karigoppala
Pishale has been appointed
to act.

6. The said Deputy Saib shall
willed to be discharged his
duties on the expiration of his
term of discharge as it is not
advisable to keep him in
in a fictitious where he has done
so much discharge. You should
inform him when he appears
after the term of discharge is
over, that he will be appointed
to another post.

Signed / H. M. Philips
Commissioner

(True translation)

J. Chase

Collector

M. E.

113 Where returned with reply on reverse Reg. 106/72
18-3-72

En. Dept. DEPARTMENT. 113 Dated 15 March 1872.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To THE Collector of Karnool.

(Where returned) Application for pension on behalf of
J. Marudasaib received on the
VIDE { your } Office Letter No. 15 Instant in the En. Bureau
{ this }

The undersigned has the honor to state request explanation on
the following points:-

1. Explanation why the applicant was entered
in the Annual returns in the records of this
Office from 1844 to 1853 as Maateedar instead
of Karnool as shown in the Service Register.
2. Explanation as to the Cause of the applicant
having been under suspension for 8 m & 14 d.
of intermission until the 13th of March day
direct only 6 months suspension -
3. Whether the station was called
Atmakur was formerly (i.e. from 1847 to 1853)
called as Alcor.
4. Whether the applicant was entered in the
Service Register as Karnool of Perwell Salug
from 1854 to 1865 while from the returns in the
records of this Office he appears to have been
a 1st class Inspector.

Per Kodur
By Accountant General

Register of an application for Superannuation Pension under Pension Rules published under date 3rd May 1864, from the Establishment of the Police of Amritsar.

House No 265

e 101. Matilda and Martha are different names & the same appointment, the register has however been corrected.

e 102. The applicant was admitted the same year the 30th March 1848 but the Board of Commerce ordered that he should be 8 or 10 months would be adequate punishment for his offence. Their Proceedings being dated 20th Dec 1848 which was received by him on the 28th Dec, and a large bill was presented him during the 17th & 18th November 1848. Consequently he was out of employ for 8 months & 14 days.

e 3. Atankur was formerly called & cleared and now has the business called so.

e 4. Martha & P. Penrose Inspector are names applied to a similar appointment, the register has however been corrected.

Thomas A. Green
 23rd March 1921

Accountant General 1306
Recd 25
Ans'd 3 1872

J. Chute
Collector

DEPARTMENT
ACCT. GENL'S OFFICE, MADRAS
No. 1
THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
Fort St. George
To
The
Dated
Recd.
187

1	Full name of Pensioner	Gumbadafully Naray Sah.
2	Sect or caste	Brahmin
3	Residence	Gumbadafully Khedial Sahib.
4	Present post	1 st Class (B) Revenue Inspector
5	Date of commencement of service	17 th March 1873.
6	Date of retirement from service	30 th January 1911.
7*	Abstract of the grounds of application.	1. Good service. 2. Inability to serve longer 3. Reduction of Establishment
		Years. Months. Days.
	1. Resigned the service from	to
8*	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances.	2. †Reduction of Establishment from
		to
	3. Suspended from	to
		to
	4. Dismissed for misconduct from	to
9	Total of service before 22 years of age	" " "
10	Total of service not in Pension grades	" 3 - 24
11	Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave... ..	Grand Total... 2 - " - 22
12	Total period in Pension grades counted as service	26 - 7 - 21
13†	Age of the Applicant at the time of this application... ..	63.
14	Height	5 Feet 2½ Inches.
15	Descriptive marks—	R. A. P.
	Average salary of past 5 years	30 " "
16	Proposed amount of Pension	15 " "
17	Date from which to commence	1 st December 1911
18	Place of payment	Kandiah Saluk
19		Commercial District

19 Place of payment ...

* N. B.—The reason of the Applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being lost, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.

Applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year ...

Accountant General 1206

Reasons of break in employment should be stated.

† It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the Applicant on reduction of Establishment.

It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. A. P.

Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was

Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. A. P.

Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of

187

1911-12

Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. 100
 Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of March 1900
 X Refund of gratuity was made on the 1st of March 1900
and was not included in the periodical statement
sent to the Government on the 1st of March 1900
 I do hereby certify, that, to the best of my belief the Applicant's age above stated is correct.
That the above statement is correct and true

Accountant General 20th
Recd 15 } 3 18
Paid — }

Establishment.	Post.	PAY.		PERIOD.				Accountant-General or Controller of Military Accounts	Remarks.		
		Rs.	A. P.	From	To	Yrs.	Ms.			Ds.	
Collector of Municipal	10	12	"	17 th March 1843	1 st July 1846	3	3	21	Verified from the records of this office. The applicant does not appear to have drawn any acting allowance during the last five years of this service. Applicant's qualifying service after deducting 3 months & 26 days on account of leave is years 26-7-24.		
	12	"	8 th July 1846	13 th May 1849	2	10	6				
	12	"	28 th May 1850	31 st July 1855	5	2	4				
	12	"	1 st August 1855	17 th Dec 1860	5	2	14				
	20	"	20 th Dec 1860	31 st Dec 1865	5	2	12				
	30	"	1 st January 1866	2 nd March 1868	2	2	2				
	30	"	1 st November 1868	30 th Nov 1871	3	-	11				
Total...								26	11	18	
Years Months Days.											
Deduct service before 22 years of age											
Deduct service not in Pension grades											
Deduct leave											
Net period of Service...								26	7	24	

Explanation of any degradation.

90

Remarks by the Head of the Office on past character and conduct of the Applicant throughout the service.

From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.
<u>19th Dec. 1861</u>	<u>1st Jan. 1862</u>			
2 nd Dec. 1861	1 st Jan. 1862	-	2	"
2 nd March 1862	1 st April 1862	-	1	"
<u>19th Dec. 1861</u>	<u>1st Jan. 1862</u>			
2 nd April 1862	1 st May 1862	"	"	18
1 st Dec. 1861	1 st Jan. 1862	"	"	9
18 th Dec. 1861				
Total of leave...		"	3	27

His conduct was very bad
 on account of his long
 service & command
 he should get half pay
 pay for the last 5 years.
 as per pension. Some delays
 caused in rebuilding his application
 owing to the illness of the applicant's father
 before the Commission.

The *12th* *1888*

REPORT OF THE *No. 769* *Accountant General*

REPORT OF THE 21

Years. Months. Days.

28 7 21

Rs. 11 A. 5 P.

30 11 11

Service qualifying for pension is proved for a Total period of

... .. permanent post was

In the last 5 years of his service the average pay of the Applicant's permanent pension is Rs. 18-0-0 per mensem, equal to a moiety of his average salary during the last 5 years, under Clause 57 of the Revised Pension Rules. The delay in the submission of the application by the Collector is explained by him in the Column of remarks by the Head of the Office.

Fort St. George
Account General's Office
26 March 1871

To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

PENSION 1872, DEPARTMENT.

12571.

1570.

From the *Honorable*
General
 Fort Saint George,

From the Walden

To the Chief Secretary to Government,

Civil Pension Department.

169.

Dated 26th March 1872
Received 27

Enclosures.

Verifies the service

of the said child
into a Pure Inspector
borne on the establishment of the
Sutwood.

Dated 1st }
Received } Dec 1st 1872

1871

Register of an application for Superannuation Pension in behalf of ¹⁶³¹ ~~the said~~ *deceased*

Shelly B. - in c. 1861

late 1864. The above
reference being made
here on the establishment of the
of 1864.



ORDER OF GOVERNMENT *45* *May 1872* *22396.*

Blind's Section 3385(c) of the

Civil Function. Until the November the General in Council is pleased to grant a Temporary Commission as Chaplain of the 1st Class Revenue Inspector and the Constitution of the College of Education a duplicate of the same of the 15th of the same being a receipt of his salary for the last 5 years, from the 1st of December 1871. p. 1.

His object was that the office of the General in Council in 1868 for neglect of duty for which he was under censure do not make him liable to forfeiture of a portion of his pension, but as he has been already ^{adversely} punished for the offence by suspension with loss of pay, the Court are pleased to grant the full pension in the present case.

With an order No 96.

to the College of St. Andrew
Dec 15/51

10