

1. Number of pages 24
2. Date of repair 16-7-24
3. Initials of reference
M.R.O. 1-22,400-22-11-80

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Division DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	33	Press - Mis.
Dated	16-1-	1872

Not to be folded or roughly handled

N^o 2749.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th June 1860.

Read the following letter from J. S. Murchison, Esquire, Acting Collector of Kurnool, to J. D. Sim, Esquire, Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated 28th May 1860, N^o 72.

I have the honor to forward for the consideration of the Board of Revenue the enclosed copy of Extracts from the Proceedings of the Session Court of Nuzvid, dated May 7th 1860, and with reference to the conduct of the Tahsildar of Pannim in the cases which has elicited the comment of the Court, to recommend that he be suspended for a period of six months, and be considered unfit in future to hold the responsible office of Head of Police.

2. The following are the facts of the case:— On the evening of the 28th February one Chennogadoo was stabbed in a dispute with one Venkettayab; the wound was inflicted with a Chukker's knife in the chest, and was at once reported by the Village Munsif to the Head of Police, as being of a nature dangerous to life.

3. On the next day the Head of Police came to the village, took a deposition from the wounded man alone, and ordered all the parties to be forwarded to his bungalow station at Pannim.

4. On the 2nd March, an examination of witnesses was

made at Pussim, concluding that was recorded being suspicious
prepared for the receipt of the accused.

On the 24th of Feb. a Mahagermah was taken from
the Village Officer and Pussim, describing the wound as one inch
long and half inch wide and deep; but stating that it
was not of a dangerous nature.

On the 4th March, the Head of Police reported
that though it was probable that Venkettayab had stabbed
Chennogadon, there was merely the latter's accusation to
be placed against the denial of the former, and that he
had, therefore, dismissed the case.

On the 10th March, Chennogadon died as he was
being carried by his friends to the Dispensary at Kurnool,
the acting Magistrate, Mr. Thornhill, took up the
case and committed Venkettayab to take his trial for murder.

On the meanwhile, a Rev. of the Pussim Taluk
Makur Laub, brought against the Head of Police a charge
of corruption in the case, and a deposition was taken from him
by the Acting Magistrate on the 14th March. Mr. Laub charged
the Head of Police with having released the prisoner for a
bribe of Rupees 150 which was advanced in the Taluk of Siripant,
the place where the case occurred in the mortgage of the River
lands; that of the Rupees 150, the Head of Police took
Rupees 100, the Sheristadar of the Taluk Rupees 40 and Rupees
each was paid to the Duffadar, Pharnoo Singh, and an
Amilur Lakshumrurroo, the Paddy of Miamor village; that

Rupees 40 belonging to the last-named Lakshumrurroo were
by Sucha from Siripant to Pussim, inside a roll of tobacco, and
were lost; and that he and three Buttoobadries who had carried
the Sucha, were forced to make up the loss in certain shares, the
sum share of the loss amounting to Rupees 1-5-4.

9. No evidence was adduced to the alleged bribe of Rupees
150, except that of implicated parties, and after committing the
case of murder, Mr. Thornhill postponed further inquiry until
the result of the trial should be known.

10. On receipt of the Court's Proceedings, the Head of
Police was called on to explain why he had not examined
the wife and brother of the deceased, or sent him at once to
Kurnool for medical aid.

11. In his reply dated May 10th, the Head of Police stated
that he had not examined the relatives of the wounded man,
because they were present when he took a deposition from himself
and said nothing, and he did not think it necessary to examine
them as the account given by Chennogadon was quite clear. He
added that Chennogadon desired to remain where he was,
and not to be sent to Kurnool.

12. On examination made by Mr. Thornhill it appeared
that the Head of Police had made no obstacle to Chennogadon
being sent to Kurnool, though he took no steps to forward him
there for treatment.

13. Mr. Thornhill considered that the explanation offered by
the Head of Police showed him to be utterly incompetent, if
not worse.

14. On taking charge of the office, I thought it advisa-
ble to re-examine the Rev. Makur Laub, and in this

5
further inquiry into his course that might be possible.

15. The result of such further inquiry brought forth nothing further to prove the alleged corruption of the Head of Police, but the correlative fact alleged by the Dow, that Poores 4 were sent by Sucha from Siripand village to Punniem, on account of the volunteer Lukshumnursoo, on the day after the inquiry as to Lohennogadoo being wounded; that the money was lost and recovered in certain shares from the Dow Mahum Laub and three buttohadies, was completely proved, and in fact acknowledged by the Sahildar himself, who, however, denied any interference in the transaction.

16. There is no proof that this Poores 4 was a portion of any bribe given to Lukshumnursoo, though the fact of his sending the sum of money by Sucha under such circumstances must be considered suspicious, and the truth of this curious statement adds weight to the otherwise unsupported allegations of the Dow Mahum Laub.

17. Considering the grossly partial nature of the inquiry recorded by the Head of Police in the first instance, his failure to examine relations of the deceased who were present at the assault; his dismissal of the case on the grounds of their being no evidence except the counterassertions of the deceased and the prisoner, and his studious concealment of the severe nature of the injury suffered, I cannot but be of opinion that corrupt motives must have induced him to adopt such a line of conduct, but I cannot place sufficient reliance on the unsupported statements of the Dow Mahum Laub, to implicate any other parties in the

alleged corrupt transaction.

18. Even during the short time I have been in charge of the District, this is not the only case in which the irregular conduct of this Sahildar has attracted my notice, and I feel that I could not recommend him for a less punishment than that I have proposed for the sanction of the Board.

Enclosure No 1.

Abstract. - Extract from the Proceedings of the Session Court of Nundiab, under date the 7th May 1860.

The Board request the Acting Collector to report the name of the Sahildar and his previous character and services.

2. He will also state whether the alleged mortgage of the Inam, by which means the money for the bribe is stated to have been raised, was proved or satisfactorily disproved.
3. Pending further orders, the Sahildar will be suspended from his office.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. Sim,

Secretary.

To J. J. Minchin Esq. Ag. Collector of Purnool.

N^o 3150.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th July 1860.

Read the following letter from J. I. Minchin, Esquire, Acting Collector of Karnool, to J. D. Sim, Esquire, Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated 19th June 1860, N^o 84.

With reference to the instructions contained in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, N^o 2749 of the 17th instant, I have the honor to forward a copy of the Register of Service of Chedumbarow Row, Tahsildar of Pannier, and with reference to his previous character, to state that, in a paper left by the collector, Mr. Arbutnot, for the guidance of his successor, he is designated as bearing an indifferent character, though the remark in the Public Register is not unfavorable to his efficiency.

2. The alleged mortgage of the Inams was not able to be proved by the Row Mahan Lawl, and was denied by the parties concerned. After the length of time which had elapsed when I first took up the case, to obtain any fresh clue for the elucidation of the truth on this point was impossible.

3. I would add that the prisoner Venkatigador, who was released by the Head of Police on such frivolous pretences, has been sentenced to transportation for life by the Court of Foujdaree Udalut.

4. In several other cases during my short tenure

Takid No 205 from T. B. A. Conway
Commissioner of Kurnool dated
Sandial 12th May 1854 to Chethumbara
Row, Tahsildar of Bhagalmarri.

You report in your letter
No 182 dated 29th March last
that, while handing over
charge of the Treasury to your
Sheristadar when leaving bus-
-bah on circuit, you found
a bag without seal contain-
-ing Rs 500 and remit it to
the Guzur, stating that it
might have been detained
there by mistake at the time
of sending in the Sual (re-
-mittance) for March and
thereby caused the deficiency
of Rs 500 found in it. This
explanation is unsatisfactory.
The deficiency in question

Sir, In reply to yours No: 742
 dated 25th September 1871.
 I have the honor to inform
 you that I have seen the
 (2) two persons named in the
 margin and that I am of opi-
 nion that the Thoristadar
 is aged 59 years, and the
 Revenue Inspector 60. years
 I have the honor to be

Sir
Your Obedt. Servt.
Wm. H. P. H. H.
Civil Surgeon
Rural

Journal
26th September
1891

x R. Chittambal Rao }
 x R. Perivastar }
 x R. Subbanna }
 Revenue Inspector }

230 Regd. No. 444
Recd. 10th November 1871.

PENSION REPORT DEPARTMENT,

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
The 7th November 1871.

To

The

Collector
Kurnool

THE undersigned has the honor to return the papers connected with the

Pension } case noted in the margin, received with letter
Gratuity } on the
Compassionate Allowance... } Memo.
dated 28th ultimo No. _____ for want of Nos. 3427.

It is particularly requested that an EARLY reply may be sent, and that this Memo. be re-transmitted.

Accountant General.

1. Detail, with dates, of local posts. } Vide paras. 133, 134, 135, and 141, of Chapter III. of the
2. " " " of pay. } Civil Auditor's Manual.
3. " " of leaves enjoyed other than privilege or preparatory, during the whole period of Service, vide Government Notification, published at page 540, 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1863.
4. Full name in item 1 of the Register of application.
5. Certificate of age attested by Head of Department.
6. " that alleged services from _____ to _____ are proved from the records.
7. " of past character, vide page 99, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1862.
8. Explanation of apparent breaks.
9. " " degradation.
10. Insertion of desired place of payment.
11. Printed form of application for _____ under the new Rules published at page 634, of the 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
12. Printed form of application for gratuity under the old Rules, which can be had from the Superintendent of Stationery.
13. One Register (printed) of all applications for gratuity should be sent to this Department, as required under the recent Orders of Government, instead of the separate manuscript Registers for each individual, herewith returned.
14. Insertion in item 15 of the Register of all descriptive marks agreeably to Notification published at page 802, of 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
15. Insertion in the Leave Statement, of the period of absence with loss of full and half pay, respectively, during the period of _____ of the Register, of the proposed amount
16. Insertion in _____ of Gratuity.
17. Information as to whether the applicant received any gratuity for past service in consequence of the abolition of his Office on the _____ if so, a Copy of the order sanctioning such gratuity must be furnished.
18. For deletion of Remarks at foot of the Register, if the applicant did not receive any gratuity.
19. For the deletion of grounds of retirement, &c., other than those required under N.B. at foot of the Register.
20. Discharge Certificate from the Superintendent of Police showing the period of the applicant's employment in the new Police, and why his services were dispensed with.


16 days, was employed as a
sheristadar by the Government
Taluk, on the 17th Decr. 1860,
1860, which was then a vacant
vacant, and continued in
that appointment to 14th
April 1861, when the former
= Shri Shrivastava Shrivastava
= Shrivastava resumed his duties
Chetambur and he had then
to remain unemployed from
that date to 27th April 1861,
when he was appointed
as permanent sheristadar
on the 28th April 1861, since
Shrivastava resumed, and
there was no other head and
which this could be shown
those 13 days were entered
under "reduction of establishment"
on the 1st side of the register,
and in the statement of
explanation of column 8, it was

12 7
stated that he was out of
employ for 13 days his un-
= cancy having occurred during
that period.

4. The amount of pay
drawn by the applicant from
17th Decr 1860 to 14th April
1861, was Rs 45 a month
and it has been corrected
accordingly in the register.

Have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt servt.

J. Chase
Collector



ind

21

Register of an application for Superannuation Pension under Pension Rules
published under date 3rd May 1864, from the Establishment of
the *Collectorate of Thurnool.*

1	Full name of Pensioner	Thurnool Chethumbara Row
2	Sect or caste	Smatha Bramin.
3	Residence	Thurnool
4	Present post	Theristadar of Karkapantak
5	Date of commencement of service	18 th October 1839
6	Date of retirement from service	27 th September 1871
7*	Abstract of the grounds of application.	1. Good service ... 2. Inability to serve longer ... 3. Reduction of Establishment ...
8*	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances.	1. Resigned the service from ... to ... 2. †Reduction of Establishment from 15 th April 1861 to 27 th April 1861 ... 3. Suspended from 12 th May 1854 to 31 st May 1854 ... 4. Dismissed for misconduct from ... to ...
9	Total of service before 22 years of age	...
10	Total of service not in Pension grades	...
11	Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave...	Grand Total... 7-29
12	Total period in Pension grades counted as service	61 3-14
13†	Age of the Applicant at the time of this application...	...
14	Height	Feet. Inches. 5-7
15	Descriptive marks—	Clear skin, black the right eye, the left eye, the nose, the mouth, the belly, three holes on the breast, a wart on the corner of the right eye.
16	Average salary of past 5 years	Rs. 60—0—0
17	Proposed amount of Pension	Rs. 30—0—0
18	Date from which to commence	27 th September 1871
19	Place of payment	Thurnool

* N. B.—The reason of the Applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being left, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.

† It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the Applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year. ...
 It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. A. P. ...
 Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was ...
 Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. A. P. ...
 Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of ...

Accountant General's Office
Recd 28
Ans'd 10 1871

I do hereby certify, that, to the best of my belief the Applicant's age above stated is correct.

Signature of Collector

Explanation of Column 8 with quotations of orders of reinstatement.

Explanation of any degradation.

The Chethumbara Row was once suspended for one month from 1st to 3rd May 1854 on account of a deficiency in the Taluk remittance. It was reinstated on 1st June 1854, the then Commissioner having explained himself that the deficiency was caused by the carelessness of Chethumbara. He was again suspended from 1st June to 16th December 1860 under orders of the Board.

of Revenue for gross ignorance of his duties as a Taluk Magistrate

He was out of employ for 13 days from 15th to 27th April 1861, his vacancies having occurred during that period.

Copies of orders connected with the two suspensions are attached to this application. V. G.

Board's Proceedings No 2749 dated 11th June 1860 & No 3150 dated 9th July 1860

Translation of two Talukds No 205 dated 12th May 1854 & No 244 dated 24th June 1854.

Remarks by the Head of the Office on past character and conduct of the Applicant throughout the service.

I have had no fault to find since I joined the District on the last day of December, 1866.

T. Chace
Collector

Accountant General

	Years.	Months.	Days.
f	30	11	15
	Rs.	A.	P.
ant's permanent post was	60	-	-

mentem, equal to a month of his ~~average~~ salary during of the Revised Pension Rules. Draft filed

Beaciah
Agent to the Acct. General

To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

PENSION 187, DEPARTMENT.

PENSION 187, DEPARTMENT.

of the in order 1893

So the children are

11. 1877 October - Nov - Dec - 1877 - 1878 - 1879 - 1880 - 1881 - 1882 - 1883 - 1884 - 1885 - 1886 - 1887 - 1888 - 1889 - 1890 - 1891 - 1892 - 1893 - 1894 - 1895 - 1896 - 1897 - 1898 - 1899 - 1900 - 1901 - 1902 - 1903 - 1904 - 1905 - 1906 - 1907 - 1908 - 1909 - 1910 - 1911 - 1912 - 1913 - 1914 - 1915 - 1916 - 1917 - 1918 - 1919 - 1920 - 1921 - 1922 - 1923 - 1924 - 1925 - 1926 - 1927 - 1928 - 1929 - 1930 - 1931 - 1932 - 1933 - 1934 - 1935 - 1936 - 1937 - 1938 - 1939 - 1940 - 1941 - 1942 - 1943 - 1944 - 1945 - 1946 - 1947 - 1948 - 1949 - 1950 - 1951 - 1952 - 1953 - 1954 - 1955 - 1956 - 1957 - 1958 - 1959 - 1960 - 1961 - 1962 - 1963 - 1964 - 1965 - 1966 - 1967 - 1968 - 1969 - 1970 - 1971 - 1972 - 1973 - 1974 - 1975 - 1976 - 1977 - 1978 - 1979 - 1980 - 1981 - 1982 - 1983 - 1984 - 1985 - 1986 - 1987 - 1988 - 1989 - 1990 - 1991 - 1992 - 1993 - 1994 - 1995 - 1996 - 1997 - 1998 - 1999 - 2000 - 2001 - 2002 - 2003 - 2004 - 2005 - 2006 - 2007 - 2008 - 2009 - 2010 - 2011 - 2012 - 2013 - 2014 - 2015 - 2016 - 2017 - 2018 - 2019 - 2020 - 2021 - 2022 - 2023 - 2024 - 2025 - 2026 - 2027 - 2028 - 2029 - 2030 - 2031 - 2032 - 2033 - 2034 - 2035 - 2036 - 2037 - 2038 - 2039 - 2040 - 2041 - 2042 - 2043 - 2044 - 2045 - 2046 - 2047 - 2048 - 2049 - 2050 - 2051 - 2052 - 2053 - 2054 - 2055 - 2056 - 2057 - 2058 - 2059 - 2060 - 2061 - 2062 - 2063 - 2064 - 2065 - 2066 - 2067 - 2068 - 2069 - 2070 - 2071 - 2072 - 2073 - 2074 - 2075 - 2076 - 2077 - 2078 - 2079 - 2080 - 2081 - 2082 - 2083 - 2084 - 2085 - 2086 - 2087 - 2088 - 2089 - 2090 - 2091 - 2092 - 2093 - 2094 - 2095 - 2096 - 2097 - 2098 - 2099 - 2100 - 2101 - 2102 - 2103 - 2104 - 2105 - 2106 - 2107 - 2108 - 2109 - 2110 - 2111 - 2112 - 2113 - 2114 - 2115 - 2116 - 2117 - 2118 - 2119 - 2120 - 2121 - 2122 - 2123 - 2124 - 2125 - 2126 - 2127 - 2128 - 2129 - 2130 - 2131 - 2132 - 2133 - 2134 - 2135 - 2136 - 2137 - 2138 - 2139 - 2140 - 2141 - 2142 - 2143 - 2144 - 2145 - 2146 - 2147 - 2148 - 2149 - 2150 - 2151 - 2152 - 2153 - 2154 - 2155 - 2156 - 2157 - 2158 - 2159 - 2160 - 2161 - 2162 - 2163 - 2164 - 2165 - 2166 - 2167 - 2168 - 2169 - 2170 - 2171 - 2172 - 2173 - 2174 - 2175 - 2176 - 2177 - 2178 - 2179 - 2180 - 2181 - 2182 - 2183 - 2184 - 2185 - 2186 - 2187 - 2188 - 2189 - 2190 - 2191 - 2192 - 2193 - 2194 - 2195 - 2196 - 2197 - 2198 - 2199 - 2200 - 2201 - 2202 - 2203 - 2204 - 2205 - 2206 - 2207 - 2208 - 2209 - 2210 - 2211 - 2212 - 2213 - 2214 - 2215 - 2216 - 2217 - 2218 - 2219 - 2220 - 2221 - 2222 - 2223 - 2224 - 2225 - 2226 - 2227 - 2228 - 2229 - 2230 - 2231 - 2232 - 2233 - 2234 - 2235 - 2236 - 2237 - 2238 - 2239 - 2240 - 2241 - 2242 - 2243 - 2244 - 2245

2. They were taken to Frank
to St. Charles and then, the well
known, of that day, a 1530 bar
was seen to which it is eligible
under section 50 of the draft for
Civil Service Code. This means
April 30, 11, 15. The members
will be brought home on the 27th.