1. Number of pages. 30
2. Date of repair. 25-7-7
3. Initials of Reference.

M.R.O. 1—82,400—22-11-20

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	307	Press / Mis.
Dated	1-5-	1872.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

P.

25-7-36

N° 43

Coimbatore Collector's office
Coimbatore 30th December 1871

From
A. Wedderburn Esquire
Collector of Coimbatore

To
W. Lodwick Esquire
Ag. Accountant General
Fort Saint George.

Sir

With reference to the accompanying copy of the Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 12th July 1871 No. 355, I have the honor to forward a register* of applicatio for pension on behalf of D. Streenivasa Rao, 5th English Accountant in my office. The only document forthcoming on the Joint Magistrates records, in connection with the charge brought against Streenivasa Rao in 1858, is the Calendar in Ca No. 45, copy of which is enclosed togethe with a copy of the Calendar in case No. of 1856 alluded to in the former.

* Applicant's resignation herewith forwarded

2. The applicant says that not being removed from his office, he did not appeal against the sentence passed in Case No 43 of 1856, for fear that, by doing so, he would incur the displeasure of his superior.

3. A copy of the Proceedings of the Sessions Judge of Coimbatore, dated 17th November 1858, reversing, on appeal, the sentence passed by the Joint Magistrate in Calendar Case No. 45 of 1858, was sent with my letter to Government dated 5th June 1871 No. 38. A translation of the extract from the Diary of the Session Judge is ~~also~~ enclosed.

4. All other papers connected with the two cases in question against the applicant, are reported to have been destroyed both in the Joint Magistrate's office, and in the Sessions Court, under the order of the High Court dated 18th February 1865 and 11th July 1870.

5. In the pay abstracts from 1st January 1831 to 10th January 1832, during which time the applicant was employed as Goomastah in the Chukragiry Talug, his name was entered as "Madura" instead of "Dharapuram" Sreenivasa Rao. The entry "Madura" is a mistake, and appears to have arisen from the fact of his having remained in Madura for some time.

6. Before the year 1858 the applicant has taken leave; but did not forfeit any pay on that account.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servant

Underwood
Asst. Collector for Collector

Accountant General's Office
Recd 2
Ansd 18 2

12886

Pension 1872 Department
No. 43 No. 337
Coimbatore
Collectors Office
Dated 30th December 1871
From
The Collector of Coimbatore
To
The Accountant General
Fort St. George
Recd. 17th Feby 1872 Enclosures 7

Forwards a register of application for pension in behalf of D. Sreenivasa Rao 5th Eng. Accountant in this Office.

To

A. Wedderburn Esquire
Collector of Coimbatore

The humble resignation of Dahrapooram Streenivasa Rao 5th English Accountant in the Huzoor.

Most respected Sir,

I entered the public service on the 1st July 1828. My service extends over a period of 42 years and I request that your honor will, in consideration of my long service, be pleased to get me a good service pension from the 1st of January 1872.

Ever since my appointment in the Government Service, I have, down to this time, discharged my duties with great care, diligence, honesty and uprightness.

Some of the former Native Officials being envious of my upright manners and honesty, and desiring to stain my character and to put me to troubles, trumped up a case against me in 1856 by instigating one Chinnappa Goundan of Dalavoipatnam to lodge a Complaint with the joint Magistrate to the effect that I had

A Wedderburn
Collector

taken bribes of him (Chinnapa Goundan) and by getting up the necessary witnesses in support of the false charge. Although during the trial by the joint Magistrate the case was not proved and although I by several grounds and by my witnesses proved that the charge was utterly false, the joint Magistrate, without considering the evidence I offered, sentenced me to a fine of Rs. 50. I did not appeal to the higher authorities from this sentence as I was not then removed from my office, and as I feared I should aggravate the anger of the joint Magte. who was my superior, if I would appeal against his order.

In 1858, the above said Native officials not contented with the fine I was afflicted with in the above case, and with intent to ruin me, devised another case to the effect that I had illegally kept in confinement one Veerakal of Samoodravalli and her husband, and the joint Magte. stated incorrectly in his Calendar, as his opinion, that the charge was proved as set forth in the Complaint and fined me Rs. 50 and discharged me from my office without taking the least notice of it that the case was not proved and that no opportunity for defence was given to me.

As I lost the appointment which I had long held under the Government and the duties of which I discharged with great care and honesty, all on a sudden and without sufficient cause I appealed to the Sessions Court and thereupon, the sentence of the joint Magte. was quashed, i.e. that the fine imposed was ordered to be refunded to me and that I got another appointment in the Government service.

Now that my service under Government is sufficiently long, I wish to retire. I have no property to live upon nor do I know any other profession to follow.

The only quarter, therefore, I can look up to, with a sanguine hope for my future support, is the generous Govt. and I most humbly and respectfully request that your honor will be —

R.

Collector

Government be pleased to recommend a good service pension being awarded to me.

I beg to remain
Most humble Ser.
Your Most Obdt Servant

2nd December
1871
Coimbatore

D. Sreenivasa Row
Accountant

En[illegible] in a letter from the
[illegible]imbatore to
[illegible] the Govt Madras

From
The 5th English
Accountant in the
Collector's Office
To
The Collector of
Coimbatore
Dated 2nd Decr 1871.

An application for
Superannuation Pension

Pension Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, dated 5th June 1871, No. 38.

Order thereon 12th July 1871 No. 355.

The case as placed before Government in the foregoing letter, certainly appears one for special treatment, and the Governor in Council will be prepared to recommend to the Government of India that the break be overlooked. A Register of application, accompanied by copies & translations of the most important documents on the Joint Magistrate's record, in connection with the charge, brought against Sreenivasa Rao in 1858, should be submitted to Government in the usual way.

(True extract)

(Signed) H. E. Stokes
Under Secretary

(True copy)

A. McWilliam
Collector

S.R.

Enclosure in a letter
from the Collector
of Coimbatore
to the Accountant
General, Madras
Dated 30th December
1871
No 43

Subject
Copy of the Proceedings of
the Madras Government
dated 17th July 1871 No 355
directing that a register of
applications, copies and
translations of the most
important documents on
the Joint Magte's record
in connexion with the
charge brought against
D. Streenivassa Rao in 1855
should be submitted to Govt
in the usual way.

13

43	Complainant, Chinnappa=gownden — Prisoner, Sreenevasrow, Peishcar of Dharapoorum Talook —	"Abuse of authority and extortion" The Prisoner is Charged with having on the 9th September 1856, in the village of Delavoy=patanum, Taluq Dharapoorum, in abuse of his authority as Sub Police officer, unlawfully demanded, and received 50 Rupees, from Complainant in order that he should not be hand=Cuffed, while kept in Custody on a Charge of assault —	18th October 1856	27th Do Do	28th Do Do	1 Kristna chary 2 Vellarow Chow 3 Sreenevas Morty Iyen 4 Gopaul Naik 5 Vettha Runga Naik 6 Beguroya Chary 7 Sreenevasa Iyen	The Charge is proved and prisoner fined Rupees 50, or in default 1 Months imprisonment in the Zillah Jail under Clause 2nd Section III Regulation III of 1819. Coimbatore 7th November 1856 } (Signed) M. J. Walhouse Joint Magte

(True Copy)

Collector

Enclosure in a Letter from the Collector of Coimbatore to the Accountant General Fort St. George

Dated 30th December 1858
No 43

Subject
Copy of the Calendar in Case No. 43 of 1856 on the file of the Joint Magistrate of Coimbatore

---

Translation

Proceedings of the Session Court of Coimbatore, dated 17th November 1858-

Petition No 75 of 1858-

Teroomaliah Vakeel for appellant Sreenivasa Rao having appeared, presented a petition stating that the Joint Magistrate convicted him (appellant) of the offence of having without cause placed the prosecutrix & her husband under confinement, and sentenced him to pay a fine of Rs 50, dismissing him further from this appointment, that this (sentence) is contrary to evidence, and that it was passed without the appellant's witnesses being examined, and praying that after a perusal of the Proceedings, the said sentence may be cancelled, the fine of 50 Rupees refunded, and the appellant ordered to be restored to his appointment.

The records were perused. The prosecutrix' witnesses having given contradictory statements & for the reasons stated in the extract from the Proceedings sent to the Magistrate, the Sessions Judge orders the refund of the fine imposed on the appellant.

The appellant's vakeel being present this order was made known to him.

(Signed) S. N. Ward
Sessions Judge

(True Copy)

Collector

Enclosure in a letter from
the Collector of Coimbatore
To The Accountant General
Fort St George
Dated 30th December
1871

No. 113

Subjects -
Copy of the Proceedings of
the Session Judge of Coim-
batore dated 17th Nov. 1858
reversing on appeal the
sentence passed by the
Joint Magistrate in Calen-
dar Case No. 115 of 1858

---

under the same Regulation is sentenced to pay a fine of Rs. 20,
that he ... September 1858. (signed) H. E. Sullivan
Ag Joint Magte

Calendar.

45. 1. Veerakal—
2. Periyanagounden—
Complainants—
1. Streenavassa Rao,
Police Ameen of
Cannavady—
2. Shaik Emaum
Police Peon—

Abuse of Authority—

The first Prisoner is charged with having some time in the month of February, in the village of Samoodravalish in the Taluq of Dharapooram, illegally and in abuse of his authority detained the Complainants, in custody, for two or three days, and with having directed the 2nd Prisoner under whose surveillance he had placed the complainants, forcibly to examine the private parts of the 1st Complainant, a married woman with a view to ascertain, if she had any wound on them.

Further with having illegally and in abuse of his authority in the village of Cannavady in the aforesaid Taluq again detained the complainants in custody for the space of three days, and with having publicly desired the 1st Complainant to take off her cloth in order that he might see if she had any wounds on her

26th March 1858

8th September 1858

8th September 1858

11th Do 1858

A
Under Section III Regulation III of 1819.
Chennimalagoundor — 1
Atheelandapooram
Chennemalagoundor — 2
Raikia goundor — 3
Parathavun — 4

Both Prisoners plead not guilty—

From the statement of the 1st Prisoner, it appears that he had received an intimation of robbery having been committed in the village in which the Complainants reside, and not satisfied with the Monegar's report that no such robbery had taken place, he went to the spot to investigate the matter in person—

The 1st Complainant, wife of the 2nd Complainant, deposes that some time in the month of February the Monegar (who lives in the principal village — about 8 miles off) came to her house, and enquired whether a gang robbery had been committed there. She replied in the negative. About 5 or 6 days afterwards, the 1st Prisoner came there and made the same enquiry — She gave him the same answer. He then gave her and her husband into the charge of the 2nd Prisoner, who kept them in custody at the back of their house preventing them going to their meals for three days. On the evening of the 3rd day, she asked permission to go into the house to drink some water. The 2nd Prisoner consented and went with her. When inside the house, he said to her that the Ameen had ordered him to examine her private parts, and to see if she had any wound there. She refused to submit to this indignity, and on his attempting forcibly to strip her, she cried out for

17

private parts -

The 2nd Prisoner is charged with having some time in the month of March in the village of Samoodravalish, when in charge of the Complainants forcibly attempted to examine the private parts of the 1st Complainant, a married woman -

---

assistance, and witnesses 1st 2nd & 3rd and two others came to the house. The 2nd Prisoner then let go of his hold and retreated to the door. Witnesses expostulated with him on his treatment of Complainant - 2nd Prisoner then took her again to the back of the house where her husband was. On the following day the Ameen took her and her husband and witnesses to Cunnevady, where they were kept under restraint for three days in front of his Cutcherry. On the 3rd day in the evening the Ameen called out to her from the Cutcherry and desired her to strip that he might see if she had any wound on her private parts - She said she would die first. The Ameen then gave her in charge to 2nd witness who went security for her -

The statement made by the 2nd Complainant corresponds with that made by the 1st, with the exception that being outside, he did not witness the insult offered to his wife by the 2nd Prisoner, he only heard of it from others. He states that the insult was offered on the 2nd day of their confinement; the woman mentioned the 3rd day, but considering the time that has elapsed, I am not inclined to take much count of this trifling discrepancy.

The three witnesses [illegible] testimony to the Complainants having been kept in [illegible] at Samoodravalish and Cunneevady, also to the indignity offered to the 1st Complainant by the 2nd Prisoner and to the insulting order given publicly by the 1st Prisoner to the woman at Cunneevady -

The evidence in this case I consider conclusive. The 1st Prisoner for some reason or other evidently wished to make it appear that a gang robbery had been committed in the village, and his object was to compel the Complainants to make a statement to this effect. Finding that simple confinement of the parties who ought not to have been kept under restraint for a single moment had not the desired effect he appears to have pursued a line of conduct towards the 1st Complainant, which must have been repugnant in the last degree to any female, much more so to the Complainant, who is a respectable married woman -

This case has been delayed owing to several other charges (which have been partially proved) having been brought against the 1st Prisoner which it was necessary to enquire into -

The 1st Prisoner was tried for extortion and abuse of authority by Mr Walhouse the Joint Magistrate, and sentenced by that officer on the 7th November 1856 to pay a fine of Rs 50 - - or in default to be imprisoned for one month in the Zillah Jail -

I now sentence him to pay a similar fine viz Rs 50 or in default to be imprisoned for one month under provisions of clause 2 Section III Regulation III of 1819, and taking his antecedents into consideration resolve to dismiss him from his situation.

The 2nd Prisoner under the same Regulation is sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 20, or in default to one months imprisonment and is also dismissed from his situation -

Coimbatore }
17th September 1858 }

16th September 1858

(Signed) H. E. Sullivan
Ag Joint Magte

(True copy)

Collector

or in default to the

(True copy)

Collector

Joint Magte

From,

The Collr. of Coimbatore

To,

The Accountt. Genl.,

Madras

No. 43 of 30th Decr. 1871 –

Copy of Calendar in Case No. 45 of 1858 on the File of the Joint Magistrate of Coimbatore –

Enclosure in a letter from
the Collector of Coimbatore
to the Accountant General
Fort St. George
Dated 30th Decr /71
No. 43. 23

Subject

Translation of an order
issued to the Tahsildar
of Dharapuram dated
21st April 1836 No. 306
by the Sub Collector of
Coimbatore

to make the necessary alter-

Translation of an Order issued to the Tahsildar of Dharapuram dated 21st Apri 1836 No. 306 by the Sub Coll of Coimbatore -

All the accounts of your Taluq used to be receive before those of the other Taluq It appears that the preparati of the accounts is now a in consequence of the negligen of Streenivasa Row Head Gumastah of the said Taluq who is therefore this day degraded to the post of 3rd Gumastah - The 3rd Gumasta is promoted to the appointment of 2nd Gumastah, who is appointe Head Gumastah in the room of Streenivasa Row - You are to make the necessary alter

disburse pay accordingly
ery month. Further, Streeni-
vasa Row has preferred a
tition to the Huzoor, bring-
g to notice certain irregu-
rities as committed with
e view of defrauding Govt.-
en should this fact be
roved, Streenivasa Row is
ll in the wrong for having
shed up the matter up to
his time, instead of bringing
t to notice immediately -
his is also a cause for his
reduction - The pay abstract
should be altered with effect
from 1st May -

(True Copy)

Signed/ J. W. Best
Ag. Sub Collector

Collector

27

Register of an application for Superannuation Pension under Pension Rules published under date 3rd May 1864, from the Establishment of the Collector *of* Coimbatore

1	Full name of Pensioner	Dharapuram Sreenivasa Rao			
2	Sect or caste	Mahratta Brahmin, Vishnu Religion			
3	Residence	Coimbatore			
4	Present post	5th English Accountant, Collector's office Coimbatore			
5	Date of commencement of service ...	1st July 1828			
6	Date of retirement from service ...	1st January 1872 } 43 - 6 - - 10 - 3 - 15 33 - 2 - 15			
7*	Abstract of the grounds of application. 1. Good service	8 - -			
	2. ~~Inability to serve longer~~ ...	„ 11 - 15			
	3. ~~Reduction of Establishment~~	„ 10 -			
		„ 6 - 10.3.15	Years.	Months.	Days.
8*	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances. 1. ~~Resigned the service from~~	~~to~~			
	2. †~~Reduction of Establishment from~~	~~to~~			
	3. ~~Suspended from~~	~~to~~			
	4. Dismissed for misconduct from	16th September 1858 to 31st August 1859	„	11	15
9	Total of service before 22 years of age		8	„	16
10	Total of service not in Pension grades		„	10	„
11	Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave...		„	6	„
		Grand Total...	9	4	„
12	Total period in Pension grades counted as service		33	2	18
13‡	Age of the Applicant at the time of this application...		57	6	„
14	Height		5	5½	
			Feet.	Inches.	
15	Descriptive marks— Prominent features - hollow cheeks from loss of back teeth - has a stoop - a burn scar on knuckle of 3rd finger of right hand.		Rs.	A.	P.
16	Average salary of past 5 years		22 23	1	4
17	Proposed amount of Pension		11	8	8
18	Date from which to commence ...	1st January 1872 ...			
19	Place of payment ...	...Dharapuram Taluq - Coimbatore District			

* *N. B.*—The reason of the Applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being left, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.

† It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the Applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year.
~~It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. A. P.~~
~~Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was~~
~~Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. A. P.~~
~~Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of 187~~ .

57 - 6
10 - 3 - 15

‡ I do hereby certify, that, to the best of my belief the Applicant's age above stated is correct.

Narasiah
Sheristadar

Statement of total period of Service.

Establishment.	Post.	Pay. Rs.	A.	P.	Period. From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.	Accountant-General or Controller of Military Accounts Remarks.
Collector of Coimbatore	Head Gumastah, Dharapuram Taluq	14	„	„	1st July 1828	31st December 1830	2	6	„	Verified from the records of this office.
	Do of Chekrasherry Taluq	14	„	„	1st January 1831	10th January 1832	1	„	10	
	Do of Dharapuram Taluq	14	„	„	11th January 1832	30th April 1836	4	3	21	
	Goomastah of Do I	12	4	„	1st May 1836	20th October 1836	„	5	20	
	Do of Kangheiam Taluq	12	4	„	21st October 1836	22d July 1842	5	9	2	
	Do of Do	14	„	„	23d July 1842	31st Do 1850	8	„	9	
	Ag. Peishcar Chickrasherry Taluq	17	8	„	1st August 1850	7th December 1852	2	4	7	The applicant does not appear to have drawn any acting allowance during the last 5 years of his service.
	Peishcar of Caroor Taluq	17	8	„	8th December 1852	7th August 1855	2	8	„	
	Do of Dharapuram Taluq	17	8	„	8th August 1855	15th September 1858	3	1	9	
	Huzur Duffadar II Collector's office	10	8	„	1st September 1859	30th June 1860	„	10	„	Ag Acctt Genl.
	Vernacular Accountant Collector's office	15	„	„	1st July 1860	25th November 1861	1	4	25	
	Do Do	20	„	„	26th November 1861	31st December 1865	4	1	5	
	English Do in Do	20	„	„	1st January 1866	30th November 1868	2	11	„	
	Do in Do	25	„	„	1st December 1868	31st December 1871	3	1	„	
						Total...	42	6	18	

	Years	Months	Days.			
Deduct service before 22 years of age	8	„	18			
Deduct service not in Pension grades	„	10	„			
Deduct leave	„	6	5	8	4	23
				9	4	„
Net period of Service...				33	2	18

34-1-25

[illegible] 302

Collector

Explanation of Column 8 with quotations of orders of reinstatement.	Explanation of any degradation.
Dismissed from the Service on the 16th September 1858, having been convicted of abuse of Authority; but having been acquitted of the charge, was re employed on 1st September 1859.	I. Degraded for negligence in the preparation of Taluq accounts, causing delay and for failure to report in proper time certain irregularities committed by others. Vide accompanying translation of a Takeed from the Sub Collector of Coimbatore to the Tahsildar of Dharapuram, dated 21st April 1836 No 306. II. On the 16th September 1858 Sreenivasa Rao was convicted of abuse of Authority and dismissed from the service. He was however subsequently acquitted of the charge by the Session Judge & was re-employed by Mr. Thomas then Collector on the 1st September 1859

Detail of leave on half pay and full stoppage of pay.

From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.
On Half pay.				
24th December 1857	7th January 1858	„	„	15
14th May 1867	31st August 1867 5th Septr	„	3	18
9th April 1868	5th June 1868	„	1	27
	Total of leave...	„	6	„

Remarks by the Head of the Office on past character and conduct of the Applicant throughout the service.

2 Deputy Collectors speak very favorably of his character and conduct, and a 3d also speaks well of him as having done his duties to his satisfaction. A 4th Deputy Collector mentions him as a very respectable man.

Coimbatore Collector's OFFICE,
The 30th December 1871.

W. J. Underwood
Asst Collector for Collector

No. 632
REPORT OF THE Accountant General

	Years.	Months.	Days.
Service qualifying for pension is proved for a Total period of	34 33	1 2	25 15
	Rs.	A.	P.
In the last 5 years of his service the average pay of the Applicant's permanent post was ...	25 23	1	4

Applicant is eligible to a retiring pension of Rupees 11. 8. 8 per mensem, equal to a moiety of his average salary during the last 5 years, under ~~Clause~~ Section 58 of the ~~Revised Pension Rules.~~ Civil Pension Code.

Ag Accountt General

Fort Saint George
Acctt General, OFFICE,
16th February 1872.

To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

Exd M

Pension 1872, Department.

No 338

From the Ag. Accountant General
Fort Saint George,

To the Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.
632

Dated 16 } February 1872.
Received 17 }

Enclosures.

Verifies the service

of D. Streenivassa Row
late 5 English Accountant
borne on the establishment of the Collr.
of Coimbatore.

With an order No 307.

Pension 1871, Department.

From the Collector
of Coimbatore

To the Accountant-General or Controller
of Military Accounts.
Fort St. George.

Dated 30th } December 1871.
Received }

Register of an application for Superannuation Pension in behalf.

of D. Streenivassa Rao
late 5th English Accountant
borne on the establishment of the Collector
of Coimbatore.

307
With an order No 307

Lost

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT 1st May 1872. No 307.

Under section 58 of the Civil Pension Code the Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Dharapuram Sreenevassa Rao late 3rd English Acctt. on the Estt. of the Collector of Coimbatore a retiring pension of Rs 11-8-8 per mensem being a moiety of his average salary for the last 5 years payable from the 1st January 1872.

Cal

To the Collector of Coimbatore
— " — Accountant General with Reg. for entry } Desd. 1/5/72

TMG.