1. Number of pages. 34
2. Date of repair. 12/1/82
3. Initials of Referencer

M.R.O. 1—32,400—22-11-30.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	102	Press Mis.
Dated	12 - 3 —	1872.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17/7/86

Extract from the Diary of the Civil Court of Combaconum dated 18th March 1851.

During the inspection of the Statements prepared under the orders of the Sudr Adawlut dated the 7th January 1850 to show the quantity of land belonging to Servants in Government Employ, the attention of the Civil Judge was drawn to the particular nature of the acquisition of the land in which Vasudeva Aiyan 2d Gumastah in the Court of the Moonsif of Papanassum represented himself as having an interest and as his conduct had come in an unfavorable light before the late Judge, who changed him to Papanassum from the Court of the Mufsil Sud Amin, some investigation into the circumstances was deemed necessary.

2. Land to the value of 324-12 is entered in the Statement as having been

been purchased between the years 1843 and 1847 besides a house and some land in Trichinopoly not entered in the statement purchased in 1840 and 1841 to the amount of 561 Rupees, thus making the total amount of property purchased by this family between 1840 and 1847 Rups 3807-12-.

3. Of this property to the amount of Rupees 787-8- was purchased in the name of the Gumastah's elder brother Kristnaiyen, Rupees 958-6 in the name of his brother in law Subbarayer, Rupees 245 in the name of one Strinivasaiyen and the remainder in the name of the Gumastah's mother Subbammal.

4. The whole of this property with the exception of 387-8 is represented to have been purchased by the Gumastah's mother out of her dower.—

5. Subbammal being called on by the Court to give an account of

of the means with which she purchased so much property stated that she inherited all her father's land which yielded a profit of about 200 cullums of paddy (equal to about 100 Rupees) a year, besides about 1000 Rupees in money, also about 500 Rupees for which she sold her jewels when her husband died and about 600 Rupees that she received on the marriage of her daughters of whom two were married about 35 years ago as appears from the deposition of her son in law.

6. The Court on referring to the deed executed by her father finds that he left her only $10^{M.}-17^{8}$ of land and the rest to his wife. This document is a final disposition of the testator's property and in it he expressly provides for the performance of his exequial rites

from the proceeds of his wifes Jewels, if therefore he had as Subbammal says left her 1000 Rupees (which is more than all the rest of his property was worth) it is most improbable that no mention should have been made of this large sum of money, and the Court is unable to give any credit to that part of her statement, more espicially as the whole income derivable from his land not being more than enough for the support of her fathers family, he could have had no surplus from which to amass so large an accumulation.—

7. The M. S. 10 – 17 thus acquired (in 1818) by Subbammal is stated to be capable of yielding about 110 Cullums of Paddy a year or about 55 Rups. and no increase was made to the family Estate until 1830, when upon the payment of

5C

of 119 Rupees her fathers widow resigned her share of the land to Subbammals two sons, Kristnaiyen and this Gumastah, and this is the original estate of the family and which may yield according to Subbammal about 100 Rupees a year from which about 1/4 must be deducted for the expenses of Cultivation &c.—

8. In 1837, Vasudeva Aiyen obtained the situation of Gumasta in the Mufti Sudr Aumins Court on 7 Rupees a Month, he was in September 1843 made Head Gumasta on Rupees 17 but was, by the late Judge transferred in 1844 to the Office of 2d Gumastah.

9. Kristnaiyen when required to account for the funds from which the land purchased in his name was paid for, explained that the land in Perumbandy

and

and Yadakudi was bought on the same day for 330 Rupees and that for the payment, he borrowed 85 Rupees of One Siva:gamy and that the rest of the money came from his and his brother's salaries, he being at that time a Gumastah in Trichinopoly and that the purchases were managed by his brother Vasu:deva Aiyen. But the Court finds on referring to Sivagamy's bond that the last transaction she had with Kristnaiyen was on the 14th February 1841 whereas this land was bought on the 29th May 1843, and therefore 85 Rupees were not borrowed from her towards the payment. Vasudeva Aiyen himself although he managed the purchase was unable to give any account of the funds with which the land was paid for.

10. In like manner the land

in

in the Trichinopoly District on two Bills of sale for 103-8 and 57-8 was purchased by Vasudeva Aiyen in the name of his brother and brotherinlaw, the money for the latter Bill. Vasudeva Aiyen says was partly his, and partly his brothers', but Kristnaiyen says he knows nothing about it, that for the former Bill they both say with some variation as to the nature of the purchase was their mothers.

11. Vasudeva Aiyen has filed two letters written to him by his brother in 1841, the object of which is to show that he him:self was in debt and that the family property was under the control of his mother; both he and his Brother also stated that the money expended for his marriage (about 200 Rupees)

was borrowed, but the only conclusion the Court draws from these facts is, first that the family were not then in such flourishing condition as they subsequently reached and 2nd that if Subbammal had been possessed of the large sums of ready money she talks of, there would have been no necessity to incur these debts.

12. The Court is called on to believe that although Subbammal had all this money since the year 1818 she felt no inducement to lay it out until the year 1840, between which and 1847 property to the large amount of 3807-12- was added to her small patrimony.

3. If it were true that all this land was bought out of Subbammal's own funds why was it not bought in one name instead of several & the Court can find only one solution, namely, that the fact of any member

of the family, known to have been previously without resources, suddenly becoming a large proprietor would immediatlly attract attention and arouse suspicion.

14. The Court therefore taking into consideration the fact that no addition was made to the small patrimonial estate for the period of 22 years; that three years after Vasudeva Iyen obtained a situatio property to the value of 3807-12- has been added to it in the short space of 7 years, that these purchases though said to have been from one source, were made in several names, that the accounts given of these transactions by the various members of the family differ widely, that the prevarications and falsehood of Subbammal and Subbarayer were of a most flagrant nature (the former was fined 100 and the latter

50 Rupees) and that the Character of Vasudeva Aiyen during that period was more than once assailed, cannot avoid coming to the conclusion that most of the money with which these lands were paid for, was corruptly acquired by himself and that the statement he has submitted to the effect that they were purchased out of his mother's dower is altogether false and therefore the Civil Judge resolves to dismiss him from the public service.

(Signed) S. Scott
Civil Judge

(True Copy)

F W Kindersley
Civil Judge

No: 147. 13C Tanjore 27th November 1871.

I James Macdonald Houston, Zillah Surgeon of Tanjore, do hereby certify that I have carefully examined Vassudevien, late 2nd Gumastah of the District Moonsiff's Court of Combaconum, that his age is by his own statement fifty six, and by appearance about the same and that I find him to be unfit for further service from loss of vision (depending on organic disease) old age and infirmity, not, in my opinion, attributable to intemperance or other irregular habits.

Houston Surgeon
Zillah Surgeon

Enclosure 1.

In a letter of the
Civil Judge of
Tanjore dated 2
December 1871.

Papers relating to
the application of
Vasudevien's gratuit

Pens. 86/72.

No. 1012.

From 15C No 8

Tanjore
2nd December 1871.

F. M. Kindersley Esquire
Civil Judge of
Tanjore.

To
The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir

In reference to the Offg. Assist. Accountant General's Memo of the 10th Instant I have the honor to state, as required in items No. 4 & 6, that the applicant's full name has now been given in the Register and that his services from 1st July 1840 down to 31st August 1862 are borne out by the records of the Court.

2. As to No. 9 it appears from the diaries of this Court that Vasudevaien was degraded on the 1st April 1844 for inattention to certain important duties which led to the stamp penalty on 2 documents not being levied ——— and that his transfer on 15th November 1856 as 5th Gumastah of the Valengaman Munsif's Court from the 2nd Gumastahship in the

DCE/

Trivady Court was made at his own request and to suit his own Convenience -

3. The necessary insertions have been made in item No. 11/12 of the Register and the Medical Certificate and Copies of the necessary orders required by items No. 25 & 27 are enclosed. I have to add that no copy of the Sudr Court's order directing applicant's restoration could be sent as the Correspondence books of previous years have all been destroyed in this Court.

4. As regards item 21 I forward herewith translation of a declaration× × also enclosed signed by the Applicant before me as to his services prior to July 1840, whereby it would appear that he is not in possession of a testimonial obtained from the then Head of the Office and that he could not even state whether any person employed contemporaneously with him is now alive.

5. Item 37. The Diaries of the late Zillah Court by which the Applicant should have been nominated as Gumastah of the Mufty's Court appear to have been destroyed. Those of this Court show that he was now and then transferred from one Court to another by virtue of the general powers possessed by this Court without any order from the late Sudr Court -

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt. Servt.

Civil Judge.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 4 12 1871
Ansd

From
The Civil Judge
of Tanjore
To
The Accountant
General
Madras

Dated 2nd December 1871
Received — do — do

1 Enclosure.

Embracing a document in evidence of objections made on the applica-tion of Vasudevaien for gratuity -

Pens. 88/72.

11874

Translate of a statement presented to the Civil Court of Tanjore by Vasudevaien late 2nd Gumastah of the Dist. Munsif's Court at Combaconum -

I have been directed by the Court to produce testimonials or other proofs of the period of my service which cannot be proved by the Official records, as the same are required by the Accountant General upon an application for gratuity which had been made on my behalf to the Government.

The period in question is the interval between December 1837 and July 1840. I have not obtained a testimonials at that period, nor am I able to say if any gumastah who was then employed with me is now alive.

I therefore positively declare that I was at that time a gumastah in Government service in the -

Mufty Sudr Amin's Court of Combaconum.

27th November 1871.

(Signed) Vassudevaien

Solemnly affirmed before me this 29th November 1871.

(Signed) F. M. Hindersley
Civil Judge

(True Translate)

Civil Judge.

Recd 8/5/72

2/C

உ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 18 [illegible]

1840 [illegible]

[illegible]

1871 [illegible]
[illegible] } வாசுதேவயன்

Solemnly affirmed before me this 29th November 1871

Civil Judge

Extract from the Diary of the Civil Court of Combaconum dated 16th December 1851.—

As directed by the Court of Sud Adalut the Civil Judge re-appoints Vasudeva Aiyen to the situation from which he was dismissed in the Papanasum Munsiff Court, but as the Civil Judge considers Vasudeva Aiyen to be quite unworthy of trust, the Munsif is instructed to employ him only in the most unimportant business of the Court.—

(Signed) L. Scott
Civil Judge

(True Copy)

Civil Judge

1 Register of application on behalf of late Gumasta Vencadaramen d/ 28th Oct/71

ENSION REPORT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
The 18 November 1871.

o

The Civil Judge
Tanjore

THE undersigned has the honor to return the papers connected with the

ension ....
ratuity... ....
ompassionate Allowance...
case noted in the margin, received with letter ~~Memo.~~

lated 28 Ultimo No. 925 for want of Nos. 4, 6, 9, 14, 2(25) 1/37

It is particularly requested that an EARLY reply may be sent, and that his Memo. be re-transmitted.

Offg Assistt to the
Accountant General.

1. Detail, with dates, of local posts. } Vide paras. 133, 134, 135, and 141, of Chapter III. of the
2. ,, ,, of pay. } Civil Auditor's Manual.
3. ,, of leaves enjoyed other than privilege or preparatory, during the whole period of Service, vide Government Notification, published at page 540, 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1863.
4. Full name in item 1 of the Register of application.
5. Certificate of age attested by Head of Department.
6. ,, that alleged services from 1st July /40 to 17th March /51 & from 16th Dec /51 to 31st August /62 are proved from the records.
7. ,, of past character, vide page 99, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1862.
8. Explanation of apparent breaks.
9. ,, ,, degradation on 1st May /44 & 15th November /56
10. Insertion of desired place of payment.
11. Printed form of application for under the new Rules published at page 631, of the 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
12. Printed form of application for gratuity under the old Rules, which can be had from the Superintendent of Stationery.
13. One Register (printed) of all applications for gratuity should be sent to this Department, as required under the recent Orders of Government, instead of the separate manuscript Registers for each individual, herewith returned.
14. Insertion in item ~~13~~ 11 & 12 of the Resgister of all descriptive marks agreeably to Notification published at page 802, of 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
15. Insertion in the Leave Statement, of the period of absence with loss of full and half pay, respectively, during the period of
16. Insertion in of the Register, of the proposed amount of Gratuity.
17. Information as to whether the applicant received any gratuity for past service in consequence of the abolition of his Office on the
if so, a Copy of the order sanctioning such gratuity must be furnished.
18. For deletion of Remarks at foot of the Register, if the applicant did not receive any gratuity.
19. For the deletion of grounds of retirement, &c., other than those required under N.B. at foot of the Register.
20. Discharge Certificate from the Superintendant of Police shewing the period of the applicant's employment in the new Police, and why his services were dispensed with.

tte of the 27 June, page 1062, dispensed with

21. Testimonials of character or Certificates given to applicant by the Head of an Office, or, (in the absence of such Certificates), an affidavit signed before the Head of the Department, in support of the applicant's service ~~from~~ as shown in the Gazette which cannot be proved from the Official records, and a statement of contemporary employés as required by the Notifications published at page 995, 2nd Volume of *Fort St. George Gazette* for 1868, and at page 1,576, 2nd Volume of do. for 1870.

22. Explanation of the cause of delay in submission of application until when the applicant appears from the statement of service to have ceased to do duty on the

23. Explanation why the applicant was retained in Government service from to when the Medical Certificate was dated vide Government Notification, published at pages 603 and 473, 1st and 2nd Volumes, respectively of the Official Gazette for 1866.

24. Explanation why the applicant was recommended in of the Register, for a gratuity of Rupees equal to months salary, when he is eligible to the gratuity of Rupees equal to months salary under the

Vide Inspector General's Circular, No. 1,517, dated 25th April 1868, Indian Medical Department.

Recd 8/7/72

25. Medical Certificate in prescribed form shewing the applicant's inability to serve longer.
   a. Vide Government Notifications, published at pages 740 and 632, Volume 1 of Gazette for 1858 and 1863, respectively.
   b. Agreeably to the Orders of Government, dated 14th June 1866, No. 224, as the applicant's service exclusive of the periods

      amounts to
   c. Vide Government Notification, published at page 705, 1st Volume, and page 450, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1865 and 1866, respectively.

26. Explanation why Medical Certificate is dated after the applicant ceased to do duty, instead of on, or before, his retirement from Government service.

27. Transmission of the True Copies of the order ~~of~~ under which the Applicant was dismissed & restored.

28. Translation of the orders of under which the applicant

29. Explanation as to whether the applicant applied for during his life, if so, when he applied, and when he died.

30. Explanation as to whether the applicant's heirs applied for the arrears of due to the applicant up to the date of decease, together with date of application for

31. Insertion in the 1st and 2nd sides of the Register, of the correct periods of years

    as pencilled, instead of years.

32. Insertion in the Register of application as to whether the applicant for Compassionate allowance has been employed since the date of the abolition of Office in , and whether holds any employment at present.

33. English Translation of affidavit to be given, on the back of the same (herewith returned), so as

34. Signature of the applicant, to be affixed at foot of affidavit in

35. Transmission of the Printed Memo. from this Department, dated as required therein, as the cannot be disposed of without it.

36. Insertion in Clause 3 of item No. 7 in the 1st side of the Register, of the date, and No. of the Order of Government, under which the applicant was thrown out of emply by reduction of Establishment.

37. Information whether the Applicant appears from the Diaries of the Court to have been nominated by the Judges of the late Zilla Court under the orders of the late Sudr Court as Gumasta in Moofty Sudr Amin's & Moonsiff's Courts at Combaconum & Papanasum & of do. A Copy of the late Sudr Court order should be sent.

No 925

2R

Tanjore
28th October 1871

From
F. M. Kindersley Esquire
Civil Judge of
Tanjore

To
The Honorable R. S. Ellis
Chief Secretary to Government
Judicial Department
Madras

Sir

I have the honor to forward, for the favorable consideration and orders of Government, an Application for gratuity on behalf of Vasudeva Aiyen 2d Gumastah on the Establishment of the District Munsif of Combaconum. He is now more than 56 years old and is not only incapacitated from infirmity to attend to his duties but has also lost the use of the eyes. I have therefore, under the Orders of the Government of India dated 15th June 1871 republished in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 27th June, page 1062, dispensed with

with his Services from the 19th Ultimo.—

2. His service in Government Employ extends over a period of more than 38 years, and he has served nearly 8 years in grades eligible for Pension, but there is nothing on record whereby his service before July 1840 can be scrutinized and certified.—

3. Although it appears that he was dismissed on the 18 March 1851 by the then Civil Judge for having rendered a false return of lands held by him as required by the Circular order of the late Sud. Court dated 7 January 1850 No. 116 A, he was on the 16th December following restored to his post under the orders of that Court passed in Appeal.—

4. Under these circumstances I beg to recommend that a gratuity of Rupees 84 being the amount of his present

Salary

292

Salary for 6 months may be granted him.—

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt. St

Civil Judge

REGISTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR GRATUITY UNDER PENSION RULES, PUBLISHED UNDER DATE 3RD MAY 1864, FROM THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE Civil Court OF Tanjore.

1	Full name of the Person by whom the Gratuity is applied for.	Vasudevan
2	Present post … … …	2d Gumastah of the District Munsif's Court of Combaconum
3	Date of commencement of service…	1st January 1832
4	Date of retirement of service …	19 September 1871.
5*	Abstract of the grounds of application. 1. Injured while in the execution of public duty. 2. Inability to serve longer. 3. Reduction of Establishment.	with reference to the Notification of the Govt. of India dated 15th June 1871 republished in the Fort St George Gazette of the 27 June Page 1062.

		Years.	Months.	Days.
6*	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances. 1. Resigned the service from to			
	2.† Reduction of Establishment from to			
	3. Suspended from to			
	4. Dismissed for misconduct from 18th March to 15th Decr 1851	"	8	28
7	Total of service before 22 years of age …	5	"	"
8	Total of service not in Pension grades …	24	11	1
9	Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave …	1	2	12
	Grand Total…	31	1	13
10	Total period counted as service …	7	10	7
11‡	Age of the applicant at the time of this application…	56		

11½ Descriptive marks.— Age 56. Height 5 feet & 5½ inches Complexion Light Bamboo. A mole in right eye brow. A mole & a dark stain on right Cheek. Cataract of right eye. Opacity of left eye ball. A black mole behind right ear. A scar on right hip joint. A wart on the left side of the nose close to left eye.

		Rs.	A.	P.
12	Salary per mensem at the time of application …	14	"	"
13	Proposed amount of Gratuity …	84	"	"

* N. B.—The reason of the applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being left, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.

† It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year.
It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. As. P.
Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was
Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. As. P.
Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of 186 .

‡ I do hereby certify, that to the best of my belief the applicant's age above stated is correct.

S. Srinivasiah
Sheristadar

Pension 1871 Department
No. 9843.
From
The Civil Judge of Tanjore
To
The Chief Secy to Govt
Madras
Dated 28th Octr 1871
Recd 2nd Nov 1871
1 Enclosure

Submitting an application for gratuity on behalf of Vasudevan 2d Gumastah of the District Munsif's Court of Combaconum

Referred to the Acct. General for the usual verification & report

H. C. Stokes
Under Secretary

Fort Saint George
2d November 1871
To
The Accountant General.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 3 11 1871
Ansd

10526

Statement of total period of Service.

Establishment.	Post.	Pay. Rs.	A.	P.	Period. From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.	Accountant General or Controller of Military Account's Remarks.
Mufty and Ameen Court of Combaconum	4th Gumastah	5	"	"	1st January 1832	30th Novr 1837	5	11	"	Cannot be verified
Do. Do.	3d Do.	7	"	"	1st Decr 1837	31st January 1839	1	2	"	
Do. Do.	2d Do.	8	"	"	1st Feby 1839	15 Sept 1843	4	7	15	
Do. Do.	Head Do.	17	"	"	16 Septr 1843	31st March 30 April 1844	"	6 7	15	Check
Do. Do.	2d Do.	8	"	"	1st April May 1844	15th March 1847	2	11 10	15	Verified partly from the records of the Civil Court and supported partly by the applicant's affidavit - but this Service does not count for superior pension -
Paupanassum Mts Court	2d Do.	8	"	"	16th March 1847	17th March 1851	4	"	1	
Do. Do.	2d Do.	8	"	"	16 Decr 1851	30 June 1853	1	6	15	
Trivady Do.	2d Do.	8	"	"	1st July 1853	14 Novr 1856	3	4	14	
Valangaman Do.	5th Do.	6	"	"	15 Novr 1856	30 May 1857	"	6	16	
Do. Do.	4th Do.	7	"	"	1st June 1857	28 Feby 1860	2	9	"	
Combaconum Do.	3d Do.	8	"	"	1 March 1860	31st Augt 1862	2	6	"	
Do. Do.	3d Do.	11	"	"	1st Septr 1862	31st 30 Augt 1863	1	"	"	Verified from the records of this Office - The applicant does not appear to have drawn any acting allowance when he retired from Service
Do. Do.	Actg 2d Do.	14	"	"	1st Septr 1863	29 April 1864	"	7	29	
Do. Do.	2d Do.	14	"	"	30 April 1864	18 Septr 1871	7	4	19 20	
							7	"	18	
							1	2	12	
						=	7	10	6	
						Total......	38	11	20	

1st asst to the Acctt Genl

	Years. Months. Day			
Deduct service before 22 years of age	5 — " — "	"	"	"
Deduct service not in pension grades	24 — 11 — 1	"	"	"
Deduct leave	1 — 2 — 12	31	1	13
Net period of Service......		7	10	7

Explanation of Column 6 with quotations of orders of reinstatement.	Explanation of any degradation.
	332

Detail of leave on half pay and full stoppage of pay.

From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.
10 July 1865	21 July 1865	"	"	12
1st July 1870	31st May 1871	"	11	"
19th June 1871	18th Septr 1871	"	3	"
Total of leave...		1	2	12

Remarks by the Head of the Office on past character and conduct of the applicant throughout the service.

The Moonsif reports that there appears nothing on record against his character and that he holds good testimonials from his official superiors.

Tanjore Civil Court Office,
The 28th October 1871.

Civil Judge

No. 524
REPORT OF THE Accountant General -

Years. Months. Days.

Service qualifying for superior pension is proved for a total period of... ... ... 7 - 10 - 6

Applicant is eligible to a superannuation gratuity of Rupees 98 - equal to 7 months' salary under ~~Clause~~ Section 57 of the ~~Revised Pension Rules~~. Civil Pension Code -

1st asst to the Acct General

Fort St George
Acct Genls Office,
27th January 1872.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

E. M.

PENSION 1872, DEPARTMENT.

No. 85.

From the Offg. Assistant to the Acctt. Genl.
Fort Saint George,

To the Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

524.

Dated 27 } January 1872
Received 29 }

Enclosures

Verifies the service
of C. Vasudevien
late 2d Gumastah
borne on the establishment of the Distt.
Munsiff's Court of
Combaconum.

54

With an order No. 102

PENSION 186 , DEPARTMENT.

From the

To the Accountant General or Controller
of Military Accounts.

Dated }
Received } 186 .

Register of an application for Gratuity in behalf
of
late
borne on the establishment of the

Pens 843/71

List

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT 12 March 1872 No. 102.

Under Section 57 of the Civil Pension Code the Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Combaconum Vasudavien late 2d Gumastah of the District Munsiffs Court of Combaconum a gratuity of Seven months pay or R(98) ninety eighty for his superior Service amounting to yrs. 7-10-6.-

29/3

To the Civil Judge of Tanjore } Desd. 14/3.
— " — Accountant General }
TMG.