

1. Number of pages. *26*
2. Date of repair. *7-7-36*
3. Initials of Reference *7-7-36*

M.R.O. 1-22,400-22-11-30.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<i>336.</i>	~~Press~~ Mis.
Dated	<i>6-7-1871</i>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

7-7-36

3-15
Memor 21/7/6/91

H. S. L.

to present the whole
of the material with reference
to the application for
remission to D. Starnin
Now let the Indian
Stands to report
fully regarding the two
instances in which I
and I respect the
application to the P.
of the, ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~from~~ ^{from}
copy of the Correspondence

Sty

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 13th December 1870.

Read the following letters:—

From T. A. N. CHASE, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 12th November 1870, No. 384.

I HAVE the honour to submit the following report regarding Deshapondia Narrain Row, at present the Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate of the Nandikotkur Talook.

2. In 1866 he acted as Tahsildar of the Ramalkota Talook, and when Pattikonda became vacant I recommended him to the vacant appointment, the pay of which is Rupees 175 a month.
Board's Proceedings, dated 8th April 1867, No. 2,211.

3. Soon after his confirmation as Tahsildar in that talook it was brought to my notice, that when he acted as Tahsildar of Ramalkota he had behaved most improperly regarding the succession to some Inam land which he had actually taken into his own possession, on the plea that his relative Kistama was the real heir. I called on him for a statement, which was very unsatisfactory, but as he had just been confirmed, and as I hoped he would have been most careful in consequence regarding his work in future, I did not think it necessary to bring the matter to the notice of the Board, and wrote to him that I regretted I had recommended him for the appointment, which I never should have done had I previously known how he had acted in this Inam case in Ramalkota.

4. When Ramachendra Row, lately of the Nandikotkur Talook, did not give satisfaction there I transferred him to Pattikonda, and appointed Deshapondia Narrain Row to Nandikotkur, not that I wished that the latter should benefit by the change, but that I might show my dissatisfaction with Ramachendra Row, and these were the only transfers I had authority to make because the pay of each appointment is the same, namely Rupees 175, and these are the only talooks in which the Tahsildars are paid the same salary. However, since Deshapondia Narrain Row has held the appointment of Tahsildar in the Nandikotkur Talook he has not given satisfaction, and in some Magisterial cases, which I shall detail below, his conduct is such as to require severe notice being taken of it.

5. I shall, therefore, now report regarding the Inam case in the Ramalkota Talook.

6. In 1866 a Brahmin named Appaya, of the village of Nursapur, died, leaving his only sister Venkatama in possession of all his lands and his dwelling house. The lands were enfranchised Inams. A petition was sent to Mr. Pochin by the daughter's daughter of the deceased, with the request that the lands might be divided between petitioner, her sister, and one Kistama. Mr. Pochin declined to interfere, and referred the parties to the Civil Court.

An arrangement, got up by the Tahsildar Deshapondia Narrain Row, was made by which the two above-mentioned grand-daughters, Pedda Lachmibhye and Chinna Lachmibhye, and Kistama divided the lands among them. This put wet land, Kandala Nagama Madi, the best in the Inam, and other personal Inams in Bryanapilly in possession of Kistama. This left the sister, who had lived thirty-five years with Appaya, deceased, entirely out. The Tahsildar wrote again to the Collector, stating that an amicable arrangement had been arrived at, and asked that it might be sanctioned. This Mr. Pochin refused to do, and referred the parties to the Court in his Order, No. 448, dated 28th November; but before this order was received the Tahsildar, Deshapondia Narrain Row, issued a Takeed A., putting the parties in possession.

7. This Kistama, it is necessary to remark, never claimed the lands in question either in person, by Vakeel, or by petition, and was not known at Ramalkota or Nursapur, and was supposed to reside at Kalwa. Deshapondia Narrain Row received three marcals of the crop of Kandala Nagama Madi from Yarra Reddy, who cultivated it, and this produce was fetched in a cart sent by Deshapondia Narrain Row, the Tahsildar.

8. In April 1867 Deshapondia Narrain Row wrote a most illegal order to the village authorities of Nursapur, directing grain to be given to toddy people for date-twigs and fibre, and to send to his office those who neglected to do so. Translation marked B.

9. After Deshapondia Narrain Row was appointed to Pattikonda I wrote to Deputy Collector Timmappa, the Division officer, to take his statement of the subject, and I now forward a translation of it, C. In that he says Kistama is the wife of Tirumal Row, my grand-father's brother, and son of Appaya's aunt, and that on her death her property would become his, (D. Narrain Row's).

10. There is no doubt that Kistama never claimed, and that Deshapondia Narrain Row took possession of a third of the Inam of the deceased in her name, with the intention of misappropriating it himself.

The daughter's daughters would claim before Kistama, (in another part of the deposition stated to be,) the wife of Appaya's aunt's son, but their claim as legal heirs has not been put forward when I gave notice that the lands would escheat to Government if legal heirs did not put forward their claims within six months.

11. The Magisterial cases were reported on by Mr. Turner, Assistant Magistrate in charge of the Nandikotkur Talook.

12. In Case No. 79 on the Sub-Magistrate's file Sunjiva Reddy, and Hussein Reddy and others were brought up for trial for rioting on the 5th September, when some inquiry was made, and the case was adjourned until the 12th. The defendants Venkat Reddy, Lutchmana, and Husseingadu gave bail of 100 Rupees each to appear on the 8th September, or any other day on which they might be called, and Sunjiva Reddy and Hussein Reddy gave 100 Rupees bail each to appear on the 7th September, or any other day on which they might be called. There were two sureties of 100 Rupees each.

I may here notice on the extraordinary form of the bail bond, and then on the fact of some of the defendants in the same case being bound to appear on the 7th and some on the 8th, while the hearing of the case was adjourned till the 12th. On the 9th the Sub-Magistrate suddenly called up the case, (adjourned till the 12th,) and as Venkat Reddy, Lutchmana, and Husseingadu did not answer a threat was made that their recognizances would be escheated. On the 10th some inquiry was made and again on the 12th, when the hearing was adjourned till the 20th; the witnesses for the defence being summoned for the 16th. The 1st witness for the defence after giving his evidence was accused by the complainant of insulting him with the intention of provoking a breach of the peace. The Sub-Magistrate immediately tried him and found him guilty on the evidence of the 2nd witness for the defence (Case No. 85) and a Talook Peon. This effectually prevented any other witnesses coming forward for the defence. Case No. 79 was then decided, and the defendants were fined 200 Rupees each, the 2nd being imprisoned in addition for eight days. On the 22nd the defendants applied for a copy of the record in order to appeal, which was given on the 23rd; but on this same date the Police Inspector Mootoosawmy charged the defendants Sunjiva Reddy, Hussein Reddy, and Venkat Reddy for resistance to a Police Officer in execution of his duty, under Section 47 of the Police Act, on the 2nd September. This charge was brought to prevent the defendants appealing, and the Sub-Magistrate agreed to give a light punishment if they would give up the copy of the record. They restored the copy and the Sub-Magistrate tore it across. However, the defendants persisted in their appeal, and forwarded it, accompanied with the torn copy of the record; then on the 24th their recognizances, which the Sub-Magistrate had threatened to escheat on the 9th, were forfeited; this was Case No. 86.

13. Throughout the record there are dates which have been changed. In a summons to two witnesses a 12th has been altered to a 7th, and in a summons to 6th defendant Naganna there is an alteration to the 7th or 17th, which does not agree with the record which makes him present on the 10th.

14. Mr. Turner upset the cases in appeal, but he states as his opinion that the Sub-Magistrate had shown "animus" against the defendants, and that he and the Police Inspector had allowed themselves to be partizans in a village quarrel. He does not believe any rioting took place. On a comparison of the different diaries and report, and the record in appeal, he believes No. 85 was brought forward to intimidate the witnesses for the defence, and prevent others coming forward on behalf of the defendants, and that No. 86 was brought to prevent the defendants from appealing.

15. These are my two cases, one Revenue and one Magisterial, which I have felt it my duty to bring to the notice of the Board against Deshapondia Narrain Row. In both of these there is displayed an abuse of authority, in the Revenue case an attempt was made to get unlawful possession of Inam land, and in the Magisterial power was repeatedly abused in intimidating the defence witnesses, in threatening to escheat bail, in calling in the parties who gave bail on a date prior to the date to which the hearing had been adjourned, and in endeavouring to prevent an appeal against his decision; nor is Deshapondia Narrain Row a good Government servant in any other way. He is not active, and this year, though repeatedly told to make the Income Tax assessments, did not do so till quite the end of September.

16. I am unable to recommend his change to another talook in this district, and as I have entirely lost all confidence in him, and I do not think it would be just on other Collectors to suggest his removal to another district, I leave his case in the hands of the Board to award him such punishment as they may deem fit.

17. Nandikotkur Talook requires a very good Tahsildar, for the chief portion of the irrigation canal lies in it, and during the next few years should the canal supply the water a great change may be expected, which will require much steady care and watching on the part of active and honest Revenue officials.

ENCLOSURE No. 1, A.

ABSTRACT.—Translation of Takeed issued by Narayana Row, Tahsildar in charge of Ramalkota Talook, to the Village authorities of Nursapur, dated 28th November 1866, No. 1,470.

B.

ABSTRACT.—Takeed issued by Narayana Row, Tahsildar of Ramalkota Talook, to the Village authorities of Nursapuram, dated 1st April 1867.

C.

ABSTRACT.—Deposition given by Deshapondia Narrain Row, Tahsildar of Pattikonda.

From the same Officer, dated 19th November 1870, No. 394.

With reference to my letter, No. 384, dated 12th November 1870, regarding Deshapondia Narrain Row, I have the honour to inform you that he has come into Kurnool sick and appeared, according to my direction, before a Medical Committee, composed of Dr. Williamson, 19th Regiment, N. I., and Civil Surgeon Dr. Arnold, and has obtained a certificate, declaring him incapacitated for further service.

2. I shall do myself the honour of forwarding to the Accountant-General Narrain Row's list of service and application for pension as soon as I am in a position to do so.

From the same Officer, dated 19th November 1870, No. 395.

As D. Narrain Row, Tahsildar of the Nandikotkur Talook, has been declared by a Medical Committee incapacitated for further service—*vide* my letter, dated 19th November 1870, No. 394—it is necessary to fill up the vacancy in that Talook, the pay of which is Rupees 175.

2. I beg to call the attention of the Board to Proceedings, dated 21st May 1870, No. 3,481, in which several changes were sanctioned. These changes, however, could not be carried out because Ramachendra Row, at present Tahsildar of Pattikonda, did not avail himself of any leave.

3. I have the honour to request the Board to sanction the transfer of Kanchi Kristna Row, at present Tahsildar of Cumbum on 150 Rupees, to the Nandikotkur Talook, and to appoint Vada-ghiry Moodelly, at present Sub-Magistrate of Kurnool, as Tahsildar of Cumbum.

4. As the characters of these two officials were given in my former letter noticed above it is unnecessary to mention anything further regarding them beyond that they have continued up to this time to merit the good opinion I have given of them.

5. It is immediately necessary now to put some one in charge of the Nandikotkur Talook, for which the present Sheristadar is unfit. I have, therefore, directed Vada-ghiry Moodelly at once to go there, as he is the person more properly qualified for the post, and I request the Board will sanction this arrangement as a temporary measure, till the changes recommended above may be approved of.

NARRAIN Row having retired from the service the Board deem it unnecessary to pass orders on the first letter above recorded. They observe, however, in the first place that it was a grave error to pass over so lightly Narrain Row's misconduct whilst acting as Tahsildar of Ramalkota, and in the second place that when requesting the Board to punish Narrain Row the Collector should have made some definite recommendation as to the punishment which he considered adequate.

2. The arrangements proposed in the third letter are approved.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool.

Copy to the Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

1870.

BOARD OF REVENUE,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 13TH DECEMBER 1870, No. 7,094.

Received

Encl.

DECEMBER.

No. 7,094.

Narrain Row, Tahsildar of Nandikotkur—in Kurnool District.—Collector informed that no orders are necessary on his report of misconduct of, in certain instances, as that officer has since retired from service.—Arrangements proposed by Collector for filling up Narrain Row's post, approved.

No 199. *Tumac Collector's Office*
12th June 1871
Sir,
I have the honor to forward
the accompanying
copy of Board's Proceedings
No 7094 dated 13th December
1870, containing my report
on the two instances referred
to in the application for pen-
sion to D. Narain Row, late
Tahsildar of the Nandikotkur
Taluk, together with the orders
of the Board thereon.
I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your obedient servant.
J. C. C.
Collector

7th Dec 1871
 The Collector
 The Madras District
 to Government
 No. 1084. (C. 1084)
 Fort St. George
 Dated 15th June 1871.
 Received 15th June 1871.
 No. 199
 Enclosure
 Forwarding copy of Book
 No. 1084 dated 15th Dec
 1871 regarding D. Chavara
 Rao - Late District
 of South Arcot District
 N. B. 1036.

1st Dec 1871
 Pension for D. Chavara Rao
 11/16

X View of acting ap-
 pointments, and the
 pay is attached thereto.
 Detail also of entirely
 vacant appointments
 in which the applicant
 was acting, and was
 subsequently confirm-
 ed with retrospective
 effect, and the pay
 of such appointments

PENSION REPORT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
 Accountant General's Office,
 The 29th March 1871.

To
 The Collector of
 Madras

The Undersigned has the honor to return the papers connected with the
 Pension..... } case noted in the Margin, received with letter
 Gratuity..... } Memo.
 Compassionate Allowance..... }
 on the 20th Dec 70 for want of Nos. 1 and 2

It is particularly requested that an EARLY reply may be sent, and that
 this Memo. be re-transmitted.

Signed
 Off. Asst. to the Asst. Genl.
 Examiner of Claims.

1. Detail, with dates, of local posts. permanent 133 134 135 and 141, of chapter II of the Civil Auditor's Manual. from 1 Nov 1865
 2. " " of pay.
 3. " of leaves enjoyed other than Privilege or preparatory, during the whole period of Service, vide Government Notification, published at page 510, 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1863.
 4. Full name in item 1 of the Register of application.
 5. Certificate of age attested by Head of Department.
 6. " that alleged services from to are proved from the records.
 7. " of past character, vide page 93, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1862.
 8. Explanation of apparent breaks.
 9. " " degradation.
 10. Insertion of desired place of payment.
 11. Printed form of application for under the new Rules published at page 631, of the 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
 12. Printed form of application for gratuity under the old Rules, which can be had from the Superintendent of Stationery.
 13. One Register (printed) of all applications for gratuity should be sent to this Department, as required under the recent Orders of Government, instead of the separate manuscript Registers for each individual, herewith returned.
 14. Insertion in item 15 of the Register of all descriptive marks agreeably to Notification published at page 802, of 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
 15. Insertion in the Leave Statement, of the period of absence with loss of full and half pay, respectively, during the period of
 16. Insertion (in red ink) in the detailed Statement of service, of the period during which the applicant without employ by
 17. Insertion in of the Register, of the proposed amount of Gratuity
 18. Information as to whether the applicant received any gratuity for past service in consequence of the abolition of his Office on the if so, a Copy of the order sanctioning such gratuity must be furnished.
 19. For deletion of Remarks at foot of the Register, if the applicant did not receive any gratuity. For the deletion of grounds of retirement, &c., other than those required under N. B. at foot of the Register.
- Discharge Certificate from the Superintendent of Police shewing the period of the applicant's employment in the new Police, and why his services were dispensed with.

Vide Inspector General's Circular
No. 1517, dated 25th April 1868,
Indian Medical Department.

22. Testimonials of character or Certificates given to applicant by the Head of an Office, or, (in the absence of such Certificates,) an affidavit signed before a Magistrate, in support of the applicant's service from to which cannot be proved from the Official records.
23. Explanation of the cause of delay in submission of application until when the applicant appears from the statement of service to have ceased to do duty on the
24. Explanation why the applicant was retained in Government service from to when the Medical Certificate was dated vide Government Notification, published at pages 603 and 473, 1st and 2nd Volumes, respectively of the Official Gazette for 1866.
25. Explanation why the applicant was recommended in Register, for a gratuity of Rupees equal to months salary, when he is eligible to the gratuity of Rupees equal to months salary under the

26. Medical Certificate in prescribed form shewing the applicant's inability to serve longer.
- a. Vide Government Notifications, published at page 740 and 632, Volume 1 of Gazette for 1858 and 1863, respectively.
- b. Agreeably to the Orders of Government, dated 14th June 1866, No. 224, as the applicant's service exclusive of the periods

- amounts to
- c. Vide Government Notification, published at page 703, 1st Volume, and page 450, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1865 and 1866, respectively.

27. Explanation why Medical Certificate is dated after the applicant ceased to do duty, instead of on, or before, his retirement from Government service.

28. Transmission of the true Copy of the order of

29. Translation of the orders of applicant under which the applicant as the cause of should be shewn in this Office report to Government.

30. Explanation as to whether the applicant applied for during his life, if so, when he applied, and when he died.

31. Explanation as to whether the applicant's heirs applied for the arrears of due to the applicant up to the date of decease, together with date of application for

32. Insertion in the 1st and 2nd sides of the Register, of the correct periods of years

as pencilled, instead of years.

33. Insertion in the Register of application as to whether the applicant for Compassionate allowance has been employed since the date of the abolition of Office in , and whether holds any employment at present.

34. English Translation of affidavit to be given, on the back of the same (herewith returned,) so as to enable this Department to report its tenor to Government.

35. Signature of the applicant, to be affixed at foot of affidavit in

36. Transmission of the Printed Memo, from this Department dated as required therein, as the cannot be disposed of without it.

37. Insertion in Clause 3 of item No. 7 in the 1st side of the Register, of the date, and No. of the Order of Government, under which the applicant was thrown out of employ by reduction of Establishment.

38. For deletion of the applicant's service of years before 22 years of age in item No. 9 of the 1st side, and at foot of the 2nd side of the Register, as the entire service rendered by up to

are required to be shewn in the case of Compassionate allowance.

By Lt. Col. J. H. C. 9th Dec 1868
1st November 1865 to 29th April 1866 *Chuzir Varnanar Head 11/2 70-0-0 0-5-29 ✓*
5th April 1866 to 14th April 1867 *Shriatadhar of Ramal Pata 60-0-0 0-11-16 ✓*
15th April 1867 to 30th April 1870 *Lakshadhar of Patti Kondai 175-0-0 3-0-16 ✓*
1st May 1870 to 19th November 1870 *De of Standi Patti 175-0-0 0-6-19 ✓*

16th 270 1/2
From
T. A. A. phase Equive
Collector of Ramool.

To
The N. Assistant General
Fort Saint George

Sir,
In reply to your official
Memo No. 500 dated 29th March 1871 (revenue
returned); I have the honor to inform you
that De. Varnanar-Pati held the permanent
posts noted in the margin from 1st
Nov 1865. He held the acting appointment
of Tahildar of Ramal Pata, on
200 Rupees, from 6th November 1866 to 15th April
1867, which was vacant and was on the
latter date confirmed as Tahildar
of Patti Kondai on 175 Rupees.

2. The average salary of the past
5 years was calculated on permanent

pay
DLK
and is proved from the records.
Collector

pay only, and no portion of Acting
allowance has been reckoned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.

R. Quake

Accepted



h.R.

Recd 3/9/71.

1871 Department
From the Secretary
I have the honor to
acknowledge the receipt
of your letter of the 5th of February.
In answer to the same
I enclose one
of the copies of the
Circular of the 2nd of January
last, which contains
information relative to
the same.
Yours faithfully,
R. Quake

We do hereby Certify that
we have examined Pethapondia
Narsain Row Achudkar of the
diocese of Bombay that his age is
by his own statement 49 and by
appearance about 50 and that
we find him to be unfit for
further service from old age and
infirmity not in our opinion
attributable to intemperance
or other irregular habits.

President

R. Quake Civil Surgeon
Member.

Williamson Esq.

Asst. Surgeon

Turnout 19th Regiment 1870
19th November 1870

Recd 3/9/71.

I do hereby certify, that to the best of my belief the applicant's age above stated is correct. and that

REGISTER of an application for Superannuation Pension under Pension Rules
published under date 3rd May 1864, from the Establishment of
the *Collectorate of Tumacac*

1	Full name of Pensioner...	<i>Leopoldo da Parana B. B.</i>		
2	Sect or caste...	<i>Indo-Portuguese</i>		
3	Residence ...	<i>Tumacac</i>		
4	Present post ...	<i>Subaltern of a Cavalry Troop</i>		
5	Date of commencement of service...	<i>1st October 1857</i>		
6	Date of retirement from service ...	<i>20th November 1870</i>		
7*	Abstract of the grounds of application.	1. Good service -- ... 2. Inability to serve longer ... <i>Old age and infirmity as per medical certificate dated 19th November 1870 attached</i> 3. Reduction of Establishment		
8*	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances.	1. Resigned the service from ... to ... 2.† Reduction of Establishment from ... to ... 3. Suspended from ... to ... 4. Dismissed for misconduct from ... to ...		
9	Total of service before 22 years of age ...	<i>5</i> — — — — —		
10	Total of service not in Pension grades ...	<i>11</i> — — — — —		
11	Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave ...	<i>1</i> — — — — —		
	Grand Total...	<i>17</i> — — — — —		
12	Total period in Pension grades counted as service ...	<i>25</i> — — — — —		
13†	Age of the applicant at the time of this application ...	<i>45</i> — — — — —		
14	Height ...	<i>5</i> — — — — —		
15	Descriptive marks.—	<i>A round burn mark below the left knee a scar on the right side of the belly white (leprosy) marks on the palm of right hand. A large wart on the right leg.</i>		
16	Average salary of past 5 years ...	<i>125</i> — — — — —		
17	Proposed amount of Pension...	<i>71</i> — — — — —		
18	Date from which to commence ...	<i>20th November 1870</i>		
19	Place of payment ...	<i>Tumacac</i>		

* N. B.—The reason of the applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being left, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.

† It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year ...
It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. ...
Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was ...
Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. ...
Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of ...

* Acting allowances have not been retained in calculation

I do hereby certify, that to the best of my belief the applicant's age above stated is correct, and that his alleged service is proved from the records.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 20
Ans'd 12 1870

[Signature]

Collector of T. 2nd Series.

* Was transferred to Christiana as he did not understand the English language.

Fort Saint George }
Accountant General's Office, }
10th May 1871. }
To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

19/8
Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MARDAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter *par pass*

The Collr. of Revenue of 17 Dec. 70, No. 1150 -
Report of the Accountant Genl. of 11 May 71 No. 43
from Collr. of Revenue - dated 12 June 1871 No. 199

Order thereon 6th July 1871. No. 336.

Desajundya Narayana
Ranghate Sahibdar of Kandikot
- Now in the Revenue Dist, has
served for 31 yrs. 1 m. 2 ds, of which,
however, only 26 yrs. 0 m. 0 d. count
for pension. He was invalided
on the 19th Nov. 1870. He is eligible
to a maximum pension of Rs. 71-
12-0 per annum -

2. In the register,
the Collr. remarked that except
in two instances, in which he had
to

J. H.

PENSION 1861 DEPARTMENT.

From the *Offr. of Public Works*
Fort Saint George.

To the Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

Dated 4th May 1861
Received *43*

Enclosures.

PENSION 1861 DEPARTMENT.

From the *Collector*

To the Accountant General or Controller
of Military Accounts.

Dated 1st Dec. 1860.
Received

(Enclosure)

Verifies the service
of *Desajundya Narayana*
late Sahibdar of Kandikot
born on the establishment of the Collr.
of *Madras*

Register of an appli-
cation for Superannuation Pension in behalf
of *Desajundya Narayana*
late Sahibdar of Kandikot
born on the establishment of the Collr.
of *Madras*

No. 336

12429

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

to report the applicant to the Board,
his character and conduct had
been generally satisfactory. Explanation
having been called for, copy of the
Board's Regs. 13th Sect 70, to 7094,
has been submitted.

3. From the papers
therein recorded, it appears that
the applicant in his Resume
^{as well as} ~~and~~ in his Magisterial capacity
was guilty of very grave misconduct,
which, but for his having put
in a Medical Certificate, ^{with a view to its removal} would
probably have entailed his dis-
missal.

4. It is shown beyond
doubt that in a certain case
of succession to an income, the
applicant, notwithstanding the
Collector's order refusing to interfere,
issued a takid to give effect to an

arrangement whereby the grand-
daughters of the deceased admitted^{to}
one Ristamma, to the exclusion
of the deceased's sister, to an equal
share in the property. Ristamma
was the wife of a first cousin of
the deceased, and great auntⁱⁿ
of the applicant. She had never
claimed the property; the ap-
-plicant was her heir, and he
received the produce of ~~the share~~
^{allowed to her.}

5. In the Magisterial
cases, the applicant displayed
an abuse of authority - "in
intimidating the defence witnesses,
in threatening to exact bail,
in calling in the parties who
had given bail, on a date prior
to the date to which the hearing
had been adjourned, and in
endeavouring to prevent an
appeal

appeal against his decision" -
the means used for the last
purpose being an agreement
to inflict a light punishment
on a further charge brought
apparently merely to prevent
the appeal. Several dates
in the records were changed.

6. Mr. Chase further
states that the applicant was
not a good servant of Govt.
in any way, and he instances
his neglect in making income-
tax assessments -

7. In consideration
of the applicant's long service,
the Governor in Council is
not inclined to refuse him
pension altogether; but as
the serious faults detailed

Long 35. is
half the full
amount -

The College of Arms
is Account General

above necessitate a very considerable
reduction of the amount to
which he would otherwise have
been entitled. He will be
admitted to monthly pension
of Rupees ³⁵/₃₀ ^{five} _{thirty} payable from
the 20th Novr. 1870 -

8. Mr. Chase should
have brought all the facts of
the case to the notice of Govt.
when forwarding the register
of application -

H. A. [Signature]

Dec 1871

Govt. of 1871 Edmund.

To

W. H. H. H.

*The Secretary of
the
American*

Dated *July 10* } 1871, No. 336
Recd.

Nos.

*Spending and
Revenue of 1871-72
American State
of Indiana
to the
to the*