

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	331	~~Press~~ Mis.
Dated	3 - 7 -	1871

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17-7-30

Full
indi
whom
ance

Form

Memorandum
dated 10 March 1891.



The U. S. Dept. is in receipt
of with reference to the
letter from the Insp. of
of Police (see attached)
requesting information
regarding the application
submitted for the
Commissioner, on the letter
it is stated that the same
has been received by the
Commissioner. The in-
formation of the same is
being furnished to the
proper authorities in
the Department of the
Interior for their consideration
for the purpose of the
same.

Propos
mount
passio
lowan

Superintendent of Police,

Director
Superintendent of Police,

65/10/1859

11/1

11/1

5X

10/1/1859

I William Squire Fox Acord, Surgeon, In Medical Charge of Police at Vellore, do hereby Certify that ~~1st~~ Class Constable *Chockalingum* No. 503 of the Police Establishment at Vellore, has been under my treatment for *Fever, and General debility* for the month & 9 days; and that he is not likely to derive permanent benefit from further treatment, or from change of air. He is, in my opinion, unfit for further Service; and to the best of my Judgment, such disqualification is not the result of intemperance, or other irregular habits.

POLICE HOSPITAL,
Vellore 18th January 1859

W. S. Fox
Assistant Surgeon,
In Medical charge of Police.

I the 1st of Feb. 1859
at the Police Hospital
do hereby certify that
the Constable *Chockalingum*
No. 503 of the Police
Establishment at Vellore
has been under my treatment
for the month & 9 days
for *Fever, and General debility*
and that he is not likely
to derive permanent benefit
from further treatment
or from change of air.
He is, in my opinion,
unfit for further Service;
and to the best of my
Judgment, such disqualification
is not the result of
intemperance, or other
irregular habits.

David. 16/1/1859.
D. M. 1859.
1859.

POLICE HOSPITAL,
Vellore 18th January 1871

4. The 1st add that in our
 regard the other parties do
 not the 2^d agreement add 2
 the intention of the 1st day

1871 Department

Enclosure No. 1

In a letter from
the Inspector General
of Police dated Madras
20th February 1871
No 1093.

Application
for the grant of gra-
-tuities to 2 Constables
of the North Arcot
Police -

Prasanna 163 of 1871
5th Feb 1871

4. The 1st Alt. that in view to facilitate in
with the other parties he do not confine to
the 2nd Alt. that while the law
the intention of the 1st Defendant in order
which some of the other parties.

6. The Defendant alleges for time until the
was granted in the 12th Alt. The 1st Defendant
the 11th Alt.

7. The 2nd Defendant does not appear to have
before the Court only in a summary.

8. There is no doubt that the goods were in
the 2nd Alt. and is greater than that of the 1st

9. The 2nd Defendant only entitled to order
the duty in not accompanying the 1st Defendant to a
the now denied by the 1st Defendant to all others.

10. The 2nd Defendant has been offered to have such direction.

11. Although the necessity of the necessary to be
shown in some degree, yet it is considered that no
more necessary than the 1st Defendant for he has

12. The 2nd Defendant is not entitled to order
the 1st Defendant to all others.

13. The 2nd Defendant is not entitled to order
the 1st Defendant to all others.

14. The 2nd Defendant is not entitled to order
the 1st Defendant to all others.

Number of the case.	Name and description of the accused.	Copy of the charge or substance of the complaint.	Name of complainant.	Name of witnesses for the prosecution.	Name of the witnesses for the defence.	Statement of the evidence and grounds of conviction.	Finding and Sentence.	Date of offence.	Date of complaint.	Date of apprehension or appearance.	Date of commencing trial.	Date of sentence.	Explanation of delay.			
1869	1. Chokalinga 2. Moonsamy 3. Chokalinga 4. Moonsamy 5. Chokalinga 6. Moonsamy 7. Chokalinga 8. Moonsamy 9. Chokalinga 10. Moonsamy 11. Chokalinga 12. Moonsamy 13. Chokalinga 14. Moonsamy 15. Chokalinga 16. Moonsamy 17. Chokalinga 18. Moonsamy 19. Chokalinga 20. Moonsamy 21. Chokalinga 22. Moonsamy 23. Chokalinga 24. Moonsamy 25. Chokalinga 26. Moonsamy 27. Chokalinga 28. Moonsamy 29. Chokalinga 30. Moonsamy 31. Chokalinga 32. Moonsamy 33. Chokalinga 34. Moonsamy 35. Chokalinga 36. Moonsamy 37. Chokalinga 38. Moonsamy 39. Chokalinga 40. Moonsamy 41. Chokalinga 42. Moonsamy 43. Chokalinga 44. Moonsamy 45. Chokalinga 46. Moonsamy 47. Chokalinga 48. Moonsamy 49. Chokalinga 50. Moonsamy 51. Chokalinga 52. Moonsamy 53. Chokalinga 54. Moonsamy 55. Chokalinga 56. Moonsamy 57. Chokalinga 58. Moonsamy 59. Chokalinga 60. Moonsamy 61. Chokalinga 62. Moonsamy 63. Chokalinga 64. Moonsamy 65. Chokalinga 66. Moonsamy 67. Chokalinga 68. Moonsamy 69. Chokalinga 70. Moonsamy 71. Chokalinga 72. Moonsamy 73. Chokalinga 74. Moonsamy 75. Chokalinga 76. Moonsamy 77. Chokalinga 78. Moonsamy 79. Chokalinga 80. Moonsamy 81. Chokalinga 82. Moonsamy 83. Chokalinga 84. Moonsamy 85. Chokalinga 86. Moonsamy 87. Chokalinga 88. Moonsamy 89. Chokalinga 90. Moonsamy 91. Chokalinga 92. Moonsamy 93. Chokalinga 94. Moonsamy 95. Chokalinga 96. Moonsamy 97. Chokalinga 98. Moonsamy 99. Chokalinga 100. Moonsamy	That they on or about the 13 October 1869 near Venkatarama George's public servants legally bound as such public servants to keep in confinement - went Venkatarama charged with theft in a building, did negligently suffer the said Venkatarama to escape from confinement and that they have thereby committed an offence punishable under Section 223 of the I. P. C. and within my Cognizance.	Resident 1. Ramappa 2. Subramanian 3. Subramanian 4. Subramanian 5. Subramanian 6. Subramanian 7. Subramanian 8. Subramanian 9. Subramanian 10. Subramanian 11. Subramanian 12. Subramanian 13. Subramanian 14. Subramanian 15. Subramanian 16. Subramanian 17. Subramanian 18. Subramanian 19. Subramanian 20. Subramanian 21. Subramanian 22. Subramanian 23. Subramanian 24. Subramanian 25. Subramanian 26. Subramanian 27. Subramanian 28. Subramanian 29. Subramanian 30. Subramanian 31. Subramanian 32. Subramanian 33. Subramanian 34. Subramanian 35. Subramanian 36. Subramanian 37. Subramanian 38. Subramanian 39. Subramanian 40. Subramanian 41. Subramanian 42. Subramanian 43. Subramanian 44. Subramanian 45. Subramanian 46. Subramanian 47. Subramanian 48. Subramanian 49. Subramanian 50. Subramanian 51. Subramanian 52. Subramanian 53. Subramanian 54. Subramanian 55. Subramanian 56. Subramanian 57. Subramanian 58. Subramanian 59. Subramanian 60. Subramanian 61. Subramanian 62. Subramanian 63. Subramanian 64. Subramanian 65. Subramanian 66. Subramanian 67. Subramanian 68. Subramanian 69. Subramanian 70. Subramanian 71. Subramanian 72. Subramanian 73. Subramanian 74. Subramanian 75. Subramanian 76. Subramanian 77. Subramanian 78. Subramanian 79. Subramanian 80. Subramanian 81. Subramanian 82. Subramanian 83. Subramanian 84. Subramanian 85. Subramanian 86. Subramanian 87. Subramanian 88. Subramanian 89. Subramanian 90. Subramanian 91. Subramanian 92. Subramanian 93. Subramanian 94. Subramanian 95. Subramanian 96. Subramanian 97. Subramanian 98. Subramanian 99. Subramanian 100. Subramanian	From the evidence of the 1st witness it appears that the offender is a Hindu Venkatarama who being so charged to the charge of theft in a building, did negligently suffer the said Venkatarama to escape from confinement and that they have thereby committed an offence punishable under Section 223 of the I. P. C. and within my Cognizance.	13 October 1869	14 October 1869	15 October 1869	16 October 1869	17 October 1869	18 October 1869	19 October 1869	20 October 1869	21 October 1869	22 October 1869	23 October 1869	24 October 1869

To the
 suspicion
 of a
 eyes
 never
 length
 chain
 and
 various
 of that
 nature
 character
 means

No 3234
 in Petition Aug 2 of 1870
 see with the App. Genl. General that

— remains missing to
 my service & the fact that he
 recaptured the prisoner (the other)
 the 6

the crew of the schooner to whom the officer had
 in his hands cuffs which according to him
 were being carried aboard the ship which under
 showed the arrival of a gentleman who to have
 15th December he recalled the memory, the person
 who has recalled the officer and showed him on
 in December for the reason. He has appeared again
 ing to the negligence of the officers that of the
 in the latter has been understood and showed this
 was for the purpose, but also showed great neglect
 with the officer. The idea of the 2nd Defendant that
 in view of a gentleman is not credible. His evidence

taken with the 2nd Defendant in his deposition of 19th Dec. 1870
 in that the same

(Witness)
 signed J. J. J. J.
 J. J. J. J.

Recd. in Pottery Aug 2 of 1870

2 - The Dy Magistrate however
acquitted Pittman & this being so
his long service & the fact that he
did request the presence of the other

the

Enclosure in a letter
from the Inspector
General of Police
dated Cotacamunda
1st June 1871 No
3547.

Copy of the Deputy
Magistrate's Calendar
No. 114 of 1869.

Per. 466/71
3rd Sub. 71 @ N:331

the parties to whom the officers had
the right according to him
to deposit deposits with him
and a general view of the same

a very unaccountable delay) will
be taken into consideration. I am
satisfied from my personal interview
with Petitioner that he is quite unfit
for the post of Station House Officer.

The Proceedings in the case also
show that Petitioner himself
admits that he was entirely in the
hands of his 1st Constable. The Dep
Superintendent conclusively shows
that Petitioner is quite unable
to perform the duties of a Station
House Officer.

3. Petitioner will therefore be readmitted
to the Force as a 1st class Constable.

Chief Officer of Police
Madras 31st May 1902
By Liquid C. S. Searns
Inspector General of Police
True Copy

Blair
Superintendent of Police

To be taken to the Station House

Chief Office of the Inspector General of Police

Madras, 20th February 1871

Inspector General of Police.

ice and have borne a good
character. They are now unfit
for further service as certified by
the

a very unaccountable delay
be taken into consideration &
intended from my personal
with attention that he is given

1871 Department

Enclosure No 2

In a letter from
the Chief Genl of Police
dated Madras 20th
February 1871 No 1093-

Order of the Inspector
General authorizing
Superintendent of
Police North Arcot
to reenlist Chokha-
lingum as 1st
class Constable.

Prasanna No 163 of 71
3- Sub 11 Oct 351.

Blueston
Superintendent of

to have to whom the Officer had

Audit
No. 1093

Chief Office of the Inspector General of Police.

Madras, 20th February 1871

From

The Inspector General of Police.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

Enclosures Two

I have the honor to submit for the

1st Class Constable Chokalingum R	}	70-0-0
No 503 for 10-4-26 Service		
2nd Class Constable Moolappa alias	}	54-0-0
Mooliah No 357 for 9-5-16 Service		
Total Rs 124-0-0		

orders of
Government

an applica-
tion for the
grant of

gratuities to 2 Constables named
in the margin of the North Arcot
Police-

2. The Constables have served
for more than nine years in the
Police and have borne a good
character. They are now unfit
for further service as certified by
the

the Medical Officer.

3. These men would have been entitled to the gratuities entered opposite to their names under the Rules of the Police Superannuation Fund.

4. I further beg to state that Constable Chokalingum was convicted by the Deputy Magistrate to pay a fine of Rupees 10 for negligently permitting escape of a Prisoner and discharged on the 20th October 1869 and re-enlisted on the 13th June 1870 with the sanction of the Inspector General of Police vide Copy of Memorandum No. 3234 dated 31st May 1870 and resolution of Government of India dated 13th April 1867 No. 1900 Financial Department. The above mentioned two Constables were not employed in Government Service before

before entering the Police.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedt Servt,

M. Muttam Captain

For Inspector General
of Police

Office of the Insp^r General
of Police
Potacamund 6th June 1871

N^o 3547

From

The Inspector General of Police

To

The Acting Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir

With reference to the Under
Secretary's Memorandum No. 9
of the 10th March in the Pension
Department I have the honor
to forward Copy of the Deputy
Magistrate's Calendar which
shows that Chokalingum
Moodelly was actually convicted
and

Potacamund 1871 Dept.

[Signature]

From

The Inspector General
of Police.

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort Saint George

Dated 20th February 1871
No. 1093

Submitted in
application for the grant
of gratification to 2
Constables of the
North West Police.
D No. 331

And sentenced to a fine of
Rupees 10 or in default to 7
days simple imprisonment.

2. The word "acquitted" in
my Memo on the Case is, as it
stands, a mistake. Some
words bearing on the extenuating
remarks of the Deputy Magistrate
may have been unintentionally
omitted - such as perhaps
"of wilful misconduct."

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obed^t. servant.

Alfred

Inspector General of Police.

(Under the seal of) 29 July 1871 No 331.

Reading 871 Sept

From

Wm. Webb

The Bishop General of
Police

to the Chief Clerk to your Lordship

Order No 331

dated 6th June 1871

with the same No 331.

Enclosed copy of the

by the Bishop General

regarding the Bishop's

residence with reference

to the Bishop's residence

No 9 of the 10th March

The note printed by the Bishop General of Police in
his letter to the Bishop General of Police to send the
Bishop's residence in residence.

2. The circumstances of the Bishop General's
change of residence in attending the Bishop's
residence, for which he was assisted by a
Bishop's residence to reside that his residence should
be revised in the Bishop's residence.

3. The Bishop General of Police is
Bishop's residence to his change, he will be advised
that his residence will be the Bishop's residence, but
his residence of 107. 4m. 20. 10.

4. The Bishop General of Police is
Bishop's residence, and the Bishop's residence is
in accordance with the Bishop's residence of 97. 5m. 10. 10.

5. The Bishop General of Police is
Bishop's residence, and the Bishop's residence is
in accordance with the Bishop's residence of 97. 5m. 10. 10.

6. The Bishop General of Police is
Bishop's residence, and the Bishop's residence is
in accordance with the Bishop's residence of 97. 5m. 10. 10.

7. The Bishop General of Police is
Bishop's residence, and the Bishop's residence is
in accordance with the Bishop's residence of 97. 5m. 10. 10.

8. The Bishop General of Police is
Bishop's residence, and the Bishop's residence is
in accordance with the Bishop's residence of 97. 5m. 10. 10.

9. The Bishop General of Police is
Bishop's residence, and the Bishop's residence is
in accordance with the Bishop's residence of 97. 5m. 10. 10.

10. The Bishop General of Police is
Bishop's residence, and the Bishop's residence is
in accordance with the Bishop's residence of 97. 5m. 10. 10.

11. The Bishop General of Police is
Bishop's residence, and the Bishop's residence is
in accordance with the Bishop's residence of 97. 5m. 10. 10.

12. The Bishop General of Police is
Bishop's residence, and the Bishop's residence is
in accordance with the Bishop's residence of 97. 5m. 10. 10.

21/7 June 1871
No 331