

1. Number of pages. 14
2. Date of receipt 27.6.36.
3. Initials of Receiver

M.R.O. 1-52400-22-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	30	Press Mis.
Dated	17-1-	1871.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

27.6.36
✓

27/6/36
✓

Virasawmy Saiboo.

I am Munsiff at Siva Kanchi.
On the 1st March 1827, I was appointed
a Taluk Peon in the late Mannimanga-
luru Taluk in this District on Rs. 3 1/2
per mensem. Some two years after-
wards, my salary was increased to Rs. 4.
About four years after that, I was
promoted to be a Common Peon in
the Head Assistant Collector's office
at Chinglaputh on Rs. 5-4-0. A little
more than a year after that, I was
again promoted to be a Dajet in
the Collector, Mr. Maclean, when the
Head quarters were at Conjivara and on
Rs. 7-0-0. From that office, I was
appointed, in a Lathid /produced/
given by that gentleman on the 1st
December 1836, to be Kotwal of
Poonamallee. In the Lathid
/produced/ I was appointed Kotwal
of Conjivara from the 1st September
1837. I was in that appointment
on the 29th November 1837. There
were no breaks in my service as

detailed above. The above has been
translated to me. It is correct to
the best of my knowledge & belief

/Signed Veerasamy Naidu
intendant.

Given before me this 20th day of May 1870.

/Signed C. S. Crole
As Sub-Collector

/True Copy /

W. M. N.

As Collector.

Received by
11/11/70

Madras Collector's Office

No 112

Sydney 7th June 1870.

From,

Mr. J. Blair Esq.

As Collector of Madras.

To,

Mr. Donald Esq.

Examiner of Claims.

Sir,

In forwarding the accompany-
ing Register of application for Com-
passionate allowance on behalf of
Veerasamy Naidu, late Town Cutwal
of Conjeeveram, I have the honor to
state that the applicant, having
been on the receipt of a salary of more
than 10 Rupees a month was recommend-
ed for a Superannuation Pension
of $\frac{1}{3}$ his pay (viz. 10 $\frac{1}{2}$ Rs.) but the Govern-
ment of India declined to give re-
trospective

D/E

prospective effect to their ruling
of November 1862.

Vide Govt Order
8/23rd August 1869
No 270.

2. Veerasawmy Aaidu was a
Cutwal and as such ranked with
a head peon. I therefore consider him
entitled to the Compassionate allowance
now recommended.

3. It will be observed from the accom-
panying Register that the service of
Veerasawmy Aaidu from 1st March 1827
to 30th Nov^r 1836 cannot be verified
from the records of this office, and I
have therefore obtained an affidavit
from him, a copy of which is herein
enclosed.

4. As regards the remaining portion
of his service (i.e. from 1st December
1836 to 15th September 1860), the cause
of his removal from office in 1846 and
his subsequent restoration, I beg to
state that these matters have been
already

already fully brought to the notice of
Government and that in their Order of
22nd February 1869 No 84, they consider-
ed the applicant eligible to a pension
of $\frac{1}{3}$ his pay as he had served for
a period of 22 years in grades qualify-
ing for pension.

5. The applicant has on the whole
served the Government for a period
of nearly 32 years.


I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedt Servt

W. M. M. M.

As. Collector



Sd/- J. R. M.

REGISTER of an application for Superannuation Pension under Pension Rules published under date
3rd May 1864, from the Establishment of the of

1	Full name of Pensioner.....	Vandiwash Veerasawmy Naidu		
2	Sect or caste... ..	Kavaray Caste, Vishnava sect		
3	Residence... ..	Conjeveram		
4	Present post... ..	Town Cutwal of Conjeveram		
5	Date of commencement of service... ..	1 st March 1827		
6	Date of retirement from service.....	15 th September 1860.		
7*	Abstract of the grounds of application.	1. ~~Good service~~		
		2. ~~Inability to serve longer.~~		
		3. Reduction of Establishment		
		Years. Months. Days.		
		1. Resigned the service from		
		to		
8*	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances.	2.† Reduction of Establishment from		
		to		
		3. Suspended from		
		to		
		4. Dismissed for misconduct from 1 st Aug ^y /46 to 10 th May 1848-1-9-10		
9	Total of service before 22 years of age... ..			
10	Total of service not in Pension grades... ..			
11	Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave			
	Grand Total... ..			
12	Total period in Pension grades counted as service.. ..	31-9-5		
13†	Age of the applicant at the time of this application... ..	61-6-"		
		Feet Inches.		
14	Height	5. 7		
15	Descriptive marks.—	A large burn on the right side of the belly two large boil marks just below the left knee joint.		
		Rs.	A.	P.
16	Average salary of past 5 years	10	8	0
17	Proposed amount of Pension	2	8	0
18	Date from which to commence... ..	16 th September 1860		
19	Place of payment... ..	Conjeveram, Madras District.		

*N. B.—The reason of the applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being left, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.
†It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year.
It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. 63 A. " P. "
Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was 6th August 1863. No. 229 (Pro. of Govt.)
Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. 63 A. " P. "
Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of April 1869

†I do hereby certify, that to the best of my belief the applicant's age, above stated, is correct.

J. W. Main
As Collector

Received 1970 Separation
From 17th 1860
The As Collector of
Madras
Date 17th June 1860
Recd 17th June 1860
(2 copies)
Remained a Regid
of Application for
Compensation at the
on behalf of Messrs
Rao and Co. to Messrs
Attorneys of Conjeveram
2812
2812
2812

Statement of total period of Service.

Establishment.	Post.	PAY.			PERIOD.				Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts	Remarks.
		Rs.	A.	P.	From	To	Yrs.	Ms.		
Peon		3	"	"	1 st March 1827	30 th Nov ^r 1836	9	9	"	This case was reported upon on the 26 th June 1869 and disposed of by Government in their orders dated 23 rd Aug ^r 1869 No 270 Pension Department.
Dalayet		4	"	"						
%		5	4	"						
do ow		7	"	"						
* No records are forthcoming to show the detail with the local posts and the detail of pay.										
Town Cutwal of Boonamaltee		10	8	"	1 st Dec ^r 1836	12 th Sept ^r 1837	"	9	12	
Town Cutwal of Conjeeramm		10	8	"	13 th Sept ^r 1837	30 th July 1846	8	10	18	J. Lushington created by Government
Do		10	8	"	11 th May 1848	15 th Sept ^r 1860	12	4	5	
Total.....							31	9	5	
Deduct service before 22 years of age..... Years. Months. Days.										
Deduct service not in Pension grades.....										
Deduct leave.....										

Explanation of Column 8 with quotations of orders of reinstatement.

Explanation of any degradation.

No records are forthcoming to show the cause of the applicant's dismissal, but enquiry elicits that he was dismissed in 1846 by Mr. Maltby, J.E. Collector of the District for failing to take sufficient precaution during one of the annual festivals of the Congereram temple, in which owing to the rashness of the idol bearers a woman lost her life. He was restored to his office by the permanent Collector Mr. Frisvold 4th May 1848

The applicant appears to have suffered no degradation.

Detail of leave on half pay and full stoppage of pay.

Remarks by the head of the Office on past character and conduct of the applicant throughout the service.

Able and good servant.

No records are forthcoming
to show the required particulars

Signed/ E. Jane
As Collector

Total of leave...

Mayor's Collector's Office,
Said City,
The 7th June 1870.

W. M. Wain
As Collector

REPORT OF THE ^{No. 935} Accountant General

Years. Months. Days.

In the last 5 years of his service the average pay of the applicant ^{not} per mensem, equal to of his average salary during
Applicant is eligible to a ~~pension of Rupees~~ of the Revised Pension Rules. *Compassionate.*

the last 15 years, under Clause 2 of the Revised Pension Act, 1867, of the Government of India dated 11th December 1863 at 1st 625th, as he was not a peon but a civil servant and he is not eligible to 1st 625th pension under the Government of India dated 23rd August 1859 at 1st 270th Pension Department. The Government of India Resolution dated 25th November 1869 at 2738 Financial cannot apply in this case as the applicant was thrown out of employ in 1860.

Fort Saint George Office,
Account^{ts} General
28th July 1870.

H. Richardson
Accountant General

To The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 469.

FROM THE HON. R. S. ELLIS, C.B.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George,
TO THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

SIR,
I am directed to solicit the sanction of the Government of India for the admission of Vandivash Virasvami Naidu, formerly Cutwal of Conjeveram in the Chingleput District, who lost his office on the revision of establishment in 1860 after serving for thirty-one years, one month, and six days, to a compassionate allowance of Rupees 2-8-0 per mensem, under the Resolution of the Government of India, 11th December 1863, No. 6254. He received gratuity in 1863.
2. The case is similar to that detailed in my letter, No. 324, dated 1st August 1870. The recommendation in these cases is based on the ground that the Cutwals, though usually paid more than 10 Rupees per mensem, were in reality Head Peons; and I am to suggest that this Government may be authorized generally to apply, without reference to the Government of India, the Resolution of the 11th December 1863 to the few cases similar to the present one which may hereafter come up for settlement.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chief Secretary.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
19th November 1870.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

PENSION 1870 DEPARTMENT.

From the Accountant General

Fort Saint George.

To the Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

Dated 20th July 1870
Received 24th July 1870

Enclosures.

PENSION 18 DEPARTMENT.

From the

To the Accountant General or Controller
of Military Accounts.

Dated 18th
Received 18th

Verifies the service
of 2. Virasvami Naidu
late Cutwal of Conjeveram, late
borne on the establishment of the
Collectorate.

Register of an appli-
cation for Superannuation Pension in be-
half of

With an order
of 20th July 1870
C. 16/9/1870

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

The applicant was not a head, and
is therefore not eligible to con-
sideration.

I think you will find
the case of the
Cutwal of
Conjeveram
very similar to
the case of the
applicant.

It is recommended that it is not intended to stop
the rule here affirmed. The next application
told for a similar case, but the result of the
case (19th Aug 70 No 324) seems to have been
regarded as official. The applicant
had served as head 35 1/2 years, but was
38y. 9m. 26d. but the applicant
had served 9y 9m as head, this short service
is not 31y 9m 5d.
To the Secretary of the Government of India
Chief Secretary.

1870.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

From
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George,

To
The Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Financial Department.

Dated 19th Nov. 1870.

Recd. No. 469.
Enclosure.

Soliciting sanction for the admission of Vandivash Viraswami Naidu, formerly Cutwal of Conjeveram, in the Chingleput District, to a compassionate allowance of Rupees 2-8-0 per mensem, and requesting authority to apply, without reference to the Government of India, the Resolution of the 11th December 1863 to the few cases similar to the present one which may hereafter come up for settlement.

13
Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
6th January 1871.

No. 10. Read the following letter from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Fort William, 27th December 1870, No. 3981:—

Pensions and Gratuities.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 469, dated 19th November 1870, soliciting sanction to the grant of a compassionate allowance of Rupees 2-8-0 a month, under the Resolution of the Government of India in this Department, No. 6254, dated 11th December 1863, to Vandivash Viraswami Naidu, formerly Cutwal of Conjeveram in the Chingleput District, who lost his office on the revision of establishment in 1860, after serving the State for upwards of thirty years.

2. The Financial Resolution, No. 6254, of the 11th December 1863, is restricted to peons; but it is stated in your letter that Cutwals, though usually paid more than Rupees 10 per mensem, were in reality head peons. It is, therefore, contended that the Resolution in question applies equally to these servants as to peons, and you submit the suggestion of the Government of Madras that the Local Government may be authorized generally to apply, without reference to the Government of India, the Resolution of the 11th December 1863 to the few cases similar to the present one which may hereafter come up for settlement.

3. The Governor-General in Council is pleased to sanction the recommendation in behalf of Vandivash Viraswami Naidu, and to grant the authority applied for by the Government of Madras. The allowances will in this, and in future cases, commence from the date on which the orders sanctioning them are passed.

Ordered that a copy of the above letter be furnished to the Accountant-General, Madras.

No. 11. ORDER THEREON, 6th January 1871, No. 7.
Communicated to the Revenue and Pension Departments.
(True Extract.)

R. S. ELLIS,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.
„ Pension do.
Exd. J. Wright.

Bobt. of 118713 *Edwards.*
Division of 1st.
 FINANCIAL.

To
 THE REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
 Ac., &c., &c.

Dated January, 6th.
 Recd. — a — //

Ind.

G. O., 6th January 1871, No. 7.

JANUARY.
 Nos. 10—11.

Communicating letter from the Government of India, sanctioning the grant of a compassionate allowance of Rupees 2-8-0 per month to Vandirash Viraswami Naidu, formerly Outwal of Conjevaram, and authorizing this Government to apply the Resolution of that Government, dated 11th December 1863, No. 6251, to similar cases that may hereafter come up for settlement.

Write an order No. 30.

Order No. 17th January 1871 No. 30.

Commenced to the
 Collector of Madras District
 and to the Assistant General

Edw. M.

To the Collector of Madras District
 — " — Assistant General
 with Regard to } *Recd. 17/1/71*
for entry