

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Revenue* DEPARTMENT.

|          |      |               |
|----------|------|---------------|
| G.O. No. | 24   | Press<br>Mis. |
| Dated    | 10-2 | 1870          |

Not to be folded or roughly handled

10TH FEBRUARY 1870, No. 74, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From the Acting Sub-Collector in charge, Kistna district, dated 19th December 1868.

Report from W. DONALD, Esq., Examiner of Claims, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Fort St. George, 13th December 1869, No. 126.

Service qualifying for gratuity is proved for a total period of twenty-five years six months and eight days. Pay of the applicant's permanent post was Rs. 10.

2. The services of C. Venku, late a havildar on the establishment of the Collector of Kistna district from 1837 to August 1862, having been partly verified from the records of the Collector's office and partly supported by affidavit, he will be eligible to a gratuity of Rs. 60, equal to six months' salary, for his service of twenty-five years six months and eight days from 1837 should Government be pleased to accept the affidavit, and the explanation given by the Collector at foot of the first side of the register with reference to the cause of delay in the submission of application until 19th December 1868.

3. The applicant's service of six years from 1831 to 1836 as tappal overseer is excluded from the total period of thirty-one years six months and eighteen days, as he does not appear, in the returns from 1831 to 1836 on record in this office, to have been employed as overseer on the permanent establishment of the Postal department in Guntūr and Masulipatam districts, though the names of other servants on the permanent establishments are shown in those returns, and it appears also from the copy of letter from the inspecting postmaster, third division, to the inspecting postmaster, second division, dated 9th September 1869, No. 792, herewith accompanying, that he was not employed on the permanent but on the extra establishment and paid from the sum for contingent charges.

ORDER—dated 10th February 1870, No. 74, Pension.

As pointed out by the Examiner of Claims, Chetri Venku's service from 1831 to 1837 having been contingent, cannot be counted for compassionate allowance. Accepting the affidavit, he is, therefore, eligible to a gratuity of six months' pay for his subsequent service of twenty-five years six months and nine days under the old rules. The payment of the amount, Rs. (60) sixty, is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

H. E. STOKES,  
*Acting Under Secy, to Govt.*

To the Collector of the Kistna district.  
„ Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.



no 176

Salem, P. L. S. Court

8<sup>th</sup> December 1869

From

The Principal Saramin of  
Salem

To

The Accountant General  
to Government of Madras  
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

Under the old rules for  
gratuities I have the honor to submit  
in the prescribed form an application  
from a Sow on the Establishment  
of the late Gillah jail at this station  
whose services were dispensed with  
in consequence of the introduction of  
the new Constabulary to furnish guard  
at the jail, and to recommend the grant  
to him of a gratuity equal to his four  
months salary in consideration of his  
services as set forth in Register, and  
verified by the records of this and other  
offices

2.

The applicant states in his  
application that subsequent to his  
discharge from jail in July 1860 he  
was employed as a private in the

DA & AG. Office  
Recd 10  
Ansd 12 1869

At

Infusil Police, but that he resigned  
the appointment after serving for  
about 8 months. His re-employment  
in the Police, and his subsequent re-  
signation do not bear his claim  
to gratuity. Vide Para 779 Page 144 of the Uncon-  
nanted Servants' Admiration of 1868.

3 The applicant was a Prisoner  
the extra jail guard in the late Zillah  
jail, and the small breaks in his  
service have been caused partly  
by the occasional decrease of convicts,  
and partly by his having been requir-  
ed to escort convicts to other stations  
where he has served the Government  
until his services were dispensed  
with. Persons employed in jails  
as extra guards are entitled to gratuity  
Vide Para 778, Page 144 of the Uncon-  
nanted Servants' Admiration of 1868, and the  
aforesaid breaks in his service do not  
affect his claim to gratuity although  
one of them exceeds a year.

4 There is a long delay of 9 years  
and 4 months between the applicant's  
discharge from jail and his present  
application. He accounts for this

45  
delay by stating that immediately  
after his discharge in July 1860 he entered  
the Infusil Police as a private, and  
resigned that appointment after  
serving for about 8 months, that he  
then suffered from fever for 4 months,  
and that on recovery he waited at  
Courts and Entchries in hopes of being  
re-employed. On the 24<sup>th</sup> July 1869 the  
applicant petitioned Government  
soliciting the grant of a gratuity, or  
compassionate allowance. His  
Petition was referred for disposal  
to the Magistrate of Salem on the 29<sup>th</sup>  
July 1869, but that officer forwarded  
it to the Session Judge on the 2<sup>d</sup>  
September 1869. The Session Judge  
referred the above petition to me  
for disposal on the 17<sup>th</sup> September 1869,  
as the records connected with the  
jail before it was made over to the  
Session Court in 1860 are in charge of  
this Court. On receiving from the  
applicant an application in the  
prescribed form on the 20<sup>th</sup> September,  
I caused the records to be examined,  
and it was discovered that he was

employed in the jails at Pannchen,  
Erchinapoly and Aofsoor. I wrote  
to the authorities at those stations  
on the 28<sup>th</sup> September 1869 to be in-  
formed if he was so employed. On  
the 6<sup>th</sup> October the Superintendent  
of the Pannchen jail informed me  
that the records do not show that  
he was ever employed in that jail,  
but the certificate produced by  
the applicant shows that he escorted  
a party of convicts transferred from  
the Salem jail to that of Pannchen,  
and that he immediately returned  
to the former jail. The reply dated  
29<sup>th</sup> October 1869 received from the  
Civil Judge of Erchinapoly states  
that the applicant was employed  
in the jail at that station from  
22<sup>d</sup> July 1846 to 30<sup>th</sup> June 1850. The  
Deputy Collector by an order of the  
Collector of the District having repli-  
ed on the 7<sup>th</sup> December 1869 that the  
Prison was employed in the Aofsoor  
jail from December 1857 to May  
1858 the Register of applications  
has immediately been prepared

and submitted.

5. Of Rupees 100 provided in this  
Court's Budget Estimate for 1869-70 on  
account of gratuity Rupees 86-4-0  
has been paid under order of govern-  
ment to individuals who had already  
preferred their claims to gratuity.  
The Petitioner's claim to Rupees 21 on  
account of gratuity may therefore  
be met from the balance Rupees 13-12-0  
and from Rupees 40 provided for  
travelling allowance to officers.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obed<sup>t</sup> servant

M. S. Narayan Rao  
Principal Sadr Amin

PENSION REPORT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,  
Accountant General's Office,  
The 30<sup>th</sup> March 1870.

To  
The Civil & Session Judge,  
Salem

The Undersigned has the honor to return the papers connected with the

Pension..... } case noted in the Margin, received with letter  
Gratuity..... }  
Compassionate Allowance.. } Memo.  
from Principal Secy. to Govt. dated 15<sup>th</sup> Dec/89 No. 176 for want of Nos. 16, 21 & 39

It is particularly requested that an EARLY reply may be sent, and that this Memo. be re-transmitted.

*R. Donald*  
Examiner of Claims.

1. Detail, with dates, of local posts. } Vide paras. 133-134-135 and 141, of chapter III of the
2. " " of pay. } Civil Auditor's Manual.
3. " of leaves enjoyed other than Privilege or preparatory, during the whole period of Service, vide Government Notification, published at page 540, 2nd Volume of the Gazette.

5. Certificate of age attested by Head of Department.
6. " that alleged services from are proved from the records.
7. " of past character, vide page 99, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1862.
8. Explanation of apparent breaks.
9. " degradation.
10. Insertion of desired place of payment.
11. Printed form of application for under the new Rules published at page 631, of the 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
12. Printed form of application for gratuity under the old Rules, which can be had from the Superintendent of Stationery.
13. One Register (printed) of all applications for gratuity should be sent to this Department, as required under the recent Orders of Government, instead of the separate manuscript Registers for each individual, herewith returned.
14. Insertion in item 15 of the Register of all descriptive marks agreeably to Notification published at page 802, of 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
15. Insertion in the Leave Statement, of the period of absence with loss of full and half pay, respectively, during the period of
16. Insertion (in red ink) in the detailed Statement of service, of the period during which the applicant was without employ by his order referred to in para 3 of the above letter.

17. Insertion in of the Register, of the proposed amount of Gratuity
18. Information as to whether the applicant received any gratuity for past service in consequence of the abolition of his Office on the if so, a Copy of the order sanctioning such gratuity must be furnished.
19. For deletion of Remarks at foot of the Register, if the applicant did not receive any gratuity.
20. For the deletion of grounds of retirement, &c., other than those required under N. B. at foot of the Register.
21. Discharge Certificate from the Superintendent of Police shewing the period of the applicant's employment in the new Police, and why his services were dispensed with.

*Register of Application on behalf of Cropan late Secy from Salem (Extracted by 8 & 2 Dec/89) 12 letters from Principal Secy dated 15 Dec/89 of 8 & 2 Dec/89*

*1870*  
*22*  
*Department*  
*Principal Secy*  
*Salem*  
*Enclosure one*  
*109 May 1870*  
*11678*  
*11678*  
*11678*

*Submitting an*  
*Application for*  
*gratuity from the*  
*Establishment of*  
*the late Gillipail*  
*at Salem.*  
*11678*  
*11678*  
*11678*

Vide Inspector General's Circular  
No. 1517, dated 25th April 1863,  
Indian Medical Department.

22. Testimonials of character or Certificates given to applicant by the Head of an Office, or, (in the absence of such Certificates,) an affidavit signed before a Magistrate, in support of the applicant's service from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ which cannot be proved from the Official records.
23. Explanation of the cause of delay in submission of application until \_\_\_\_\_ when the applicant appears from the statement of service to have ceased to do duty on the \_\_\_\_\_
24. Explanation why the applicant was retained in Government service from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ when the Medical Certificate was dated \_\_\_\_\_ vide Government Notification, published at pages 663 and 473, 1st and 2nd Volumes, respectively of the Official Gazette for 1866.
25. Explanation why the applicant was recommended in \_\_\_\_\_ of the Register, for a gratuity of Rupees \_\_\_\_\_ equal to \_\_\_\_\_ months salary, when he is eligible to the gratuity of Rupees \_\_\_\_\_ equal to \_\_\_\_\_ months salary under the \_\_\_\_\_

26. Medical Certificate in prescribed form showing the applicant's inability to serve longer.
- a. Vide Government Notifications, published at page 740 and 632, Volume 1 of Gazette for 1858 and 1863, respectively.
- b. Agreeably to the Orders of Government, dated 14th June 1866, No. 224, as the applicant's service exclusive of the periods \_\_\_\_\_

- amounts to \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Vide Government Notification, published at page 705, 1st Volume, and page 450, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1865 and 1866, respectively.

27. Explanation why Medical Certificate is dated \_\_\_\_\_ after the applicant ceased to do duty, instead of on, or before, his retirement from Government service.
28. Transmission of the true Copy of the order of \_\_\_\_\_

29. Transmission of the orders of \_\_\_\_\_ applicant \_\_\_\_\_ as the cause of \_\_\_\_\_ should be shown in this Office report to Government.

30. Explanation as to whether the applicant applied for \_\_\_\_\_ during his life, if so, when he applied, and when he died.
31. Explanation as to whether the applicant's heirs applied for the arrears of \_\_\_\_\_ due to the applicant up to the date of decease, together with date of application for \_\_\_\_\_
32. Insertion in the 1st and 2nd sides of the Register, of the correct periods of years \_\_\_\_\_

as pencilled, instead of years.

33. Insertion in the Register of application as to whether the applicant for Compassionate allowance has been employed since the date of the abolition of \_\_\_\_\_ Office in \_\_\_\_\_, and whether \_\_\_\_\_ holds any employment at present.

34. English Translation of affidavit to be given, on the back of the same (herewith returned,) so as to enable this Department to report its tenor to Government.

35. Signature of the applicant, to be affixed at foot of affidavit in \_\_\_\_\_

36. Transmission of the Printed Memo, from this Department dated \_\_\_\_\_ as required therein, as the \_\_\_\_\_ cannot be disposed of without it.

37. Insertion in Clause 3 of item No. 7 in the 1st side of the Register, of the date, and No. of the Order of Government, under which the applicant was thrown out of employ by reduction of \_\_\_\_\_ Establishment.

38. For deletion of the applicant's service of years \_\_\_\_\_ before 22 years of age in item No. 9 of the 1st side, and at foot of the 2nd side of the Register, as the entire service rendered by \_\_\_\_\_ up to \_\_\_\_\_

are required to be shown in the case of Compassionate allowance.

39. Transmission of the Original Certificate referred to in para 4 of the letter above quoted.

Whether out of the Government sanctioned by Government for the permanent establishment of the Collector's Department, or out of the same sanctioned for the extra establishment of the Police establishment.

And as I am unable to afford the information as all the Postal records up to 1855 were transferred to your Department in 1857, I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to have the information required by the Examiner of Claims furnished to him as soon as possible.

Copy of letter from Insprg Postmaster 22 in Manipulation Division to the Postmaster General Madras No. 14, dated 19 September 1869.

In returning herewith your endorsement No. 3603 dated \_\_\_\_\_

dated 14 August, forwarding letter  
dated 29 July from Collector  
Cobavara District, asking for  
information regarding the  
manner in which late  
revenue Chitra Venkta was  
paid. They to state that no  
information is obtainable at  
Masulipatam in the absence  
of records and enclose Mr.  
Kellies reply to a reference  
made to him on the subject.

Copy of letter from Inspecting  
Postmaster 3<sup>d</sup> Division to the  
Asst. Postmaster 2<sup>d</sup> Division  
No. 732 dated 9 September 1869

In returning original packet  
No. 2603 dated 4 ultimo, received  
under your No. 709 dated 30 idem,  
I have the honor to inform you  
that, as far as I can recollect,  
I believe that the Road  
crossers of some lines in the  
Masulipatam

5  
Masulipatam Collectorate were  
borne on the permanent Post  
Office establishment, and paid  
out of the General Revenue, but  
on the introduction of the  
Contracting system of Messrs.  
they were paid according  
to the rate of speed they elicited  
in their respective ranges,  
and which payment, was treated  
as a Contingent charge, but  
with reference to the indi-  
vidual under enquiry, I  
cannot call his case to  
recollection from the distance  
of time.

True copy  
A. R. S.  
Postmaster General

x DM

Received by  
the City of New York