Number of sheets 38
Date of repair 19.8.3
Initials of Referen

M.R.O. 1—32,400—29-11-80.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	Press Mis.
Dated	18

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 4691.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th August/61.

Read the following letter from A. Hathaway Esqre Collector of Bellary, to W. Hudleston, Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk dated 9th August 1861, No. 152:—

1. In continuation of my letter of the 26th June last, No. 122, I have the honor to submit a Memorandum, relative to the charges preferred against Venkat Row, the Tahsildar of Dhurmaverum.

2. The investigation touching two of them (III and VII) is incomplete, in consequence of the nonarrival hitherto of certain witnesses; but I consider sufficient has been elicited on trustworthy evidence to show that he is deserving of punishment.

3. As regards Charge No. I the public accounts show that he has stated falsely that his horsekeeper, Yingodu was never employed as a substitute for peons, he having acted for periods amounting in the aggregate to more than four months, between June 1859 and March 1861.

4. As regards Charge No. II suspicion attaches to his conduct in recommending his former dependant, Darappa, and his relative Ramachandra Row, for land at low rates; and there are

some suspicious features in the matter of the topes which Davappa sought to obtain, which however in Consequence of the absence of the parties, Cannot yet be fully disposed of.

5. He also has acted irregularly with regard to the Cultivation in the bed of the ruined tank at Gothur. By previous agreement the Ryots of Dharmavaram ought to have been allowed the choice of Cultivating it, and he should not have fixed the rate of aſseſsment at 3 Rupees without the sanction of the Sub Collector, who from the records does not appear to have been Consulted in the matter. His dependant, Davappa obtained 6 acres of this land; and the Karanam deposes on oath that the real owner was the Tahsildar; and that for the first year he (the Karnam) had to pay its aſseſsment.

6. The charge in No. IV of appropriating the pay of a peon to make good the value of a lost ornament Cannot be said to have been legally proved. Suspicion, however, attaches to the Tahsildar in the matter. Moreover the records and the oral evidence show tha the Tahsildar has again given in a false defence; and that he actually recommended for employment as a peon a mere child, and obtained sanction for the same.

7. Charge No. V of borrowing money from M. Mallappa is not legally proved; but, as stated in the Memorandum, the features of the Case are not least suspicious.

8. The Charge (No. VI) of making the village Officers pay the aſseſsment of lands for which he has obtained Puttas in the names of K. Ramachendra Row and Davappa rests upon the sole evidence of one Karnam, and Cannot, therefore, be considered as satisfactorily established.

9. The Charge No. VII is under investigation; and Charge No. VIII has not been proved. As regards the former charge, however three Ryots have deposed to having paid fines one of Rupees 3, and the remaining two of Rupees 2½ each, which have not been credited in the accounts.

10. Charge No. IX. Although there is no legal proof that the Tahsildar took any bribes in the matter, the records show that he acted irregularly in the matter of retaining Amani Mutsadis, who had been dismiſsed, and not appointing those who has been nominated.

11. Owing to information received during the progreſs of enquiry into the above points, a Dufte belonging to the Tahsildar, and Containing

miscellaneous papers and memoranda, was sent for from the Taluk Kacheri. In these are numerous entries (in what is considered to be the Tahsildar's own handwriting, and which the Tahsildar does not positively deny to be his own) of fines imposed on different people, and not entered in the accounts.

12. As the Acting Sub Collector, Mr. Reid, is expected shortly, I propose making over to him the investigation into this matter, which, if proved, will be of a serious nature. The evidence of some of the Taluk servants will be required, and much delay must ensue if all the parties, whose names appear as having paid the fines, were to be summoned before me from so great a distance; especially as in consequence of the prevalence of cholera, they are averse to travel so far.

13. I am of opinion that the irregularities already established against the Tahsildar merit some punishment, and for this purpose, and with a view to deter others from similar practices, I would recommend that he be suspended from office for two months. This will enable Mr. Reid to complete the inquiry without hindrance to the transaction of public business, which must ensue if the appointment be not temporarily filled up.

Enclosure No. 1

Abstract. — Memorandum of charges preferred against Venket Row. —

1. From the above repot the Tahsildar appears to have been guilty of gross irregularities and derelictions of duty, but the Board cannot authorize the infliction of any punishment until he is formally convicted.

2. In the present investigation enough has been established to justify the Board in directing his suspension from Office pending the trial, which it is hoped may be brought to a termination with the least possible delay.

(A true Copy and Extract)

(Signed) R. A. Dalyell,
Sub Secretary.

To A. Hathaway Esqr.
Collector of Bellary

True Copy
Acting Collector.

Compd

Tr.

72

Petition Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following Papers:—

Petition from S. Venkata Row, late Tahsildar of Tadipatri, Bellary. { (Here enter 1st August 1868 No. 1668).

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue { Here enter 15th December 1868 No. 8884

Petitions from S. Venkata Row late Tahsildar of Tadipatri, Bellary. { (Here enter 30th December 1868 and 20th January 1869 Nos. 11 and 239.)

Order thereon 2nd February 1869

The Government are of opinion that S. Venkata Row has proved himself unfit to discharge the duties of Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate, and that he has deservedly forfeited the confiden of his superiors. They are not however prepared to accede to the Board's proposal that he be dismissed. A servant of 30 years service of which 25 years count for pension and who has always borne a character for efficiency as a Revenue Officer ought not to be too severely dealt with on mere suspicion (fo it amounts to nothing more) of corrupt dealings.

S. Venkata Row has probably been already severely mulcted in the expenses incurred for his defence to the criminal charge. He has moreover been out of employ for four months.

The Government consider that he cannot be again employed in any independent charge, but in the arrangements made by the Collector in consequence of his removal from the Tahsildarship, the subordinate post of Talug Sheristadar or that of a Vernacular clerk, under an efficient and upright Tahsildar should be retained for him. The Government desire that he be not permitted

to

the apothecary attached to your office a certificate recommending him for 8 days leave for the purpose of proceeding to Bellary and there getting a proper medical aid. I accordingly gave him 8 days leave but he did not take it at the time, saying that this leave was not enough.

5. As, however, he has ceased to do duty for more than a month I think it necessary to appoint a substitute for him. There is none in the division who has passed the special test prescribed for the post, but if you have no objection, I would recommend the 1st class Revenue Inspector Rupanagudy Narrainappah of the taluq, who is now performing the duties of Venkata Row, to be sent to act for Venkata Row.

6. I have seen Venkata Row today at Hadagally, and at last he agreed to go to Bellary on the 8 days leave already given him, and to appear before the Civil Surgeon there.

7. If, on examination, the Civil Surgeon consider that Venkata Row's absence without leave for the past one month was justifiable, he would be entitled to a moiety of pay for that period, & I beg therefore that you will be good enough to pass orders for the disposal of the other half of it.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant

P. Venkatapparow

Deputy Collector

No 17

From,
The General Deputy Collector
Harpanhully Division

To,
J. H. Master Esquire
A. Collector Bellary

Camp Hadagally
Dated 12th February 1870
Rec?

Enclosures

Subject
Reports the absence without leave of S. Venkata Rao Sheristadar Hadagally taluq and requests sanction for substitute being appointed in his place.

Sent to Bo 652/70

Rd 4/3 4-3-70

#

No 24

Harapanahally Division General Deputy Collector's Office Coodlapy 1st March 1870

From
Vide letter sent 9/4/7

The General Deputy Collector
Harapanahally Division

To

J. H. Master Esquire
Acting Collector
Bellary

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 162 dated 18th Ultimo and in continuation of my letter No. 17 dated 12th idem, I have the honor to inform you that S. Venkatarow Sheristadar of Hadagaly taluq did not, as he promised, proceed to Bellary up to the present day. I met him at Hadagaly on 21st or 22d Ultimo, when he said that he would go in a few days. I now hear that he has come to this station, quite out of his way, in order to see his relation, who is a Goomastah in this taluq. Venkatarow seems to have very little regard of his own position, or he would not dare to absent himself so long not only without leave, but against my repeated orders to appear before the Civil surgeon at Bellary. I shall again warn him against farther delay in proceeding to Bellary, if I can see him, though with very little hope of success.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
J. Venkatappayya
Deputy Collector

No. 24 of 1870

From Hu/4-3-70

The Genl. Dep. Collector
Harabanahally

To

The Ag. Collector
Bellary

Dated 1st March 1870
Recd.

Enclosure none

Reports that S. Venkatrow Sheristadar of Hadagaly taluq, still continues absent from duty without leave.

Pens. Dn No. 652/70

Hu/15.3.70

I. W. Aitken M.D. Garrison Surgeon at Bellary do hereby certify that I have carefully examined Sarungum Venkut Row Sheristadar of Hoovinhudgally Talug that his age is, by his own statement 48, and by appearance about 60 years; and that I find him to be unfit for further service from infirmity not in my opinion attributable to intemperance or other irregular habits

Bellary
15th March 1870

W. Aitken
Surgeon Major
Garrison Surgeon

Examiner of Claims
[illegible] Ap 1870

BELLARY COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.

No. 34 Dated, Camp [illegible]
Hospett 5th April 1870

From,
[illegible] Master Esquire,
Acting COLLECTOR OF
BELLARY.

To,
[illegible] Lushington Esquire
Accountant General
Madras

Sir,

1. I have the honor to submit Register of an Application for a Superannuation Pension to be granted to Sarumgam Venkat Row Sheristadar of Huvinahadgali Taluk in this District whose services extend over a period of 26 years 4 months and 25 days as detailed in the Register and as proved from the records.

2. He has received no Gratuity.

3. The breaks in his service are explained in the appropriate Columns of the Register. He was appointed Taluk Sheristadar as per Order of Government dated 2nd February 1869 which he joined on the 4th May following so that he was out of employ for 7 months and 25 days.

AM
14/4
2m

4. A medical certificate of incapacity for further service is enclosed.

From
The Garrison Surgeon
Bellary

Dated 15th March 1870

Certifying that Sa-
rumgam Venkat Row
Sheristadar of Huvina-
hadagaly being a con-
firmed [illegible] unfit for further service
from [illegible]infirmity.

Paul D. Kelly
2

5. Narungum Vencat Row's average salary during the past 5 years of his service amounts to Rupees 178-12-- per mensem I would recommend that a Superannuation pension of Rupees 89-5-- per mensem may be granted him from the 7th January 1870 his retirement being dated the previous day as he ceased to do duty from that date

6. The delay of 3 months in submitting this application is attributable to the applicant being unable from illness to appear before the Medical officer for a certificate.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

Acting Collector

Replies to objections made by the Examiner of claims in his memo of objections dated 16th June 1870.

3. He has had no leave besides Privilege leave.

39. His services as Police Ameen of Ramandroog commenced on the 9th February 1855. His name is the first in the Huzur Magisterial Establishment in the list for that and following year.

20th June 1870
Bellary

Compd
A.K.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 24 6 1870
Ansd

[illegible]
Ag Collector.

To
The Examiner of Claims
Madras

Pension 1870 DEPARTMENT.
116

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
BELLARY.

No 652.

Dated 9th April 1870 No. 361

To,
The Accountant General
Madras

Enclosure. 6

Received, 1st July 1870.

Submits Reports of an application for a superannuation pension [illegible] [illegible] & [illegible] Venkat Rao Shanbogue of [illegible] Taluk with remarks –

531

22d Octr 1870. Oe No 572

From
The Collector of
Bellary
To the Examiner of Claims
Madras

Enc: 2

Dated 20 June/70.

Replies to objections
dated 16 June/70 in
regard to Pension
[illegible]

3359.

Recd the [illegible]/70

PENSION REPORT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
The 16 June 1870.

1. Regr of application
for S. [illegible]
29th April/70

To
The Collector
Bellary

The Undersigned has the honor to return the papers connected with the

Pension ~~Gratuity~~ ~~Compassionate Allowance~~ case noted in the Margin, received with letter Memo. dated 28th April/70 No. 361 for want of Nos. 3 & 39

It is particularly requested that an EARLY reply may be sent, and that this Memo. be re-transmitted.

F. Lushington
for Examiner of Claims.

1. Detail, with dates, of local posts. } Vide paras. 133-134-135 and 141, of chapter III of the
2. „ „ of pay. } Civil Auditor's Manual.
3. „ of leaves enjoyed other than Privilege or preparatory, during the whole period of Service, vide Government Notification, published at page 540, 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1863.
4. Full name in item 1 of the Register of application.
5. Certificate of age attested by Head of Department.
6. „ that alleged services from to are proved from the records.
7. „ of past character, vide page 99, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1862.
8. Explanation of apparent breaks.
9. „ „ degradation.
10. Insertion of desired place of payment.
11. Printed form of application for under the new Rules published at page 631, of the 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
12. Printed form of application for gratuity under the old Rules, which can be had from the Superintendent of Stationery.
13. One Register (printed) of all applications for gratuity should be sent to this Department, as required under the recent Orders of Government, instead of the separate manuscript Registers for each individual, herewith returned.
14. Insertion in item 15 of the Register of all descriptive marks agreeably to Notification published at page 802, of 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1860.
15. Insertion in the Leave Statement, of the period of absence with loss of full and half pay, respectively, during the period of
16. Insertion (in red ink) in the detailed Statement of service, of the period during which the applicant without employ by
17. Insertion in of the Register, of the proposed amount of Gratuity.
18. Information as to whether the applicant received any gratuity for past service in consequence of the abolition of his Office on the if so, a Copy of the order sanctioning such gratuity must be furnished.
19. For deletion of Remarks at foot of the Register, if the applicant did not receive any gratuity.

For the deletion of grounds of retirement, &c., other than those required under N. B. at foot of the Register.

Discharge Certificate from the Superintendent of Police showing the period of the applicant's employment in the new Police, and why his services were dispensed with.

Pension [illegible]/70

22. Testimonials of character or Certificates given to applicant by the Head of an Office, or, (in the absence of such Certificates,) an affidavit signed before a Magistrate, in support of the applicant's service from to which cannot be proved from the Official records.

23. Explanation of the cause of delay in submission of application until when the applicant appears from the statement of service to have ceased to do duty on the

24. Explanation why the applicant was retained in Government service from to when the Medical Certificate was dated vide Government Notification, published at pages 603 and 473, 1st and 2nd Volumes, respectively of the Official Gazette for 1866.

25. Explanation why the applicant was recommended in of the Register, for a gratuity of Rupees equal to months salary, when he is eligible to the gratuity of Rupees equal to months salary under the

Vide Inspector General's Circular No. 1517, dated 25th April 1868, Indian Medical Department.

26. Medical Certificate in prescribed form showing the applicant's inability to serve longer.

a. Vide Government Notifications, published at page 740 and 632, Volume 1 of Gazette for 1858 and 1863, respectively.

b. Agreeably to the Orders of Government, dated 14th June 1866, No. 224, as the applicant's service exclusive of the periods

amounts to

c. Vide Government Notification, published at page 705, 1st Volume, and page 450, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1865 and 1866, respectively.

27. Explanation why Medical Certificate is dated after the applicant ceased to do duty, instead of on, or before, his retirement from Government service.

28. Transmission of the true Copy of the order of

29. Translation of the orders of under which the applicant as the cause of should be shown in this Office report to Government.

30. Explanation as to whether the applicant applied for during his life, if so, when he applied, and when he died.

31. Explanation as to whether the applicant's heirs applied for the arrears of due to the applicant up to the date of decease, together with date of application for

32. Insertion in the 1st and 2nd sides of the Register, of the correct periods of years

as pencilled, instead of years.

33. Insertion in the Register of application as to whether the applicant for Compassionate allowance has been employed since the date of the abolition of Office in , and whether holds any employment at present.

34. English Translation of affidavit to be given, on the back of the same (herewith returned,) so as to enable this Department to report its tenor to Government.

35. Signature of the applicant, to be affixed at foot of affidavit in

36. Transmission of the Printed Memo, from this Department dated as required therein, as the cannot be disposed of without it.

37. Insertion in Clause 3 of item No. 7 in the 1st side of the Register, of the date, and No. of the Order of Government, under which the applicant was thrown out of employ by reduction of Establishment.

38. For deletion of the applicant's service of years before 22 years of age in item No. 9 of the 1st side, and at foot of the 2nd side of the Register, as the entire service rendered by up to

are required to be shown in the case of Compassionate allowance.

39. Explanation why the applicants name as Police Ameen, Ramandroog does not appear in the Annual Returns on record in this office from 1854 to 58.

118

Register *of an application for Superannuation Pension under Pension Rules published under date* **3rd May 1864**, *from the* **Establishment** *of the* Collector *of* Bellary.

1	Full name of Pensioner...	Sarumann Venkut Row
2	Sect or caste...	Madhwa Brahmin
3	Residence	Huvinhadgali
4	Present post...	Sheristadar of Huvinhadgali
5	Date of commencement of service...	8th August 1836.
6	Date of retirement from service...	5th January 1870.
7*	Abstract of the grounds of application. 1. Good service	
	2. Inability to serve longer	
	3. Reduction of Establishment	
		Years. Months. Days.
8*	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances. 1. Resigned the service from to	
	2.† Reduction of Establishment from to	
	3. Suspended from to	
	4. Dismissed for misconduct from to	
9	Total of service before 22 years of age	from 8th Aug 36 to 9th Apr 41 4 - 8 - 0 9 - 13
10	Total of service not in Pension grades	7 - 15 5 - 0 - 8
11	Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave	32 - 0 - 20
	Grand Total...	26 - 0 - 12 5 - 8 - 13
12	Total period in Pension grades counted as service	26 - 4 - 25
13‡	Age of the applicant at the time of this application...	51 years
		Feet. Inches.
14	Height...	5 - 5½
15	Descriptive marks.—	Brown Complexion, Mole on the outer portion of the lower lid of right Eye. Large Mole on right side of stomach. 2 large moles in right side of spine
		Rs. A. P.
16	Average salary of past 5 years	178 - 10 -
17	Proposed amount of Pension	89 - 5 -
18	Date from which to commence	9th January 1870
19	Place of payment...	Bellary.

* *N. B.*—The reason of the applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being left, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.

† It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year.
It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. A. P.
Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was
Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. A. P.
Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of 186

4 - 4 - 30

‡ I do hereby certify, that to the best of my belief the applicant's age above stated is correct.

Naraina ?,
Serishtadar

Statement of total period of Service.

Establishment.	Post.	Pay. Rs.	A.	P.	Period. From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.	Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts Remarks.
	[illegible]	10			[illegible]	[illegible]			3	Verified from the records of this Office The applicants service before 22 years of age, is yrs 4-5-0 & not yrs 5. as deducted by the Collector at foot of the Statement of service. His service counting for Pension is therefore yrs 27-7-0 as shown in the report in the 3rd side of this Register. W. Donald Examiner of Claims
	[illegible]	12			11th May [illegible]	[illegible]			21	
	[illegible]	12			[illegible]	25th [illegible]		4		
	[illegible]	10			[illegible] March [illegible]	30th June [illegible]				
	[illegible]	20			[illegible]	[illegible]	1			
	[illegible]	30			[illegible]	8th May [illegible]		3		
	[illegible]	50			1st May [illegible]	[illegible] Decr				
	[illegible]	85			[illegible] Decr	[illegible]		2		
	[illegible]	110			[illegible]	[illegible]		2	2	
	[illegible]	110			[illegible]	[illegible]				
	[illegible]	175			[illegible]	[illegible]	2			
	[illegible]	200			8th Nov [illegible]	[illegible]	3	10		
	[illegible]	50			[illegible] May [illegible]	[illegible]		8	3	

	Years.	Months.	Days.			
Total......				32	–	20
Deduct service before 22 years of age	5	–	–			
Deduct service not in Pension grades	–	–	–			
Deduct leave [illegible]	–	7	25			
				5	–	25
Net period of Service......				2[illegible]	4	25

Explanation of Column 8 with quotations of orders of reinstatement.	Explanation of any degradation.
Proceedings of the Board [illegible] dated 2[illegible] August [illegible] are forwarded in which [illegible] been directed to be [illegible]	The [illegible] is explained in the Proceedings of Board dated 2[illegible] February [illegible] Consequence

Detail of leave on half pay and full stoppage of pay.

From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.
[illegible] 6[illegible]	[illegible] May [illegible]			25
Total of leave...		–	–	25

Remarks by the Head of the Office on past character and conduct of the applicant throughout the service.

Generally Good.

W H [illegible]

Bellary Collector's Office,
The 9th April 1870.
E? H.H.
Ag. Collector

No. 825
REPORT OF THE Examr. of Claims

	Years.	Months.	Days.
Service qualifying for pension is proved for a total period of...	27	7	–
	Rs.	**A.**	**P.**
In the last 5 years of his service the average pay of the applicant's permanent post was	178	9	5

Applicant is eligible to a pension of Rupees 89-4-0 per mensem, equal to one-half of his average salary during the last 5 years, under Clause III Section 6. of the Revised Pension Rules, on the confirmation of the Medical Certificate by the Deputy Inspector General Medical Dept, should Government be pleased to accept the explanation given by the Collector in para 5 of his letter dated 8th April 1870 [illegible] herewith accompanying with reference to the cause of delay in the production of Medical Certificate dated 15th March 1870 2 months and 10 days after the applicant ceased to do duty.

The applicants service prior to 4th May 1869 is included in the total period of years 28 – 15 under the order of Government dated 2nd February [illegible] Pension Department.

W. Donald
Examiner of Claims

Fort St George
Accountant Genl's Office,
29th June 1870.

To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

Exd TB

PENSION 1870 DEPARTMENT.

No 653

From the Examiner of Claims

Fort Saint George

To the Chief Secretary to Government,

Civil Pension Department.

No 825

Dated 29th June }
Received 1st July } 1870.

Enclosures.

Verifies the service of S Venkat Row late a Taluq Sheristadar borne on the establishment of the Collr of Bellary.

PENSION 1870 DEPARTMENT.

From the Collector, Bellary

To the Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts.

Madras

Dated 8 }
Received } April 1870.

Register of an application for Superannuation Pension in behalf of [illegible] Venkat Row late Sheristadar of Huvinhadgali borne on the establishment of the Collr of Bellary.

With an Order No 572
22d October 70

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

Examr of Claims

Pension Department

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letters

From the Collector of Bellary dated 8th April 1870 No 361.
From the Examr of Claims dated 29th June ,, No 825.

Order thereon 22d October 1870 No 572.

S. Venkat Row, late Sheristadar of Huvinhadgali Taluq in the District of Bellary, has served for 32 years and 20 days, of which period ~~21~~ 27 years 7 months and ~~20~~ 28 days qualify for pension. He was declared unfit for further service on the 15th March 1870, 2 months and 10 days after his name was removed from the effective list. The delay was caused by the illness of the applicant. The Govt. accept the certificate but observe that the applicant

applicant should not have been struck off the establishment until the certificate had been obtained.

2. The average salary on which the applicants pension is to be computed, calculated in accordance with the ruling of the Govt. of India, 31st August 1870 No. 3154, is Rs. 178-9-5 and the maximum pension which might be granted is Rs. 89-4-0.

3. The applicants service however has not been of that unblemished character which is essential for the grant of full pension.

4. In 1861 when he was Tahsildar of Dharmavaram numerous charges of a serious nature were brought against him, and the Board authorized his suspension without pay pending inquiry, and transferred to Koodlighi, a tahsil of inferior emolument. Nothing however having been proved against him beyond laxness and irregularity, and "reprehensible conduct unworthy of an official in his responsible position" the Board considered the suspension he had undergone a sufficient punishment.

5. In 1866 the Collector recommended that Venkat Row should be again suspended as he had been complained of by the Police and censured by the Sessions Court, and had been in the habit of fining ryots

ryots illegally, but the Board, remarking that Venkat Row must have retrieved his character since 1861, as he had been made a Tahsildar of the Highest Grade and a 1st class Sub Magistrate, and that nothing had been proved against him, refused to authorize his suspension.

6. In 1867 he was suspended on a charge of bribery and convicted by the Head Assistant Magistrate but acquitted on appeal.

7. In August 1868 he was deprived of first class magisterial powers by Govt. in connexion with an illegal sentence passed by him in a case of theft.

8. He was about the same time convicted by the Head Assistant Magistrate

Magistrate of wrongful confinement, and sentenced to six months' imprisonment, but was again acquitted on appeal. The Judge however recorded his opinion that Venkat Row was unfit for any magisterial charge whatever, and characterized his conduct in the case in question as in the highest degree oppressive and arbitrary. The Board upon this considered it undesirable that Venkat Row should be again employed by Govt. in any capacity whatever; but the Govt., while strongly censuring him, thought that he should be re-employed in a subordinate position as taluk Sheristadar or vernacular Head Clerk. He was under this

this order, re-entertained as Sheristadar of Huvinhadgali on the 4th May 1869, after having been without Office for 7 months and 25 days.

9. There are found for suspecting the ~~[illegible]~~ applicant's ~~[illegible]~~ trustworthiness ~~[illegible]~~, and he narrowly escaped dismissal, a penalty which was not inflicted on the last occasion solely because it would have entailed complete loss of pension. The Govt. resolve to grant to Venkat Row, under Clause 4, Section 8 of the revised rules a reduced pension of Rs (70) seventy ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ - with effect from the 7th Jany/70, but subject to the confirmation of the medical certificate by the Deputy Inspector General, Indian Medical Department.

H.S.S.

Rs 70-

From Rs 89 to 60 - for the rest of his life is heavy - I would say 70 or 75.

J.D.S

To The Collector of Bellary
" Accountant General. with Register for entry
} Desp. 10/22/70

Pension to S.
Venkat Row

Govt. of {1870} Madras.

Pension

To the Collr. of Bellary

F.W. 764

Dated 22d October } 1870, No. 572.
Recd.

Nos.

Granting a reduced pension of Rs. 70 to S. Venkat Row late Sheristadar of Huvinhadgali Taluk in the District of Bellary

C 7 Oct 70
12/15