AS RECORD OFFICE

No. 4691. 14

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th August/61.

Read the following letter from A. Hathaway Esqr. Collector of Bellary, to W. Huddleston, Esqr. Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk dated 9th August 1861, No. 152:—

1. In continuation of my letter of the 26th June last, No. 122, I have the honor to submit a Memorandum, relative to the charges preferred against Venkat Row, the Tahsildar of Dharmavaram.

2. The investigation touching two of them (III and VII) is incomplete, in Consequence of the nonarrival hitherto of certain witnesses; but I consider suffi=cient has been elicited on trustworthy evidence to show that he is deserving of punishment.

3. As regards Charge No. I the public accounts show that he has stated falsely that his horsekeeper Yerigadu was never employed as a substitute for peons, he having acted for periods amounting in the aggregate to more than four months, between June 1859 and March 1861.

4. As regards Charge No. II Suspicion attaches to his Conduct in recommending his former dependent, Ravappa, and his relative Ramachandra Row, for land at low rates, and there are

Some suspicious features in the matter of the topes which Davappa sought to obtain, which however in Consequence of the absence of the parties, Cannot yet be fully disposed of.

5. He also has acted irregularly with regard to the Cultivation in the bed of the ruined tank at Gothur. By previous agreement the Ryots of Dharmavaram ought to have been allowed the Choice of cultivating it, and he should not have fixed the rate of aſseſsment at 3 Rupees without the sanction of the Sub Collector, who from the records does not appear to have been Consulted in the matter. His dependant, Davappa obtained 6 acres of this land; and the Karanam deposes on oath that the real owner was the Tahsildar; and that for the first year he (the Karnam) had to pay its aſseſsment.

6. The charge in Nº IV of appropriating the pay of a peon to make good the value of a lost ornament Cannot be said to have been legally proved. Suspicion, however, attaches to the Tahsildar in the matter. Moreover the records and the oral evidence show that the Tahsildar has again given in a false defence; and that he actually recommended for employment as a peon a mere child, and obtained sanction for the same.

7. Charge Nº V of borrowing money from M. Muttappa is not legally proved; but, as stated in the Memorandum, the features of the Case are not least Suspicious.

8. The Charge (Nº VI) of making the village Officers pay the aſseſsment of lands for which he has obtained Puttas in the names of K. Ramachendra Row and Davappa rests upon the sole evidence of one Karnam, and Cannot, therefore, be considered as satisfactorily established.

9. The Charge Nº VII is under investigation; and Charge Nº VIII has not been proved. As regards the former charge, however three Ryots have deposed to having paid fines one of Rupees 2, and the remaining two of Rupees 2½ each, which have not been credited in the accounts.

10. Charge Nº IX. Although there is no legal proof that the Tahsildar took any bribes in the matter, the records show that he acted irregularly in the matter of retaining Amani Mutsadis, who had been dismissed, and not appointing those who had been nominated.

11. Owing to information received during the progreſs of inquiry into the above points, a Duftar belonging to the Tahsildar, and Containing

miscellaneous papers and memoranda, was sent for from the Taluk Kacheri. In these are numerous entries (in what is considered to be the Tahsildar's own handwriting, and which the Tahsildar does not positively deny to be his own) of fines imposed on different people, and not entered in the accounts.

12. As the Acting Sub Collector, Mr Reid, is expected shortly, I propose making over to him the investigation into this matter, which, if proved, will be of a serious nature. The evidence of some of the Taluk servants will be required, and much delay must ensue if all the parties, whose names appear as having paid the fines, were to be summoned before me from so great a distance; especially as in consequence of the prevalence of Cholera, they are averse to travel so far.

13. I am of opinion that the irregularities already established against the Tahsildar merit some punishment, and for this purpose, and with a view to deter others from similar practices, I would recommend that he be suspended from office for two months. This will enable Mr Reid to complete the inquiry without hindrance to the transaction of public business, which must ensue if the appointment be not temporarily filled up.

Enclosure No. 1

Abstract. — Memorandum of charges preferred against Venket Row. —

1. From the above report the Tahsildar appears to have been guilty of gross irregularities and derelictions of duty, but the Board cannot authorize the infliction of any punishment until he is formally convicted.

2. In the present investigation enough has been established to justify the Board in directing his suspension from office pending the trial, which it is hoped may be brought to a termination with the least possible delay.

(A true Copy and Extract)

(Signed) R. A. Dalyell,
Sub Secretary.

To A. Hathaway Esqr
Collector of Bellary.

True Copy
Acting Collector.

Compd

Tr.

Petition Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following Papers:—

Petition from S. Venkata Row, late Tahsildar of Tadipatri, Bellary. (Here enter 1st August 1868 No. 1668).
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Here enter 18th December 1868 No. 8884
Petitions from S. Venkata Row late Tahsildar of Tadipatri, Bellary. (Here enter 30th December 1868 and 20th January 1869 Nos. 14 and 239.)

Order thereon 2nd February 1869.

The Government are of opinion that S. Venkata Row has proved himself unfit to discharge the duties of Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate, and that he has deservedly forfeited the confiden of his superiors. They are not however prepared to accede to the Board's proposal that he be dismissed. A servant of 33 years service of which 25 years count for pension and who has always borne a character for efficiency as a Revenue Officer ought not to be too severely dealt with on mere suspicion (fo it amounts to nothing more) of corrupt dealings.

S. Venkata Row has probably been already severely mulcted in the expenses incurred for his defence to the crimina charge. He has moreover been out of employ for four months.

The Government consider that he cannot be again employed in any independent charge, but in the arrangements made by the Collector in consequence of his removal from the Tahsildarship, the subordinate post of Taluq Sheristadar or that of a Vernacular clerk, under an efficient and upright Tahsildar should be retained for him. The Government desire that he be not permitte

to

to exercise any magisterial powers in future.

(True Extract)
(Signed) H. Wigram
Ag. Under Secy to Government.

To
The Board of Revenue
with an original enclosure.

No 1029

Communicated to the Collector who will report the manner in which he carries out the instructions of Government.

(By order)

Revenue Board office
~~Madras~~
16th February 1869
(Signed) J. Grose
Sub. Secretary

True Copy
H. Master
Acting Collector

Exd [illegible]
47

Regr 293 No 17 Hurpunhully Division General Deputy Collector's office
Camp Hadagally Dated 17th February 1870

the 15.2.70 [illegible] filed with [illegible] dated 9/4/72 [illegible]

The General Deputy Collector
Hurpunhully Division

Recd 18/2/70
No 162

J. H. Master Esquire
Acting Collector
Bellary

Sir,

I have the honour to submit for your perusal an arzi of the Hadagally tahsildar, dated 28th Ultimo, No 24, with its enclosures. In a former arzi the tahsildar reported that his Sheristadar T. Venkata Row was so sick that he ceased to do duty from 7th January and he therein recommended the Sheristadar for one months leave.

to be returned

2 Thinking that the Sheristadar might get well in a short period I deferred reporting the matter to you and I called upon the tahsildar for a further report on the subject, and the above arzi is his reply to the said call.

3. You would observe from this arzi that the Sheristadar is still absent from duty, and that he wants one months leave to enable him to proceed to Bellary for medical treatment.

4 In December last this sheristadar obtained from

the apothecary attached to your office a certificate recommending him for 8 days leave for the purpose of proceeding to Bellary and there getting a proper medical aid. I accordingly gave him 8 days leave but he did not take it at the time, saying that this leave was not enough.

5 As, however, he has ceased to do duty for more than a month, I think it necessary to appoint a substitute for him. There is none in the division who has passed the special test prescribed for the post, but if you have no objection, I would recommend the 1st class Revenue Inspector Hupunagudy Narainappah of the taluq, who is now performing the duties of VenKataRow, to be put in to act for VenKataRow.

6. I have seen VenKataRow today at Hadagally, and at last he agreed to go to Bellary on the 8 days leave already given him, and to appear before the Civil Surgeon there.

7. If, on examination, the Civil Surgeon consider that VenKataRow's absence without leave for the past one month was justifiable, he would be entitled to a moiety of pay for that period, & I beg therefore that you will be good enough to pass orders for the disposal of the other half of it.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant
P. Venkatappayya
Deputy Collector

Rt 403/4-370

W-24

#

Vide letter fol 9/4/7

Harapanhally Division General Deputy Collector's Office Coodlagy 1st March 1870

From

The General Deputy Collector
Harapanahally Division

To

J. H. Master Esquire
Acting Collector
Bellary

Sir,

With reference to your memo No 162 dated 18th Ultimo and in continuation of my letter No 17 dated 12th idem, I have the honor to inform you that S. VenKataRow Sheristadar of Hadagaly taluq, did not, as he promised, proceed to Bellary up to the present day. I met him at Hadagaly on 21st or 22d Ultimo, when he said that he would go in a few days. I now hear that he has come to this station, quite out of his way, in order to see his relation, who is a Goomastah in this taluq. VenKataRow seems to have very little regard of his own position, or he would not dare to absent himself so long not only without leave, but against my repeated orders to appear before the Civil Surgeon at Bellary. I shall again warn him against farther delay in proceeding to Bellary, if I can see him, though with very little hope of success.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
S. VenKatappayya
Deputy Collector

No 17

From,
The General Deputy Collector
Hurpunhully Division

To,
J. H. Master Esquire
A. Collector Bellary

Camp Hadagally
Dated 12th February 1870
Recd

Enclosures

Subject

Reports the absence without leave of S. VenKata Row Sheristadar of Hadagally taluq and requests orders for a substitute being appointed in his place.

Send in No 652/70

No. 24 of 1870

From thu 4/3.70

The Genl. Dep. Collector
Harapanahally

To

The Ag. Collector
Bellary

dated 1st March 1870
Recd.

Enclosure none

Reports that S. Venkatrow Sheristadar of Hadagaly taluq, still continues absent from duty without leave.

Pens. In No. 652/70

---

thu 15.3.70

I. W. Aitken M.D. Garrison Surgeon at Bellary do hereby certify that I have carefully examined Saringum Venkut Row Sheristadar of Hoovinhadgally Talug that his age is by his own statement 48, and by appearance about 60 years; and that I find him to be unfit for further Service from infirmity not in my Opinion attributable to intemperance or other irregular habits

Bellary
15th March 1870

Aitken
Surgeon Major
Garrison Surgeon

From
The Garrison Surgeon,
Bellary

Dated 15th March 1870

Certifying that Sarungum Venkat Row, Sheristadar of Hurrinhudgaly Taluq is unfit for further service from [illegible]mity.

Recd [illegible]
2

Examiner of Claims
1870

BELLARY COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.

No. 341 Dated, Camp [illegible]
Hospet 8th April 1870

From,
C. G. Master Esquire
Acting COLLECTOR OF
BELLARY.

To,
F. Lushington Esquire
Accountant General
Madras

Sir,

1. I have the honor to submit Register of an application for a Superannuation pension to be granted to Sarungum Venkat Row Sheristadar of Hurrinhadgali Taluk in this District whose services extend over a period of 26 years 4 months and 25 days as detailed in the Register and as proved from the records.

2. He has received no gratuity.

3. The breaks in his service are explained in the appropriate Columns of the Register. He was appointed Taluk Sheristadar as per Order of Government dated 2nd February 1869 which he joined on the 4th day following so that he was out of employ for 7 months and 25 days.

4. A medical certificate of incapacity for further service is enclosed.

14/4

5. Naringum Vencut Row's average salary during the past 5 years of his service amounts to Rupees 178 - 10 - - per mensem I would recommend that a superannuation pension of Rupees 89 - 5 - - per mensem may be granted him from the 7th January 1870 his retirement being dated the previous day as he ceased to do duty from that date

6. The delay of 3 months in submitting this application is attributable to the applicant being unable from illness to appear before the medical officer for a certificate.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,
W Master
Acting Collector

Replies to objections made by the Examiner of claims in his memo of objections dated 16th June 1870.

3. He has had no leave besides Privilege leave.

39. His services as Police Ameen of Ramandroog commenced on the 9th February 1855. His name is the first in the Huzur Magisterial Establishment in the list for that and following year.

H. Master

20th June 1870
Bellary

Compd
A.K.

Accountant General's Office
Reccd 24 6 870
Ansd

Ag Collector.

To
The Examiner of Claims
Madras

D.K.

Pension 1870 DEPARTMENT.
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
No. 652. BELLARY.

Dated 8th April 1870 No. 311

To,
The Accountant General
Madras

Enclosure. 6

Received, 1st July 1870.

Submits Report of
an application for a
superannuation pension
[illegible]
Venkata Row Sheristadar
of [illegible] Taluk
with records —

531

22d Octr/70 O.C. No. 572

From
The Collector of
Bellary
To
The Examiner of Claims
Madras.

Enc: 2.

Dated 20 June/70.

Replies to objections dated 16 June/70 in regard to Saranga— Venkat Rao

3359.

Pens In No 653/70

---

1 Register of Application
for S. Venkat Rao
29th April/70

PENSION REPORT DEPARTMENT.

Pens In No 653/70

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
The 16th June 1870.

To
The Collector
Bellary

The Undersigned has the honor to return the papers connected with the

Pension.........................}
~~Gratuity~~.........................} case noted in the Margin, received with ~~letter~~ Memo.
~~Compassionate Allowance~~.}

dated 28th April/70 No. 361 for want of Nos. 3 & 39

It is particularly requested that an EARLY reply may be sent, and that this Memo. be re-transmitted.

F. Luhington
for Examiner of Claims.

1. Detail, with dates, of local posts. } Vide paras. 133-134-135 and 141, of chapter III of the
2. ,, ,, of pay. } Civil Auditor's Manual.
3. ,, of leaves enjoyed other than Privilege or preparatory, during the whole period of Service, vide Government Notification, published at page 540, 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1863.
4. Full name in item 1 of the Register of application.
5. Certificate of age attested by Head of Department.
6. ,, that alleged services from to are proved from the records.
7. ,, of past character, vide page 99, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1862.
8. Explanation of apparent breaks.
9. ,, ,, degradation.
10. Insertion of desired place of payment.
11. Printed form of application for under the new Rules published at page 631, of the 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
12. Printed form of application for gratuity under the old Rules, which can be had from the Superintendent of Stationery.
13. One Register (printed) of all applications for gratuity should be sent to this Department, as required under the recent Orders of Government, instead of the separate manuscript Registers for each individual, herewith returned.
14. Insertion in item 15 of the Register of all descriptive marks agreeably to Notification published at page 802, of 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
15. Insertion in the Leave Statement, of the period of absence with loss of full and half pay, respectively, during the period of
16. Insertion (in red ink) in the detailed Statement of service, of the period during which the applicant without employ by
17. Insertion in of the Register, of the proposed amount of Gratuity
18. Information as to whether the applicant received any gratuity for past service in consequence of the abolition of his Office on the if so, a Copy of the order sanctioning such gratuity must be furnished.
19. For deletion of Remarks at foot of the Register, if the applicant did not receive any gratuity.
For the deletion of grounds of retirement, &c., other than those required under N. B. at foot of the Register.
Discharge Certificate from the Superintendent of Police showing the period of the applicant's employment in the new Police, and why his services were dispensed with.

22. Testimonials of character or Certificates given to applicant by the Head of an Office, or, (in the absence of such Certificates,) an affidavit signed before a Magistrate, in support of the applicant's service from to which cannot be proved from the Official records.

23. Explanation of the cause of delay in submission of application until when the applicant appears from the statement of service to have ceased to do duty on the

24. Explanation why the applicant was retained in Government service from to when the Medical Certificate was dated vide Government Notification, published at pages 603 and 473, 1st and 2nd Volumes, respectively of the Official Gazette for 1866.

25. Explanation why the applicant was recommended in of the Register, for a gratuity of Rupees equal to months salary, when he is eligible to the gratuity of Rupees equal to months salary under the

Vide Inspector General's Circular No. 1517, dated 25th April 1868, Indian Medical Department.

26. Medical Certificate in prescribed form shewing the applicant's inability to serve longer.
   - a. Vide Government Notifications, published at page 740 and 632, Volume 1 of Gazette for 1858 and 1863, respectively.
   - b. Agreeably to the Orders of Government, dated 14th June 1866, No. 224, as the applicant's service exclusive of the periods

     amounts to
   - c. Vide Government Notification, published at page 795, 1st Volume, and page 450, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1865 and 1866, respectively.

27. Explanation why Medical Certificate is dated after the applicant ceased to do duty, instead of on, or before, his retirement from Government service.

28. Transmission of the true Copy of the order of

29. Translation of the orders of under which the applicant as the cause of should be shewn in this Office report to Government.

30. Explanation as to whether the applicant applied for during his life, if so, when he applied, and when he died.

31. Explanation as to whether the applicant's heirs applied for the arrears of due to the applicant up to the date of decease, together with date of application for

32. Insertion in the 1st and 2nd sides of the Register, of the correct periods of years as pencilled, instead of years.

33. Insertion in the Register of application as to whether the applicant for Compassionate allowance has been employed since the date of the abolition of Office in , and whether holds any employment at present.

34. English Translation of affidavit to be given, on the back of the same (herewith returned,) so as to enable this Department to report its tenor to Government.

35. Signature of the applicant, to be affixed at foot of affidavit in

36. Transmission of the Printed Memo, from this Department dated as required therein, as the cannot be disposed of without it.

37. Insertion in Clause 3 of item No. 7 in the 1st side of the Register, of the date, and No. of the Order of Government, under which the applicant was thrown out of employ by reduction of Establishment.

38. For deletion of the applicant's service of years before 22 years of age in item No. 9 of the 1st side, and at foot of the 2nd side of the Register, as the entire service rendered by up to are required to be shewn in the case of Compassionate allowance.

39. Explanation why the applicants name as Police Amin, Ramandroog does not appear in the Annual Returns on record in this office from 1852 to 56.

Register *of an application for Superannuation Pension under Pension Rules published under date* **3rd May 1864**, *from the* **Establishment** *of the* Collector *of* Bellary -

1	Full name of Pensioner...	Sarumana Venkut Row
2	Sect or caste...	Mulkiwa Brahmin
3	Residence	Hurpinhadgali
4	Present post...	Sheristadar of Hurpinhadgali
5	Date of commencement of service...	8th August 1835 -
6	Date of retirement from service...	5th January 1870 -
7*	Abstract of the grounds of application.	1. Good service ... ... 2. Inability to serve longer ... 3. Reduction of Establishment
		Years. Months. Days.
8*	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances.	1. Resigned the service from to 2.† Reduction of Establishment from to 3. Suspended from to 4. Dismissed for misconduct from to
		from 8th Aug. 35 / 9 Apl. 43 — 40-8-0 / 9-13 / 7-25
9	Total of service before 22 years of age	
10	Total of service not in Pension grades	6-0-8
11	Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave	32-0-20
	Grand Total...	26-0-12 — 5-8-13
12	Total period in Pension grades counted as service	26-4-25
13‡	Age of the applicant at the time of this application... ...	51 years
		Feet. Inches.
14	Height...	5 - 5½
15	Descriptive marks.—	Brown Complexion, Mole on the outer portion of the lower lid of right Eye - large mole on right side of stomach - 2 large moles in right side of spine
		Rs. A. P.
16	Average salary of past 5 years	178 - 10 - 0
17	Proposed amount of Pension	89 - 5 - 0
18	Date from which to commence	5th January 1870
19	Place of payment...	Bellary -

* N. B.—The reason of the applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being left, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.

† It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year.
It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. A. P.
Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was
Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. A. P.
Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of 186 .

‡ I do hereby certify, that to the best of my belief the applicant's age above stated is correct.

Naraina
Serishtadar

Statement of total period of Service.

Establishment.	Post.	Pay. Rs.	A.	P.	Period. From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.	Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts Remarks.
	[illegible]	10			[illegible]	[illegible] May	–		[illegible]	Verified from the records of this Office The applicant's service before 22 years of age, is yrs 4-5-" & not yrs 5. as deducted by the Collector at foot of the Statement of service. His service counting for Pension is therefore yrs 27-7-" as shown in the report in the 3rd side of this Register. W. Donald Examiner of Claims
	[illegible]	12			11th May [illegible]	3rd [illegible]	–	5	21	
	[illegible]	12			[illegible]	25th [illegible]	–	–	–	
	[illegible]	10			[illegible]	30th June [illegible]	Wh	–	–	
	[illegible]	20			[illegible]	[illegible]	1	Wh	–	
	[illegible]	50			[illegible]	[illegible] May	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
		50			[illegible] May	25th Decr	–	–	–	
	[illegible]	85			2nd Decr	1st [illegible]	[illegible]	2	–	
	[illegible]	110			2nd [illegible]	[illegible]	2	–	2	
	[illegible]	110			[illegible]	30th [illegible]	–	4	4	
	Do. Do.	175			[illegible]	7th [illegible]	2	–	–	
	Do. [illegible]	200			8th [illegible]	8th [illegible]	8	3	16	
	[illegible]	60			[illegible] May 69	1st May	–	8	3	

	Years.	Months.	Days.
Total......	32	–	20
Deduct service before 22 years of age	5	–	–
Deduct service not in Pension grades	–	–	–
Deduct ~~leave~~ [illegible]	–	–	25
	5	–	25
Net period of Service......	[illegible]	–	25

Explanation of Column 8 with quotations of orders of reinstatement.	Explanation of any degradation.
Proceedings of the Board of [illegible] No. [illegible] dated 20th [illegible] are forwarded in which [illegible] directed to be [illegible]	The degradation in the [illegible] is explained in the Proceedings of [illegible] dated 2nd February [illegible] Enclosed.

Detail of leave on half pay and full stoppage of pay.

From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.
[illegible]	6th [illegible]	–	–	25
Total of leave...		–	–	25

Remarks by the Head of the Office on past character and conduct of the applicant throughout the service.

Generally good.

W. Mason(?)
Collector

Bellary Collector's Office,
The 9th April 1870.
Ed. [illegible]

No. 825
REPORT OF THE Examr. of Claims

	Years.	Months.	Days.
Service qualifying for pension is proved for a total period of...	27	7	"

	Rs.	A.	P.
In the last 5 years of his service the average pay of the applicant's permanent post was	178	9	5

Applicant is eligible to a pension of Rupees 89-4-" per mensem, equal to one half of his average salary during the last 5 years, under Clause III Section 6. of the Revised Pension Rules, on the confirmation of the Medical Certificate by the Deputy Inspector General Medical Dept., should Government be pleased to accept the explanation given by the Collector in para 5 of his letter dated 8th April 1870 No. 61 herewith accompanying with reference to the cause of delay in the production of Medical Certificate dated 15th March 1870 2 months and 10 days after the applicant ceased to do duty.

The applicant's service prior to 2nd May 1869 is included in the total period of years 28-"-15 under the order of Government dated 2nd February 1869 Petition Department.

W. Donald
Examiner of Claims

Fort St. George
Accountant Genl's Office,
29th June 1870.

To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

Ex. T.R.

PENSION 1870 DEPARTMENT.
No. 653

From the Examiner of Claims
Fort Saint George

To the Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

No. 825

Dated 29th June
Received 1st July 1870.

Enclosures.

Verifies the service
of S. Venkat Row
late a Taluq Sheristadar
borne on the establishment of the Collr.
of Bellary

PENSION 1860 DEPARTMENT.

From the Collector
Bellary

To the Accountant General or Controller
of Military Accounts.
Madras

Dated 8
Received } April 1860.

Register of an application for Superannuation Pension in behalf
of [illegible]
late Sheristadar of Huvinhadgali
borne on the establishment of the Collr.
of Bellary

With an Order No. 572
22d October 70

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

31A

Pension Department

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letters

From the Collector of Bellary dated 8th April 1870 No. 361.
From the Examr. of Claims dated 29 June " No. 825.
Order thereon 22d October 1870 No. 572

S. Venkat Row, late Sheristadar of Huvinhad-gali Taluq in the District of Bellary, has served for 32 years and 20 days of which period ~~21~~ 27 years 7 months and 28 days qualify for pension. He was declared unfit for further service on the 15th March 1870, 2 months and 10 days after his name was removed from the effective list. The delay was caused by the illness of the applicant. The Govt. accept the certificate but observe that the applicant

applicant should not have been struck off the establishment until the certificate had been obtained.

2. The average salary on which the applicants pension is to be computed, calculated in accordance with the ruling of the Govt. of India, 31st August 1870 No. 3154, is Rs. 178-9-5 and the maximum pension which might be granted is Rs. 89-4-.

3. The applicants service however has not been of that unblemished character which is essential for the grant of full pension.

4. In 1861 when he was Tahsildar of Dharmavaram numerous

charges

charges of a serious nature were brought against him, and the Board authorized his suspension without pay pending enquiry, and transferred to Kudlighi, a tahsil of inferior emolument. Nothing however having been proved against him beyond laxness and irregularity, and "reprehensible conduct unworthy of an official in his responsible position" the Board considered the suspension he had undergone a sufficient punishment.

5. In 1866 the Collector recommended that Venkat Row should be again suspended as he had been complained of by the Police and censured by the Sessions Court, and had been in the habit of fining

ryots

this order, re-entertained as Sheristadar of Huvin-hadgali on the 4th May 1869, after having been without Office for 7 months and 25 days.

9. There are founds for suspecting the ~~[illegible]~~ applicant's ~~[illegible]~~ trustworthiness ~~[illegible]~~, and he narrowly escaped dismissal, a penalty which was not inflicted on the last occasion solely because it would have entailed complete loss of pension. The Govt. resolve to grant to Venkat Row, under clause II, Section 8 of the revised rules, a reduced pension of Rs (70) seventy ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~Rupees 8~~ - with effect from the 7th Jany./70, but subject to the confirmation of the medical certificate by the Deputy Inspector General, Indian Medical Department.

H.S.S

JDS 70-

From 89 Rs to 60 - for the rest of his life is heavy - I would say 70 or 75.

J D S

To The Collector of Bellary
— " — Accountant General with Register for entry } Despd. 10/22/70

Pension to S.
Venkat Row

Govt. of {1870} Madras.

Pension

To the Collr. of Bellary

F.D. No. 764

Dated 22d October} 1870, No. 572.
Recd.

Nos.

Granting a reduced pension of Rs. 70 to S. Venkat Row late Sheristadar of Huvinhadgali Taluk in the District of Bellary.

c 7 Oct. 70
12/15