

10
13-6-36
M.R.O. 1-28400-28-11-36

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	536	Press Mark
Dated	13-10-1936	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

13-6-36

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,
12th October 1870. No. 536.*

Gratuity.

Read letter from the Collector of Tinnevely, dated 23rd February 1870.

Read also letter from the Examiner of Claims, dated 1st June 1870, No. 28.

ORDER THEREON, 12th October 1870, No. 536.

The applicants having failed to prefer their claims for gratuity until a year after the date fixed for the reception of such claims, the Government decline to entertain the present applications.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Acting Collector of Tinnevely.
Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.

N: 424.

Juniorly Collector Circuit Cutchery
Courtallam 5th September 1870.

From C. J. Longley Esq. 3
A. Collector

To The Honourable W. S. Ellis Esq.
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George
Cotacmandu.

Sir,

In reply to Official Memorandum
N: 40 dated 3rd August 1870, I have the
honour to state that Subramania Pillai
and Narayana Pillai, the individuals
therein referred to, applied for gratuity
on the 2nd April and 10th March 1869
respectively.

I have the honour to be
Sir

Your Most Obedt Servt

C. J. Longley

A. Collector

7/3/20 Off Memo No 40
 Kunderaj & Co is directed
 to request that the Collyer
 of Pinacolly will with
 reference to his application
 for gratuity in behalf
 of two individuals born
 on his last report the
 dates on which they
 respectively applied for
 a gratuity
 Maizur No 257 of 20/7/20
 + Subramanyapillai
 Narayana Pillai

1870 Insam 24th
 From Mr. J. P. L.
 He of Collyer
 To the Chief Secretary
 to Government
 at St. Peter
 24th 5th 1870
 24th 1st September 1870
 24th 1st 1870
 Enclosure
 No.
 Having that Subramanyapillai
 Narayana Pillai
 the individual referred to
 in Off Memo No 40 of 20/7/20
 is dated 1870, applied for
 Gratuity on 20th Sept 1870
 1st March 1870 respectively
 G. No 56

The services of the within mentioned individuals having been verified from the records of the Collector's Office, they will be eligible to the amount of gratuity set opposite to their names in column II of the register under the old rules, should Government be pleased to accept the explanation given in the register regarding the delay in submission of application.

H. Donald
Examiner of Claims.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,

Sutcliffe's Office,

1st June 1877.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

STATEMENT OF TOTAL PERIOD OF SERVICE.													Total period of service of each person.	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances, and under what authority reinstated.	Explanation of any degradation.	Abstract of the grounds of application.	Remarks by the Heads of the Office on the past character and conduct of the applicants.	Proposed amount of gratuity.			Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts' Remarks.
Name of the person for whom the gratuity is applied.	Establishment.	Post.	Pay.			Period.			Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.	Yrs.						Ms.	Ds.	Rs.	
			Rs.	A.	P.	From	To	Yrs.					Ms.	Ds.							
Subramana Pillai	Collector's Office	Tarapur	7	-	-	9 June 1861	22 Oct 1861	2	9	12											
		Do of the same	7	-	-	22 Oct 1861	22 July 1862	3	9	7											
		Do of the same	7	-	-	22 July 1862	9 June 1867	3	10	9											
		Do of the same	7	-	-	10 June 1867	30 Sep 1868	14	5	20											
Paragona Pillai	Do	Revenue	3	8	-	9 May 1867	12 April 1868	21	11	5											
1. The above services are borne out by the Papers &c 2. The delay is owing partly to the applicants not having appeared to give the necessary information regarding their services and partly to search having had to be made into the Papers &c both of Benjain and Taliga to ascertain the correct period of their services 3. The above applicants were not at any time employed in any Department after the year 1861 when they were discharged.																					
													Total			63					

- The above services are borne out by the *Revenue*
- The delay is owing partly to the applicants not having appeared to give the necessary information regarding their services and partly to some delay having had to be made into the *Revenue* both of *Revenue* and *Revenue* to ascertain the correct period of their services.
- The above applicants were not at any time employed in any department after the year 1861 when they were discharged.

Total 63

Sancti Collector's Office,
28 February 1861
The

It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year 1861.
It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. *As* P.
Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was
Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. *As* P.
Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of

Accountant General's Office
Recd 28
Ans'd 2 1861

Edw. C. Dwyer
Deputy Collector
By Collector

