

15-4-31
U.S.G. 1-22,400-22-11-20

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	528	Press
		Via.
Dated	3/4	18

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 280.

FROM

THE HON. R. S. ELLIS, C.B.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
 FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

I am directed to forward, for transmission to the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India, the accompanying memorial from Puducherry Sami Moodelly, late Javabnavia in the Madras Collector's Office, relating to his claims to be allowed to refund certain gratuities and to obtain pension in lieu of them.

2. Petitioner's case and the details of his service have already been brought to the notice of the Government of India in letter from this Government, No. 181, Financial, dated 9th April 1869. It was then pointed out that the petitioner had endeavoured to obtain a pension with refund of gratuity in 1865; but that the Government being at that time unable to accept refunds of old gratuities had rejected his claim, and had given him a second gratuity for his period of eighteen years' renewed service. On the 3rd February 1869, petitioner applied to be allowed to refund both these gratuities and to take pension in lieu, on the analogy of the case of Kastari Rangayya Nayadu, upon which orders were passed by the Government of India in Financial Resolution, No. 2116, dated 8th April 1868. This Government forwarded petitioner's application for the favourable consideration of the Government of India in the letter above quoted, and were informed in reply that, although the cases of petitioner and Rangayya Nayadu were alike as regarded the question of refund of gratuity, yet pension could not be given to the former inasmuch as his connexion with Government ceased on the 17th May 1860, while the rule giving pension to persons of less than thirty years' service on reduction of establishment was not introduced till November 1862. The Government of India declined to give retrospective effect to this order in petitioner's case.

3. The petitioner now prays that the decision last named may be re-considered on the grounds of two precedents which he quotes.

4. The case of Mr. J. F. Martin is in every way analogous to that of the petitioner. This case was decided in the letter, No. 2157, dated the 26th May 1863, from the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to this Government. Mr. Martin having served twenty-eight years was allowed, on the abolition of his office, to take a one-third pension though his service ended in the year 1861.

5. The case of Lakshman Row quoted by the petitioner is not an instance of retrospective effect being given to the order of 1862.

6. In the concluding paragraph of letter, No. 2157, above quoted, the Government of India have remarked that they will be ready to consider specially any cases of more than usual hardship connected with the rulings of 1860 and 1862. His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that the present is a case deserving such special consideration. The petitioner has served Government for nearly thirty years, during which period he has been three times discharged to meet the Government's convenience. The total pensionary reward received by petitioner for this service consists of two gratuities equalling, respectively, six and five months' salary, and amounting together to about three years of the pension to which he would be entitled under the ruling of 1862.

7. His Excellency the Governor in Council believes that there is no difficulty in petitioner's case, as regards his not having refunded the first gratuity at the time of re-employment, inasmuch as it has already been held by the Government of India that the case is identical in this respect with that of Rangayya Nayadu, which they have conceded. His Excellency in Council is of

opinion that the further disability under which petitioner labours of having been thrown out of employ two years prior to the date of the order of 1862 may, with propriety, be removed as a special case. He considers that, on the refund of the Rupees 330 gratuity already received by petitioner, the latter may fairly be given a pension of Rupees 8-5-4 per mensem, or a one-third pension under the old rules, calculated on his average salary for the five years preceding his discharge, to date from the time when application for pension was originally made, viz., 22nd August 1865.

8. His Excellency in Council does not support petitioner's request to be given half-pension.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
22nd June 1870.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chief Secretary.

Read again Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Pension Department, dated 4th October 1866 and 27th July 1869, Nos. 322 and 223.

Read also the following letter from P. SAMI MOODELLY, late Javabnavis in the Madras Collector's Office, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated — :—

I beg most respectfully to submit the enclosed Memorial to the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India, and humbly request that the same may be transmitted to its address, with your favourable recommendation, at an early date.

To

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA.

The humble Memorial of P. SAMI MOODELLY,
late Javabnavis in the Madras Collectorate.

RESPECTFULLY SHOWNETH.

That, having entered the public service in 1827, he was thrown out of employ by revision of establishment in 1838, and that in 1842 he re-entered the service, but had the misfortune to be again thrown out of employ by the same cause in 1860.

2. That, on the occasion of the first loss of his appointment, your petitioner received a gratuity of Rupees 210.

3. That, when he was thrown out of employ on the second occasion, he endeavoured to obtain a pension for his entire service of twenty-nine years, seven months, and six days, by refunding the gratuity which he had received in 1838; but that the Madras Government felt itself precluded from allowing the refund of gratuity, and accordingly sanctioned to your petitioner a further gratuity of Rupees 125.

4. That your petitioner had thus altogether received a gratuity of Rupees 335 for his service of twenty-nine years, seven months, and six days.

5. That the claim of your petitioner to a pension was based on an order of the Supreme Government passed in September 1860, allowing the grant of pensions to public servants discharged from the public service through revision of establishment, and who had served for twenty years or more, without the production of a medical certificate, and which rule was subsequently in November 1862 made applicable to the cases of servants who had served for twenty years. The Madras Government also recommended your petitioner to the Government of India for the grant of a pension.

6. That the Government of India have, however, declined to grant your petitioner a pension on the ground that at the time when he was finally discharged from the public service, viz., May 1860, no rules existed for the grant of pensions to servants thrown out of employment on reduction of establishment. In other words, the Government of India declined to give retrospective effect to their order of September 1860, in the case of your petitioner.

7. That, looking to the fact of your petitioner having twice lost his employment through reduction of establishment, he has not been treated in that liberal spirit which has been exercised towards other public servants who have been placed in the same situation as your Memorialist. For instance, in May 1863 the Supreme Government of India was pleased to sanction the grant of a one-third pension to Mr. J. F. Martin who was thrown out of employ in consequence of the abolition of his appointment, and who had served for twenty-eight years, by allowing retrospective effect being given to the rule which allowed of the grant of pensions, without production of a medical certificate, to persons who have served for twenty years. Under the same rule, and by giving it retrospective effect, a one-third pension was also sanctioned by the Government of India to S. Lukshuman Row who had served for twenty-four years, eleven months, and twenty-nine days. Your petitioner begs most respectfully to submit that, with these precedents before them, the Government of India have not been disposed to grant a pension, seeing that he has served longer than either of the two individuals above referred to, viz., twenty-nine years, seven months, and six days.

8. That petitioner begs to submit that, while he was discharged the public service on 17th May 1860, the rule granting pensions to Uncovenanted Servants thrown out of employ by reduction of establishment, without production of a medical certificate, was first introduced in September 1860, or about four months subsequent to his discharge. The interval between the cessation of Mr. Martin's and Lakshuman Row's respective service and the date of the ruling in question was much greater, and yet retrospective effect was given to the ruling in their cases, but has been denied in that of your petitioner's.

9. That your petitioner, therefore, prays that your Grace will consider his case favourably, and, with reference to the fact that the loss of his appointment is attributable to no fault of his, that your Grace will be pleased to authorize the grant to him of a pension equal to one-half of his salary, (on refund of the gratuities which he has received,) with reference to the notification recently published in the *Fort Saint George Gazette*, dated 2nd November 1869, page 585.

In 1859 a half-rate pension was granted to Mr. Ritchie, an employé in the Office of the Board of Revenue, for a service of twenty-eight years and nine months, and in the same year a pension equal to one-half his salary was granted for a service of twenty-eight years and seven months to Rungiah, a clerk in the Military Department of the Government Secretariat. In both these cases, as your Grace will observe, the qualifying periods of service fell far more short of the required period, viz., thirty years, in order to obtain a half-pension than the service of your petitioner, since his service was but only four months and twenty-four days short of thirty years. Your petitioner begs respectfully that the boon conferred on other servants, who, through revision of establishment, have lost their appointments, may be extended to him, and that he may be granted the pension to which his long service entitles him, a service which would soon have completed the required time of thirty years, but for his being thrown out of employ by an act of public emergency.

And he shall ever pray.

(Signed) P. SAMI MOODELLY.

Exd. J. Wright:

1870. FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

From

The Under Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Financial Department.

Dated 22nd June 1870.

Recd.

No. 280.

Enclosure.

Forwarding memorial from P. Sami Moodelly,
late Javahnavis of the Madras Collector's Office,
praying to be allowed to refund certain gratui-
ties, and to be granted a pension in lieu of them.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department, 31st August 1870.

No. 44. Read the following letter from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Finan-
cial Department, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Simla,
12th August 1870, No. 2692 :—

With reference to your letter, No. 280, dated 22nd June 1870, I am directed to say that, under
the circumstances therein stated, the Governor General in Council is pleased to sanction, as a special
case, and on the distinct understanding that the grant of a pension of Rupees 8-4-0 a-m to P. Sami Moodelly, late Javahnavis in the
Madras Collector's office, who was discharged in May 1860, in consequence of reductions in establish-
ment.

2. The pension will, as recommended by the Government of Madras, take effect from the 22nd
August 1865, and the gratuities awarded to P. Sami Moodelly should be adjusted with the arrears
of pension.

Ordered that a copy of the above letter be furnished to the Accountant-General, Madras.

No. 45.

ORDER THEREON, 31st August 1870, No. 364.

Communicated to the Pension Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. E. Stokes

Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Pension Department.

1870
Bullock 977

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525
 526
 527
 528
 529
 530
 531
 532
 533
 534
 535
 536
 537
 538
 539
 540
 541
 542
 543
 544
 545
 546
 547
 548
 549
 550
 551
 552
 553
 554
 555
 556
 557
 558
 559
 560
 561
 562
 563
 564
 565
 566
 567
 568
 569
 570
 571
 572
 573
 574
 575
 576
 577
 578
 579
 580
 581
 582
 583
 584
 585
 586
 587
 588
 589
 590
 591
 592
 593
 594
 595
 596
 597
 598
 599
 600
 601
 602
 603
 604
 605
 606
 607
 608
 609
 610
 611
 612
 613

THE
LIBRARY
OF THE
MUSEUM OF
ART AND
ARCHITECTURE
OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF
CHICAGO
1100 EAST 57TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILL. 60637

Continued from page 10

7/11/11
The same order 45-28.

Order known: October 1890/11 5528

Notified in pursuance of the sanction conveyed in the foregoing order of the Govt. of India that the Government General has requested to issue a Permanent Payable order in the name of Pundachery Swami Choddery, with a disbursement in the Chodan Collector's Office for a Pension of Rs. 4-0-0 per annum. The Pension will be payable by the Collector of Chodan with arrears from the 22^d August 1865, and the gratuitous awarded to P. Swami Swamichoddy should be adjusted with arrears of Pension.

三

- 2 The Collector of Champaign
 - " - Accountant General
 with the same for security