Number of pages. 42
Date of repair. 25-5-3
Initials of reference 1/34

M.R.O. 1—32 400—29-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 46 **~~Press~~ Mis.**

Dated 31 —— 1 —— 1840.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 159 Sydapet 15th July 1869

F.

1869
JY 6

From

E G R Fane Esq: Collector Madras Dt

To The Officiating Examiner of Claims

Sir,

In reply to your memo of the 1st Ult° on the subject of Runga Row's Pension I have the honor to submit a revised register and to state:-

1stly That the only information that could be obtained from the records of the late Chingleput Collectorate regarding the applicant's leave is that for the 3 months from 14th December 1857 to 13th March 1858 already entered in the register and there are no other records to show that he obtained any leave either before or after this period.

8

2ndly The apparent breaks on the 13th July 1830* and 1st October 1833 were owing to the reduction of Establishment Vide applicant's statement translation of which is enclosed

*Not 1828 as before entered Vide Salem Collector's letter dated 24th April 1869 No 295 with enclosure since received (to be returned)

3rdly In explanation of the question in No 24 I beg to annex extract from the report received from the acting Sub Collector dated 5th Instant No 59.

"The acting Zillah Surgeon's Certificate dated 28 Novr was received by me on the 2d Idem as it required the counter signature of the Dy Inspector General of Hospitals who was then shortly expected to visit Chingleput the applicant was relieved from duty on the 8th Decr to enable him to appear before that officer which he accordingly did on the 11th December at Chingleput & obtained the counter signature of the Dy Inspector General. I considered this to be the real date of the medical certificate as it was of no value without that officer's counter signature. After this he did no duty. I may add that from my knowledge of the man's apparent good health I doubted whether he would succeed in obtaining the Dy Inspector Genl's counter signature especially as I knew that his application for a sick certificate was an after thought & that he originally intended to retire without it"

4thly The required copies of order of the Board of the 22d November 1861 No 6462 & the Collector's letter of the 8th November 1865 No 664 on the subject of the applicant's reinstatement are also enclosed.

5thly The correct periods of years have been inserted in items 9 & 10 in the 1st and at foot of the 2d sides of the register.

6thly The applicant's name is shewn under the head "Temporary servants" in the Moyen Zabita returns from 1837 to 1840 forwarded by the late Chingleput Collector to the late Civil paymaster Camp.

7thly and lastly I beg to annex extract also from the Sub Collector's report in explanation of the point raised in your memo and the periods of his suspension are now shewn as breaks in the statement of his service

"The periods of suspension were entered in their appropriate places in the printed form. I was not aware that they are regarded as breaks in the service and therefore they were not shewn as such in the statement of service. Applicant received neither salary nor subsistence allowance for those periods."

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servt

Collector

1869

From

The Collector of
Madras District

Dated 15th } July 1869
Recd.

No. 159
(1 Enclosd.)

Submits a revised
register of application
of V. Rangarow for
superannuation Pension

Pensn 29/7/69

Salem Collector's Office
Salem 24th April 1869.
Despatched 28th April 1869

No. 295 From

C. N. Pochin Esq.
Collector of Salem.

To

G. Fane Esq.
Collector of Madras Dist.

Sir,

I have the honor, with reference to your letter No. 168, dated the 1st instant, to inclose you a copy of a letter from my Treasury Dy. Collector and its inclosures from which you will be able to gather the information which you require as to the entertainment of Vadyala Renga Row as a Sayer Nevasinda of the Krishnagherry Taluq in my District.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servant
Chas. Pochin
Collector

Compd. T.B.

Salem Dy. Collector's Office
No. 148. 15th April 1869

From
The Deputy Collector
in charge of the Division
Salem.

To
The Collector of
Salem.

Sir, With reference to your Official Memo dated 5th Instant No. 241 I have the honor to forward the service List of Vaidyala Ranga Row late Sayer Nivisindar of Kistnaghery Taluq who is now a Taluq Sheristadar in the Madurantakam taluq in the Madras District.

Service
Salem D.

Encl. No. 4

From No. 384 Rev.
The Collector of
Salem
To
The Collector of
Madras
Dated 24th April /69
Received 29th
No. 295
Enclosures 2

Furnishing the required information regarding the services of Vadayala Ranga Row a Sayer Nevaindar of Kistnaghiri Taluq.
29/4/69.

2. The Mohinzabita of this Office shows that the above individual has served in this District from 1st May 1828 to 12th July 1830 and not from 13th January 1827 to 12th July 1828 as stated in Mr. Jane's letter dated 1st April 1869 No. 168.

3. His name is entered in the Mohinzabita as Runga Row and the prefix Vaidula is not given.

I have &c

(Sigd) J. W. Johnston
Dy. Collector

(True Copy)

C. W. Porter
Collector

Compd M.C.

List shewing the period of service of Vaidula Runga Row late Sayer Navisindar of Kistnagheri Talug in the District of Salem.

	years	M.	D.
As Sayer Navisindar of Kistnagheri Talug from 1st May 1828 to 12th July 1830	1	2	12
Total	1	2	12

Salem Dy. Collr's Office
15th April 1869

(Signed) J. W. Johnston
Dy. Collector

(True Copy)

C. W. Porter
Collector

Compd M.C.

Madras Collector's Office
No. 664. Saidapet 8th November 1865.

From,
The Collector of Madras.

To,
The Acting Sub Collector of Madras.

Sir,

With reference to Mr. Webster's letter dated the 16th Ultimo No. 182, I have the honor to state that I have received an appeal Petition from Runga Row the Taluq Sheristadar of Madarantakum and in conjunction with Mr. Banbury have re-considered the matter and am disposed to think that the term of suspension may be reduced from three to one month, as Runga Row appears to be one of the best accountants in the Sub Division and to have generally prepared his accounts accurately though on the occasion for which he was suspended, he was doubtless negligent. I therefore request you will be good enough to issue orders to the Taluq accordingly. Rama Row's appointment as Acting

Sheristadar should take effect from the date on which Runga Row was suspended to enable him to draw full pay for the whole month.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most Obedt. Servant,

(Signed) E. Fane
Collector

(True copy)

J. Richardson
Dy. Collector for
Collector

152

Order
for one months
Subsistence
1865

Enclosure No. 6

Pens 929/69.

Statement made by V Rungarow the late Sheristadar of the Madarantakum Talug before the Town Sub Magistrate of Conjeveram.

I was thrown out of employ on three different occasions in consequence of reduction of establishment, viz, from 13th July 1828 to 3d August 1833, from 30th September 1833 to September 1836, and from 31st December 1840 to April 1841, and not in consequence of resignation and dismissal.

(Signed) Rungarow
late Sheristadar
Madarantakum Talug.

" C Vencatasoobbien
Dy. Tahsildar

(True Translation)

H Richardson
Dy Collr for Collector.

Pens 929/69.

Enclos: No 7.

Translation of affidavit

1 Reg of appn for pension for Ranga Rao & 16 April/69
1 Med Certificate of 20 Nov/68

2

192

No. 48—26-4-69—200.

PENSION REPORT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
The 1st June 1869.

To
THE Collector of
Madras

The undersigned has the honor to return the papers connected with the

Pension.....................
~~Gratuity~~.....................
~~Compassionate Allowance~~..
} case noted in the margin, received with letter ~~Memo.~~

dated 16th Apl/69 No. 77 for want of Nos. 3- 8 ~~24~~ 28- 32 39 and 40

It is particularly requested that an EARLY reply may be sent, and that this Memo. be re-transmitted.

Tudor Trevor
Offg Examiner of Claims.

1. Detail, with dates, of local posts. } Vide paras. 133-134-135 and 141, of chapter III of the
2. ,, ,, of pay. } Civil Auditor's Manual.
3. ,, of leaves enjoyed other than Privilege or preparatory, during the whole period of Service, vide Government Notification, published at page 540, 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1863.
4. Full name in item 1 of the Register of application.
5. Certificate of age attested by Head of Department.
6. ,, that alleged services from to are proved from the records.
7. ,, of past character, vide page 99, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1862.
8. Explanation of apparent breaks on ~~the~~ 13 July/28 and 1 Sept/30
9. ,, ,, degradation.
10. Insertion of desired place of payment.
11. Printed form of application for under the new Rules published at page 631, of the 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
12. Printed form of application for gratuity under the old Rules, which can be had from the Superintendent of Stationery.
13. One Register (printed) of all applications for gratuity should be sent to this Department, as required under the recent Orders of Government, instead of the separate manuscript Registers for each individual, herewith returned.
14. Insertion in item 15 of the Register of all descriptive marks agreeably to Notification published at page 802, of 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
15. Insertion in the Leave Statement, of the period of absence with loss of full and half pay, respectively, during the period of
16. Insertion (in red ink) in the detailed Statement of service, of the period during which the applicant without employ by
17. Insertion in of the Register, of the proposed amount of Gratuity
18. Information as to whether the applicant received any gratuity for past service in consequence of the abolition of his Office on the if so, a Copy of the order sanctioning such gratuity must be furnished.
19. For deletion of Remarks at foot of the Register, if the applicant did not receive any gratuity,
20. For the deletion of grounds of retirement, &c., other than those required under N. B. at foot of the Register.
21. Discharge Certificate from the Superintendent of Police shewing the period of the applicant's employment in the new Police, and why his services were dispensed with.

No 262

22. Testimonials of character or Certificates given to applicant by the Head of an Office or, (in the absence of such Certificates,) an affidavit signed before a Magistrate, in support of the applicant's service from to which cannot be proved from the Official records.

23. Explanation of the cause of delay in submission of application until when the applicant appears from the statement of service to have ceased to do duty on the

24. Explanation why the applicant was retained in Government service from 21st Novr to 7th Decr/1868 when the Medical Certificate was dated 20th November/68 – vide Government Notification, published at pages 603 and 473, 1st and 2nd Volumes, respectively of the Official Gazette for 1866.

25. Explanation why the applicant was recommended in of the Register, for a gratuity of Rupees equal to months salary, when he is eligible to the gratuity of Rupees equal months salary under the

Vide Inspector General's Circula No. 1517, dated 25th April 1868 Indian Medical Department.

26. Medical Certificate in prescribed form shewing the applicant's inability to serve longer.
   a. Vide Government Notifications, published at page 740 and 632, Volume I of Gazette for 1858 and 1863, respectively.
   b. Agreeably to the Orders of Government, dated 14th June 1866, No. 224, as the applicant's service exclusive of the periods amounts to
   c. Vide Government Notification, published at page 705, 1st Volume, and page 450, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1865 and 1866, respectively,

27. Explanation why Medical Certificate is dated after the applicant ceased to do duty, instead of on, or before, his retirement from Government service.

– 28. Transmission of the true Copy of the order of Bd of Revᵉ dd 22 Nov/61 No 6462 and the Collr's letter dd 8 Nov/65 No. 664 referred to in the 3rd Side of the Regr.

29. Translation of the orders of under which the applicant as the case of should be shown in this Office report to Government.

30. Explanation as to whether the applicant applied for during his life, if so, when he applied, and when he died.

31. Explanation as to whether the applicant's heirs applied for the arrears of due to the applicant up to the date of decease, together with date of application for

in items 9 and 10 at foot of the

– 32. Insertion in the 1st, and 2nd sides of the Register, of the correct periods of years. as the applicant appears from his age of yrs 58 on the 30 Nov/68, to have been born on the 1st Decr 1810 and completed 22 + years of age on the 30 Novr 1832 [illegible], instead of years. 4-10-24 and 1-1-5-

33. Insertion in the Register of application as to whether the applicant for Compassionate allowance has been employed since the date of the abolition of Office in , and whether holds any employment at present.

34. English translation of affidavit to be given, on the back of the same (herewith returned,) so as to enable this Department to report its tenor to Government.

35. Signature of the applicant, to be affixed at foot of affidavit in

36. Transmission of the Printed Memo. from this Department dated as requested therein, as the cannot be disposed of without it.

37. Insertion in Clause 3 of item No. 7 in the 1st side of the Register, of the date, and No. of the Order of Government, under which the applicant was thrown out of employ by reduction of Establishment.

38. For deletion of the applicant's service of years before 22 years of age in item No. 9 of the 1st side, and at foot of the 2nd side of the Register, as the entire service rendered by up to are required to be shewn in the case of Compassionate allowance.

– 39 – Explanation why the applicant's name nor the appt of Peymash Goomastah in the Huzoor is not shewn in the anl returns from 1837 to 1840 forwarded by the Collr to the late Civil Payr. – Whether such appt is shewn in the Moyen Zabitah sanctioned by Govt for the permanent Estabt of the late Chingleput Collectorate –

Explⁿ why the periods of suspension of 2 months in 1861 and 1 month in 1865 are not shewn as breaks in the Statt of Service – Whether the applicant was paid any subsistence allowance or his salary during those 3 months under the order of the Collr or Bd of Revᵉ –

Jany 29/69

See Cor. No 2

212

I, Daniel Robert Thompson M.D. Asst. Surgeon H.M. Madras Army, and Acting Zillah Surgeon of Chingleput, do hereby Certify, that I have carefully examined Rung Raw, Sheristadar of the Maturantakam Talug; that his age is, by his own statement 58, and by appearance the same, and that I find him to be unfit for further service, from old age and infirmity, the result of which is not, in my own opinion, attributable to intemperance, or other irregular habits.

24.11.68

Chingleput
20th November
1868.

3 Dy: Insp: Genl
Indian Medl Departt
Presidency Divn

D W Thompson M D
Asst: Surgeon
Reg: Zillah Surgeon
Chingleput

22. Testimonials of character or Certificates given to applicant by the H

O. No. 46 of 1870
January 11/70

Enclosure No. 1

Madras Collectors Office
Camp Cattivak 16 April
1869

No. 77.

From
E G R Fane Esq: Collector of Madras Dist

To
W Donald Esq: Examiner of Claims, Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the sanction of Government a register of application for superannuation pensions on behalf of V Runga Rao the late Serishtadar of the Madrantakam Taluq

2 The register shows that the applicant served the Govt

ably and well and that the net period of his service exceeds 25 years: and that half of the average of his salary for the past 5 years is proposed as the amount of pension.

3 The required medical Certificate in the prescribed form is also enclosed.

4 The applicant retired from service on the 7th December last and the verification of the statements of his service and the making the necessary references into the records both at the Sub Collector's and this Office occupied the intervening time.

I have the honor
to be Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Collector

Pension 1869 Department

No 928

From

The Collector of

Madras

Dated 16 } April 69
Recd — 14th October 1869

No 77.

(Enclos: 2)

Submits for Govt. sanction a register of application for superannuation Pension for V Runga Row the late Madarantakum Talug Sheristadar.

[illegible] were returned to the Collr in [illegible] June/69–

The cause of delay is explained in the letter–

No 6462.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 22d November 1861.

Read the following letter from B Cunliffe Esqr. Collector of Madras, to W. Hudleston Esqr. Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated Sydapet 4th November 1861 No 380.

I have the honor to forward an appeal from Runga Row Sheristadar of the Madarantakum Talug, against my order suspending him from Office for six months.

2. I have but few remarks to offer on this Petition. The difference between the Shavi returns made by the late Tahsildar of Chingleput and by the village officials, and that admitted on a re-inspection by certain Huzur servants deputed by the Sub Collector, amounted to 67 ℔ cent.

3 This difference was supposed by the Sub Collector, myself and by the Board, condemnatory of the proceedings in the Talug, and the Board directed the dismissal of the Tahseldar, and all concerned in the first inspections. The re-survey took place in many instances when the crops had been

carried

carried out 1½ and 2 Months after the first inspections.

4. In the Madarantakum Taluq the same irregularities were suspected, and certain Villages were re-inspected by two experienced Clerks of the Huzur Office, and the result of their inquiry is given in the enclosed statement shewing the date of Taluq inspection and Huzur re-inspection & difference &ca.

5 It will be observed that the shavi rejected by the Inspectors out of that admitted by the Sheristadar, amounts to 79 per cent. The amount (329 Rs.) so rejected has been entered in the demand.

6 The Sheristadar pleads that the withered crops were off the ground at the period of re-inspection and that the latter took place two months after his survey.

7. The same pleas were raised and were rejected in the Chingleput cases, as the Huzur clerks drew their inferences from the stalks on the ground, stalks of straw in the Villages and other considerations.

8 In the Village of Sanur, two of the chief villagers were committed to the Criminal Court and convicted for offering a bribe to the Inspecting Officer. The Sheristadar states that this was given, to prevent the Inspector from reporting that the alleged withered crops had not been preserved until his arrival, but this declaration is incorrect. Mr Elliot reported that the bribe was given for the purpose of gaining the Inspector's concurrence in the Shavi report already submitted.

9 I have therefore no doubt that irregularities were connived at in that village by the Sheristadar.

10 Seeing then the severe notice taken by the Board in the Chingleput inspections I requested the Sub Collector to meet in a deserving manner the conduct of the Sheristadar and of the Puttamaniagars as exhibited in the results of the 2 inspections

11. He replied that he had dismissed the Maniagars and had fined the Sheristadar 5 Rupees. As I thought this is a most inadequate punishment, I directed

his

his suspension from office for six months.

12 Had his conduct occurred to me at the time of my naming him among others (to Board No. 312 of the 7th September last) as a fit person to succeed to the Chingleput Tahsildarship then vacant, I should not have recommended him.

13 He states that my order directing that produce under one Marcal per Cawni should be entered as Shavi, gave room for fraud. I referred to this order in reporting upon the Chingleput case and cannot see how it had the effect alleged. No limits will restrain parties inclined to do wrong and as formerly the practice of the District was to include as crop all lands giving any produce however small the Ryots were obliged to buy off the Inspectors whose adherence to the practice would have been so ruinous to them. I am satisfied that my order was merely just to the people and in the other Talugs in which the Tahsildars were careful in their inspections, it has not led as far as I can learn to any fraudulent returns.

14. I have not sent the five documents forwarded to me by the Sheristadar, as they will not, I think, assist the Board in disposing of the question before them.

Enclosure No. 1.

Abstract. – Translation of an Appeal Petition of the Madurantakum Talug Sheristadar Runga Row.

Enclosure No. 2.

Abstract. – Statement of Shavi Azmaish-ed by the Huzur officials in certain villages Madurantakum Talug.

The Board are of opinion that enough has been proved in the inquiry to show that all concerned were guilty at least of neglect of duty, but a suspension of two months will be a sufficient punishment for the Sheristadar, and the Acting Collector is accordingly directed to remit his punishment to this extent.

(A true copy & Extract)

(Signed) R. A. Dalyell
Sub Secretary

(True copy)

H Richardson
Dy. Collector for Collector

Register *of an application for Superannuation Pension under Pension Rules published under date 3rd May 1864, from the Establishment of the* Collector *of* Madras.

1	Full name of Pensioner...	Vaidyula Ranga Row			
2	Sect or caste...	Mardwa Brahman of Vishnu sect			
3	Residence*	Madurantakom			
4	Present post	Serishtadar of the Madurantakom Talug			
5	Date of commencement of service... ...	1st May 1828			
6	Date of retirement from service	7th December 1868			
7*	Abstract of the grounds of application.	~~1. Good service ... ...~~ 2. Inability to serve longer ... ~~3. Reduction of Establishment~~			
			Years.	Months.	Days.
8*	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances.	~~1. Resigned the service from~~ to			
		2.† Reduction of Establishment from 1st Jany to 31st March 1841	"	3	"
		3. Suspended from 16th October to 15th Decr 1861	"	2	"
		17th Do to 16th Novr 1865	"	1	"
		~~4. Dismissed for misconduct from~~ to			
9	Total of service before 22 years of age...		2	1	12
10	Total of service not in Pension grades		4	5	29
11	Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave		"	3	"
	Grand Total...		7	4	11
12	Total period in Pension grades counted as service		27	2	10
13‡	Age of the applicant at the time of this application		58	"	"
				Feet.	Inches.
14	Height			5	2
15	Descriptive marks.—	A hollow Cicatrix on the poll. A small Scar on the inner part of the right leg about one inch above the ankle			
			Rs.	A.	P.
16	Average salary of past 5 years		58	1	6
17	Proposed amount of Pension...		29	"	"
18	Date from which to commence ...	8th December 1868			
19	Place of payment	Conjeveram Madras District			

* *N. B.*—The reason of the applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being left, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.

† It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year.
It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. A. P.
Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was
Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. A. P.
Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of 186 .

‡ I do hereby certify, that to the best of my belief the applicant's age above stated is correct.

Collector

Pen 929/69.

Statement of total period of Service.

Establishment.	Post.	Pay. Rs.	Pay. A.	Pay. P.	Period. From	Period. To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.	Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts Remarks.
Salem District	Sayar Nisiwe of Kistnagherry Taluq	5	4	-	1 May 1828	12th July 1830	2	1	12	These services cannot count for Pension or gratuity as the applicant served for years 2.2.12 before his attaining 22 years of age, and years 4.5-29 was of a temporary nature
Chingleput District	Guft Mooshariff of Sirumathom	7	-	-	3rd Augt 1833	30th Sept 1833	-	1	29	
	Pymash Gumasta Huzur	14	-	-	1 Sept 1836	31st July 1840	3	11	-	
	Do	21	-	-	1 Augt 1840	31st Decr 1840	"	5	"	
	Sayar Sumprity Huzur	17	8	-	1st April 1841	15th July 1843	2	3	15	
	11th Gumasta Huzur	21	-	-	16th July 1843	9th Feby 1845	1	6	25	Verified from the records of this Office The Collector having entered years 2-1.12 for years 2.2.12 from 1st May 1828 to 12th July 1830 the applicant's total service is years 34-1-21 and not years 34-"-21. Examiner of Claims
	5th Do	24	8	-	10th Feby 1845	31st May 1847	2	3	19	
	Abkary Head Gumastah	28	-	-	1st June 1847	30th April 1848	"	11	-	
	Revenue Head Gumasta Do	50	-	-	1st May 1848	14th Oct 1856	8	5	14	
	Asst Tahsildar of Periapaleiu Taluq	85	-	-	15 Oct 1856	8th Decr 1856	"	1	25	
	Revenue Head Gumasta Huzur	50	-	-	9th Decr 1856	30th April 1860	3	4	23	
Madras District	Head Vernacular Accountant Do	45	-	-	1st May 1860	10th Decr 1860	"	7	10	
	Serishtadar Madurantakam Taluq	55	-	-	11th Decr 1860	15th Oct 1861	"	10	6	
		55	-	-	16th Decr 1861	16th Oct 1865	3	10	2	
	Do	60	-	-	17th Novr 1865	7th Decr 1868	3	"	21	
						Total......	34	1	21	

	Years.	Months.	Days.
Deduct service before 22 years of age	2	~~2~~ 1	12
Deduct service not in Pension grades	4	5	29
Deduct leave	"	3	"
	6	10	11
Net period of Service......	27	2	10

Explanation of Column 8 with quotations of orders of reinstatement.	*Explanation of any degradation.*
The applicant was on two occasions suspended for neglect of duty & reinstated - vide Board's Proceedings dated 22nd November 1861 No 6462 and the Collector's letter dated 8th November 1865 No 664 herewith accompanying	While in receipt of 50 Rs the applicant was deputed to act as the Tahsildar of the Periapaleiu Taluq in an acting arrangement & on his rejoining his post his pay was reduced in consequence of the introduction of Mr Pelly's Scale on the revision of Revenue establishment - But he really suffered no degradation

Detail of leave on half pay and full stoppage of pay.

From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.
Leave on half pay				
14th December 1857	13th March 1858	"	3	-
	Total of leave...	-	3	.

Remarks by the head of the Office on past character and conduct of the applicant throughout the service.

Runga Row did his duty during the 8 months he worked under me. He is a good Accountant & has been consulted by me with success on different revenue questions as well as on subjects more closely allied to his proper duties. The opinions of my predecessor are recorded below.

A good officer & Accountant

1 May 1864 (Sd) P P Hutchins Ag Sub Collr

An able man. I have been well satisfied with him

30: 7-65 (Sd) J R Cockerell Ag Sub Collr

Madras Dist Collector's Office,
~~The~~ 15th July 1869.

Collector

No. 1170

REPORT OF THE Examiner of Claims

	Years.	Months.	Days.
Service qualifying for pension is proved for a total period of...	27	2	10
	Rs.	A.	P.
In the last 5 years of his service the average pay of the applicant's permanent post was	58	"	7

Applicant ~~is~~ will be eligible to a pension of Rupees 29 - " - " per mensem, equal to a moiety of his average salary during the last 5 years, under Clause III of Section 6 of the Revised Pension Rules. from 8th December 1868,

Should Government be pleased to accept the explanation given by the Acting Sub Collector, with reference to the applicant's retention in Government employ from 21st November to 7th December 1868, when Medical Certificate given by the Zillah Surgeon showing his incapacity for further performance of duties, was dated 20th November 1868.

Should Government however, treat this case agreeably to their Notification published at pages 603 and 473 1st and 2nd Volumes of the Official Gazette for 1866, and at page 519 - 1st Volume of the said Gazette for 1869, the applicant will be eligible to a pension of Rupees 29 per mensem from 21st November 1868 for his service of years 27-1-23 up to 20th November 1868 and the applicant's salary from 21st November to 7th December 1868 will fall to be recovered from the 1st payment of pension.

Statement of total period of Service.

Fort Saint George
Accountt. Generals Office,
9th October 1869.

To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

PENSION 1869 DEPARTMENT.

No 929

From the Examiner of Claims

Fort Saint George,

To the Chief Secretary to Government,

Civil Pension Department.

No 1170

Dated 9 }
Received 14 } October 1869.

Enclosures.

Verifies the service

of V. Runga Row

late a Talug Sheristadar

borne on the establishment of the Collr

of the Madras District

O. No 46

31st January /70.

PENSION 186 DEPARTMENT.

From the

To the Accountant General or Controller

of Military Accounts.

Dated }
Received } 186 .

Enc 6. No 3

Register of an application for Superannuation Pension in behalf

of

late

borne on the establishment of the

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter

From the Collector of Madras. Here enter 16th April /69 No 77.

Examiner of Claims — — 1st Octr /69 No 1170.

Order thereon 31st January 1870 No 46.

Excluding service before 22 years of age and temporary service Vaidyula Runga Row, late Shreistadar of the Madurantikum Talug, Madras district, on whose behalf the foregoing application has been submitted, has served for pension up to the 20th Novr 1868 when he was declared unfit for further service, 27 years, 1 month and 23 days. He was suspended in 1861 by the Collector for six months for conniving at

I think with Mr
Ellis that we had better
[illegible] the Pension

[illegible] cont — vide para 2

I have passed this draft ~~but because~~ but doubt the policy of the course at a fraud by which shavi remission was obtained; but the Board considering it proved that he was guilty of at least neglect of duty" & reduced the period of suspension to two months. Runga Row was again suspended in 1865 for neglect of duty.

2. The Examiner of Claims reports that Runga Row is eligible to a monthly pension of Rs 29; but the Rt Hon'ble the Governor-in-Council having regard to the circumstances attending his suspension does not consider that the maximum rate of pension should be granted, and resolves to sanction a reduced pension of Rs 27 per mensem to be paid, as requested, at the Taluq Treasury of Conjeveram, and with effect from the 7th Decr 1868, the date on which Runga Rao's name was removed from the Effective list

3. The applicant though certified on the 20th Novr /68 by the Zilla Surgeon of Chinglepnt to be unfit for further service was retained by the Ag Sub: Collector and permitted to draw pay till the 7th December following. The Ag Sub: Collector states that the Zilla Surgeon's certificate was received by him on the 24th Novr but that as the certificate required the confirmation of the Dy Inspr Genl who was shortly expected at Chinglepnt and as he knew that the applicant was apparently in good health and originally intended to retire without medical certificate, he retained him in the service till the 7th Decr when he relieved him from duty, in order that he might repair

to

indicated in the concluding para, under which a fine is imposed on the Ag MMM. for an error in his procedure. These small fines create intense dissatisfaction and discontent

If an officer persistently neglects a branch of his duties, serious notice should be taken of it, but I think a fine lowers him in the opinion of his Native subordinates -

R.S.E.

to Chinglepat to be examined by the Dy. Insptr. General.

4. The Govt. observe that it was at least unnecessary to retain the applicant in active duty and to permit him to draw pay pending the arrival of the Dy. Insptr. General, and that he might have been relieved [illegible] [illegible], though not formally struck off the establishment in the interval. The Dy. Sub. Collector should not have acted on his own opinion of the applicant's health, in opposition to the certificate of the Zilla Surgeon, and in permitting him to draw pay after receipt of that certificate, violated the Orders of Govt. notified under date 4th Oct. 1866 in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

Cancelled

O 7th Feb 1869 281
17th Jan 69 No 183
22 [illegible] 69 No 108
[illegible]

5. The pension will be paid to the applicant from the 8th Decr. 1868, & the difference between the pay & pension from the 21st Nov. to the 7th Decr. inclusive will be recovered from the Dy. Sub. Collector.

Hcl

To the Collector of Madras District
" " Accountant General
With Register for entry

Desd. 31/1/70

Govt. of {1870} Madras.

Pension

To

The Collector of
Madras

Dated January 31st } 1870, No 46
Recd.

Nos.

Granting a reduced Pension of Rs. 27 to V. Runga Row late Sheristadar of Madurantakum Talug.

C. H. Jy 1870
R 12 [illegible]