1. Number of pages 40
2. Date of repair 8-6-36
3. Initials of Referencer

M.R.O. 1—32,400—22-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	434	Press Mis.
Dated	30-8—	1870.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

8-6-36

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,
30th August 1870.

Read the following papers :—

From the Collector of Tinnevelly, dated 29th June 1869.
Report of the Examiner of Claims, dated 6th December 1869, No. 1467.

Order thereon, 30th August 1870, No. 434.

The Government agree with the Examiner of Claims that the applicant's service on the Devastanam establishment does not qualify for pension; and accordingly his net eligible service is reduced to eleven years, one month, and one day, which entitles him to a gratuity of Rupees (275) two hundred and seventy-five. The payment of this sum to Kantimatinada Pillai is sanctioned.

2. His petition, No. 980 of 1870, will be endorsed accordingly.

(True Extract.)

H. E. STOKES,
Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Collector of Tinnevelly.
" Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

dated 3d June and 29th December 1845,

Session 1870 Despatch
No 434
Pros of the Madras Govt
Dated 30th Aug 1870

Revenue Department.

No 228.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 21st February 1846.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Submitting correspondence with the Collector of Tinnevelly having reference to the amount disbursed on account of Pagoda and Mosque allowances in Fuslies 1252. 1253 and 1254 respectively, for which the Civil Auditor requires Special Sanction. Recommending that Sanction may be granted for Rs 181,368. 11. 8. being the total amount payable per annum on that account, and for the actual disbursement under that head in the 3 past Fuslies, amounting to Rupees 548,990. 6. 1.

(Here enter 5th February 1846 No 56)

Para 1. In the foregoing letter, the Board of Revenue state the total amount of allowances payable for the Support of Pagodas and Mosques in the Tinnevelly District to be Rupees 181,368. 11. 8 per Annum inclusive of the Sum of Rupees 1676. 12. 4 assigned to two Pagodas in the Village of Karivalamvandanellur and for the Continuance of the former amount the Board request the Special Sanction of Government, as well as for the actual disbursements in Fuslies 1252. 53. and 54.

2. On reference however to the Statements furnished by the Collector with his letters dated 3d June and 29th December 1845,

the Most Noble the Governor in Council observes that the amount of Tusdeek fixed for the Expenses of these Institutions is Stated at Rupees 181,368-11-8 for Pagodas, Rupees 1524-8-8 for Mosques, making a total of Rupees 182,893-4-4, and not Rupees 181,368-11-8 as Stated by the Board. These allowances were Sanctioned[+] by Government under date 17th December 1802 and 5th January 1813 respectively with the Exception of Rupees 1,676-12-4 included in the former item. This Sum which is the fixed allowance to the two Pagodas at Karivalamvandanellur became a disbursement from the Public Treasury on that Village being incorporated with the Government lands in Fasli 1236, and did not therefore receive the formal Sanction of Government.

[+] In letter to Board of Revenue dated 17th December 1802 No. 384 para. 6.
In do do dated 5 January 1813 No. 5.

Palavanandaswami	Rupees	1,580-15-11
Ramaswami	"	95-12-5.
		1,676-12-4

3. Under these circumstances, his Lordship in Council grants the authority for the continuance of the payments agreeably to the detailed List[+] of Pagoda & Mosque allowances submitted by the Collector, amounting to Rupees 182,893-4-4 per annum, and also for the actual disbursements entered in the Separate

[+] dated 3d June 1845

Fasli.	Rs. A. P.
1252 -	186,034 - " - 9.
1253 -	180,332 - 7 - 2.
1254 -	182,623 - 14 - 2.
	548,990 - 6 - 1.

Statement dated 29th December 1845 amounting to Rupees 548,990-6-1.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 2d March 1846.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and Guidance of the Sub Collector in Charge of Tinnevelly, with reference to the letters noted in the margin.

From Collector 3d June 1845.
From do 9th September do
From do 29th December do

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. Rycroft
Secretary

(True Copy)

C. T. Longley
Ag Collector

Compd. A. D.

7

I John Simon Morton M. D. Acting Zillah Surgeon Tinnevelly do hereby certify that I have carefully examined Canthinathanada Pillai 2nd Class Revenue Inspector of the Naugunneri Taluq in the District of Tinnevelly; that his age is, by his own statement Sixty years, and by appearance about Sixty years; and that I find him to be unfit for further Service, from old age and infirmity- not in my opinion attributable to intemperance or other irregular habits.

Palamcottah
6th July 1868

J S Morton M. D.
Ag Zillah Surgeon

Revs 1094/69
30th Augt/70 No 434

appears to furnish ground for a conclusion ~~Order referred to the case of Gond appeal~~ the opposite of that arrived at by the Ex^r. of Claims ~~Fread Sheristadar of the Tanjore Dist.~~ as it shows that the service of the person to whom it relates ~~whose service~~ during the period (2 yrs. 9 m. 19 d.) he was paid from the Devastanum Fund, ~~would~~ was, as appears from the marginal statement in ~~that~~ the order ~~to have been~~ re-organized with reference to the numerous precedents quoted by the then Civil Auditor in his Report No. 2302 d/ 5th Jan 1857 on ~~Gond appeals~~ the case. — It is therefore clear that the order of 27th January 1857 is in:applicable to the present case and if the G.O. intended has been misquoted the Examiner of Claims ~~will be good enough~~ is requested to explain as early as possible & to state ~~the correct one; or submit a clear explanation of~~ the reasons which have led him to exclude the service in question from counting towards pension

Memorandum No. 8/26/1

Adverting to the Report of the Examiner of Claims on the Register of application for pension ~~made on behalf of~~ to N. Kandimadina Pillay, 2d Class Revenue Inspector in the Tinnevelly district, the undersigned has the honor to observe that the order× of Govt. in the Pension Department therein quoted in support of the exclusion ~~from the reckoning towards pension~~ of the period passed by Kandimadina Pillay as "Devastanum Gomastah" ~~contains no allusion to service "paid from the allowance granted for the maintenance of Pagodas which were not under the management of Govt." That order~~

×Pros: 27th Jany 1857 No. 17

No. 14.

From,

The Officiating Tamil Translator

to Government

To

The Accountant General to the

Government of Madra

Sir, I have the honor to return the Tam

Petition received with your letter of the 2

instant, No. 2709 - with a memo of the co

:tents of the same, in English.

I have the honor to b

Sir

Your most obedient

Walter Joyes

Offg. Tamil Translator

Govern

Tamil Translator's Office
31st July 1869

DA & AG. Office
Recd 2
Ansd } 3.15.9

DC

From

The Offg. Tamil Translator
to Government

Dated 31st July /69
Received

With two enclosures.
original Petition - and Precis
in English.

Contents of Petition (Tamil)
of Revenue Inspector Kanthaswa-
mathynathr Pillay - Tinnevelly

5611

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 414

15

[illegible]

[illegible]

20—10—68— [illegible]

[illegible]

1482

Submitted to Government with reference to Report on the Applicant's Case dated 8th inst. No 1464/

8.12.69

J. McDonald
Examiner of Claims
Acctt Genl's Office

To
The Chief Secy to Govt
Revenue Department

Report No 1419 d/25 Nov/69

Memo:

Petitioner served in Excise Department in Tinnevelly, 12th July 1837 to 10th April 1844 when the Department was abolished — subsequently held acting appointments for 2½ years — then Devastanam Gomasta from 10th October 1846 to 15th August 1863, which post also done away with by Government — without employ 5 months and 12 days — became Revenue Inspector, 28th January 1864, and continued such for 4 years 8 months 28 days — thus, total period of service 28 years 4 months.

After receipt of Pension Certificate, remained in office 3 months 18 days — invariably gave satisfaction — undertook Survey Panchayat and Commissariat duties also when directed to do so.

Service exceeds 25 years — is in consequence entitled to full pension — observes that because short by 6 months 21 days of the 5 years of Service

required

equired in the last post, he is recommended
lar $\frac{1}{3}$ (pay) pension only from 29th June 1869—
t this deficiency to same extent ma~~ke~~de up by
3 months 18 days before mentioned.—Sub Collector's
ummad dated 20th October 1868, received on 24th
f same month—then delivered over charge and
btained the usual receipt.

Begs that the above excess of
months 18 days be included in term of Service,
nd that he be allowed to serve out remaining
months 3 days, as he is able to do so. Encloses
opy of Sub Collector's Summad.

Summad

No. 441.

J. B. Fennington, Sub Collector of Tinnevelly
sends this takeed to - - - - - - - .

Deliver over charge of work to 3rd Inspector
Subbaraya Pillay—

—/Signed/

Pens. Lr No. 1093/69

O. No. 434/70

30th July [illegible]

1 Regr. appn. for Pension for N. [illegible] Pillay 29th June/69

TINNEVELLY COLLECTORS' OFFICE.
Recd 30th July 1869.

No 1377

23

No. 48—26-4-69—200.

PENSION REPORT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
The 27th July 1869.

To

THE Collector of
Tinnevelly

The undersigned has the honour to return the papers connected with the Pension ~~Gratuity~~ ~~Compassionate Allowance~~ case noted in the margin, received ~~with letter~~ on Memo. ~~dated~~ the 5th July 1869 for want of Nos. 3-14-28-32 and 39

It is particularly requested that an EARLY reply may be sent, and that this Memo. be re-transmitted.

Tudor Trevor.
Offg Examiner of Claims.

1. Detail, with dates, of local posts. } Vide paras. 133-134-135 and 141, of chapter III of the
2. ,, ,, of pay. } Civil Auditor's Manual.
— 3. ,, of leaves enjoyed other than Privilege or preparatory, during the whole period of Service, vide Government Notification, published at page 540, 2nd Valume of the Gazette for 1863.
4. Full name in item 1 of the Register of application.
5. Certificate of age attested by Head of Department.
6. ,, that alleged services from to are proved from the records.
7. ,, of past character, vide page 99, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1862.
8. Explanation of apparent breaks.
9. ,, ,, degradation.
10. Insertion of desired place of payment.
11. Printed form of application for under the new Rules published at page 631, of the 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
12. Printed form of application for gratuity under the old Rules, which can be had from the Superintendent of Stationery.
13. One Register (printed) of all applications for gratuity should be sent to this Department, as required under the recent Orders of Government, instead of the separate manuscript Registers for each individual, herewith returned.
— 14. Insertion in item 15 of the Register of all descriptive marks agreeably to Notification published at page 802, of 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
15. Insertion in the Leave Statement, of the period of absence with loss of full and half pay, respectively, during the period of
16. Insertion (in red ink) in the detailed Statement of service, of the period during which the applicant without employ by
17. Insertion in of the Register, of the proposed amount of Gratuity
18. Information as to whether the applicant received any gratuity for past service in consequence of the abolition of his Office on the if so, a Copy of the order sanctioning such gratuity must be furnished.
19. For deletion of Remarks at foot of the Register, if the applicant did not receive any gratuity.
20. For the deletion of grounds of retirement, &c., other than those required under N. B. at foot of the Register.
21. Discharge Certificate from the Superintendent of Police shewing the period of the applicant's employment in the new Police, and why his services were dispensed with.

22. Testimonials of character or Certificates given to applicant by the Head of an Office, or, (in the absence of such Certificates,) an affidavit signed before a Magistrate, in support of the applicant's service from to which cannot be proved from the Official records.

23. Explanation of the cause of delay in submission of application until when the applicant appears from the statement of service to have ceased to do duty on the

24. Explanation why the applicant was retained in Government service from to when the Medical Certificate was dated vide Government Notification, published at pages 603 and 473, 1st and 2nd Volumes, respectively of the Official Gazette for 1866.

25. Explanation why the applicant was recommended in of the Register, for a gratuity of Rupees equal to months salary, when he is eligible to the gratuity of Rupees equal to months salary under the

Vide Inspector General's Circular No. 1517, dated 25th April 1868, Indian Medical Department.

26. Medical Certificate in prescribed form shewing the applicant's inability to serve longer.
   a. Vide Government Notifications, published at page 740 and 632, Volume 1 of Gazette for 1858 and 1863, respectively.
   b. Agreeably to the Orders of Government, dated 14th June 1866, No. 224, as the applicant's service exclusive of the periods amounts to
   c. Vide Government Notification, published at page 705, 1st Volume, and page 450, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1865 and 1866, respectively,

27. Explanation why Medical Certificate is dated after the applicant ceased to do duty, instead of on, or before, his retirement from Government service.

28. Transmission of the true Copy of the order of Govt. or Proceedings of the Bd. of Reve. under which the applicant was paid from 10 Octr/46 to 15 Aug/63 as Davastanum Goomastah

29. Translation of the orders of under which the applicant should be as the cause of shewn in this Office report to Government.

30. Explanation as to whether the applicant applied for during his life, if so, when he applied, and when he died.

31. Explanation as to whether the applicant's heirs applied for the arrears of due to the applicant up to the date of decease, together with date of application for

32. Insertion in the 1st, and 2nd sides of the Register, of the correct periods ~~of years~~ of 28 days y m d 27-11-12 as pencilled, instead of years. 6-8-27 — 9-8-8 — 21-3-14 +

+ as the break in the applicants service from 11 April/44 to 9 Octr/46 (caused by reduction of Estt.) does not vitiate his service prior to 10 Octr/46—

33. Insertion in the Register of application as to whether the applicant for Compassionate allowance has been employed since the date of the abolition of Office in , and whether holds any employment at present.

34. English translation of affidavit to be given, on the back of the same (herewith returned,) so as to enable this Department to report its tenor to Government.

35. Signature of the applicant, to be affixed at foot of affidavit in

36. Transmission of the Printed Memo. from this Department dated as requested therein, as the cannot be disposed of without it.

37. Insertion in Clause 3 of item No. 7 in the 1st side of the Register, of the date, and No. of the Order of Government, under which the applicant was thrown out of employ by reduction of Establishment.

38. For deletion of the applicant's service of years before 22 years of age in item No. 9 of the 1st side, and at foot of the 2nd side of the Register, as the entire service rendered by up to are required to be shown in the case of Compassionate allowance.

39- Explanation whether any Statt. Shewing the name of the applicant and his Salary of Rs 10/- pr. mensem as Davastanum Goomastah was forwarded to the Bd. of Reve. annually or half yearly, or whether the applicant's name is shewn in the Annual returns or Monthly Vouchers forwarded by the Collr. to the late Civil Paymaster from 1846 to 1863—

Cm 9/10/69

~~[illegible]~~

No. 1780.

Official Memorandum

No. 3. The applicant had no leave during the whole period of his Service.

No. 14. All descriptive marks are now inserted.

No. 28. Copy of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 2d. March 1846, is herewith sent.

No. 32. Insertion of the correct periods have been made in the 1st & 2d. Pages.

No. 39. No Statement of the kind referred to was sent to the Board of Revenue, nor is the Applicant's name, or that of any other Devastanum Gumastahs shown in the Returns &c. sent to the late Civil Pay master, in consequence of the Salary of these incumbents having been paid from the Allowances sanctioned for the maintenance of Pagodas.

C. J. Longley
Ag. Collector

Tinnevelly Collector's
Circuit Cutcherry
Camp Strivilliputtur
2d October 1869.

DA & AG. Office
Recd 9 10 1869
Ansd

To
The Accountant General
Fort Saint George

Compd

9 OCT 1869

Accountant General's Office,
FORT SAINT GEORGE,
31st January 1870. 27

No. 105

OFFICIAL MEMO.

The Examiner of Claims has the honor to state in repl
the Under Secretary's Official Memorandum dated 26th instant No. 6 Pen
Department, that the objection taken to N. Kantimadenada Pillays Ser
as Devastanam Gumastah being reckoned as qualifying for pensi
was founded upon the fact that in the case reported upon, by the Civil Au
in January 1857 Service of this nature was rendered when the Fa
was managed by Government through the Collector of the Distri
and qualified for pension in terms of Civil Auditor's Manual Cha
III para 121 (a). In the present case the service as Devastanam Gumas
was rendered subsequent to the date when this system was abolis
and was accordingly held not to be Government employ.

The undersigned regrets that his report did not exp
so clearly as it ought to have done the difference between the tw
cases.

Devastanam Service
first [illegible] 20 April [illegible] 7 Feby 30
present [illegible]

W. Dorcale
Examiner of Claims.

To
The Under Secretary to Govt.
Pension Dept.

Pension 1870 Departme

Nº 82

From

The Examiner of H. Acc

Memo Nº 105

Dated 31st Jany } 1870.
Recd 4 Feby }

Replies to Memo from the
Department regarding Kan
madinada Pillai's service a
Devastanum Gomastah.

O. No. 434
30 Augt/70

Pension Department

Memorandum for Orders

Kantimatinada Pillai served in an eligible grade in the Sayer Department, 6 years, 8 months, and 27 days from 1837 to the abolition of the Department in 1844. After a short period he was appointed Devastanam Gumasta, in which office he served for 16 years 10 months and 5 days. The Devastanam Establishment was abolished in 1863. Subsequently he served in permanent offices under Govt. for 4 years 4 months and 4 days, from 1864 till the 5th July 1868. He was declared to be unfit for further duty on the 6th July 1868.

The

2. The Examiner of Claims rejects the applicant's service on the Devastanam Establishment on the ground that, while so employed, he was paid from the allowances sanctioned for the maintenance of the pagodas, and not by the State. His service for pension which would otherwise amount to yrs ms dys 27-11-6, is thus reduced to yrs mths d 11-1-1, and he is eligible only to a gratuity of Rs 275 or eleven months' pay.

3. With regard to the Tinnevelly Devastanam Establishments, Mr. Pelly (Proceedings of Govt. Rev. Dept. 11th June 1863, No. 191) thus writes:— "Gumastas are entertained in the Collectors' and Sub Collectors' Hoozoor Cutcherries and also in all

the

the taluks. In his letter dated 29th June 1860, the Collector states that on the 21st February 1846 the Govt. sanctioned a Tasdeek allowance of Rs 1,81,368-11-8 per annum for 310 Devastanams and the entertainment of an establishment to keep the accounts connected with them. A sum of Rs 2389-12-4 is annually deducted from the Tasdeeks and set apart on account of the Establishment. The Collector also states that these Gumastas were attending to all the devastanam affairs until the transfer of the Devastanams to the trustees, and that since then they have been employed in keeping the accounts of Government Tasdeek paid to the pagodas and that they also assist in in the ordinary revenue duties of the Collectorate"

4. The papers of 1846 contain no reference to the subject of Establishment and from the latter part of the quotation from Mr. Pelly's letter it would appear that the Establishment was simply a continuance of that kept up when the entire management of the pagodas was in the hands of Govt. Officers. The transfer of management was made in 1841, but the endowments in land were not transferred till 1846; nor were all questions connected with them settled till 1860. (Vide Pros. Rev: Dept. 20th March 1860, and G.O. 15th August 1854, No. 954) The questions which so remained over appear to have been minor ones, and the severance of Govt. connexion with the pagodas was ended in 1846, the year in which the applicant was appointed to the Devastanam Establishment. The principle which regulates the admission of Devastanam service for pension is that though the Establishment was always paid from Pagoda funds, it can be counted for pension up to the time on which the Govt. management ended; but not afterwards; and on this principle the present applicant's service must, it is submitted, be rejected. The only doubt is whether a special case should be made on the ground that the establishment was employed in the ordinary revenue duties of

Principle X

Service upon

of the Collectorate. But the information on this point is very fragmentary and vague; and even if it were in the fullest degree true that the servants in question were so employed, they would, I submit, be in no better position than volunteers, who are recognized and whose service is accepted in almost all offices.

5. Orders are requested. There has been considerable delay in the case. The applicant though declared unfit for service in July was retained till October and correspondence regarding his pay was going on till March 1869 when by a G.O. Mr. Pickle was held responsible for the pay disbursed. The application

was

Ag P.;

was submitted to the Examiner of Claims in June 1869 and to Govt. in December. In January the Examiner of Claims was asked for explanation of his report, and in February he replied. The case was intricate and a large mass of records relating to the Devastanam Establishments had to be collected; and I was not able to dispose of it [illegible] before I took leave. The papers were kept lying over till my return.

AG

It is submitted that this ground is not sufficient for making a special case - The principle is that Devasthanam service is not recognized as service counting for pension. Before the Govt. decide ~~not~~ on treating this case specially - if they are so inclined - it would be prudent to ascertain whether such cases are likely to be numerous. This case will of course be claimed as a precedent by other Devasthanam gomasthahs - & employés

RM

I see no reason for making an Exception in this man's favor & would adhere to the Existing ruling

JDS

I would not make a special case. If a pension was granted in equity part of it should be paid by the Temple funds. N.

Pension to
Kantimatinada Pillai

Pens. A. No. 434 2/70

Mr Stokes

~~C4/8 R9/8~~ C16/8 R19/8

No. 1441

37

Register *of an application for Superannuation Pension under Pension Rules published under date 3rd May 1864, from the Establishment of the* Collector *of* Tinnevelly.

1869 JY

1 Full name of Pensioner... Nelvelinada Kantimadinada Pillai

2 Sect or caste... Vellala caste

3 Residence ... Tinnevelly Cusbah

4 Present post ... 2d Class Revenue Inspector in Nangunery Taluq

5 Date of commencement of service... 12th July 1837.

6 Date of retirement from service ... 6th July 1868.

7* Abstract of the grounds of application.
- ~~1. Good service~~ ...
- 2. Inability to serve longer ...
- ~~3. Reduction of Establishment.~~

		Years.	Months.	Days.
8* How long unemployed, and under what circumstances.	~~1. Resigned the service from~~ to			
	2.† Reduction of Establishment from 1st April 1844 to 9th October 1846	2	5	29
	16th August 1863 to 27th July 1864.	"	5	12
	~~3. Suspended from~~ to			
	~~4. Dismissed for misconduct from~~ to			
9	Total of service before 22 years of age ...	"		28
10	Total of service not in Pension grades as there was break of more than one year Vide Statement of Service	4	11	7
11	Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave ...	"	"	
	Grand Total...	7	5	~~8~~ 28
12	Total period in Pension grades counted as service ...	~~24~~ 23	~~8~~ 11	~~14~~ 13
13‡	Age of the applicant at the time of this application ...	50	"	
		Feet.	Inches.	
14	Height ...	5	2	

15 Descriptive marks.— A large black mole on the right side of the belly. Pock mark on the face & a large scar ~~Pock mark~~ on the right knee & a large & a small scar on the left calf.

		Rs.	A.	P.
16	Average salary of past 5 years ...	22	7	1
17	Proposed amount of Pension...	7	7	8

18 Date from which to commence ... 6th July 1868

19 Place of payment ... Huzzur Treasury

* N. B.—The reason of the applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being left, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.

† It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the applicant on reduction of Establishment in the years 1844 & 1863.
It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. A. P.
Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was
Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. A. P. 186 .
Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of ,

‡ I do hereby certify, that to the best of my belief the applicant's age above stated is correct.

V. Vurdachariloo
Sheristadar

Statement of total period of Service.

Establishment.	Post.	Pay. Rs.	A.	P.	Period. From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.	Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts Remarks.
Collectorate of Tinnevelly	Huzur Royasam Land Custom Department	14	"	"	12 July 1837	10 April 1844	6	8	27	verified
	Devastanum Gumastah in Tinnevelly Taluq	10	8	"	10 October 1846	15 August 1863	16	10	5	This service cannot count for Pension or gratuity as the applicant was paid from the allowances sanctioned for the maintenance of Pagodas.
	Ag Rev. Inspector in Tenkasi Taluq on full pay	14	"		28 January 1864	24 February 1864	"	"	28	Cannot count for Pension as these services were of temporary nature
	Do in Tinnevelly Taluq	17	"	"	25 February 1864	2 March 1864	"	"	7	
	Do in Tenkasi Taluq	20	"	"	3rd March 1864	31st October 1864	"	7	29	Verified from the records of this Office
	Do in Nanguneri Taluq	20	"		1st Novr 1864	31st October 1865	1	"	"	
	Do	30	"	"	1 Novr 1865	15 Sepr 1867	1	10	15	
	Do	25	"	"	16 Sepr 1867	5 July 1868	"	9	20	W Donald Examiner of Claims

The above service is borne out by the Records &c

	Years.	Months.	Days.
Total......	28	"	11
Deduct service before 22 years of age	"	"	
Deduct service not in Pension grades	~~1~~	~~8~~	~~27~~ 28
	-	-	28
Deduct leave			
	~~1~~	~~8~~	~~7~~
Net period of Service......	~~21~~ 27	~~8~~ 11	~~1x~~ 13

Explanation of Column 8 with quotations of orders of reinstatement.	Explanation of any degradation.
Put out of employ on the 11 April 1844 & 16 August 1863 in Consequence of the abolition of the Land Custom & Devastanum Establishments respectively	Reduced from the post of the 1st Class Revenue Inspector to that of 2nd on account of incapacity for the efficient discharge of his duty

Detail of leave on half pay and full stoppage of pay.

From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.
		"	"	
	Total of leave...	"	"	"

Remarks by the head of the Office on past character and conduct of the applicant throughout the service.

Character good. Medical certificate dated 6 July 1868 is enclosed. The applicant who was retained in the Govt Service after this date till 20 October 1868 has drawn pay up to 31st August 1868. Vide Board's Proceedings dated 18 April 1869 No 2508. The delay in the submission of this application is owing partly to the final orders of Govt dated 22d March 1869 No 108 regarding the pay of the applicant having been received in this office only at the end of April last & partly to a careful search having had to be made in the records of both Huzur & Taluq to ascertain the period of his Service

Tinnevelly Collector's Office,
The 29th June 1869. } E. S. S. [illegible]

C. J. Longley
Ag Collector

No. 1467

REPORT OF THE Examiner of Claims

Service qualifying for ~~pension~~ Gratuity is proved for a total period of... ... ... Years. 11 — Months. 1 — Days. 1

Rs. A. P.

In the last 5 years of his service the average pay of the applicant's permanent post was ... ...

Applicant ~~is~~ will be eligible to a ~~pension of~~ gratuity not exceeding Rupees 275-"-" ~~per mensem~~, equal to 11 months ~~of his average~~ salary ~~during the last 5 years~~, under ~~Clause~~ the ~~Section~~ new ~~of the Revised Pension~~ Rules. for his service of years 11-1-1, Vizt from 12th July '37 to 10th April 44 and from 3rd March 1864 to 5th July 68 Should Government be pleased to accept the explanation given by the Collector in the 3d side of this Register with reference to the Cause of delay in the submission of application until 29th June /69.

The applicant's service of years 16-10-5 as Davastanum Goomastah is excluded from his total period of years 28-"-11 as it does not qualify for pension or gratuity in consequence of his having been paid from the Allowance granted for the maintenance of Pagodas which were not under the management of Govt as stated in the order of Government dated 27th January /57 No 17 Separate Public Department.-

W Donald
Examiner of Claims

Fort Saint George
Acctt General's Office,
6th December 1869.

To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

Exd TR

1870

Pension 1869 Department.

No. 1094

From the Examiner of Claims

Fort Saint George.

To the Chief Secretary to Government,

Civil Pension Department.

No. 1447

Dated 6 }
Received } December 1869.

Enclosures.

25/5/781

Verifies the service of T. Kandimatinada Pillay late a Revenue Inspector borne on the establishment of the Coll of Tinnevelly

---

Pension 1869 Department.

No. 7043

rom the Collector of Tinnevelly

To the Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts.

No. 1141

Dated 29 }
Received } June 1869.

Register of an application for Superannuation Pension in behalf of Kandimadinasa Pillai late a Revenue Inspector borne on the establishment of the Collector of Tinnevelly

Precedent

With an order No. 434 August

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT 30th August 1870 No. 434

The Govt. agree with the Examiner of Claims, that the applicant's service on the Devastanam Establishment does not qualify for pension; and accordingly his net eligible service is reduced to 11y. 1m. 1d. which entitles him to a gratuity of Rs (275) two hundred and seventy five. The payment of this sum to Kantimatinada Pillai is sanctioned.

2. His petition No. 980 of 1870 will be endorsed accordingly.

H.C.S

Send out at once. HCS

To the Collector of Tinnevelly
" - Accountant General } Dated 1/9/71.

Others