

No. of pages 6
Date of issue 11-6-35
Date of Reference

M.R.O. 1-22,400-28-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 106

Press
Mis.

Dated 5-3-

1870

Not to be folded or roughly handled

11-6-35

20 years service necessary to entitle him to one third pension according to the old rules. He was accordingly paid this gratuity.

3. On 22nd November 1861 Narsingarow reentered the public service and was appointed Acting Vernacular Clerk in the Office of the Head Assistant Collector, and from that date up to 1st August 1865 when he was Confirmed as English Clerk in the Collector's Office, he was in continuous service, and was paid full pay of the Officers tho' not Confirmed.

4. In the resolution of Government India J. & D. of 8th September 1869 No. 3047 it is admitted that there are cases of Officers who in consequence of their having been reemployed under Government before the issue of the order of 15th September 1862 had no opportunity of securing the benefits of that order by refunding their gratuity at the time of reemployment and a notice is given to them that the gratuity should be refunded and that they should not wait till they wish to claim the pension. I beg to submit that Narsingarow's case falls under this class by virtue of his having been re-employed on 22nd November 1861 with the only difference that he was not actually Confirmed in the post in which he was Acting, but obtained a Confirmed appointment on equal salary. If he had been Confirmed in his Acting Appointment he would have counted the Acting Service of nearly 5 years towards pension. Being a low paid Officer & as such not being conversant with the pension rules he does not appear to have been aware of the difference between

between Confirmation in the same appointment and obtaining a Confirmed appointment & seems to have been under the impression that that service would also count for pension. Narsingarow now explains that having re-entered Government Service before the promulgation of the rules of 1862 he had no opportunity of refunding and states if he had been permitted to continue in the service up to the present date he would have refunded his gratuity as soon as the requirements of Government India contained in their Notification 8th September 1869 No. 3047 were made known to him. Narsingarow further states that having sustained heavy losses and suffered much by the Cyclone of 1864 he was not in a position to refund the gratuity in 1865 when he was Confirmed.

5. Narsingarow is a steady writer, and during the period of his long service of about 36 years he seems to have conducted his duties properly. It was a mistake that he did not refund the gratuity. He still writes a clear and good hand, but having become deaf from his advanced age of 60 years, he was obliged to retire from the service. He has no private means of livelihood.

6. As Narsingarow appears to have been really under the impression, tho' mistaken, it may be that he re-entered the Government Service prior to the introduction of rules of 1864 and as such error is one he might naturally have made, I beg to recommend that the Government will be so good as to Consider

Consider this old public servant's Case and
pass to him any pension which they may
deem proper.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

L. Brown

Officiating Collector.

Recd: 23rd Aug. 1869

No 269.

1870
Pensionary
From the
The Officer in Charge
The District
The District
The District

1870
The District
The District
The District

1870
The District
The District
The District

Submitting the case of
Mottalali a Hindu
Cathedral Clerk on the
Collector's statement
once more for the
favorable consideration
of the Government.

24th 1870
A. H. 106
5th March 1870

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.
Recd again the Pres. of Govt Pension
Department dated 23rd August 1869
Read the following letter

From the Officiating Collector of
Rashtree District, (Here enter 13th December 1869)
No 46.

Order thereon 5th March 1870 No 106.
The Government cannot
not comply with the Collector's
recommendation in favor of
R. Narasinga Row as the rules
clearly bar his claim for
pension. The Government
believe that the distinction
between service in acting and
confirmed appointments in
respect of eligibility for
pension is thoroughly under-
stood; and in any case to admit
ignorance of rule as forming
a ground for special treat-
ment would establish a
very inconvenient precedent.

M. N.
M. N.

To. The Collector of Rashtree District
- Accom. sent General

Pension
 Case of Hotfulls Wain
 Engr. Row

COUNT OF 11874 GUINEAS.

Pension

To

The Collector of the
 Western District

2 1

Dated March 5th 1872 No. 116
 Recd.

Nos.

Declining to accept the
 recommendation for Pension
 in favor of R. Wainwright
 Rev. late Hughish Colebrook
 in the Collector's office
 Western District