

Revenue Department-4

N^o 425.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 22nd February 1855.

Read letter from the Collector of Madras dated 31st Ultimo, reporting on the servants connected with the Madraschum Taluk Treasury in reply to the Board's Proceedings of the 11th December last. (Here enter No 12).

The Board will not oppose the Collector's conclusion that the Tahsildar and Moorzondar were unconnected with the defalcation in this Treasury; but they consider that without culpable carelessness on the part of these officers, the embezzlement could not have taken place or must have been immediately discovered. It is stated indeed that the Tahsildar had been absent on circuit for the greater part of several months; but it was his duty to visit the cusbah occasionally and satisfy himself of the state of his treasury. To mark therefore their disapprobation,

the Board resolve to impose a fine of
Rs 25 on the Tahsildar and of Rs 15 on the
Moogoomdar.

2. With respect to the Nagdee Goomasta
who is described as being daily present at the
opening of the Cash Chest and whose duties
connect him so intimately with the
treasury transactions, there is a strong
presumption of connivance, if he was
not guilty of actual participation
in the fraud. Should therefore the
Collector deem this servant worthy of
further employment, the Board consider
it necessary that his late misconduct
should be punished by temporary sus-
-pension from office.

3. The Board trust that the
measures described in Para 7 of Mr. Paken's
letter will be strictly carried out.

(True Extract)

(Signed) B. Cunliffe -
Secretary

(True copy) W. M. T. A.
Ag Collector

7
This is to certify that I have exam-
ined Sonefavadai Letchumana Row late
Gumastah of Peracolum Talug and I am
of opinion that from old age and phy-
sical infirmity, he is permanently dis-
qualified for further active service.

His present state of infirmity is
from natural causes and not from any
indiscretion of his own.

Madurai
8th September 1868

John Cunningham Esq.
Zillah Surgeon.

Recd 10/9/68
L. R. 118.

28

69/18

No 1097 Collector's Office
Madras.
14th July 1868

De rous.

J. R. Muthuswamy Esq
Ag Collector of Madras
The Accountant General
Madras

Sir

I have the honor to forward an application for pension on behalf of Sonparadai Lakshman Row late Gomastah of Perakulom Salur -

2 It is service proved by the records.

3 The applicant only made his application on the 24th February 1868 & after ascertaining the correctness of his service from the different offices in which he served, the application is now submitted.

4 The applicant, after he was thrown out of employ, acted for short periods in certain local appointments & held the office of Shroff in the Podimanchur District under wardship. As that service does not count towards pension, it was not entered in the list of service.

5 The applicant was on the 20th January 1866 promoted by the Ag Collector to

DA & AG Office
Recd 17
Ans'd 17 1868

Genl No 2172

The Willahungan
of Madras

Dated 8th July 1868

Recd 11th Dec

Certifying that
Sone Jankadai Vadai
was late
Gomastah of
Periakulam is competent
for the service
and as such is fit for the same.

to the Office of Gumastah in the Seroimangalam
Taluk, on a salary of 12 Rupees per mensem from
the Office of Shroff of the Bodinaickur Estate,
& continued in the former Office, for nearly 2 1/2 months -
after which he was discharged & his Predecessor
ordered to revert to his former appointment in the
arrangement made by the Collector after his return
from leave - Lakshumana Row was not however
allowed to return to his former post of Shroff
or appointed to any other Office & having now
become old & infirm he has applied for pension

Lakshumana Row appears to have
served within one day of the period required to
entitle him to a pension equal to half of his
average salary, & as his general character has
been good, I would recommend him for the
usual pension

I have the honor to be

Sr
your most obed^t Serv^t

W. M. M.

By Collector

acting for him when on leave

certified that was his former post & has been forwarded for

REGISTER of an application for Superannuation Pension under Pension Rules
published under date 3rd May 1864, from the Establishment of
the Collector of Madura.

1	Full name of Pensioner...	Sompavada Lakshuman Rao.		
2	Sect or caste...	Maharatta Brahmin Vishnu Sect.		
3	Residence ...	Madura in the District of Madura.		
4	Present post ...	Gumastah in the Peryakulam Taluk. (Late Sen Karai Taluk)		
5	Date of commencement of service...	28 th April 1835.		
6	Date of retirement from service ...	26 th October 1860.		
7	Abstract of the grounds of application.	1. Good service ... 2. Inability to serve longer ... 3. Reduction of Establishment		
8	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances.	1. Resigned the service from ... to ... 2. Reduction of Establishment from ... to ... 3. Suspended from 28 th February 1855 to 27 th August 1855 } ... 6 ... 4. Dismissed for misconduct from ... to ...		
9	Total of service before 22 years of age ...			
10	Total of service not in Pension grades ...			
11	Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave ...			
	Grand Total...	6		
12	Total period in Pension grades counted as service ...	24 - 11 - 29		
13	Age of the applicant at the time of this application ...	60 years		
14	Height ...	5 - 1/2.		
15	Descriptive marks.—	Bamboo color of short stature a large mole on the right hand below the elbow, a large hole on the side of the right ear, a mole on the right cheek below the eye.		
16	Average salary of past 5 years ...	Rs.	A.	P.
17	Proposed amount of Pension...	17	8	11
18	Date from which to commence ...	27 th October 1860		
19	Place of payment ...	Collector's Treasury, Madura.		

* N. B.—The reason of the applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being left, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.

It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year. It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. A. P. Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. A. P. Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of 186 .

I do hereby certify, that to the best of my belief the applicant's age above stated is correct.

[Signature]

Pension 1860 26th Oct 1860
 From ...
 The Dy Collector of
 Madura
 Accountant of
 Madura
 Dated 14th July 1861
 Recd. 20th Nov 1861
 Subscribed on 14th Dec 1861
 for ...
 of ...
 4378.
 O. P. 1861.

Statement of total period of Service.

Establishment.	Post.	PAY.			PERIOD.					Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts Remarks.
		Rs.	A.	P.	From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.	
Madura Salug	Gumastah	14	-	-	28 th April 1835	27 th June 1849	14	2	-	} Verified from the records of this office
Do	Do	17	8	-	28 th June 1849	17 th November 1854	5	4	20	
Pernakolam Salug	Do	17	8	-	18 th Novr 1854	27 th February 1855	-	3	10	
Do	Do	17	8	-	28 th August 1855	26 th October 1860	5	1	29	
Donald Examiner of Claims										

Donald
Examiner of Claims

Total..... 24 11 29

Years. Months. Days.

Deduct service before 22 years of age

Deduct service not in Pension grades

Deduct leave

Net period of Service..... 24 11 29

Explanation of Column 8 with quotations of orders of reinstatement.

Explanation of any degradation.

The applicant was under suspension for months from 28th February 1855 to 27th August 1855 for strong presumption of connivance at the defalcation in the Treasury of the Madura Salug in which he was a Gumastah. Under the orders of the Board he was suspended for 6 months. Here enclosed copy of the Board's proceedings dated 22nd February 1855 No 425-

Detail of leave on half pay and full stoppage of pay.

Remarks by the head of the Office on past character and conduct of the applicant throughout the service.

From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.
Applicant obtained no leave except privilege leave-				
Total of leave...				

With the exception of the instance in which he was suspended, there is nothing on the record against the applicant's conduct. He is said to have been a diligent and useful public servant.

Madura Collector's Office,
The 11th July 1868.

W. L. N.
Collector

No. 1920
REPORT OF THE Exam^r of Claims

Service qualifying for pension is proved for a total period of... 24 - 11 - 29

In the last 5 years of his service the average pay of the applicant's permanent post was ... 17 - 8 - "

Applicant is eligible to a pension of Rupees 5-12- per mensem, equal to 48 of his average salary during the last 5 years, under Clause Section of the Revised Pension Rules.

India Financial Dept. dated 20th Sept. 1868 No 109774 and under para 3 of letter from the Finl. Secy. to the Government of India to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras dated 26th May 1863 No 2157, should Govt be pleased to accept the explanation given by the Collector in his letter of the 11th July 1868. No 109774 herewith accompanying with reference to the cause of delay in the submission of application until 11th July 1868 of 2 years, 8 months and 19 days after the applicant ceased to do duty. The sanction of the Govt. of India will be necessary if this pension is granted.

It will be observed from item 29 of the accompanying Printed Memo from this Dept. dated 24th August 1868. that the Medical Certificate granted by the Zillah Surgeon Madras is in the old form but contains nothing regarding the state of the applicant's health when he was thrown out of employ. It was called for simply because applicants for pension on account of abolition of office before 1863 were obliged to furnish this document.

Fort Saint George
Account General's Office,
19 November 1868.

To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

E. P. J. R.

Madras Collr's Office
12th September 1868

No 1463



From,

Sr.

The Honorable D. Arbuthnot
Collector of Madras.

W. Donald Esqre
Examiner of Claims
Pension Report Dept.
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward here-
with a Medical Certificate of
J. Lakshuman Rao, late Gunastah
of Priacolum Taluq and to return
your Memo dated 24th Ultimo with the
Register of application for pension
which accompanied it.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant
D. Arbuthnot
Collector.

PENSION 1868 DEPARTMENT.

From the *Memorandum of Claims*
Fort Saint George.

To the Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

Dated 19th November 1868.
Received 20 November 1868.

Enclosures.

Verifies the service

of late
borne on the establishment of the

PENSION 1868 DEPARTMENT.

From the *Collector of*

To the Accountant General or Controller
of Military Accounts.

Dated 14th Dec 1868.
Received 15 Dec 1868.

Register of an appli-

cation for Superannuation Pension in behalf
of *Sanjivaramaiah*
the *Gumastah* in the *Princely*
borne on the establishment of the *Princely*
Collector of Madras.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

PENSION REPORT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
The 24th Aug^r 1868.

To
THE Collector of Madura

The undersigned has the honor to return the papers connected with the
Pension..... } case noted in the margin, received with letter
Gratuity..... }
Compassionate Allowance.. } Memo.

dated 14th July/88. No. 1097 for want of No. 29.

It is particularly requested that an early reply may be sent, and that this Memo. be re-transmitted.

Edmund
Examiner of Claims.

1. Detail, with dates, of local posts. } Vide paras. 133-134-135 and 141, of chapter III of the
2. " " of pay. } Civil Auditor's Manual.
3. " of leave enjoyed other than privilege or preparatory, during the whole period of Service, vide Government Notification published at page 540, 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1863.
4. Full name in item 1 of the Register of application.
5. Certificate of age attested by head of Department.
6. " that the applicant has been under medical treatment for a period (to be specified.)
7. " that he is broken down through no fault or excess of his own.
8. " that alleged services from to are proved from the records.
9. " of past character, vide page 93, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1862.
10. Explanation of apparent breaks.
11. " " degradation.
12. Insertion of desired place of payment.
13. Printed form of application for under the Rules published at page 631, of the 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
14. Insertion in item 15 of the Register of all descriptive marks agreeably to Notification published at page 802, of 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
15. Insertion in the Leave Statement, of the period of absence with loss of full and half pay, respectively during the period of
16. Information as to whether the applicant received any gratuity for past service in consequence of the abolition of his Office on the if so, a Copy of the order sanctioning such gratuity must be furnished.
17. For deletion of Remarks at foot of the Register, if the applicant did not receive any gratuity.
18. For the deletion of grounds of retirement &c., other than those required under N. B. at foot of the Register.
19. Discharge Certificate from the Superintendent of Police shewing the period of the applicant's employment in the new Police, and why his services were dispensed with.
20. Testimonials of character or Certificates given to applicant by the Head of an Office, or, in the absence of such Certificates, an affidavit signed before a Magistrate, in support of the applicant Service from to which cannot be proved from the Official records.
21. Explanation of the cause of delay in submission of application until when the applicant appears from the statement of service to have ceased to do duty on the
22. Explanation why the applicant was retained in Government service from to when the Medical Certificate was dated vide Government Notification, published at pages 603 and 473, 1st and 2nd Volumes, respectively of the Official Gazette for 1866.

1 Reg. of appⁿ for pension for S. Lakshman Rao 14th July/88

of Hon^r the Col^l of
Madura
1868-
Dated 13th 10/11/1868
Recd
10/14/83
Enclosure 3.
Returning Memo-
dated 24th 11/1868 with
the Register (accompanying)
which forwarding a
Medical Certificate
has been forwarded

+ Vide Supp. Genl.
 Gov. Genl. 137. Ind. Depart.
 22. April 68

SL No 2073

23. Medical Certificate in prescribed form showing the applicant's inability to perform
 - a. Vide Government Notifications, published at page 749 and 631 Gazette for 1858 and 1863, respectively.
 - b. Agreeably to the orders of Government, dated 14th June 1866, applicant's service exclusive of the periods amounts to
 - c. Vide Government Notification, published at page 705, 1st Vol 450, 2nd Volume of the Official Gazette for 1865 and 1866
24. Explanation why Medical Certificate is dated the applicant ceased to do duty, instead of on, or before his retirement from Service.
25. Transmission of the true Copy of the order of
26. Translation of the orders of applicant as the cause of shown in this Office report to Government.
27. Explanation as to whether the applicant applied for during his life, if so, when he applied, and when he died.
28. Insertion in the 1st, and 2nd sides of the Register, of the correct period as pencilled, instead of years.

29. Presented Medical Certificate, unfit for further service agreeably to the order of Govt of India 320 Sept/68 No 9770 Ind. Depart. as the applicant's case cannot be brought under the operation of Ind. despatches from the Secy of State for India 424 Sept/62 No 1000, page 839 - 2nd Volume of Ind. St. George Gazette for 1862

Pens/68 Sept.
 22. 11/8.

2

Pension Department

Memorandum on the Register of an application for Pension of Lakshman Rao, late Gunmatat in the Perakolum Talug in the District of Madras.

I would grant the Pension as proposed -
 NP

Lakshman Rao entered the public service on the 28th April 1835. He served continuously up to 29th February 1855, when he was suspended for six months on suspicion of being concerned in certain frauds which had been committed in the Treasury of the Madras Talug. He was re-employed on the 28th August, and continued in the service up to the 28th October 1860, when he was thrown out of employment in consequence of a reduction of the Establishment. After

nor does he appear to
have been unfit for
further service in 1864,
four years afterwards.
It would appear that
his present physical
infirmities have only
recently supervened,
and that they have
induced him to submit
the present application
for pension.

5. The Examiner
of Claims states, not
quite correctly, that
Lakshuman Rao is
entitled to a pension
of $\frac{1}{3}$ rd of his salary viz.,
Rs 5-12, under the
orders of the Govern-
ment, dated 20th Sep
1860 No 9771, and under
paragraph 3 of the letter
from the Secretary to the
Government of India,
dated

The case does not
appear to me to be
a broken one for
pension. W.M.

26 Oct. '60. No 604.

I must do this.

A. A.

dated 26th May 1863
No 2157. The man is
not strictly entitled to
this pension, as there is
no medical evidence
of his unfitness for
further service, when
he ceased to be employed.
Indeed, as was pointed
out in the former para-
graph, there is strong
presumption to the
contrary. It is thought,
however, that in equity
the pension ought not
to be withheld, and
it is suggested, therefore,
with reference to the
proceedings marginally
noted, that it might
be conferred, contingent
on the sanction of the
Government of India.

Full. J. L.

memo regarding
Pension to Laksh-
man Rao -

(2)

List

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers.

From the Ag. Colr of Madras (Here enter 14 July 1868 p. 1097)
Report of the Examiner of Claims. (19 Nov 1868 p. 1920)

Order thereon 1st March 1869 p. 89.

It appears from the
foregoing Register of applica-
tion for pension, that Laksha-
man Rao late Gomastah
in the Puzakolam Taluq
in the District of Madras,
entered the public service
on the 28th April 1835. He served
continuously up to 27th February
1855, when he was suspended
for six months on suspicion
of being concerned in certain
frauds which had been com-
mitted in the Treasury of the
Madras Taluq. He was re-
employed on the 28th August,
and

and continued in the service up to the 28th October 1860, when he was thrown out of employment in consequence of a reduction of the establishment.

2. After this, Lakshuman Rai acted for short periods in certain Government appointments, and held the office of Shroff in the Bodinaikurn Estate, then under the management of the Court of Wards. On the 20th January 1864 the then Acting Collector promoted him to the office of Gumastah in the Seromangalour Taluk, on a salary of Rs 12 per mensem, in which he continued for nearly 2 1/2 months, when he was relieved by the permanent incumbent.

Since

Since then, he has not been re-employed. The Collector of Madura reports that he has been a diligent and useful public servant.

3. Lakshuman Rai's age is shown by the Register to have been 60 years at the time of application, i.e., on 29th February 1868. The Medical Certificate, which is dated the 8th September 1868, shows that in the opinion of the Zillah Surgeon, the Applicant is permanently disqualified for further active service, "from old age and physical infirmity not attributable to any indiscretion of his own."

4. Lakshuman Rai was not disqualified for service when he was

thrown

thrown out of employment,
nor does he appear to have
been unfit for further ser-
vice in 1864 - four years
afterwards. It would ap-
pear that his present
physical infirmities
have only recently super-
vened, and that they have
induced him to submit
the present application
for pension.

5. Under the old rules,
Lakshuman Rao's service
of 24 years 11 months
and 29 days renders
him eligible to a gratuity
equal to six months sa-
lary or Rs 105. But from
the fact that a Medical
certificate was not
procured by him at the
time

time of his quitting the service
he is not in strictness en-
titled to the pension equal
to a third of his salary
which he might otherwise
claim, under the terms of
the despatch from the Secre-
tary of State dated 24 September
1862. No 160. Looking at all
the circumstances, however,
the Government consider that
the pension has been fairly
earned and should not be
withheld. They resolve, there-
fore to sanction it ~~as~~
~~subject to the sanction~~ ^{subject to the confirmation}
of His Excellency the Governor
General in Council with
effect from the 8th September 1868
the date of the Medical Certificate.

Ag Collector of Madura } Desd 9/3/69.
Accountant General
Financial Department

Panawon to Lichshiman
Rao-

Bot. of 1869 } M. Adria,

Panawon

To

The Collector of Madras

1-1-89

Dated March 1st 1869, No 89

Recd.

Nos.

Subject to the information of the
Panawon, a Panawon, a Panawon
to his father to the Lichshiman
Panawon, a Panawon, a Panawon
in the Collector's office of
Madras.

1. Number of pages.
2. Date of receipt.
3. Initial or Reference.

M.R.O. 1-22,400-22-11-00

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Education DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	89	Press Mis.
Dated	1 - 3 - 1869.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled