

2/ Aug 1868
any long
any long

30/7/68

No 1381 of 1868. 1B

Referred to the collector of Madras
who is requested to report 1st the pay drawn
by petitioner as usual and the length of time
he drew such pay - or pay (if at any time) ex-
ceeding Rs 10. 2^d whether the petitioner
has received gratuity since his discharge - 3^d the
circumstances attending petitioner's dismissal
from his post and alleged clearance from
blame and reinstatement.

Fort Saint George
28th July 1868

By Order.

C. Walker
Secretary to Govt.

Small letter from the Ap. Col. of
Madras of 5th Oct. 1868. N. 328.

End 38-7-68

Represents that
after a long faithful
service of 33
years as cutwal
he was thrown out
of employment in
the new arrange-
ment; that the collector
to whom he applied
for pension granted
the enclosed endorsement
signed by his assistant
Resd 69. No 63.

21/12/64



Земля
89

3 B

Inv^o 952/68

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration. I am, Sir, very respectfully,
 Yours, Sir, very obediently,
 J. H. [Signature]
 1864

Edward Store
Asst Collector
Dec 21 381/68

10/12

[illegible]

உருதுகூறு அருகாரு அருதுகருதுகரு-கோடு அருதுகருது அருது அருதுகருது
அருதுகருது அருதுகருது அருதுகருது அருதுகருது அருதுகருது அருதுகருது

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

Dear my dear brother
 I have just received your letter of the 11th
 and am very glad to hear from you.
 I am well and hope this finds you the same.
 I have not much news to write at present.
 I am still in the same place.
 I have not much news to write at present.
 I am still in the same place.
 I have not much news to write at present.
 I am still in the same place.

1870

5 B

on the plea that he
was removed for
about a year during
the course of his service.

Prays that in
consideration of his
long service, Pension
may be granted to him.

Petitioner should
address Government
in the Pension Depart-
ment.

27th Dec 68 By Order.

J. G. L.

Rev. B. Office, Sub. Secy.
Chepauk.

23rd April 68.
No. 1381/68

Collyer & Co. 117 2/1868
No. 952 of 1868
From Cheerassamy
Naidu late Cultivator
of the Town of Conjivaram
in the Dist. of Madras
Dated 17th 6B
Decy 19th March 68
with 2 Encl.

States that
he was thrown out of
employment during
the revision of Estab-
lishment, and that
on his Army to the Collyer
the Asst Collector
made an Endorsement
on 19 December 1864
saying that petitioner is not
entitled for Pension
I

27/12/68
27/12/68
27/12/68

21

3 Dec 68
2 Dec 68
1 Dec 68
1 Dec 68
1 Dec 68
1 Dec 68
1 Dec 68
1 Dec 68
1 Dec 68
1 Dec 68

Dec 68

Dec 68

152
25 Aug
19-3-88



Canjivaram
17 March 1868

Sir,

The Honorable Member of the
Board of Revenue

The humble appeal petition of C. Pirasamy, Naidoo
late Contractor of the town of Canjivaram in the District of Madras

I Most respectfully beg leave to inform your
Honor that I am one of the unfortunate who was thrown out
of employ in the recent arrangement of Mr. D. J. Pearce made
an application to the Collector of the District to recommend
me to your Honor for Pension in which the Asst. Collector
made an endorsement stating that I am not entitled for
that privilege on the plea that I have been thrown out of
Employment for a period of about one year during the course
of my service which I now beg to enclose herein for your
Kind information and generous Consideration—

I beg to state that I did not leave the circumstances
which led to my being thrown out of employment untouched
in my original petition to the Collector herein enclosed, on a
Kind perusal of it I think will plainly convince your Honor
about the case— On the decision of the Acting Collector

I made an appeal to Mr. Pearce the Collector & Magistrate
of this District ^{who} then arrived from England after the
expiration of his leave. Mr. Pearce having kindly examined
the Record connected in my case and being fully convinced
that I was quite innocent. Cancelled the decision of the
Acting Collector and kindly reinstated me in my former
post. From the above reasons and argument I am now
state that the blame by which I was thrown out of employ
as stated by the Acting Collector is null and void and
founded upon very false grounds—

right to own the
franchise fee

Ever since I was thrown out of employ, I am
suffering very much for the want of the common necessities of
life

7 1277

22/6/68 1357
 6th 1/2 Morris

life in my old age.

I therefore most respectfully beg your honor will be kindly pleased, to take my poor case into your serious and benign consideration, and grant me the pension above solicited, in recognition of my long and faithful service of about 33 years.

I have enclosed herewith the endorsement of the collector and of the Board of Revenue.

For which act of kindness and charity, I shall ever feel thankful and prayerful.

I beg to remain
 Your most obedt & humble servant
 27/6/68
 1357
 6th 1/2 Morris

Recd 25 June 68

1391/68

End

9B

As it appears that the petitioner was dismissed ^{from his post} on the 10 June 1858 on the charge of carelessness, - he is not entitled to a compassionate allowance.

28 Jan 1868 (Signed)
 G. Lee. Morris
 Collr

(A true Translation)

1381/68.

Endorsement.

10B

The petitioner Veerasamy
 Naides the late Cutwal of
 Conjeevaram seems to have
 been thrown out of employment
 in Mr. Pelly's arrangement
 after a service of upwards of
 30 years; but as he was dis-
 missed from service & he was
 out of employment from some
 time, his ~~own~~ period of service
 previous to his dismissal
 cannot be reckoned towards
 pension according to the ~~late~~
 Govt. notification published
 in the Gazette dated 3 May 1864.
 Petitioner is hereby informed

of the same.

19th December signed C. Storr.
1864
Asst. Collector.

Petition

11B

N^o 1301 of 1860 of 12 June 1860
Recd 15th - do -

From Ramaniyam Naik,
Lali Serrakar of Coottalund
Talug. Tanjore.

States that he served
under Government for 34 years
in different Talugs as Daffadar
& Serrakar (Ker) that he was
unjustly dismissed on a false
charge of carelessness in
1828; - that on his appeal to the
Collector, he was restored to
his post; that in 1860, he was
thrown out of employment owing
to the revision of the establishment,
and that he applied to the Collector
and the Board of Revenue for
granting him compassionate
allowance, who gave him the
enclosed Endorsement &c.
Prays that Government will
order a compassionate allowance
to be granted to him in common
with other persons.

1301

The Collector of Tanjore
will be requested to report
whether there is anything on record
to shew the grounds of petitioner's
restoration to service, after his
dismissal for carelessness.

WON

ML

AM

ts/A

N^o 1466 of 1868 d/7th Jul 1868
Rec^d 20

From A. Fatimah Begam,
eldest daughter of Syed
Col Mulk Bahadur residing
at The Mount Road, Madras.

Referring to Government
endorsement N^o 342 of 1868 passed of her late father.
on her former petition, submits a
claim for a similar share in
her late father's stipend to that
granted to her brothers & sisters.

States that she has been
deprived of her own share simply
on the ground that her husband
was a Carnatic stipendiary and
says that the Government will be
no losers by granting her a stipend
out of the Amount (Rs 376-10-7)
which reverted to Government
from the stipend of her late father.
Citing certain cases in support
of her claim, prays that an
order may be issued to grant
her request.

N^o 1381 of 1868 d/23rd June 1868
Rec^d 26th — Do —
From

1466.

The Government decline
to grant Petitioner any
allowance from the stipend

W.M.

W.M.

From C. Veerasaamy Naidoo,
Cati Cutwall in Cojivaram
in Madras District.

Represents that after a
long and faithful service of 33 years
as Cutwall he was thrown out
of employment in the new arrange-
ment; that the Collector to whom
he applied for pension granted
the enclosed endorsement signed
by his Assistant to the effect
that he is not entitled to pension
as there is a break of one year in
his service. Stating that he
was once dismissed from service
on some false charges by the
Acting Collector was re-in-
stated by the permanent Collector
on the examination of the records
of the case. Prays therefore that
pension may be granted him.

N^o 1481 of 1868 d/6th Jul 1868
Rec^d 9th — Do —

From V. Gopalasamy Ma-
thiasamy Pillay and several
others, the Inhabitants of
Nagari, Nagapattam and

12B

1381

Referred to the Collector
of Madras who is requested
to report: 1st the pay drawn
by petitioner as Cutwall and
the length of time he drew
such pay, or pay (if at any time)
exceeding Rs 10. 2nd whether
the petitioner has received
Gratuity since his discharge.
3rd The circumstances attending
petitioner's dismissal, from his
post and alleged clearance
from blame and re-instatement.

W.M.

C.M.

W.M.

W.M.

For page 866/54.

Valipohend in Tanjore.

1481

Pub

13B

Complain of the unjust
and oppressive proceedings
of the Municipal Commissioners
and state that they are made
to pay heavy taxes on houses;
that they are prevented from
washing their houses with cow-
dung water; and that they are
prohibited from drying their
paddy in streets.

With regard to a great num-
ber of the grievances of which the
petitioner complains, the Govt
quite approve of the practices
referred to being stopped.
If petitioners have complaints
to make, they must address
the Magistrate of the District.
Until they have done so, the
Government will not interfere
in any way.

Pray that as they are put
to great trouble & annoyance
by the Commissioners in various
other ways, Government will
enquire and afford them redress.

Fort Saint George
28th July 1868.

Dispargeth

1381

28th July 1868

Curjel 1/6 1868

No. 7,494.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 19th October 1868.

Read the following letter from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Acting Collector of Madras, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 5th October 1868, No. 328:—

I HAVE the honour to transmit, herewith, Government Petition No. 1,381 of 1868, with my replies to the questions raised thereon by Government.

2. With reference to the first:—The petitioner Veerasamy Naick served as Cutwal, first at Poonamallee, and subsequently at Conjeeveram, for a period of twenty-two years and five days, during the whole of which period he drew a salary of Rupees 10-8-0 per mensem.

3. This period is calculated exclusive of the time during which he was out of employ, and which amounts to one year, nine months, and ten days.

4. On being thrown out of service, the petitioner received gratuity equal to six months' salary.

5. With respect to the third point, there is nothing on record to show directly the cause of the petitioner's dismissal from employment.

6. The particular Takeed, containing the order of dismissal, is not forthcoming, and it is probable that being a Magisterial paper, it was destroyed since among others of the same kind.

7. The order of Mr. Freese, dated 4th May 1848, which restored the petitioner, makes no allusion to the cause of his previous dismissal, and all that could be learnt from inquiry made at present is, that he was dismissed in 1846 by Mr. Maltby, then Acting Collector of the district, for failing to take sufficient precautions, during one of the annual festivals of the Conjeeveram temple, in which, owing to the rashness of the idol-bearers, a woman lost her life.

8. It also appears, that, simultaneously with the petitioner, Streenivassa Row, the then Tahsildar (and the Head of Police) of Conjeeveram, was also degraded, on the same occasion, and as the latter was afterwards promoted to the place of ~~Head of Police~~ ^{Head of Police}, it is probable that the petitioner as well as Tahsildar, was subsequently exonerated from blame in the above affair.

9. Before his employment as Cutwal, the petitioner was employed, in different capacities, as Peon, Delayet, and so on; but his salary in these employments was less than Rupees 10, although the period of service was more than nine years, so as to make the whole service under Government exceed thirty-one years.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

SUBMITTED to the Chief Secretary to Government: it does not appear why the Collector forwarded his reply through the Board.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

F. Brant

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Chief Secretary to Government,
with petition.

Copy to the Acting Collector of Madras.

Exd. J. Wright.

The person and method
the alleged and the
the person and the
the person and the
the person and the

14B
Pension Department

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following ~~letter~~ papers

Petition from C. Veeracawmy
Naidoo, late Cutwal Couje-
veram, Madras District } Here enter 23rd June 1868.
Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue - 19th Oct/68. No 7494.

Order thereon 22nd February 1869. No 84.

The Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue
above recorded contain the
report of the Acting Collector
of the Madras District
on the Petition of Veera-
cawmy Naick, which was
referred by endorsement
from the Pension Depart-
ment to that officer.

2 It appears from
this report that Veera-
cawmy Naick, served as
Cutwal in the Madras
Collectorate for a period

of

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

No. 63.

Department.

Petitioner
1868

Date 19th October 1868.

Case
with Petition

No. 23 January 1869.

No. 7494.

Government Petition No 1381 of 1868—from
late Cutwal of Conjavarum in Madras district
claiming pension.—Report of Collector on, submit-
ted to Chief Secretary to Government.

The Collector's report
has been forwarded direct to you.

He will also send...

The Board have considered...

...to the Board, but seems only the
cause of his dismissal, & nothing appears
to the Board to show that Petitioner's dis-
missal was improper. The Board are
satisfied that such was the
case, and must therefore decline to grant
Petitioner's request.

MM

29/11

When all the Petitioner has come under the
rule that grants a pension of 400 Rs. it
seems of course that 300 Rs. is not sufficient to be
able to live on. It is accordingly with the
Board's sanction, 100 Rs. has been added to the
pension.

22/11
15/11
in Madras District

of 22 years and 5 days
and drew ^{for the whole of that time} a salary of
Rupees 10-8 per mensem;
that subsequently in 1846
he was dismissed by the
then Acting Collector,
Mr Malby, for failing to
take sufficient precautions
during one of the annual
festivals of the Conjeveram
temple in which a woman
lost her life; that on the
return from Europe of
Mr Keese the Collector of
the District, the petitioner
was restored by him to his
appointment, and that
simultaneously with the
petitioner the Tahsildar,
who was also on the same
occasion degraded, was
~~afterwards~~ promoted to
the Office of Muroonish-
tadar, ^{fact} leading to the con-
clusion, in the absence
of the particular documents
relating to the matter which
as

15B
~~and in question papers the~~
Collector assumes was de-
stroyed with ^{other material} papers of the
same kind, that both
petitioner and the Tahsildar
were ultimately exonerated
from blame. Prior to his
dismissal Veerasawmy
Naick appears to have
served for a period of
nine years in grades
ineligible to pension
and he has now been
thrown out of employ in
consequence of the revision
of Revenue Establishments
by the Honble Mr Pelly.

2. Looking therefore
at all the circumstances
of the case, the Government
are not disposed to deprive
Veerasawmy Naick of
his pension, and as under
the old rules he could
be admitted for his service
preceding his dismissal

to a pension equal to
one-third his salary;
they direct that the Collector
of Madras will submit
an application in the
prescribed form through
the Accountant General
in favor of Petitioners.

[Handwritten signature]

To the Collector of the Madras
District.
- Accountant General.
Dec^r 23/2.

[Handwritten initials]

Pension to Veerasaivamy
 Rank - Captain Madras
 Collectorate.

6

Govt. of 1869; Madras.

To

Madras

The Collector of the Madras
 District & & &

Dated 22nd July }
 Recd. 1869

G. O. 22 July 1869, No. 84.

Nos.

Directing the below Madras
 to submit an application for
 Pension in favor of the
 Lieutenant of Coorperam

1. Name of the person
2. Date of report. 2-11-35
3. Initials of person
[Signature]

M.R.O. 1-82,400-22-11-80.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 84	Press- Mis.
Dated 22. 2	18/35

Not to be folded or roughly handled

2-12-35