

19
2. List of Nos. 10-3-36
3. Initials of Referent
M.R.O. 1-82 400-27-11-80

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 421 - Press
Mis.

Dated 29-11-1869.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

File



No 27-

Coimbatore Collection Office
7th August 1869.

From A. M. C. Webster Esq.
Acting Collector of Coimbatore

To
The Examiner of Claims
Fort St. George

Sir,

Vide your Letter of the 3rd July 1869.

Subjoined are the replies to the several

items referred to.

No 7. . . There is nothing on record regarding the character of the man, but it appears from a report of the Tahisdar of the Pullachy Taluk that his conduct is good.

No 18. - The Applicant received no gratuity for past service, in consequence of reduction of Establishment on the 15th May 1860.

No 34. . . An English translation of the Affidavit is appended.

26. . . . The printed memo referred to, is sub-

joined.

29. . . . The appointment of District Tappal Runner held by the Applicant was a permanent one. The appointment was included in the Sanctioned Moyenzabithah of the District.

I have the honor to be

Your most obedient servant

A. M. C. Webster
Acting Collector.

E. J. J.

* herewith returned
with its 2 encls.
Sures

No 17-

20
Coimbatore Collectors Office.

Coimbatore 18th June 1869.

From
A. W. de la B. Esq.,
Collector of Coimbatore.

The Examiner of Claims
Fort St. George.

Herewith returned
with its enclosure.

Sir,
Vide your letter dated 19th May 1869,
returning the application for gratuity on
behalf of Syed Chakhan, and calling for
explanation on certain points.

2 Subjoined are the replies to the several
items referred to.

Items No 6 & 22. An affidavit given by
the applicant before the Pollacky Sub-Magistrate
in support of his services, is enclosed, as
requested. No certificate or testimonials
of character are forthcoming on the records.

Item No 7. Nothing has been recorded
regarding this individual.

Item No 23. Though the applicant ceased to

1869 Dec 39

From A. W. de la B. Esq.

Collector of Coimbatore

The Examiner of Claims

Fort St. George.

Received 3rd August 1869

No 27.

With 4 enclosures

Reference to the

Register of an application

for gratuity on behalf

of Syed Chakhan and

requesting explanation to

the records there referred to.

Per W. de la B. Esq.

to do duty from the 1st November 1868,
he did not present his application
for gratuity until the 8th February 1869.
The records extending over a period
of 35 years and upwards had to be
searched for the verification of his
services, which necessitated some delay
in the submission of the Register.

Item No. 37 - There is no order of
Government on this subject. The Establish-
ment was dispensed with in accordance
with the instructions conveyed in the
accompanying copy of letter from the ^x No. 2197-
Director General of Post Office of
India, communicated to this office by
the Inspecting Post Master of the
Ootacamund Division. The Establish-
ment was a permanent one.

I have the honor to be
Yours Most Obedient Servant
A. M. M. M.
Collector

Translation of an affidavit given on solemn
affirmation before the Venkataranjaniah 1st class
submagistrate of Pollachy by Syed Chakhan son of
Syed Inam residing at Pollachy, Muzaffargarh by
Caste and religion and aged about 80 years.

About 48 years ago, when Mr William Garrow was Collector, a peonship on a salary of Rs. 3-8-0 in the Khandachar department of the Huzur Cutcherry, was conferred on me, in which I continued for 7 years, and was in receipt of my pay. Subsequently I was appointed a Kungamach peon on Rs. 3-8-0 in the Huzur, in which I continued for 12 years. I was then transferred to the Codumalpettai Taluk as an Attavandai peon on Rs. 3-8-0. There I continued for about 15 years. I was then transferred to the Kongram Taluk as an Attavandai peon, where I served for about one year, when during the Collectorship of Mr Drury, he dismissed me on the plea of my having been careless in respect of some supply business. I then remained about a year without employ. Subsequently the said Gentleman thinking that there was no fault in me gave me an Attavandai peonship in the Denaikencottai Taluk. There I served for about three years, when I was transferred to the Erool Taluk as an Attavandai peon, where I continued to serve for about three months, when I was transferred to this Taluk as an Attavandai peon.

In this post I continued till the new organization of the Revenue Department. I was then appointed a Tappal runner in this Taluk. About seven months ago, my services were dispensed with on account of old age. With the exception of the times during which I was unemployed

after my dismissal in the Korigiem Taluk my service
in other respects is continuous.

About seven months ago, it was
resolved that regular runners should be employed
to take tappat - As I said that I was old and
unable to run, my services were dispensed with.

(Marked) Syed Chakker.

Taken before me, within my hearing
and according to my direction.

(Signed) C. Venkata Ramaniiah.

1st Class Submagistrate

(True Translation)

M. V. Subramanian
Acting Collector

100-100/100

[The right page contains dense handwritten text in a script, likely Telugu, which is mostly illegible due to the cursive style and fading. The text appears to be a continuation of the document or a separate entry.]

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Pens. Department.

No. 46

MADRAS,

Dated 20th Sept

1869

Received

THE Under Secretary to
Government, Public, &c., Departments,
is directed, with reference

to the application for grant of
Sajid Chetkan Cate tabbail
revenue in the Gumbatun District
to request the Collector to state
whether any portion of the revenue
is borne out by the records
& why the affidavit, which took
with the register, would make the
out to have saved sixty two years,
was submitted. HCS

Comptroller's Office
Coimbatore 19 October 1869.

N^o 46-

To

M. E. Sullivan Esquire

Acting Collector of Coimbatore

To

The Under Secretary to Government -

Pension Department

Fort St. George

Sir,

In reply to your Official Memo
dated 20th September 1869 N^o 26, I
have the honor to inform you that the services
of Syed Chetkan as they are entered in the
Register forwarded by me to the Accountant
General are borne out by the records, except
for the period noted in the mar-

+ For June & December 1842 -

For January to June 1847 -

For January, February, March
May & June in 1849 -

For May, November and
December in 1851 -

For February, May and Oc-
tober in 1852 -

For September 1854 -

For April & May in 1855 -

gin, the pay abstracts for which
are not forthcoming - The
Examiner of Claims in his letter
of the 19th May 1869 called
upon me for a certificate to
the effect that the alleged ser-
vices from 1st July 1825 to 31st
October 1868 are proved from
the records; and also for
"Testimonials"

"Testimonials of Character or Certificates given to the applicant by the head of an office or (in the absence of such certificates) an affidavit signed before a Magistrate in support of the applicant's service." The pay abstracts for some months not being forthcoming as stated above, and as no certificates of past character were given to the applicant by the head of any office, an affidavit was taken from him before the Pollacky Sub Magistrate and sent to the Examiner of Claims as requested by him.

2. It will appear from Ayer's check. Han's affidavit that he had been in service for several years prior to 1st July 1825. But there are no records to verify that statement. His services therefore so far as they can be traced from the records commenced on the 1st July 1825 and terminated on the 31st October 1868. -

I have the honor to be

Sir,
Yours most obed. Serv^t

A. Macdonald
Selling Collector

M.

the Establishment of the Collector of Coimbatore

It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year 1960. It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. A. P. Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. As. P. Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of

The

DA & AG Office
Recd 17 5 18 9
Ans'd

L.M. Haines
Acting Collector.

The services of the within-mentioned individual having been supported by affidavit, he will be eligible to the amount of gratuity set opposite to his name in column 11 of the Register under the old Rules for his service of years 27. 8. 6 subsequent to his dismissal Vapt from 10 December 1820 to 31 October 1868, should Government be pleased to accept of the affidavit as regards applicant's service subsequent to 10th December 1820, and the explanation afforded by the Collector in his letter dated 18th June 1869 No 17 herewith accompanying with reference to the cause of delay in the submission of application until 11th May 1869.

It is observed from the accompanying translation of the affidavit, that the applicant deposes to have been without employ only for one year by dismissal on the 1st February 1833 while the Collector states that he was without employ for 4th 10th 9.

W. Donald
Examiner of Claims

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
Accountants Office,
18th August 1869.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

C. & J. R.