

Number of pages 10
Date of receipt 7-3-36
Initials of Receiver
M.R.O. 1-82 600-27-11-80

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	6101	Press Mis.
Dated	15-12-1869.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

my

1

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,
15th November 1869.

Read again Proceedings of Government, Pension Department, dated 20th October 1868, No. 341, and 29th January 1869, No. 42.

Read also the following letter from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Simla, 27th September 1869, No. 3634.

In acknowledging the receipt, under Mr. Under Secretary H. E. Stokes' Endorsement, No. 40, dated 2nd September 1869, of the papers relating to the grant, by the Government of Fort Saint George, of a pension to Colatoor Teroovencatacherry, late a writer on the establishment of the Superintendent of Marine, I am directed to convey the following remarks.

2. It is observed that Colatoor Teroovencatacherry has been permitted to count towards pension a period of upwards of ten years, viz., from September 1842 to November 1852, during which he was employed under a Local Fund called the "Disabled Boatmen's Fund;" under the ruling contained in Financial Resolution, No. 2197, dated 24th April 1868, service on establishments under Local Funds is allowed to count for pension in accordance with the rules of the Uncovenanted Service; but among other conditions for the grant of this privilege, it is provided in the same Resolution that where a person has served partly in establishments appertaining to Local Funds, and partly in establishments paid from the general revenues, the pension shall be charged to the Local Fund and to the general revenues in the proportion of the total receipts of the applicant from those sources through the whole course of service. This condition, however, could not be fulfilled in the case under notice, the "Disabled Boatmen's Fund" having become bankrupt long since, and the regular course would have been not to pay to Colatoor Teroovencatacherry the proportion of the pension which ought to be paid by the Fund in question. But, apart from the above circumstance, the claim to pension upon the period of service from September 1842 to November 1852 is void by reason of the man's pay being only ten rupees a month. The Government of Madras has assumed that Colatoor Teroovencatacherry's pay during the period in question was fourteen rupees a month, inasmuch as this was the amount of pay sanctioned by Government for a clerk for the "Disabled Boatmen's Fund." I am, however, to remark that the mere circumstance of a pay of fourteen rupees a month having been sanctioned for a clerk for the Fund cannot be sufficient evidence of that amount having been drawn by Colatoor Teroovencatacherry, against the distinct statement contained in the letter of the Deputy Master Attendant, No. 966, of 10th November 1868, that "from 24th October 1851 to 17th November 1852, however, his name appears in the books of this office as a writer drawing ten rupees," and the fact of Colatoor Teroovencatacherry's drawing a pay of ten rupees during the latter stage of his service leads to the presumption that his pay before October 1851 could not be more than that sum.

3. It is observed, further, that Colatoor Teroovencatacherry has been allowed credit for a service of five years and seven months, viz., from February 1837 to August 1842, during the greater part of which he was in the receipt of a pay of seven rupees a month. The Government of Madras has assumed the pay drawn by the man, in 1841-42, as the amount drawn during the entire period from 1837 to 1842; but this assumption cannot, I am to state, be admitted against the report of the Examiner of Claims that the annual returns on record in his office show that the pay of Colatoor Teroovencatacherry up to 1840 was only Rupees 7 a month.

4. Under all the circumstances of the case it is apparent that Colatoor Teroovencatacherry has no claim to pension under the rules, and I am to request that the Government of India may be furnished with an explanation on the points noticed above.

5. The original papers received with the endorsement under acknowledgment are herewith returned.

ORDER THEREON, 15th November 1869, No. 401.

The Government of India having declared in the foregoing letter from their Under Secretary in the Financial Department that Colatoor Teroovencatacherry, to whom a pension of Rupees 10 per

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,
15th November 1869.*

mensum was granted in G. O., 29th January 1869, No. 42, is not eligible to pension under the rules, His Excellency the Governor in Council directs that that order be considered cancelled.

2. The Government of India reject Teroovencatacherry's service from February 1837 to August 1842, and from September 1842 to November 1852, periods aggregating fifteen years and ten months. For the remainder of his service, eleven years and eight months, Teroovencatacherry is entitled to a gratuity of Rupees 220 or eleven months' pay under the new Rules, and this His Excellency in Council is pleased to sanction. Any payment of pension which may have been made under the order of the 29th February will be recovered from the gratuity now sanctioned. The sum of Rupees 60, which Teroovencatacherry when admitted to pension was called upon to refund on account of the gratuity drawn by him in 1842, will now be repaid to him.

(True Extract.)

H. H. H. H.

Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Master Attendant.
,, Accountant-General.
,, Examiner of Claims.
,, Financial Department.

Exd. J. Wright.

8/21/9/69/1010

3

Official Memo.

Referring to Mr. Under Secretary Batten's letter of the 11th instant No. 2596 the undersigned has the honor to forward the enclosed original papers relating to the grant of a pension to Colatoot Teruvencatachary and to request that they may be returned when no longer ~~not further~~ required.

To
The Under Secy to the Govt of India.

Govt. of Madras.

PENSION.

THE MASTER ATTENDANT,

Secy, Secy, Secy.

Dated November, 15th.

Recd.

Encl.

G O, 15th November 1869, No. 401.

NOVEMBER.

Cancelling the pension granted to C. Teroven-
catacherry, late a clerk in the Master Attendant's
Office, the Government of India having declared
him to be ineligible to pension under the rules, and
granting him a gratuity instead.

N^o 2596

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Fort.
Saint George.

Final Dept. Ser.

In acknowledging the
receipt under endorsement of
Acting Under Secretary Mr. H.
R. Stokes no 332 dated 22nd ^{Nov.}
July 1869, of the statement of
pensions sanctioned by the
Government of Fort Saint George
during the quarter ended 31st.
March 1869, I am directed to
request that this Department
may be furnished with the
papers relating to the grant.

Sanctioned for Calatoon
 Peruvencatacherry entry No 18
 of the statement.

Surinla
 The 11th August/69 } I have the honor to be
 Sir
 your most obedt servant.

J. M. Batten
 Under Secy to the Govt of Madras

Prod: 29 "Jan 1869 1/15 44
 " - 20 " Oct 1869 - 2341 1869

Requesting to be furnished
 with the papers relating to the
 grant sanctioned for Calatoon
 Peruvencatacherry.
 Memo No 912 dated 12/11/69
 sent. 3/1/69

From
 The Under Secy to the Govt
 of Madras - Financial Dept
 25/1/69
 27/11
 Recd 23 August 1869
 No 409

The Chief Secretary to the Government
 of Fort St. George

Financial
 Reports.

Sir,
 In acknowledging the receipt under
 Mr. Under Secretary H. E. Stokes Endorse-
 ment No. 40 dated 2nd September 1869, of the
 papers relating to the grant by the Government
 of Fort St. George of a pension to Calatoon
 Peruvencatacherry, late a writer on the
 establishment of the Superintendent
 of Marine, I am directed to convey the
 following remarks.

It is observed that Calatoon
 Peruvencatacherry, has been permitted
 to count towards pension a period of
 upwards of 10 years, vizt. from September
 1842 to November 1852 during which he was
 employed under a Local Fund called
 the "Disabled Boatmen's Fund" under the
 ruling contained in Financial Resolu-
 tion No 2197 dated 24th April 1868 Service
 on establishments under local funds is
 allowed to count for pension in accor-
 -dance with the rules of the Uncoventured
 Service;

Service; but among other conditions for the grant of this privilege, it is provided in the same Resolution that where a person has served partly in establishments appertaining to Local Funds and partly in establishments paid from the general revenues, the pension shall be charged to the Local Fund and to the general revenues in the proportion of the total receipts of the applicant from those sources through the whole course of service. This condition however could not be fulfilled in the case under notice, the "Disabled Boatmen's Fund" having become bankrupt long since and the regular course would have been not to pay to Colatoor Sernuencatacherry the proportion of the pension which ought to be paid by the Fund in question. But apart from the above circumstances, the claim to pension upon the period of service from September 1842 to November 1852 is void by reason of the man's pay being only 10 Rupees a month. The Government of Madras has assumed that Colatoor Sernuencatacherry's pay during the period in question

in question was 14 Rupees a month as much as this was the amount of pay sanctioned by Government for a clerk for the "Disabled Boatmen's Fund". I am however to remark that the mere circumstance of a pay of 14 Rupees a month having been sanctioned for a clerk for the Fund cannot be sufficient evidence of that amount having been drawn by Colatoor Sernuencatacherry, against the district statement contained in the letter of the Deputy Master Attendant No. 966 of 10th November 1868 that "from 24th October 1851 to 17th November 1852, however his name appears in the books of this office as a writer or "drawing 10 Rupees" and the fact of Colatoor Sernuencatacherry's drawing a pay of 10 Rupees during the latter stage of his service leads to the presumption that his pay before October 1851 could not be more than that sum.

It is observed further that Colatoor Sernuencatacherry has been allowed credit for a service of 5 years and 7 months during viz. from February 1837 to August 1842, during the greater part of which he was in the receipt of

This is wrong
to show Rs 20
during his
in the pay
of his money

But not before 1851
A.S.

of a pay of 4 Rupees a month. The Government of Madras has assumed the pay drawn by the man in 1841-42 as the amount drawn during the entire period from 1837 to 1842 but this assumption cannot I am to state be admitted against the report of the Examiner of claims that the annual returns on record in his office shew that the pay of Colatoor Serruencatacherry up to 1840 was only Rupees 4 a month.

Under all the circumstances of the case it is apparent that Colatoor Serruencatacherry has no claim to pension under the rules, and I am to request that the Government of India may be furnished with an explanation on the points noticed above.

The original papers received with the endorsement under acknowledgment are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedt servt

M. Batten

Under Secy to the Govt of India

Sm. La.
The 27th Sept.
1869

206/9

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter again

Proceedings of Government Pension Department.
Dated 20th October 1868 and 29th January 1869. N^o 42.

Read also the following letter

From the Under Secretary to the
Gov^t of India.
Fin^l Department

(Here enter 27th September 1869)
N^o 3634.

Order thereon 15th November 1869 N^o 401.

The Government of India, having declared in the foregoing letter from their Under Secretary in the Financial Department that Colatoo Seroovencata Chetty to whom a pension of Rs 10 per mensem was granted in G. O. 29th January 1869 N^o 42 is not eligible to pension under the rules, His Excellency the Governor in Council directs that that order be considered cancelled.
2. The Government of India reject Seroovencata Chetty's service from February 3rd to August 4th and from.

It is but I don't see what we can do. W. W. W.
Minister - 1869
was A. A.

200: 29: Jan. 1869 N^o 42

Pension 1869 Department

From

N^o 42

The Under Secretary to

the Gov^t of India
Fin^l Department

Dated 27th September 1869.
Rec^d 28th October 1869.

Stated that Colatoo Seroovencata Chetty at a Clerk in the Pension Department's Office has no claim to Pension under the rules, and requests to be furnished with explanation on the points noted within. O. No 401.

from Sept^r 42. to Nov^r 1852, -
periods aggregating 15 yrs. & 10 mos.
For the remainder of his service
11 yrs and 8 mos. Seroovencatachary
is entitled to a gratuity of Rs 220 -
or 11 months pay under the New
Rules and this His Excellency in
Council is pleased to sanction.
Any payments of Pension which
may have been made under the
order of the 29th February will be
recovered from the gratuity now
sanctioned. The sum of Rs 60 -
which Seroovencatachary when
admitted to pension, was called
upon to refund on account of the
gratuity drawn by him in 1842,
will now be repaid to him.

To. The Master Attendant.
- " - Accountant General.
- " - Examiner of Claims.
- " - Financial Department.

Dec 2nd 1859

70. 12 1/2

Govt. of 1869 } Madras.

Pensions.

To

The Master Attendant

Madras

8-1-8

Dated ~~Nov 22~~ 15th 1869. No 401.
Recd.

Nos 1 November

Enclosing the pension
granted to W. Brown
Chetty late or clerk in the
Master Attendant's office, the
Govt of Madras, directed him
to be ineligible to Pensions
under the rules, and directing
him to gradually retire.

0:29 Oct 69