

1. Number of pages. 100
2. Date of repair. 2-2-36
3. Initials of Retainer. J. J. 36
M.R.O. 1-32,400-22-11-30.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revision

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 349

Press
Mis.

Dated 15 10

18 69

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Mahomed Masoom

And Run of District No 58

-1-

Enlistment				Entrance in the Force										Promotion										
Date of entering Probationary Class.	Period passed as Probationer			By whom recommended for entrance into the Force	Date of entrance into the Force	Rank and Class.	Inspected by	Inspected on	Division	Age	Height	Weight	Inches round chest	Inches arm	Birth place	To character	Qualifications in				Remarks of the commanding sergeant.	Date of Promotion	To what Rank	By whom recommended
	Years	Months	Days														Reading	Writing	Drill	Riding				
					1860 June 1	2nd Cl.				30			34											
				Re-enlisted	1866 July 4	2nd Cl.				36			34											

Leave of absence and Character

Good Conduct				Leave of Absence				Misconduct			
Date	Instances	Referred by whom recommended	Date	For what purpose	By whom referred	Date	Nature of offence	Punishment	By whom referred	Date	Nature of offence
						1866 May 1	has convicted before the Sub Magistrate for receiving a bribe of 3 annas.	Dismissed - X			
								Re-enlisted as previous character was good.			
								(See by tract)			

Date and nature of Casualty-

Tested. Lieut. Superintendent of Police

Putnam District.

Superintendent of Police,
Huron District,
S. S. June 1869.

Superintendent of Police.

In a letter from
the Ag Inspr Genl of
Police dated Mondrao
7th August 1869 No
5735-

Application
for gratuity to
2^d Class Constable
of the Kurnool Police

29/11/62

122

71

I, William D. Herbert Esq. Surgeon
and Acting Civil Surgeon, do hereby
certify that 29th Sep 1862, 58. Macdonald
Professor of Natural History, of this Academy
has been elected to and by Affidavit
is about 54. and that I find him to be
fit for further service on account of
Old age and Phrenic deterioration, not
in my opinion attributable to intemper-
ance or other singular habit.

Wm D. Herbert
29th Sep 1862

Attest
Acting Civil Surgeon
Macdonald

Audit
No 5135

Chief Office of the Inspector
of Police
Madras 7th August 1869

From

The Ag Inspector General of Police

To,

The Honorable R. S. Ellis C.B.

Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir,

I have the honor to submit an
application for the grant of gratuity
under section I of the Police Service
and Superannuation Fund Rules
to 2-class Constable Mohammed
Majoom No 8 of the Kurnool
Police.

2. The Constable has served eight
years in the Police and his general
Character is reported to have been
good. He is now unfit for further
Service as Certified by the Medical
Officer.

I

3. I beg therefore the sanction of Government for the grant to Mahomed Masoom of eight months pay (Rupees 48) for eight years service.

+ I beg to forward an extract from the enlistment Register for the information of Government. The Constable was convicted and dismissed in May 1866 for receiving a trifling bribe and was re-enlisted in July 1866.

+
by a Sub
Inspector

+
Zamir

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient Servt,

C. M. M.

Ag Inspector General
of Police



Memorandum for orders.

Letter from the Inspector General of Police No 5135 dated 7th August 1869.

The application for gratuity under the Police Service and Superannuation Fund Rules submitted herewith, raises a question on which it seems advisable that an express decision should be given. The appli-

cant after serving for 5 yrs 11 m. was convicted of receiving a small bribe & was dismissed from the force. After a break of 2 m. & 3 d. he was re-engaged & served a further period of 2 y. 10 m. 29 d. making in all 8 y. 9 m. 29 d.

The question is, did he, by his dismissal lose the benefit of his former service, or did he on re-engagement regain it?

It seems to me to be rather a bad case on which to establish a precedent &

in the present position of the law on this point, it is not possible to say whether or not the applicant is entitled to the gratuity. The question is, did he, by his dismissal lose the benefit of his former service, or did he on re-engagement regain it?

Received 1869 Department

From 725.

The City Clerk General of Police

To the Chief Secretary to Government

St. James's Place

Dated 7th Aug 1869

No 5135

Enclosures 3

Submits an application for gratuity to 22 Old Broad Street London of the General Police D. 1869.

2. The rules regulating the grant of gratuities & pensions from the Superannuation fund are silent on the point, and it is only by an analogical extension of the U.C.S. pension rules, that it could be held that he lost the first period of service. A similar analogy, in default of provision in the Superannuation fund rules, is daily acted upon, when the gratuity of Police Constables, whose service has not been spoken, are valued in amount.

3. The men under the operation of the two sets of rules are not similarly situated inasmuch as one class,

though the note taken by the man was only 3 years, he was convicted & a discharge was awarded. The punishment awarded does not appear. but having been martially convicted, I feel doubtful, altho' he was re-enlisted after 2 months odd, whether we can ignore the break then caused. If the discharge were departmental, the matter wd. wear a different aspect. W.D.

very well.

A.A.

15
subscribers for its own pension, whereas the other does not; and the Inspector General of Police seems to take it as a matter of course that the applicant regained his former service when he was re-enlisted. If this be denied him, he is not entitled to any gratuity as his service subsequent to re-enlistment was only 2y. 10m. 29d. The break in the present case is short, and might perhaps be treated as a period of suspension; but to so treat it would be to decide indirectly the real question raised; and, it is submitted, an express decision is desirable. W.D.

Gratuity to Mahomed
Massoom of the Madras
Police -

Memorandum for orders

List

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter

From Ag. Inspector General (Here entered 7th August 1869 No. 349)
of Police

Order thereon 15th October 1869 No. 349.

The Government observe
that the ~~the~~ Constable
Mahomed Massoom on whose
behalf application for gratuity
is submitted by the
Inspector General with the
foregoing letter was magis-
terially convicted of receiving
a bribe and was dismissed
from the force in consequence.

The.

Council -

Draft awarding
to Mr. Miller

The Government are of opinion
that a dismissal under such
circumstances should entail
forfeiture of the previous
service; and as the Constable's
subsequent service is less
than seven years, he is not
entitled to any gratuity.

Inspector General of Police.
Accountant General.

Gratuity referred to
Major-General
of the 6th Cavalry Regt.

Sept. of 1869 } M. Adams.

Pension

To

The Inspector General
of Police
2-1

Dated October 15-4
1869, No 349
Recd.

Mrs. C. C. C. C.

Resolving that Major
Adams late constable
of the 6th Cavalry Regt. is
not entitled to gratuity.