

1. Number of pages 1-10 20
2. Date of receipt 29-2-36
3. Initials of Receiver

M.R.O. 1-82400-29-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	270	Press Mis.
Dated	23-8-	1869.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following paper

Petition from Veerasawmy
Kaidoo late Katwal, Coorg. } (Here entered 23rd June 1868)
- verum, Madras District

Proceedings of the D. of Rev. (— 19th October 1868 No. 7494)

Order thereon 22nd February 1869 No. 84

The Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue above, recorded contain
the report of the Acting Collector of the
Madras District on the Petition of Veerasawmy
Kaidoo, which was referred by endorsement
from the Pension Department to that office.

2. It appears from this
report that Veerasawmy Kaidoo served as
Katwal in the Madras Collectorate for a
period of 22 years and 5 days, and drew for
the whole of that time a salary of Rs 10-8-0
per mensem; that subsequently in 1846 he
was dismissed by the then Acting Collector,
Mr. Malby, for failing to take sufficient
precautions during one of the annual festi-

-vals of the Conjeeraram temple in which
a woman lost her life; that on the
return from Europe of Mr. Freese
the Collector of the District, the petitioner
was restored by him to his appointment,
and that simultaneously with the
petitioner the Tahsildar who was also
on the same occasion degraded was pro-
-moted to the office of Huzoor Sheristā-
-dar, facts leading to the conclusion,
in the absence of the particular docu-
-ment relating to the matter which
the Collector assumed was destroyed
with other magisterial papers of the
same kind, that both Petitioner and
the Tahsildar were ultimately exoner-
-ated from blame. Prior to his dis-
-missal Veerasawmy Naick appears
to have served for a period of
nine years in grades ineligible
to pension, and he has now
been thrown out of employ in

consequence of the revision of Revenue
establishment by the Honorable
Mr. Pelly.

2. Looking therefore at all the circum-
-stances of the case, the Government
- are not disposed to deprive Veerasaw-
-my Naick of his pension; and
as under the old rules he could
be admitted, for his service preceding
his dismissal to a pension equal to
one third his salary, they direct
that the Collector of Madras will
submit an application in the pres-
-cribed form through the Accountant
General in favor of Petitioner.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ W. S. Pelly
As Under Secy.

(A true copy)

Secy.
Collector



Madras Collector's Office
 Caw Circuits
 Pallivakkam, 17th May 1869

No. 116

From, E. G. B. Fane Esq.
 Collector of Madras.

To, The Examiner of Claims
 Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor, with reference to the accompanying order of Government dated 22nd February 1869 No. 84, to forward for their sanction, a register of application for superannuation Pension for Virasami Ravidu, late a town Cutchwall of Cojiveram, who was thrown out of the service at Mr. Pelly's revision of Revenue & Police Establishments.

The register shows, that the applicant served generally ably and well, though on one occasion a charge of neglect of duty was brought against him, but from which, he seems to

In a letter from
 the Collector of Madras
 dated 17th May 1869
 No. 116.

1005-58/69

from
 the Collector of Madras
 dated 17th May 1869
 No. 116
 forwarded a register
 of application for
 pension to the
 Examiner of Claims
 Fort St. George.

to have been subsequently exonerated,
& that the net period of his service in a
grade eligible for Pension, is ^{years} 22--
& 7 days, and that $\frac{1}{3}$ of the average
salary of the past 5 years, viz. Rs.
3-8-0, is proposed as the amount of
pension to be granted him.

3 The applicant received a
gratuity at the time of the above named
reduction, but that he repaid on
the 5th ultimo.

4 Owing to the break in his
service of 1-9-10 ^{of the 22nd} occasioned by the
dismissal referred to in the register,
he was, at first, refused to be re-
commended for the Pension, but
on his appealing to Government, an
order to permit this application was
passed on the 22nd February last, on
the receipt of which, the statements
of

of the applicant's service was veri-
fied by the office records & the requir-
ed register completed.

5 As that part of the appli-
cant's service entitling him to a Pen-
sion has been thus verified ^{an} affidavit
was not deemed necessary.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed.^t Servant.

J. P. S. S. S.
Collector.

REGISTER of an application for Superannuation Pension under Pension Rules
published under date 3rd May 1864, from the Establishment of
the of

1	Full name of Pensioner...	Vandewash Venasawmy haidoo
2	Sect or caste...	Cavaray Caste, Vaishnava sect
3	Residence ...	Conjeveram
4	Present post ...	Town Cuthal of Conjeveram
5	Date of commencement of service...	1 st March 1827
6	Date of retirement from service ...	15 th September 1860
7*	Abstract of the grounds of application.	1. Good service ... 2. Inability to serve longer ... 3. Reduction of Establishment
8*	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances.	1. Resigned the service from ... 2.† Reduction of Establishment from ... 3. Suspended from ... 4. Dismissed for misconduct from 1 st Aug 1846 to 10 May 1848 - 1 - 9 - 10
9	Total of service before 22 years of age...	1 - 5 - 15
10	Total of service not in Pension grades ...	8 - 3 - 14
11	Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave ...	...
	Grand Total...	9 - 8 - 29
12	Total period in Pension grades counted as service ...	22 - 4 - 7
13†	Age of the applicant at the time of this application ...	61 - 6 - ...
14	Height ...	5 - 7
15	Descriptive marks.—	A large burn on the right side of the belly - two large boil marks just below the left knee joint
16	Average salary of past 5 years ...	Rs. 10 - 8 - "
17	Proposed amount of Pension...	3 - 8 - "
18	Date from which to commence ...	16 th September 1860
19	Place of payment ...	Conjeveram, Madras District

* N. D.—The reason of the applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being left, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.

† It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year.
It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs. 63 A. P.
Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was 6th August 1863 A 229 (Pro. of Govt.)
Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. 63 A. P.
Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of April 1869

† I do hereby certify, that to the best of my belief the applicant's age above stated is correct.

[Signature]
Collector

[Handwritten notes and signatures]
Pensioned 1869
To the Collector of
the Madras District
From the Secretary
of the Government
of Madras
Dated 17th May 1869
No. 116
Encl (2)
Forwards a receipt
of application for Pension
for the late Town
Cuthal of Conjeveram,
Madras District.

Establishment.	Post.	PAY.			PERIOD.				Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts	Remarks.	
		Rs.	A.	P.	From	To	Yrs.	Ms.			Ds.
The late Chungking District	Scou	3	*		1 March 1827	25 Nov ^r 1836	9	8	29	This service can not count for Pension.	
	Deloyet	4									
	& so on	5	4								
		7	-	-							
<p>n 13. No records are forth- coming to show the detail with the local posts & the detail of heavy</p>											
Town	Cultural	10	8	-	30 Nov ^r 1836	12 Sept ^r 1837			9	13	
Town	Cultural	10	8	-	13 Sept ^r 1837	30 July 1846	8	10	18		Verified from the records of this office -
Do	Conjeveran	10	8	-	11 May 1848	15 Sept ^r 1860	12	4	6		Tutor. Troop. Off. Exam. of Claims
Total.....							31	9	6		
<p>Years. Months. Days.</p> <p>Deduct service before 22 years of age ... 1-5-15</p> <p>Deduct service not in Pension grades ... 8-3-14</p> <p>Deduct leave ... " " "</p> <p>Net period of Service..... 9 8 29</p> <p>22 7</p>											

To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

Memo.

Virawami Nayudu, a kotval of Conjeveram, whose office was abolished in 1860, received a gratuity in 1863. His service was as shown in the margin. There was a break in his service for pension, as he was dismissed in 1846; but he was re-instated in 1848. He petitioned Govt in 1868, praying for a pension; on enquiry it appeared that his dismissal was unmerited. It was accordingly resolved to overlook the break in his service and the Collector of Madras was directed to submit an application in due form. This has now been done, and the applicant has refunded the gratuity which he received in 1863.

2. A pension can only be granted

yr m. d
9. 8. 29
22. 8. 7
31. 9. 6

ineligible for pension
Eligible

G. O. P. No. 22nd Feb. 1869 N^o 84.

PENSION 1869 DEPARTMENT.

From the *Examiner of Accounts*

Fort Saint George.

To the Chief Secretary to Government,

Civil Pension Department.

Dated 28th June 1869.
Received 15th

Enclosures.

PENSION 186 DEPARTMENT.

From the *Collector of Madras*

To the Accountant General or Controller

of Military Accounts.

Dated 17th May 1869.
Received

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

Verifies the service

Register of an application for Superannuation Pension in behalf

of *V. Virawami Nayudu*

late *Collector of Conjeveram*

borne on the establishment of the *Police*

borne on the establishment of the *Police*

of Madras.

Madras.

C-He 270.

granted to Viraswami Nayudu
by giving retrospective effect to
the rule passed in Nov^r 1862, when
sanction was first given for the
admission to pension of persons
discharged ^{on reduction of establishment} after service of
less than thirty years

3. In a decision, which has
been received from the Govt^r
of India since the submission
of a register of application in
Viraswami's case was ordered,
that Govt^r expressly refused to
give the rule of Nov^r 1862 any
retrospective effect, in a case
where the applicant's service
amounted to 29y^r 7m. 8 days.

4. There seems therefore but
little probability of the Govt^r
of India being induced to sanc-
tion the grant of pension in
the present case, where the
service is so much less than

Letter dated 29th May 1869 No 639
In M. G. Proc^r 16th June 1869

in the case which they so
recently decided. It is observed
also that the applicant
has already, though by a mistake,
received the benefit intended
to be conferred by the order of
22nd Feb 1869; viz: that the break
in his service should be over-
looked. In the gratuity roll
submitted in 1863, his service
was ^{not} shown in detail; and he
accordingly received six months
pay for his service of 31.1.6;
whereas, had his service prior
to 1846 been excluded, he
would only have received
four months pay.

5. Under these circumstances
the accompanying Draft is
submitted.

Wm
A.L.

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

^{again}
Read the following letter *Proceedings*

of Government dated 22nd February 1869, No 84.

Read also the following papers
Letter from the Collector of Madras 14th June 1869 No 116.
Report of the Examiner of Claims. — 24th June 1869 No 582

Order thereon 23rd August 1869, No 270.

Wm
NP

The Government observe that since their order of the 22nd February 1869 has passed the Government of India have refused to give retrospective effect to the ruling of November 1862, by which the grant of pension to persons thrown out of employ by reduction of establishment, after service

service of less than 30
years, was first men-
tioned. The Govern-
ment are therefore

precluded from recom-
mending the present
case for consideration
by that Government.

The Collector of Madras
will repay to Viraswami
Nayudu the sum
of Rs 63 repaid by
him.

To the Govt. of the Madras Presidency. Dec. 2
-cc- Accountant General

