

1. Number of pages 12
2. Date of receipt 14-11-35
3. Serial No. 171-36
M.R.O. 1-22-406-23-11-80

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1168 178	Press Mis.
Dated	12-6-	1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1 Encl
1168
1 Encl
No. 26/5/68

His Excellency
The Right Honorable
The Governor in Council,
Fort St George.

The humble Petition of
G. Annamalai Chetty
late a Bullock Superintendent
in the Establishment
of the Madras Mint.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

That in consequence of a reduction
of the Bullock Establishment at the Madras
Mint your Petitioner had been thrown out
of Employ.

2. That the Mint Master was pleased
however to request the sanction of Government
to the retention of your Petitioner in the post
of Superintendent until he could otherwise
provide for him in the regular Establishment
on the occurrence of a vacancy.

3. That the Government in reply,
informed the Mint Master that as the
number of Bullocks required at the Mint
are only two pairs they could not sanction
the Salary of a Superintendent, and directed
that unless your Petitioner could at once
be provided with other employment, an
application on his behalf for Pension or
Gratuity under the rules should be submitted.

That

August

4. That the Mint-Master accordingly sent in an application to Government for a Pension on behalf of your Petitioner - but the Government in disposing of the same, have granted your Petitioner only a gratuity of Rupees 100 for his services of 14 years and 10 months, considering your Petitioner not to be entitled to a Pension on the grounds, suggested by the officiating Examiner of Claims, that the Office held by your Petitioner is not among the Classes of servants who are eligible to Pension.

5. That your Petitioner begs most respectfully to represent to your Lordship in Council that among the Classes of Mint servants who have been considered eligible to pensions occur the "Superintendents of the different Departments of the Mint (vide Civil Auditor's Manual page 45) - The Bullock Establishment, although perhaps inferior as compared with the other Department of the Mint, was still one of sufficient importance to require overlooking, and your Petitioner's duties in connection with it were not strictly of a menial nature, since he had, besides looking after the cleaning and feeding of the Bullocks, to keep the attendance Roll of the Drivers and to provide all requisites for Bullocks and Carts. Your Lordship

Lordship in Council will see from this that the entire duties of the post of Superintendent were not quite of so menial a nature as to remove it from the category of Offices entitling the holders thereof to a pension. Your Petitioner begs to add that the very fact of designation, viz "Superintendent," affords presumptive proof that such was never the intention.

6. Your Petitioner begs further to submit to your Lordship in Council that he was appointed Bullock Superintendent in the Mint from 1st December 1856. For 6 years and 9 months previously, he held the appointment of Concipitay, in which appointment he stood eligible to pension. His transference to the Bullock Establishment was essentially an advancement because it not only gave him a higher designation but also increased salary and these facts are not favorable to the conclusion that the post is one which does not entitle to pension as in that case it was only inflicting an injury on your petitioner to have nominated him to it which, he need hardly say, could never have been contemplated.

7. Your Petitioner also begs to represent to your Lordship in Council that his family, for three generations, has been employed as servants in the

Madras

Madras Mint; his grandfather having
served as Bullion Keeper and his uncle
as Deputy Bullion Keeper.

8. Your Petitioner has also attended
his work in the Madras Mint during
all the vacations which are granted
to public establishments, and has
always preferred the public interests
to his own private convenience.

9. Your Petitioner begs therefore
with all due respect and submission
to solicit that your Lordship in Council
will be pleased to reconsider his case
and to grant him the pension to which
he is entitled in lieu of the gratuity
which has been passed to him. Your
Petitioner begs to press upon the favour-
able consideration of your Lordship in
Council the fact that his loss of
employment was in consequence of no
fault on his part, but was caused
by a public exigency and that servants
who are displaced under such circum-
stances are invariably treated with
liberality. Your Petitioner begs to refer
also to his faithful service under Govern-
ment for a period of 22 years, having
been employed for 5 years temporarily
as Assistant Bullion Keeper, and to his
unexceptionable conduct throughout
that period of service and humbly trusts
that,

that, under all the circumstances above
set forth, your Lordship in Council
will be pleased to grant him a
pension so that he may have some
sure support in his declining days.
For which act of Benevolence and
Kindness your Petitioner is in duty
bound

Will Ever Pray
G. Annamalai Chetty

Madras
26 May, 1868.



No 81.

28th May 1868.

From

Colonel J. Carpendale R.E.

Mint Master

To The Chief Secretary
to Government.

Sir,

Para 1 -

I have the honor to acknowledge the Order of Government in the Pension Department No. 146 dated 18th May 1868 granting to G. Annamalai Chetty, late Superintendent of Bullocks in the Mint, a gratuity of One hundred Rupees or five Months salary in lieu of the Pension applied for.

2. When I received the Order of Government as per Margin directing the Superintendent of Bullocks to be dispensed with, I carefully examined all the Regulations relating to the grant of Pensions and Gratuities to Uncovenanted servants, and finding by a Notification of Government dated 1st August

No 531
dated 4th Decr/67.

No 1168 of 1868.

From G. Annamalai Chetty, late a Bullocke dispenser

present on the establishment of the Madras Mint.
Dated 26th May 1868.
Enclosure: 1

Representing in consequence of a reduction in the Bullocke establishment he was thrown out of employment. That although the Mint has been reorganised to Government functions to Government and he is provided with a situation yet the Government

No 1168 of 1868.

The Government on grounds in the present petition for reconsidering their decision that petitioner's position was that of an employee of the Government and as such entitled to pension.

By Order.

W. Walker
Under Secy to Govt.

No 11581/68
Dated 19th 4/68

August 1844, republished in the Revised Pension Rules dated 15th May 1851, that the "Superintendents of the different Departments in the Mint were included in the Classes considered eligible for Pension, it appeared that I was not called on to exercise any judgement or discretion in the matter, and that I had simply to prepare an application for the Amount of Pension that G. Annamalai Chetty was entitled to on account of his length of service, he being a Superintendent of one of the Departments in the Mint.

3. I have since examined the Mint records of that period and the result does not confirm the opinion I had previously entertained viz that the Government of the day had intended the Regulation quoted above to refer to the Superintendent of Bullocks in common with the other Superintendents; for, although designated by that title, the individual was a subordinate in the Laminating Department

Department under another Superintendent, and the salary of the Office at that time was Rupees 14 a Month.

4. But there is another view to be taken of G. Annamalai Chetty's case; ~~at~~ the time of his appointment as Superintendent of Bullocks on 1st December 1856. he was employed as a Conicopoly in the Mint Treasury on a salary of Rs. 14. If he had remained in that position he would have been held entitled to a Pension under the Rules dated 15th May 1851, which specially mentions Conicopolies if drawing more than ten Rupees a Month, and it seems hard that he should forfeit his claim to Pension by having been promoted to what must have been considered a superior situation to that of Conicopoly as Government had sanctioned the higher salary of 20 Rs. a Month for it.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
J. J. J. J.
Mint Master

Customs
1868 Deputation

From N^o 447

The Mint Master
Madras

To the Chief Secretary
to Government.

Date 28th
Decr 29th } May 1868.

N^o 87.

Under Section 12 of the 1854 Act known as the Act of 1854 in relation to the late Commission of Enquiry and states that through the Classification of this Office may not be in conformity with the other departments of the Mint but as by the Commission of Enquiry also been employed as a Commission in the Mint Treasury in 1854 would be eligible for Commission under the Act of 1854 May 1857 No 177

Wm. Recorder of the