1. Number of pages 44
2. Date of repair 7-XI-
3. Initials of Referencer

M.R.O. 1—32,400—29-11-30.

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

---

## Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| G.O. No. | 109. | ~~Press~~ ~~Mis.~~ |
| Dated | 21-4- | 1868 |

**Not to be folded or roughly handled**

## *Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department, 21st April 1868.*

Read the following papers :—
From the Collector of North Arcot.
(Here enter 28th September 1867, No. 53).
Report of the Examiner of Claims.
(Here enter 23rd March 1868, No. 426).

ORDER THEREON, 21st April 1868, No. 109.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Syed Isack, late Tahsildar of Palmanair Taluq, North Arcot District, a pension of Rupees (50) fifty per mensem, equal to one-third his salary for the last five years, under Clause II., Section 6, of the Revised Pension Rules, payable in arrears from 15th February 1867, subject, however, to the confirmation of the pensioner's Medical Certificate by the Deputy Inspector General of the Division.

2. The Collector of North Arcot will, therefore, be careful to instruct Syed Isack to present his Certificate to the Deputy Inspector General for his countersignature whenever he may next visit the station, in accordance with paragraph 4 of the Notification, dated 28th September 1866, published at page 450 of the *Fort Saint George Gazette* of 2nd October 1866. When the Certificate has been so countersigned, the Collector will call for and return it to this Department for record.

3. The Government having previously ruled that the applicant could not be allowed to refund his gratuity, do not now see any reason for re-considering that decision.

4. The Governor in Council notices with regret that this is another instance of the neglect in attending to the requirements of the Pension Rules, which has before been noticed in the office of the North Arcot Collectorate. The Medical Certificate is dated more than a month after the close of applicant's service.

5. The correspondence shows that the applicant has been of late complained of in his work, but this the Government are willing to view in the same light as the Board in their Proceedings of 24th November 1862, who considered that his errors in Magisterial procedure were faults which were not to be wondered at in an old servant educated under so very different a system from that which now prevails.

(True Extract).

*Under Secy. to Govt.*

To the Collector of North Arcot.
,, Accountant General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman

No. 5366

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 27th August 1863.

Read the following letter from J. Fraser Esqre. Acting Collector of North Arcot to W. Hudleston Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated Chittoor 13th August 1863 No. 155.

I have the honor with reference to the Proceedings of the Government in the Revenue Department dated 4th August 1863 No. 1446 communicated to me with the Boards' Proceedings of the 6th idem to state that the sum due to Syed Ishauk under the Ruling of Government is 353-3-7. The amount credited to Government up to this date as Morroogasun's half Salary is 202-1-6. The balance, or Rupees 151-2-1 can be met from the sum provided in the Budget for Taluq Establishment. The excess in this item, after providing

for

for the payment of the Establishment as it is at present is Rupees 2700.

1. The Board Sanction the payment to Syed Itik of half pay during the time he was under suspension.

2. The charge will be met as proposed by the Collector in the above letter, which is the mode of adjustment approved by Government in their Order No. 1446 dated 4th August 1863.

(A true ^copied^ Extract)
(Signed) R. A. Dalyell
Sub Secretary

(True Copy)

Dy. Collector

Compd

Revenue Department

No.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Dated 24th November 1862

Read the following letter from the Collector of North Arcot, Dated 31st October 1862 No. 224

(In Consultation 5th November 1862 No. 7084)

1 In reporting the suspension from office of Syed Isac Tasildar of Palamanair Mr. Fraser forwarded with his letter dated 22d July last No. 43 a Memo of censures passed at various times on the above mentioned Tasildar, all tending in Mr. Fraser's opinion to show that the Tasildar is a very inefficient Magisterial officer. From that Memo it appeared that Syed Isack had been removed in 1857 from the Chittoor Taluq to an inferior Tasildarship with the Board's sanction (the Collector having previously reported that he was inefficient) and that on three occasions recently he had been censured by the Sessions Court.

2 The Ag Collector has now sent up a Memo showing the number of occasions on which the proceedings of Syed Isac, as Sub Magistrate have formed the subject of remark by the

Rev/68 Dept.
In No 257.

Seſsions Judge from February 1858 to July of the present Year or being a period of four Years and a half, and Remarks with reference to this Memo, that it shows that the Tasildar has constantly neglected, either from ignorance or careleſsneſs or more probably a mixture of both, the simplest Rules of routine and procedure and that he is equally ignorant in the weightier Matters of what is or is not evidence" &c. The Memo shows that the Seſsions Court has in four Years and a half noticed Syed Isac's proceedings on eighteen different Occasions. On eight of these Occasions the Court notices delay in the Sub Magistrate's proceedings. On three occasions, he is blamed for not having diſmiſsed cases in which the prosecutor failed to appear, on three Occasions the Sub Magistrate is blamed for careleſsneſs in getting up cases. On one he is blamed for having committed a party on charge of dacoity, instead of for a common aſsault. On one further enquiry was directed, on one the commitment was illegal and on one Occasion he is blamed for Neglect in a Matter of form.

3 The Board cannot think that a sufficient case has been made out for diſmiſsing Syed Isac from the public service, with reference to the Complaints made against him, either as a Magisterial or as a Revenue Officer. There appears to be no question that he is to blame for careleſsneſs and a dilatory discharge of his duties, but at the same time in respect to his Magisterial duties, the instances adduced do not prove that he is wholly unfit to be entrusted with such work nor in the Boards Opinion is it proved that he is uſeleſs as a Revenue Officer.

4. Considering the time that Syed Isac has served sufficient allowance has not been made by Mr. Fraser for so old an Officer. The very great & radical Changes that have taken place in the substantive and Procedure Laws and in the administration of the Revenue Department make it no easy Matter for an Old servant to acquire accurate Knowledge of the New details of his duties. Much Consideration should therefore be shown towards servants of long standing and they should rather be helped than discouraged by reproof, fine and dread of diſmiſsal in the discharge of their onerous duties

5 Under these circumstances, the Board direct the reinstatement of Syed Isac in his office of Tasildar of Palamanair with the warning that he must show more activity and take greater pains in the discharge of his duties.

(A true extract)

(Signed) W. Hudleston
Secretary

(True Copy)

Deputy Collector

B.C.

Compd

---

11A

To

His Excellency
The Right Honorable
Francis Napier, Baron Napier K.T.
Governor of Madras.

The humble Petition of Syed Ishock
late Tasildar of Palumnair.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

1 That from the 20 February 1865 Petitioner was being called on by the Collector of Chittoor J. D. Robinson Esq. to send in his papers for pension on the score that old age had disabled him for further work.

2. That Your Petitioner finding that he was still able to serve efficiently, informed the Collector that he had not a sufficient period of continuous service to entitle him to half pension and therefore wished to be allowed to serve so as to complete his service or that he be allowed to refund the amount of gratuity received by Your Petitioner in 1843.-

3. That certain correspondence then passed between Your Petitioner and the Collector until 11th January 1867, when the Collector peremptorily ordered Your Petitioner under the Authority of the Board of Revenue to apply at once for pension.

4. That Your Petitioner complied with the Collector's order under the impression that he would be permitted to refund the amount of the gratuity received by him and in a Petition to the Collector expressed a hope that he would recommend Your Petitioner for half pension; but to his utter dismay, he was informed by the Collector that Government had already decided on his representation that the refund of the gratuity could not be permitted in consequence of the great lapse of time since its receipt and that therefore he could only be recommended for 1/3rd Pension, which Your Petitioner has been informed the Collector has done

5. That Your Petitioner believes that all the circumstances connected with his Service have not been fully brought to the Notice of Government and he therefore ventures to submit for Your Lordships' favorable consideration the following Statement:

6. That your Petitioner's Services are as follows,—

| | Yr | Ms | Ds |
|---|---|---|---|
| As Student in the Madras College " qualifying for appointment in the judicial line. As Collectorate Mohamedan Tutor in Chittoor. As third Persian Moonshee in Cuddapah. As Persian Moonshee in the Zilla Court of Chittoor as per Extract enclosed | 13 | 10 | 15. |
| As Acting Persian Moonshee from 27 December 1843 to 20 May 1844 in the Subordinate Court of Chittoor | " | 4 | 25. |
| As Head of the Police of Conjundee from 5 November 1844 | 8 | 3 | " |
| As Tasildar respectively of Wandewash Chittoor Chunderghery and Polumnair | 14 | " | 10 |
| | 36 | 6 | 20. |

7. That the whole of the Service was, however, not continuous and that while Petitioner was Persian Moonshee in the North Arcot District the office was " brought under reduction and Your Petitioner was granted in 1843 a gratuity of 6 months Salary Rs 168 " " " the services rendered by him up to that date being calculated at 13 years 10 months and 15 days as per document quoted above.

8. That Subsequently Your Petitioner was re-entertained in the Service as Head of Police of Conjundee. That had Your Petitioner been aware of

the existence of the rule he would on such re-entertainment have refunded the gratuity received by him; so that, his previous service may reckon towards pension; but ignorance of the rule (not having then at his command the means that now exist for becoming acquainted with the orders of the Government on the subject) prevented his doing so.

9. That it will be observed that the Service of Your Petitioner as set forth in the 6 para of this Petition aggregates 36 years 6 months and 20 days; but inconsequence of Your Petitioner having received the amount of gratuity mentioned above the period of Service allowed to reckon towards pension has been cut down to 22 years and 3 Months and 10 days, which entitles Your Petitioner to only $1/3^{d}$ pension

10. That your Petitioner therefore prays that the omission to repay the gratuity at a time may be overlooked, that Government will not deprive him on account of this circumstance of the advantage of the Service for the long period of 13 years and that he may be allowed to refund the gratuity.–

11. That in preferring this prayer Your Petitioner begs to state that he rendered good Service during the period he was employed under Government that he has given the best years of his life to the Service of Government. That he has borne an unblemished character, that he collected the Revenues within a shorter time than other Revenue Officers so much

---

so that Your Petitioner was held up in the District Gazette* as an example for the imitation of others that when all hope of finding a line for the Railway near Palumnair was given up by Major now Major General T. Pears Your Petitioner pointed out a passage which was at once approved and adopted and for which Your Petitioner was highly recommended.

* North Arcot District Gazette dated 9th February 1861

12. That under all these circumstances Your Petitioner prays that his case may be taken into commiserate consideration and that his above detailed prayer may be granted namely to be allowed to refund his gratuity. A compliance with this request, Your Petitioner respectfully states will not be inconsistent with previous instances or Rulings of Government of which a few examples are entered in the Margin. Besides Your Petitioner would respectfully beg to urge in support of his prayer that by the Ruling of the Government of India recorded in Proceedings of Government Financial Department dated 21 June 1867 No. 255 it is distinctly stated that when an Uncovenanted Servant pensioned on reduction of Establishment is subsequently re-employed on a permanent Establishment his former

In 1863 one Shaik Abdool Rahman Sahib late Principal Sudder Ameen of Coimbatore obtained 1/2 pension without returning the gratuity drawn by him 20 years ago notwithstanding some deficiency in the service requisite for 1/2 pension

2. In 1863 Veerasawmy Moodelly Last Rent Conicopillay Madras District refunded gratuity at his retirement (Break more than a year)

3. In 1857 Soondrea Moodelly (his office not known) refunded gratuity at the eve of his being pensioned.

Service may be allowed to count towards a higher pension and that a refund of the pension drawn by him in the interval between the two periods of service is not necessary. Such being the case, Your Petitioner submits that a fortiore there cannot be objection to his being allowed to refund his gratuity though a length of time may have elapsed since the receipt and his re-entertainment in the service or the time of his asking to be allowed to refund it.

13. In conclusion, Your Petitioner begs to intreat that his case may be mercifully considered. He is now burdened with support of a large family and his greatly reduced allowances of one third of his late Income will cause him great distress. Your Petitioner therefore trusts that a generous Government will protect him in his old age after more than one third of a centurys' faithful and good service and grant him the relief asked for. For which he will as in duty Bound—

Ever Pray.

Madras
31 October 1867

Syed Ishauk

---

Extract from a Statement forwarded with a letter dated 28th November 1843 by the Acting Civil Judge of Chittoor to the Register to the Court of Sudr Adalut recommending Syed Esauk Saib a Moonshee on the Establishment of the late Chittoor Zillah Court for Gratuity.

| Name | Description | Pay per Mensem | | | Period of Service | | | Amount of Gratuity recommended | | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Rs | as | p | Years | Months | Days | Rs | as | p | |
| Syed Esauk | Late Persian Moonshee of the Zillah Court of Chittoor | 28 | " | " | 13 | 10 | 15 | 168 | " | " | Full pay for six months in consideration of his length of Service and general good Conduct. |

(True Extract)

Civil Judge

Compd

H.M.

Delivered 2d Nov 1844

To

F. Lushington Esqre

Accountant General

Fort Saint George.

Sir

5/11

Having been informed that the Collector of Chittoor has submitted to you the papers connected with the grant of pension to me, I beg to forward herewith a Petition, which I have addressed to His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor and to request you will, in reporting on my case, kindly forward the same with your best support for which, I shall feel most grateful.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most Obedient Servant

Syed Ishauk

Late Taveldar of Palumnair

Madras.

31 October 1867

D A & A G Office
Recd 5 11 1867
Ansd

vr

DLB

No 53 25

North Arcot
Collector's Office
Chittoor 28 Septr 67

EXAMINER OF CLAIMS 1867 SEP MADRAS

From J. D. Robinson Esqre
Collector of N. Arcot

To The Examiner
of Claims
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to return to me the Register× of applications for Pension on behalf of Syed Ishak, late Tahsildar of Palamaner Taluq, as the age of the applicant is inadvertently omitted to be entered therein.

× Forwarded on the 12th Instant

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servt
J. D. Robinson
Collector

Recd 30 Septr/67.
Lr No 252.

883/c

Ad.

Pension 1868 Department

From No 251.

The Collector of North Arcot

No 53

Dated 28th Septr 1867

Recd 24th March 1868.

Submitting application for Superannuation Pension in favor of Syed Isaack Saib late Thasildar of Chittoor Taluq

O. No 109.

---

27A

EXAMINER OF CLAIMS 1867 MADRAS

No 56

North Arcot

Collector's Office

Chittoor 15th October 1867

From

J. D. Robinson Esqr

Collector of North Arcot

To

W. Donald Esqr

Examiner of Claims

Fort St George

Sir,

I have the honor to return the Register of Application for a Superannuation pension on behalf of Syed Isaack, late Tahsildar of Palamaner Taluq, with the Certificate of age duly signed. As the service before 22 years of age, vizt 2 years, was by an oversight twice deducted, the mistake has now been rectified in the Register.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedt Servant

J D Robinson

Collector

J.W.G.

1867 Department
From
The Collector of North Arcot
To
The Examiner of Claims
Fort Saint George

Dated 15 October 1867
Received

No. 56

Returns the Register of application for a Superannuation pension on behalf of Syed Isakh late Tahsildar of Palmanair Talug

Recd/68 Dept.
In No. 252.

---

Inf No. 269

1 Regr. of application for Pension for Syed Isack. d/ 13 Septr/67
1 Medl. Certificate d/ 26 March/65
this wd. if possible be countersigd. by the D. S. G.
2

PENSION REPORT DEPARTMENT.

No.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
The 20 Decr 1867.

To
THE Collector of North Arcot

The undersigned has the honor to return the papers connected with the Pension case noted in the margin, received ~~with~~ on the 16 Septr 1867 for want of Nos. 6-7 20-24 25-26 and 27.

This paper should also be returned with the case

W. Donald
*Examiner of Claims.*

1. Detail, with dates, of local posts.
2. ,, pay.
3. ,, of leaves enjoyed other than privilege or preparatory.
4. ,, Full name in item 1 of the Register of application.
5. ,, Certificate of age attested by head of Department.
6. ,, that the applicant has been under medical treatment for a named period.-
7. ,, that he is broken down through no fault or excess of his own.
8. ,, that alleged services are proved from the records.
9. ,, of past character.
10. Explanation of apparent breaks.
11. ,, ,, degradation.
12. Mention of desired place of payment.
13. Printed form of application for under the Rules published at page 631, of the 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
14. Insertion in item 15 of the Register of all descriptive marks agreeably to Notification published at page 802, of 2nd Volume of the Gazette for 1866.
15. Insertion in the Leave Statement, of the period of absence with loss of full and half pay respectively during the period of
16. For deletion of Remarks at foot of the Register, if the applicant did not receive any gratuity.
17. For the deletion of grounds of retirement &c., other than those required under N. B. at foot of the Register.
18. Discharge Certificate from the Superintendant of Police shewing the period of the applicant's employment in the new Police, and why his services were dispensed with.
19. Testimonials of character or Certificates given to applicants by the Head of an Office or in the absence of such Certificates an affidavit signed before a Magistrate, in support of the applicant's Service of years.
20. Explanation of the cause of delay in submission of application until 13th Septr/67 when the applicant appears from the statement of service to have ceased to do duty on the 14 February 1867
21. Explanation why the applicant was retained in Government service from to when the Medical Certificate was dated
22. Medical Certificate in prescribed form shewing the applicant's inability to serve longer, vide Government Notification published at page 740 and 632, Volume 1 of Gazette for 1858 and 1863, respectively.

24. Explanation why the applicant is shewn in the statt. of service to have been employed as permt. [illegible] Moonshee in the Sub Court of Chittoor from 27 Decr/43 to 26 May/44 when he does not appear from the Pay abstracts of that Court on record in this Office to have been employed, and as stated by the applicant in his Petition to Govt. d/ 31 October/65

states that he was merely acting for that period – Vide Govt Notification pubd at page 603. 1st Volume of the Gazette for 1866–

24B Explanation why the applicant is shewn to have been employed as Hd of Police of Kangoondi Dn from 5th Novr/44 to 4 Feby/53, when ~~his~~ his name not the name of Kangoondy Dn is ~~not~~ shewn in the return for 1845 on record in this Office

25 Insertion of yrs 6–9–23 instead of yrs 7–2–18 in the deduction of service as the latter period includes the period of 4 months and 25 days for which the applicant did not receive gratuity as stated in the Regr – and also insertion of 4 months and 25 days in the deduction of service not in pension grades as pencilled—

26 Copies of the orders of the Bd of Revue d 27 Augt/62 No 5366, and 24 Novr/62 under which the applicant was paid Rs 175 while he was without employ by suspension, and reinstated on the 12 Decr/62

27 ~~Insertion~~ at foot of the Regr of the order of Govt under which a gratuity of Rs 168 was paid to the applicant–

Pens/68 – Deptt
Lr No 252.

## Memo:

No 6. The Applicant has never been under Medical treatment.

No 7 The Applicants inability for further active Service has not been the result of any fault or excess of his own.

No 20 The cause of delay in submitting this Application till 13th September 1867 was owing to the search made by this Office in the old records to trace out the origin of the several breaks referred to in the application and to verify his Statement of Service–

No 24A The Applicant was by an oversight shewn in the Statement of Service as a permanent Persian Moonshee: he simply held an acting appointment for the period in question in the Sub Court. The error is now accordingly rectified in the Register. As it was

then

then necessary to omit the Agt incumbent's name from the Pay abstract, his name is not to be found in the records of your Office. The enclosed original Certificate given him by the Subordinate Judge dated 5th June 1844 is forwarded for your information.

As the Establishment No 24B of Police Ameen was newly entertained in November 1844, the arrears of pay from November 1844 to July 1845 were disbursed in the month of September 1845 as per sanction of Government of India, conveyed in Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 14th June and 14th July 1845. These were exhibited in the Account for September 1845 and the subsequent payments in the following accounts.

Book [illegible] at page 132 of 1845

The necessary — No 25 corrections were made accordingly in red ink in the Statement of Services

No 26 The Copies of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue are herewith sent as requested.

No 27 The insertion of the Government Order was made at the foot of the Register as requested.

As the Deputy Inspector General is not here or in any of the nearest Stations, it is impracticable to get the accompanying Certificate countersigned by him.

J. C. Wilson
Collector

North Arcot
Collector's Office
Arcot 19 Febry 1848

No 4

North Arcot
Colle's Circuit Office
Caveripak 14 Febry /68

EXAMINER OF CLAIMS
1868
FEB

From J. D. Robinson Esq
Collector of North Arcot

To The Examiner of Claims
Fort Saint George

Sir,

In returning herewith the Register of application of Syed Isack Saib and its enclosures received with your Memo dated 20th December last, I have the honor to annex a Memo containing information relating to the several points therein referred to.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedt Servt
J D Robinson
Collector

Recd/68 Dept.
Inw No 252.

A.R.

From
The Collector of
North Arcot.
To
The Commissioner of
Claims
Madras.
Dated 14th February 1868.
Pension
No. 4
Enclosures two.

Returns the Register
of application of
Syed Isack and its
enclosures.

Pens/68 Dept
In No. 252.

---

**Register** *of an application for Superannuation Pension under Pension Rules published under date 3rd May 1864, from the Establishment of the* Collector *of* North Arcot.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Full name of Pensioner... ... ... | Syed Isack |
| 2 | Sect or caste... ... ... ... ... | Mahomedan |
| 3 | Residence ... ... ... ... ... | Chittoor Zillah |
| 4 | Present post ... ... ... ... ... | Tahsildar of Palamanair Taluq |
| 5 | Date of commencement of service... ... ... | 15th November 1833 |
| 6 | Date of retirement from service ... ... ... | 15th February 1867. |
| 7* | Abstract of the grounds of application. 1. ~~Good service~~ ... ... ... 2. Inability to serve longer 3. ~~Reduction of Establishment~~ | 2. as per accompanying Med & Certificate |

| | | | Years. | Months. | Days. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8* | How long unemployed, and under what circumstances. | 1. ~~Resigned the service from~~ to | | | |
| | | 2.† Reduction of Establishment from 21st July 18[illegible] to 29th July 1839 & 1st September 1843 to 4th November 1844 | 3 | " | 20 |
| | | 3. Suspended from 20 July 1862 to 11 December 1862 | " | 4 | 23 |
| | | 4. ~~Dismissed for misconduct from~~ to | | | |
| 9 | Total of service before 22 years of age ... | | 2 | " | " |
| 10 | Total of service not in Pension grades ... | | " | " | " |
| 11 | Total of leave other than privilege and preparatory leave ... | | " | " | " |
| | Grand Total... ... ... | | 5 | 5 | 13 |
| 12 | Total period in Pension grades counted as service ... | | 20 | 2 | 10 |
| 13‡ | Age of the applicant at the time of this application ... | Yrs 53 Mo 10 | 53 | 3 | ~~7~~ 4 |

| | | | Feet. | Inches. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 14 | Height ... | | 5 | 5 |
| 15 | Descriptive marks.— | Color Brown, a wart on the left side of the throat, a wart below the right ear, a scar on the left foot | | |

| | | Rs. | A. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 16 | Average salary of past 5 years ... | 150 | " | " |
| 17 | Proposed amount of Pension... ... | 90 | " | " |
| 18 | Date from which to commence ... | 15th February 1867 | | |
| 19 | Place of payment ... | Collector's Office Chittoor | | |

* *N. B.*—The reason of the applicant's retirement and of his being unemployed for the periods given being left, the other grounds of retirement and reasons of break in employment should be erased with red ink.

~~† It is hereby certified that no gratuity was drawn by the applicant on reduction of Establishment in the year.~~
~~It is certified that gratuity having been granted was refunded to the amount of Rs.~~ A. P.
Order sanctioning payment of gratuity was Letter from the Civil Judge Chittoor to the Regr. to Sudr Adalut 28th November 1843 & Vide orders of Government dated 18th December 1843 No. 215.
Amount of gratuity granted was Rs. 168 A. " P. " one hundred and sixty eight only
~~Refund of gratuity was credited to the account for the month of~~ 186 .

‡ I do hereby certify, that to the best of my belief the applicant's age above stated is correct.

Collector

## Statement of total period of Service.

| Establishment. | Post. | Pay. |  |  | Period. |  |  |  |  | Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  |  | Rs. | A. | P. | From | To | Yrs. | Ms. | Ds. |  |
| Collector of North Arcot | Teacher in the late Government Mohomedan School | 15 | " | " | 15 November 1833 | 20th July 1836 | 2 | 8 | 6 | Verified from the records of this Office but this period does not reckon for Pension as the applicant received a gratuity of Rs 168 for these services in terms of Government order dated 18th December 1843 No 215 and did not refund the same upon being reemployed. |
| Zillah Court of Cuddapa | Persian Moonshee | 28 | " | " | 30th July 1839 | 31st December 1839 | " | 5 | 2 |  |
| Do. of Chittoor | Persian Moonshee | 28 | " | " | 1st January 1840 | 15th September 1843 | 3 | 8 | 15 |  |
| Sub Court of Do. | Ditto | 17 | 8 | " | 27 December 1843 | 20th May 1844 | " | 4 | 25 | Cannot count for Pension as it was of a temporary nature. |
|  | Head of Police Kangundi Div. | 50 | " | " | 5th November 1844 | 4th February 1853 | 8 | 3 | " |  |
|  | Tahseldar of Wandawash Taluq | 81 | " | " | 5th February 1853 | 3rd January 1855 | 1 | 10 | 7 |  |
|  | Do. of Chittoor | 108 | " | " | 4th January 1855 | September 1857 | 2 | 8 | 28 | Verified |
|  | Do. of Chendragherry Ditto | 81 | " | " | 1st October 1857 | 30th June 1860 | 2 | 9 | " |  |
|  | Ditto | 150 | " | " | 1 July 1860 | 30 November Do | " | 5 | " |  |
|  | Ditto of Palamanair Ditto | 150 | " | " | 1st December 1860 | 19 July 1862 | 1 | 7 | 19 | * Although the applicant was without employ by suspension, this period can be counted for Pension as he was paid Rs 75 per month Vide orders of the Bd of Revenue dated 27th August 1863 No 5366. |
|  | Ditto | 75 | " | " | 20th July 1862 | 11th December 1862 | " | 4 | *23 | Under suspension |
|  | Ditto | 150 | " | " | 12th December 1862 | 14th February 1867 | 4 | 2 | 3 | Verified<br>Pay drawn up to 15th February 1867 only. |
|  | Total...... |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 5 | 8 | The applicant does not appear from the Leave Registers from 55 to 1867 on record in this Office to have obtained any leave that does not count for Pension – |

Years. Months. Days.

Deduct service before 22 years of age ... ... " — " — "

Deduct service not in Pension grades ... ... " — 4 — 25

Deduct service for which gratuity was received but not refund 6 — 9 — 23

Deduct leave ... ... ... ... ... " — " — "

7 2 18

Net period of Service...... 22 2 20

W. Donald

Examiner of Claims

---

| Explanation of Column 8 with quotations of orders of reinstatement. | Explanation of any degradation. |
|---|---|
| The situation of Collectorate Mahomedan Teacher having been abolished he fell out of employ as will be observed from the enclosed copy of a Certificate granted by the Principal Collector Mr J. M. Ogilvie dated 15 July 1836.<br>He was again thrown out of employ when all the Zillah Courts in the Madras Presidency were abolished in 1843, and received a gratuity of Rs 168 equivalent to 6 months pay.<br>In 1862 he was suspended from the post of Tahsildar of Palamanair by the then Ag. Collr Mr Fraser on the ground of incompetency & was recommended to the Board of Revenue for removal. The Board however did not accede to Mr Fraser's recommendation, but ordered the reinstatement of Syed Ishak in his Office of Tahsildar of Palamanair Vide Board's Proceedings dated 24th November 1862.<br>As the full salary of the appointment was drawn by the Ag. incumbent during the period of Syed Ishak's suspension, the latter was paid for that time at Rs 75 a per mensem out of general savings under the order of the Board dated 27th August 1862 No 5366. | At the approach of the Mohurrum festival in 1857, he was transferred from Chittoor to Chendragerry taluq as a temporary arrangement on the salary of his permanent post, as it appeared to the then Collector Mr C. Whittingham that his suggestions for maintaining order during that festival in the previous year (1856) were based upon party feeling. He was afterwards confirmed as Tahsildar of Chendragerri. |
|  | **Remarks by the head of the Office on past character and conduct of the applicant throughout the service.** |
|  | Good.<br>Collector |

DA & AG. Office
Recd 16 9 1867
Ansd __

Examiner of Claims

|  | Years. | Months. | Days. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Service qualifying for pension is proved for a total period of... ... ... ... ... | 22. | 2- | 10 |
|  | Rs. | A. | P. |
| In the last 5 years of his service the average pay of the applicant's permanent post was ... ... | 150 | " | " |

Applicant is eligible to a pension of Rupees 50 " per mensem, equal to one third of his average salary during the last 5 years, under Clause III Section 6 of the Revised Pension Rules.

~~It will be observed that the medical Certificate is not countersigned by the Dy Insp. Genl.~~ –

The cause of delay in the submission of application until 13th September 1867 is explained by the Collector in Memo dated 19th February 1868 which accompanied his letter of the 14th idem No 6 herewith forwarded.

Although the applicant is shown to have drawn only Rs 75 per month out of his salary of Rs 150 from 20th July to 11th December 1862 during which time he was under suspension, his full salary (Rs 150) for that period has been calculated in that of the last 5 years, and the period of suspension is included in the total period of years 22-2-20 under para 5 of the Order of Government of India dated 26th September 1865 No 2528 Financial Department, and Madras Government dated 5th December 1867 No 337 Pension Department.

The applicant's Memorial to His Excellency the Governor (in which he states that he was not aware of the rule requiring a refund of gratuity to entitle him to reckon former services for pension) which accompanied his letter to the Accountant General, is forwarded herewith.

W. Donald

Examiner of Claims

Fort Saint George
Accountt Generals Office
23 March 1868

To
The Chief Secretary to Government
Civil Pension Department

E & T R

Pension 1868 Department.

No 252

From the Examiner of Claims
Fort Saint George,

To the Chief Secretary to Government,
Civil Pension Department.

No 426

Dated 23d
Received 24 March 1868.

Enclosures.

Verifies the service
of Syed Isack
late late Tahsildar of ~~Chittoor~~
borne on the establishment of the Collr. of North Arcot.

O. No. 189.

---

Pros: 25th Sept. 1867 No 364.

Pension 1867 Department.

From the Collector

To the Accountant General or Controller
of Military Accounts.

Examiner of Claims 1867 Sep 18

Dated }
Received } 186 .

Register of an application for Superannuation Pension in behalf
of
late
borne on the establishment of the

C 16 April
1123.

6693

---

12 copies Att. 21/4

414

Pension Department.

# PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following ~~letter~~ Papers.

From the Collector of North Arcot (Here enter 28th Sept 1867 No 53.
Report of the Examiner of Claims ( — " — 23d March 1868 No 426

Order thereon 21st April 1868 No 109.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Syed Isack late Tahsildar of Palmanair Talug North Arcot District a pension of Rupees (50-0-0) fifty per mensem, equal to one third his salary for the last five years under clause II Section 6 of the Revised Pension Rules, payable in arrears from 15th February 1867 subject however to the confirmation

of

of the pensioner's Medical certificate by the Deputy Inspector General of the Division.

The Collector of North Arcot will therefore be careful to instruct Syed Isack to present his certificate to the Deputy Inspector General for his counter-signature whenever he may next visit the station in accordance with para 4 of the Notification dated 28th Septr. 1865 published at page 450 of the Fort Saint George Gazette of 2d October 1866. When the certificate has been so countersigned, the Collector will call for and return it to this Departt. for record.

3. The Government having previously ruled that the applicant could not be allowed to refund his gratuity,

do

do not now see any reason for reconsidering that decision.

The Governor in Council notices with regret that this is another instance of the neglect in attending to the requirements of the pension rules, which ~~almost characterize~~ has before been noticed in the office of the North Arcot Collectorate. The Medical Certificate is dated more than a month after the close of applicants service. ~~Which renders a reference necessary to the Govt. of India. The above pension is subject to confirmation.~~

4. The correspondence shows that the applicant has been of late complained of in his work, but this the Govt. are willing to view in the same light as the Board in their Proceedings

of

of 24" November 1862 who con-sidered that his errors in magisterial procedure were faults which were not to be wondered at in an old servant educated under so very different a system from that appla in our provinces

Pros: 25th September 1866

No. 364.

Pensions 1868 Department.

F. W. 511

F. W. 1164 of 1868

No. 109.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 21st April 1868.

Collector of North Arcot
with Medical Certificate
Accountant General
with Register of enquiry
} Dec 23/4.

C 16 April
R 23 "