1867

Madras Record Office

Pension
~~Financial~~ Dept

338 Pen. 4-12-1867
Cir. No. ~~192 Fin~~. dated ~~1-5-~~1867

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 124.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

With reference to the enclosed Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department, I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to request that the Order of this Government, granting a pension of Rupees 100 per mensem to Omerdaraz Khan Nagud, may be laid before His Excellency the Governor General in Council, and that it may receive the confirmation of the Government of India.

2. The Proceedings of the Government of India, confirming the grant of a pension of Rupees 25 per mensem in the case of Balaprasad, referred to in the enclosed Proceedings, were communicated in Mr. Under Secretary Chapman's letter to this Government, dated 7th May 1858, No. 1733, Foreign Department.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Chief Secretary.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
14th March 1867.

Proceedings of Government in the Pension Department, dated 7th March 1867, *No.* 99.

Read the following papers :—

From the Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George ; dated Kurnool, 19th November 1866, No. 56.

I have the honor to forward the application* of Omerdaraz Khan, late Tahsildar of Ramalcotta, a pensforion. Omerdaraz Khan was a retainer of the late Nawab of Kurnool, for 10 years† and drew Rupees 50 and 100 per month.

2. After the Nawab's country was taken possession of by our Government, nearly all the servants under the old rule were dismissed. The present applicant, however, from the character and position he held, was after a time granted a Tahsildarship by Mr. Lushington. His service in this grade has been 15 years 6 months 11 days, and under the peculiar circumstances, I would recommend, for the favorable consideration of Government, that a pension of half of the average salary‡ for the last five years be granted.

3. Omerdaraz Khan possesses several very good certificates of conduct from the Commissioners and Collectors under whom he has served. He is far from being well off, though a servant of long standing.

* Sent to the Accountant General.

	Yrs.	Months.	Days.
† Rupees 50......	4	10	0
,, 100......	5	3	17

‡ 100 Rupees.

We do hereby certify that Tahsildar Omerdaraz Khan Nagud, of Ramalcotta Taluq, is incapacitated from further performance of his duty, in consequence of chronic affection of the kidneys, defective vision, and failure of memory.

His incapacity has not been induced by intemperance or other irregular habits, as far as we can judge.

We are also of opinion that no material improvement is likely to result from relaxation from duty or Medical treatment.

(True Extract).
(Signed) J. M. JACKSON,
Dy. Insp. Genl. of Hospls., C. Districts.
(,,) F. DAY, Surgeon,
In Tempy. Medical Charge, 28th M. N. I.

KURNOOL,
6th November 1866.

Report of the Examiner of Claims. (Here enter 30th January 1867, No. 378).

Order, 7th March 1867, *No.* 99.

Omerdaraz Khan Nagud, late Tahsildar of Ramalcotta, in the Kurnool District, has completed 15 years 6 months and 13 days qualifying service in the employ of Government, and is, for that service, entitled under the Revised Rules to a pension equal to one-third of his average salary during the last five years of his employment.

2. He had also, as stated by the Acting Collector, served 10 years 1 month and 17 days in the employ of the late Nawab of Kurnool, before the assumption of that District by the British Government, on the salaries respectively shown in the margin.

Years.	Months.	Days.		Rs.
4	10	0	Salary	50
5	3	17	do,	100

3. The Collector recommends that a pension equal to one-half of his average salary, or Rupees 100 per mensem, be granted to Omerdaraz Khan Nagud as a special case, and in consideration of the length of his entire service and good character.

4. The Government are the more ready to comply with this recommendation since, inclusive of the service passed in the employ of the late Nawab, the applicant has served for more than 25 years in public employ, and in receipt of salaries which would entitle him to half pension, and as there are precedents (quoted in the Minutes of Political Consultation of this Government, dated 12th March 1858, and communicated to the Government of India in the letter of the Secretary to the Madras Government, dated the 10th March 1858, No. 184), in accordance with which service passed in the employ of the late Nawab of Kurnool was allowed to count as entitling to the higher rate of pensions, and a pension was granted to Balaprasad, a Persian Moonshee in the Office of the Kurnool Commissioner.

5. The Governor in Council resolves, therefore, to grant to Omerdaraz Khan Nagud a pension of Rupees (100) one hundred per mensem with arrears from the 5th November 1866, payable from the Nandikotkoor Taluq Treasury, subject to the confirmation of the Government of India.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department, 1*st May* 1867.

No. 1. Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Government of India, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Fort William, 10th April 1867, No. 1935.

In reply to your letter, dated 14th March 1867, No. 124, I am directed to state that the Governor General in Council is pleased to confirm the sanction of the Government of Fort Saint George to the grant of a pension of Rupees (100) one hundred per mensem to Omerdaraz Khan, late Tahsildar of Ramalcottah Taluq, in the Kurnool District.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Accountant General, Fort Saint George.

No. 2. ORDER THEREON, 1st May 1867, No. 192.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing letter be furnished to the Civil Pension Department, with reference to the Proceedings in that Department, dated the 7th March last, No. 99.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Civil Pension Department.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

Pros: 7th March 1867 No. 99.

Pension 1867 Departt

No. 215.

Proceedings of Government
Rev'l Departt
No. 192.
Dated 1st May }
Recd. 4th — — } 1867

Furnishing copy of a letter from the Govt. of India stating that the Pension of Rs. 100 to Oomerdaraz Khan late Tahsildar of Ramala Kota Taluq Kurnool Distt. has been confirmed by the Governor General in Council

---

Randulla Khan.

The Mahazanamah regarding Umed raz Khan's service I know. I lent my seal to Umed raz Khan & he put it on the Mahazanamah. This is my seal.

Altaf Khan Br. was son of Gulam Rasul Khan Br. at time of Zubti his age was I do not know what. I do not know age of Umedraz then ~~it may have been about~~

Umedraz Khan used to come to the Durbar & make a salaam. I would look in if there was any tamasha

He used to receive pay. I have heard

This was written

down by me as dictated ~~by~~

J. Cruate

28th Nov 67

Kurnaul.

Daoud Khan Naqeeb

(witness is quite blind)

Of Umedraz Khan's Mahazar nr. regarding his service I know. I sealed it. I hear what is read. It is the Mahazar nama.

Alluf Khan Bdr was the Eldest son of Gholam Rasul Khan. He may have been at time of Zept about 11 or 12 or twelve years. Umedraz Khan might then have been 15 or 16 years of age — He was always coming from & going to ~~Ahluf~~ Alluf Khan. He made salaams to him — He received pay, I have heard, from Namdar Khan.

That service would

be considered as Circar money — because he acted as ordered by Nawab —

This was written by me as dictated.

J. Cuma

28th Nov 67.

9

Givey Khan.

There was a Mahagi named Umedvaz Khan regarding service. This is it — I sealed it, this is my seal.

Altaf Khan Bahadur son of Gulam Rasul Khan Bahadur.

This I say from memory

Altaf Khan at Surat was about 10 or 11 years of age. Then Umedvaz Khan's age would be about 15. 16. or 17 years.

Altaf Khan had a small money given to him as Nazzur and by Umedraze Khan & Doulut Khan his father & paid money as part of a debt to Gulam Rasul Khan. Umedraz Khan He used to go and make a Salaam to Altaf Khan. Then some other 20 or 30 boys who used to go & salaam likewise

The Son was the Son of the Circar - & thereafter servant of the Son was the servant of the Circar — Several men with the young Nawab only 3 - 4 received money.

7 & Umedraz Khan received occasionally some pay, but it was not fixed - Namdar Khan used to pause the pay paid through another person.

This was written by me as dictated

28th Nov 67 J. Crease

11

Fazul Meah

I signed a Mahazanamah regarding Ummed raz Khan's service

This is the Mahazar I signed. This is my signature.

Allif Khan was the Eldest Son of Gulam Rasool Khan.

At the Zufti Allif Khan was 13 years old -

Assumption of Kurnool by the E. I. Comp.

~~He~~ Ummedraz Khan was as a servant with with Allif Khan -

Ummedraz Khan was then about 8 or 9 years old.

I do not know if he received any pay - Namdar Khan Dewan gave him some thing I heard -

He was as a young servant with the young Nawab -

I do not know for certain if it was private or Circar service -

This was taken down by me as dictated

28th Nov 67 J. Crease

Khuli Khan — Husanzed

I signed a Mahazer namah regarding Umedraz Khan service

This is the Mahazer namah I signed & this is my signature.

Altaf Khan Bahadur was the son of Gulam Rassul Khan Bahadur — If Umedraz Khan did service with Gulam Rassul Khan I do not know.

At that time Altaf Khan was 8 - 9 or 10 years old. I do not know what service Umedraz Khan did. He followed Altaf Khan about every where — I do not know what pay he got — but he formerly told me that a Jaghir had been given to him & that he received pay —

The service must have been

as Circar servant for
the father of Altaf Khan must
have given him the service

Then Umedray Khan might
have been between 10 or 18
years of age — This was
all ~~about~~ more than 30 years ago —

He was Zmati of Altaf Khan
son of Gulam Rasul Khan

[illegible]

This was written down
as dictated

J. Crean

28th Nov 63

15A

Maruf Shah —

I wrote a Mahazarnamah
regarding Umedray Khan's
service — This is the
Mahazarnama. I sealed
it — this is my seal —
Altaf Khan Bahadur was
the son of Gulam Rasul
Khan Bahadur
At the Zufti Altaf Khan
was about 12 or 13 years old —
Umedray Khan's age ~~would~~
would be about 14 or 15 years
of age

He was with Altaf Khan
I do not what service he
did He may have received
pay. I do not know

I do not know if his
was private, or Circar service

He used to be with Altaf Khan.

This was written by me as dictated

J. Crean

28th Nov 63 دستخط سید شاہ معروف قادری

Hakim Amed Saheb -

I signed Mabaza namah regarding Umed ray Khan's service. - This is the Mabaza Nama. & this is my signature.

Alluf Khan was the son of Gulam Rasul Khan. He was about 12 or 13 years old at time of Gufter. Then Umed ray Khan was about 20 or 21 years - of age

I [illegible] about 50 years old

Umed ray Khan was as a big man who eat with Alluf Khan - I do not know of the received pay

I do not know if he was a private servant or as a Circar Servant.

I believe much younger J.C.

This was written by me on dictation

J Cain

28th Nov 63
Kurnool

دستخط حکیم احمد صاحب

Syed Abdul Rahim –

There was a Mahazanama in of the service of Umed raz Khan – This is the Mahaza – I signed This is my signature.

Then Allu Khan at time of Gupt was about 8 years of age.

Umed raz Khan's age then I do not remember.

Umed raz Khan did serve I do not know what – When I went to Dewan Naumdar Khan – I used to see Umed raz Khan –

I do not know if Umed raz Khan received pay or not –

This was written down by me as dictated

J. Chaun

20th Nov 07

سید عبدالرحیم

AB
21

Gulam Mohadin Khan – Janitagi

I signed a Mahazar naming
agreement by Umedraz Khan's
service – this is S. 2
This is my seal –
Aluf Khan Bahadur
was the son of Gulam Rasul
Khan Bahadur. old
Aluf Khan was about 10 years
2 months at the time of Zupti
then Umedraz Khan would
be about 20 years of ~~age~~
I do not know what
service he did. I wrote that
on back of Mahazar. –
I have seen Umedraz Khan
going to the young Nawab's house
& I have heard that
Naundar Khan paid his
expenses.
I know not if
he received pay –

Since I was as a
Soldier under the
Nawab —

This was written down by
me as dictated

J Crease

28th Novr 67

Recd. 1867 Depost
Jan 8th 69.

Memorandum

~~18~~ 25

The depositions sent
by Mr Chase, have evi-
dently been replies
to rather teazing ques-
tions — to those of a
cross examining counsel
not of a Judge.
When analysed —
the impression as to
age seems to be that
Ummed Kay Khan was
from 10 to 21: probably
about 16:— that he was
appointed to be about
the person of the young
prince — in no set-
that capacity, but mere-
ly as a retainer —
seems to be the meaning

6 of the 9
speak this
I should judge

of the majority. —

Three of the 9 distinctly say that his service was 'Circar' as being appointed by the Nawab to be with the 'young Nawab'.

Three say that he had pay — and a *fourth* that he had presents.

The remaining *five* do not know.

Of course ~~they~~ the 3 all, as is likely, *believed* he had pay — they did not see it given.

There seems little doubt that the service of 10 years on Rs 50 to Rs 100 is, in gentle terms, an exaggeration NB

If the documents sent are to be relied upon, — and Mr. Chase seems to think they are) — the prince's mother confirms the general tenor of the depositions — and the Vizier's Tákid treats him as a boyish attendant of the court, receiving pay.

C.W.

29

✠ them to qualify for
Govt. service. He is a
poor creature; for such
[illegible] that the Khan
showed him by family forbearance
he retaliated by allowing
former employment
under the Nawab to –
the Khan became a
Criminal & sentenced
of imprisonment, which
[illegible]
[illegible]; has been from
on an ordinary and
[illegible] a very
get within a very
his pay of this public
pension of wages
him both the [illegible]
wealth & appoint
laws to the poor
the rest or a thousand
for 15 and odd years
he must have made
money.

Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read, again Proceedings of Government dated 7th March and 10th May 1867 Nos. 99 and 148.

Read also the following letter

From the Collector of Kurnool (Here enter) 7th October 1867 No. 84.

Order thereon

The Government regret to learn that the representations made by Oomerdaraz Khan late Tahsildar of Ramalakota, as to his employment in the service of the late Nabob of Kurnool, were and must have been known by him to have been, unfounded. It was on the strength of his having been retained in the employment of the Kurnool State on high salaries and in consideration of his good character that, a higher

I think Oomer Daraz Khan is too leniently treated & the indulgence of a pension of 60 Rs. – I would make it less than that sum; say, 40 Rs. He was, as I know, selected by Mr. T. D. Lushington for the post of Tahsildar on the strong recomn. of Captain G. Russell the Assistant Agent, in the hope that the provision so made for one Mahomedan nobleman of the place would operate as an incentive on the others to induce ✠

higher rate of pension than that to which he was strictly entitled was granted.

2. The deceit practised by the applicant undoubtedly renders him liable to forfeiture of all ~~right~~ claim to pension if not to criminal prosecution, but adverting to Oomerdaraz Khan's infirmity the Government are unwilling to deprive him of all means of subsistence, and resolve accordingly to adopt the recommendations of the Collector.

3. The pension certificate returned with the letter above recorded will accordingly be cancelled, and a reduced pension of Rupees (60.....) sixty per mensem will be paid to Oomerdaraz Khan, the difference between the amount of pension now sanctioned and that granted in the

Proceedings

fifty

R 60 is perhaps too much to give him under the circumstances, but I would not reduce the pension below R 50 - the papers may be brought to Council on Tuesday next.

Proceedings of Government Pension Department, dated 7th March 1867 - No 99 being recovered by monthly instalments of Rupees 30 until the whole of the amount overdrawn is refunded.

J.B.

Collector of Kurnool
Accountant General

186 . *Department.*

No.

Proceedings of Government.

Dated

C29 Oct
A2 Nov
C12
R15

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS *of the* MADRAS GOVERNMENT

Read again Proceedings of Govt.

Dated 7th March and 10th May 1867 No. 99 and 148.

Read also the following letter

From the Collector of Kurnool (Hereunto 17th October 1867 No. 84.)

Order thereon

The Government regret to learn that the representations made by Oomerdaraz Khan late Tahsildar of Ramalakota, as to his employment in the service of the late Nabob of Kurnool were, and must have been known by him to have been, unfounded. It was on the strength of his having been retained in the employment of the Kurnool State on high salaries and in consideration of his good character that a higher rate of pension

than

than that to which he was strictly entitled, was granted.

2. The deceit practised by the applicant undoubtedly renders him liable to forfeiture of all right to pension if not to criminal prosecution, but adverting to Oomerdaraz Khan's infirmity the Govt. are unwilling to deprive him of all means of subsistence, and resolve accordingly to adopt the recommendations of the Collector.

3. The pension certificate returned with the letter above recorded will accordingly be cancelled, and a reduced pension of Rupees (60 ~~[illegible]~~) sixty ~~[illegible]~~ per mensem ~~equal to one third of the applicant's salary during the last 5 years of his service~~ will be paid to Oomerdaraz Khan, the difference between the amount of pension now sanctioned and that granted in the Pros: of Government Pension Departt: dated the 7th March 1867 No. 99 being recovered by monthly instalments of Rupees ~~30~~ 30 until the whole of the amount over-drawn is refunded.

+ This will make his pension only Rs 30 for the first ~~[illegible]~~ six months, under the new grant

He has drawn Rs 100 for almost exactly a year — he will have to refund about Rs 480 — This will reduce the pension now granted to Rs 30 for the first sixteen months. +

F.B.

Collector of Kurnool. —
Accountant General.

186 . *Department.*

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated

Copy this order again fair

Rs 60 per mensem

No. 97a

Kurnool Collector's Office
29th November 1867

37

From

T. A. N. Chase Esquire,
Collector of Kurnool.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

In reply to Government Order No. 334 dated 22nd November 1867, Pension Deptt., I have the honor to inform you that I have examined all the persons who signed the Mahazarnamah forwarded by Ummerdarazkhan to Government, with the exceptio of three Hussainkhan Nagad, Kadrikhan Janoji, and Muzarazukhan Nagad, who are absent, and did not attend my Office when called on. I have taken nine statements[×], which I forward in original for the information of Government. Not one individual knows for certain that Ummer

[×] Copies have not been made.

darazkhan received pay or what pay he received.

2. These statements show Allufkhan Bahadur, was the son of Gulam Raſsulkhan, and at the time of the assumption was a boy of about 8 or 10 years of age, and Ummerdarazkhan, a boy of about 12 or 13 years of age. Some say about 18 or 20. He used to, as far as these unsatisfactory statements lead me to suppose, follow the young Allufkhan Bahadur about and occasionally make a salam. He might also have occasionally received a present. But that he served the late Nawab as stated by himself in writing for 5 years on a pay of 50 Rs per mensem, and 5 years on a pay of 100 Rs, is now clearly proved to be groſsly false.

3. I forward the original of document (7) in my former letter, and C. in the Government Order under reply. This will show that the original docum

tho' sealed was not signed.

4. I believe the letter from Sadat Khatoon to be authentic because of the seal. It shows nothing more than what is shown by the statements I enclose.

5. I beg to return all the enclosures which were sent me with the Order of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servt
J Grace
Collector

Copd & Compd by
R. L.
a. g. J.

M. R.

1867

Pension Department

4069

From

The Collector of Kurnool

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Fort St. George

Dated 29th November

Received 2nd December /67.

(15)

No 97

Enclosures 1

Reporting that the services of Umerdarazkhan, late Tahsildar of Ramallakota, under the late Nabob is proved to be grossly false, & that the letter from Sadatkhan appears to be authentic.

Loanul

~~25~~ 41

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read ~~the following letter~~ again Pros of Government

Rev Departt of 7th March and 2d November 1867 Nos 99 and 334.

Read also the following letter

From the Collector of Kurnool (Here enter 29th November 1867 No 97)

Order thereon 4th December 1867 No 338.

from the correspondence above recorded it appears that ~~The Government regret to learn that~~ the statements of Oomerdarazkhan as to his employment in the service of the late Nawab of Kurnool, and the high salaries drawn by him were to a great extent ~~untrue~~ ~~unsupported by~~ ~~ing evidence~~ untrue. ~~They~~ Govt. are however disposed to take an indulgent view of his conduct and are willing to attribute his misstatements to ignorance rather than

than

than to fraudulent intention. He has however clearly no claim to the higher rate of pension which was paſsed to him on the faith of his representations, and his pension will therefore be reduced to Rupees (66-8-0) Sixty Six and Annas Eight, the reduction affecting the payments for the months now in arrear and all future payments.

The Government will not insist on a refund of past over payments.

Collector of Kurnool }
Accountant General } Desd 3/12.
with permanent Payable order

Pros: 22d Novr 1867 No. 334

Reduction of Pen

of

Unmarried Ray K

Pension 1867. Department.

F No. 377 of 1868

No. 338.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Cancel

Dated 4th December 1867.