1. Number of pages. 28
2. Date of repair 24-10-35.
3. Initials of Referencer.

17-7-36

M.R.O. 1—82 400—29-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 248 Press / Mis.

Dated 13-8- 1867.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

[illegible]

No. 94

1

Kistna District Collector's
Kacheri at Masulipatam
22nd June 1866.

From,
T. A. N. Chase Esqre.
Ag. Collector of Kistna District

To,
F. Lushington Esqre.
Accountant General
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith, a Statement, showing the servants thrown out of employment, during the revision of the Taluq Establishments &c.; and request that, you will be good enough, to obtain the sanction of Government, for granting gratuities to them, as proposed in the Statement.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obdt. Servt.

T. Chase
Ag. Collector

29/6

D A & A G. Office
Recd 29 } 6.186
Ansd — }

W

Ed. Thartiryam A.C.

Pension 1866 / 109

From the Actg Collector
of Kistna District
L 366.
To the Accountant General
Fort St George

Dated 22nd June 1866
Recd 26th June 1866
Encl 1 No. 94

Re

Forwarding a Statement showing the Servants thrown out of Employment during the revision of Taluq Establishment &c and requesting that sanction of Government may be obtained to grant Gratuity to them.

R. No. 248. 2610

No. 157

Kistna District, Collector's
Kachari at Masulipatam.
11th September 1866

From
G. Thornhill Esqre.
Collector of Kistna District.
To
The Examiner of Claims
in the Accountant General's
Office.
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo of the 11th ultimo, I have the honor to give the following explanation on No. 14. 15. 16. 17 and 18 -

No. 14 The mark of letter A is given for the services rendered on account of the Zemindary Estates attached for arrears of Peshcush.

No. 15. In the detailed lists of the Government Servants rendered to the late Civil Auditor's Office in the Month of May of each year, the service of each individual with the pay of office, is given under the respective Departments such as "Land Revenue," "Sayer," "Moturfa &c." to which they belonged. The

periods

109 1866 Dept.
From The Collector of
Kistna District
To The Examiner of
Claims in the
Acct. Genl's office
Fort St George
Dated 11th Sept. } 1866
Recd
Recd 19 Oct/66
Encls 3 No. 157
No.

Submitting Explanation on Certain numbers called for in a Memo of 11th Ultimo.

Pens. 1867 Dept.
In No. 867.

No. 200.- 7c

Kistna District
Collector's Kacheri
Masulipatam
22nd October 1866

To
The Examiner of Claims
in the Accountant-General's Office
Fort Saint George

Sir,

*+ 11th September 1866. No. 157.

With reference to para 4 of my letter of the date and number as per margin*, I have the honor to inform you that Akkanapully Venkatachellam and Kotamaraz Appaya were paid no gratuity when they were thrown out of employment by the abolition of Sayer Chowkies in the years 1837 and 1844, as will be seen from their statements herewith forwarded. — I request that you will be good enough to obtain speedy sanction of Government for the payment of the gratuity now applied for.—

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedt. Servant
(Signed) G. Thornhill
Collector

(True Copy)
[illegible]
Acting Collector

9

Kistna District,
Collector's Circuit
Kacheri at Repalli
16th February 1867.

No. 3.

From,
G. Thornhill Esqre
Collector of Kistna District,

To,
W. Donald Esqre
Examiner of claims
in the Accountant General's
Office
Fort Saint George.

Examiner of Claims Madras 1867 FE 2[illegible]

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 27th November last, No. 557, and in reply to refer you to my letter of the 22nd October last, No. 200, in which I reported that the 2 individuals noted in the margin were paid no gratuity when they were thrown out of employment by the abolition of Sayer Chowkies in the years 1837 and 1844.

1. Akkanapully Venkatachellum
2. Kotamraz Appaya

2. I request therefore that you will be good enough to obtain the speedy sanction of Government for the payment of the gratuity applied for.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

G. Thornhill
Collector.

For Report to the
Correspondence Depart

Examiner of Claims Madras 1867 JY 20

Kistna District Collr's
Office Masulipatam.
15th July 1867

No. 18.

Forwarded to the Examiner of claims with reference to para 1 of his Official Memo: No. 572 of 11th July 1867.

[illegible]
Acting Collector.

Pens. 1867 Dept.
In No. 367.

Fin. 1867 Dept.
From The Collr of Kistna Dist
To The Examiner of Claims in the office of [illegible]
Dated 16 Feby 1867
No 3

Regarding to obtain sanction of Govt for the payment of gratuity to certain thrown out servants.

Recd 1867 Dept

No. 17

The Services of the within named
verified from the records of this Office, and th
District, they are severally eligible to gratuity
Individual No. 1 is eligible to a gratuity of
salary for his Service of years 36-2-16-
√ Do. No. 2 is eligible to a gratuity of Rupees 60
exclusive of his service in Acting appointment
√ Do. No. 3 is eligible to a gratuity of Rupees 7
of years 35-"-12-
√ Do. No. 4 is eligible to Do. of Rupees 60 for the period of
X Do. No. 5 is eligible to a gratuity of Rupees 60
of years 29-11-25 as his Service prior to
from the total period of years 33-5-8 (
the Statement of Service) in consequen
Service for neglect of duty-
XX Do. No. 6 Will be eligible to a gratuity of
service of years 16-7-21 should Gove
the explanation given by the Collector in
Statement, and the affidavit which will
as he has been requested to forward
accompanying copy of Mems No. 571
of this applicant prior to 10th January
period of his service of years 16-7-21 a
on the 26th January 1846 and remaine
The cause of breaks in the s
in the submission of application
in the Remark Columns and conc
of Service.-

No. 17

The Services of the within named individuals having been verified from the records of this Office, and those of the Collector of Kistna District, they are severally eligible to gratuity under the old rules as shown hereunder.

Individual No. 1 is eligible to a gratuity of Rupees 60 equal to 6 months salary for his Service of years 36-2-16-

Do. No. 2 is eligible to a gratuity of Rupees 60 for the period of his service 35-4-22 exclusive of his service in Acting appointment from 22d May to 15th August 1844.

√ Do. No. 3 is eligible to a gratuity of Rupees 72 for the period of his service of years 35-„-12-

√ Do. No. 4 is eligible to Do. of Rupees 60 for the period of his service of years 30-6-22-

X Do. No. 5 is eligible to a gratuity of Rupees 60 for the period of his Service of years 29-11-25 as his Service prior to 15th November 1832 is excluded from the total period of years 33-5-8 (as given by the Collector in the Statement of Service) in consequence of his dismissal from Service for neglect of duty-

X X Do. No. 6 Will be eligible to a gratuity of Rupees 150 for the period of his service of years 16-7-21 should Government be pleased to accept the explanation given by the Collector in the Remark Column of the Statement, and the affidavit which will be forwarded by the Collector direct as he has been requested to forward to Government as per accompanying copy of Mins No. 571 dated 23d July 1867- The services of this applicant prior to 10th January 1848 is not included in the total period of his service of years 16-7-21 as he was dismissed for misconduct on the 26th January 1846 and remained without employ for years 1-11-15-

The cause of breaks in the services of the applicants, and delay in the submission of application are explained by the Collector in the Remark Columns and concluding part of the Statement of Service.-

McDonald
Examiner of Claims

Fort Saint George
Accountt. Genl's Office
25th July 1867-

To
The Chief Secretary to Government
Civil Pension Department
Fort Saint George

Copd:- Md

Statement showing the Servants recommended for gratuity in the District of Kistna.

Names of the Taluks.	Names of the Division.	Names of the designation.	Names of the Servants.	Period of service as per Memoranda prepared by the Native Record Keeper. Names of the rank.	From what period.	To what period.	Period of service.			Pay for month		Amount of gratuity recommended		Remarks.
							Y	M	D	Rs	a	Rs	a	
Rajapet	Martur	1 Sammutdar of Pasumurru Mutah.	Bollapalli Pullia.	Sayer Gumasta of Kunkalagunta Chowky in Bellamkonda Tana	12th July 1825	15th February 1826	„	7	4	10	8	60	„	The service of this individual was continuous up to 1st August 1853, when he was suspended for 1 year 1 month and 4 days for neglect of duty; and was afterwards restored to Office. Except that instance, no fault was ever found with him during the remaining period of his service. He was thrown out of employment on the amalgamation of the Taluks in 1862 according to Mr. Pelly's scale. Except the period of one month from 15th February to 17th March 1842, during which he was Sammutdar of Nandepad Mutah, appertaining to the Estate of Suttanapally Zemindar, which was then under temporary attachment for arrears of Peishcush, the rest of the service will be found in the detailed [illegible] Government Servants, rendered yearly to the Audit office. The age of this individual is above 60 years; and as he is an old servant, I beg to recommend that he may be allowed gratuity according to the old rules Viz. 6 Months pay.-
				Do of Vinukonda Tana	16th February 1826	31st October 1828	2	8	13	10	8			
				Do of Rompecherla Do	1st November 1828	3rd December 1828	„	1	3	8	„			
				Do of Chilkalurpetta of Rajapett Division	4th December 1828	3rd March 1830	1	3	„	10	„			
				Do of Polur Division	4th March 1830	2nd September 1831	1	6	„	10	„			
				Do of Chebrole at Chebrole Tana	3rd September 1831	31st January 1832	„	4	28	10	„			
				Do of Modukur in Tenali Do	1st February 1832	30th June 1832	„	5	„	10	„			
				Do of Sattanapalli in Kondveed Division	1st July 1832	28th February 1833	„	8	„	10	„			
				Do of Chamerlamudy in Guntur Tana	1st March 1833	6th May 1835	2	2	6	10	„			
				Do of Ponnur in Tenalli Do	7th May 1835	10th August 1836	1	3	5	10	„			
				Moturpha Madutgar of Mangalagiri Division	11th August 1836	23rd December 1836	„	4	14	10	„			
				Do of Polur Do Do	24th December 1836	14th February 1842	5	1	22	10	„			
				A Sammutdar of Nandipad Mutah in the Rajapett Tana	15th February 1842	17th March 1842	„	1	„	12	„			
				Moturpha Madutgar of Polur Tana	18th March 1842	31st January 1847	4	10	14	10	„			
				Police Madutgar of Martur Taluk	1st February 1847	24th April 1850	3	2	24	10	„			
				Sammutdar of Kytapalli in Repalli Taluk	25th April 1850	1st August 1853	3	3	7	10	„			
				Do of Vejendla in Guntur Taluk	6th September 1854	Break 14th October 1860	6	1	9	10	„			
				Do of Pasumurru in Martur Division	15th October 1860	31st October 1862	2	„	17	10	„			
							36	2	16					
		2 Police Madutgar	Atikemapalli Venkata Chellam.	Sayer Madutgar of Ammritalur Tana	2nd January 1827	25th February 1827	„	1	25	10	8	60	„	The whole period of this individu -als' Service, as verified from the records of this office, is continuous. The vacant period is only 3 months and 3 days, which was caused by the abolition of the Sayer Establish -ment. He was then employed
				Do of Chebrole Tana	26th February 1827	19th September 1828	1	6	22	10	8			
				Sayer Chowky Gumastah of Tadikonda in Guntur Tana	20th September 1828	31st October 1828	„	1	11	10	8			
				Do Do Do	1st November 1828	14th October 1829	„	11	14	10	„			

		Police Madutgar of Guntur Tana	15th October 1829	25th March 1830	.	5	11	10	.			in the Salt Department for a short time, after which he was employed as a Police Madudgar, which appointment he lost on the revision of Establishments. He had a very good Character, and is aged above 60. I therefore recommend that gratuity may be given to him according to the old rules Viz. 6 months pay.
		Sayer Gumasta of Jaditkonda Chowky in Do.	26th March 1830	22d September 1831	1	5	8	10	.			
		Do. Do. of Peda Nandipad in Vanjeppwam Division	3d September 1831	31st October 1831	.	1	28	10	.			
		Do. Do. of Kakany in Guntur Tana	1st November 1831	20th December 1831	.	1	20	10	.			
		Moturpha Gumastah of Do. Do.	21st December 1831	10th December 1838	6	11	21	10	.			
		Moturpha Madutgar of Prattipad Tana	11th December 1838	14th February 1842	3	2	4	10	.			
		A Revenue Javobnevis of Kurapad Tana	15th February 1842	20th February 1842	.	.	6	10	.			A This is on account of Zemindary Estate attached for arrears of Peishcush.
		Moturpha Madutgar of Guntur Tana	21st February 1842	17th March 1842	.	.	25	10	.			
		Sayer Head Gumasta of Do. Do.	18th March 1842	31st March 1844	2	.	14	10	.			
		* Acting Salt Gumasta of Parli Kotar in Nizampatam Tana	22d May 1844	15th August 1844	.	2	25	× 10	-			* The pay of this office is Rs. 20 - this was paid both to the applicant, and another, at 10 Rs. each, during this period. He was afterwards reduced.
		Kalalkhana Madutgar of Tenali Tana	28th September 1844	23d February 1845	.	4	26	10	.			
		Police Madutgar of Chebrole Tana	24th February 1845	31st January 1847	1	11	5	10	.			
		Police Madutgar of Mangalagiri Taluk	1st February 1847	21st August 1849	2	6	21	10	.			
		Do. of Tummerkota Do.	22d August 1849	30th June 1856	6	10	10	10	.			
		Do. of Kondaveed Do.	1st July	30th June								
		Do. of Repalli Do.	1st July 1857	1st May 1861	3	10	1	10	.			
		Do. of Martur Do.	2d May 1861	31st October 1862	1	5	30	10	.			
					35	6	27					
3 Inlapaud Mutah Samutdar	Koyamuttur Saturow	Sayer Gumasta of Chebrole Chowky in Chebrole Tana	23d April 1827	31st October 1828	1	6	8	10	8	72	.	The whole period of this individual's service is continuous (except a break of 6 months, which occurred from 13th September 1858 to 12th March 1859, and was caused by his being suspended for neglect of duty) as verified from the records of this office. The applicant's Character is good. He was thrown out of employment during the amalgamation, and revision of the Taluk Establishments. He is aged above 60 years. Except the Samutdar service marked A, which was on account of the Zemindary Estate, attached for arrears of Peshkush, the rest will be found in the detailed lis of Government Servants rendered to the Audit Office. I therefore recommend that the appli-cant may be allowed gratuity accordi to old rules Viz. 6 months pay.
		Do. Do. Do.	1st November 1828	21st June 1829	.	7	21	10	.			
		Sayer Gumasta of Do.	22d June 1829	7th December 1829	.	5	16	12	.			
		Sayer Madutgar of Vetapollem Chowky in Bapatla Tana	8th December 1829	9th December 1830	1	.	2	8	.			
		Moturpha Madutgar of Rajapett Tana	10th December 1830	23d December 1836	6	.	14	10	.			
		Salt Madutgar of Perali Kotar in Nizampatam Tana	24th December 1836	7th April 1837	.	3	15	10	.			
		Moturpha Madutgar of Kurapad Tana	8th April 1837	13th August 1845	8	4	6	10	.			
		A Samutdar of Sekur Mutah of Yedlapally Tana	14th August 1845	28th August 1845	.	.	15	12	.			
		Moturpha Madutgar of Vinukonda Tana	29th August 1845	31st January 1847	1	5	3	10	.			
		Revenue Madutgar of Vinukonda Taluk	1st February 1847	5th November 1852	5	9	5	10	-			
		Assistant Javobnevis of Kondaveed Taluk	6th November 1852	12th July 1853	.	8	7	7	.			
		Assistant Javobnevis of Kurapad Taluk	13th July 1853	5th December 1853	.	4	24	7	.			

Narasaraopett.

Bapatla.

			Aſsistant Javobnevies of Kondaveed Taluk	6th December 1853	10th October 1855	1	10	5	7	-			
			Samutdar of Brammhana Kodur in Ponnur Taluk	11th October 1855	10th June 1856	-	8	-	10	-			
			Samutdar of Irlapad in Narasarowpett Taluk	11th June 1856	17th September 1858	2	3	2	12	-			
			Do. Do. Do.	13th March 1859	31st October 1862	3	7	19	12	-			
						35	-	12					
4	Samutdar of China Ganjam Mutah.	Kotamraze Appayya	Chowky Gumasta of Amaravati in Kurapad Division	27th October 1825	28th February 1826	-	4	5	10	8	60	-	As the break of 6 years, 7 months and 5 days can be taken to account, the service of the applicant as verified from the records of this Office is continuous. His Character was good throughout, except in the instance of his suspension. He was thrown out of employment during the revision of the Taluk Establishments. His age is above 60 years. I therefore recommend him to a grant of 6 months gratuity according to old rules.
			Do. of Chebrole Do.	1st March 1826	6th August 1826	-	5	6	10	8			
			Chowky Gumasta of Nizampatam in Amurtalur Tana	7th August 1826	31st October 1828	2	2	25	10	8			
			Do. Do. Do.	1st November 1828	18th January 1830	1	2	18	10	-			
			Chowky Gumasta of Chebrole in Chebrole Tana	19th January 1830	2d September 1831	1	7	15	10	-			
			Chowky Gumasta of Royapudi in Do.	3d September 1831	30th June 1832	-	9	28	10	-			
			Do. Do. of Amurtalur in Tenali Tana	1st July 1832	16th October* 1834	2	3	16	10	-			* On this date the Chowky was abolished and the applicant lost his employment } y. m. d. - - - 8
			Do. of Tadikonda in Guntur Tana	25th October 1834	2d November⊗ 1837	3	-	9	10	-			⊗ Do. Do. 5 . 11 . 3
			Peon of old Sibbandi of Dachapalli Tana doing Tappal writer's work	6th October 1843	27th April 1846	2	6	23	5	-			
			Police Madutgar of Polur Tana	28th April 1846	31st January								
			Aſsistant Javobnevies of Martur Taluk	1st+ February 1847	31st July 1850	3	6	-	7	-			+ This occurred when the new establishment was introduced after the Zemindary Estates reverted to Govt.
			Samutdar of Konedana Mutah in Do.	1st August 1850	14th February* 1854	3	6	14	10	-			* On this date he was suspended for neglect of duty } - . 7 . 22 6 . 7 . 3
			Samutdar of Komerapudi Mutah in Kondaveed Taluk	6th October 1854	31st March 1858	3	5	26	10	-			
			Do. of China Ganjam Mutah in Bapatla Taluk	1st April 1858	14th December 1862	4	8	14	10	-			
						30	6	22					
5	Revenue Madutgar	Somayajula Sastrooloo	Hungama Madutgar of Rachur Tana	22d May 1825	30th September* 1827	2	5	-	10	8			* The Estate of Malraze Zemindary having been released from attachment, the applicant lost his situation.
			A Samutdar of Dharanikota Mutah in Chebrole Tana	17th December 1828	28th December* 1829	1	-	13	16	-	60	-	* Dismissed for neglect of duty. Out of the total service of the applicant, as certified by the records of this Office, the periods marked A cannot be found in the detailed lists of Government Servants, rendered yearly to the Civil Auditor's Office, as that service was on account of the Zemindary Estates, attached for arrears of Peshkush, which finally reverted to Government in the year 1846. The Character of the applicant was good throughout, except in the 2 instances noticed. He was thrown out of employment during the revision of the Taluk Establishments. He is aged above 60 years. I therefore beg to recommend that the applicant may be granted a gratuity of 7 Months according to the old rules.
			A Revenue Javobnevies of Polur Tana	15th November 1832	5th November 1841	8	11	21	10	-			
			Police Madutgar of Vellatur Tana	6th November 1841	27th December 1841	-	1	22	10	-			
			A Samutdar of Numbur Muta in Chilakalurpetta Taluk	28th December 1841	6th January 1842	-	-	10	10	-			
			A Revenue Javobnevies of Bapatla Tana	7th January 1842	12th April 1842	-	3	7	10	-			
			A Head Madutgar of Chebrole Tana	13th April 1842	14th April 1842	-	-	2	12	-			
			A Head Madutgar of Kurapad Tana	15th April 1842	2d June 1842	-	1	18	12	-			
			A Acting Peshkar of Kurapad Taluk	3d June 1842	26th June 1842	-	-	24	20	-			

Ponnur.

Gudwada.

A			Head Madutgar of Kurapad Taluk	27th June 1842	8th September 1845	3	2	12	12 „		
A			Head Madutgar of Bellamkonda Tana	9th September 1845	22d December 1845	„	3	14	12 „		
A			Revenue Madutgar of Rajapett Tana	23d December 1845	31st January 1847	1	1	9	10 .		
			Do. of Kondveed Do.	1st February 1847	3d June 1848	1	4	3	10 .		
			Madutgar of Nursarowpett Taluk	4th June 1848	21st September 1848	.	3	18	10 .		
			Javobnevies of Vinukonda Taluk	22d September 1848	30th November 1849	1	2	9	10 „		
			Madutgar of Narsarowpett Taluk	1st December 1849	11th May 1851	1	5	11	10 .		
			Head Madutgar of Do.	12th May 1851	2d October ⊗ 1853	2	4	22	12 .	⊗ Suspended for neglect of duty.	
			Revenue Madutgar of Prattepad Taluk	12th November 1853	30th May 1860	6	7	19	10 .		
			Do. of Ponnur Division	1st July 1860	14th December 1862	2	5	14	10 .		
						33	5	8			
1	Revenue Inspector.	Varanasi Venkatadry	Sayer Acting Daroga of Achemmapeta Division in Guntur District	20th August 1820	7th August 1821	.	11	19	20 .		The services of the applicant have not been certified, as the whole of the Huzur records have been damaged by the Cyclone of 1st November 1864. A portion of that service was examined with the original Hukums in possession of the applicant. He gave a tuffeet on solemn affirmation before the Sub Magistrate of Gudwada Taluk, that he actually served the Government during a period of 27 years and odd. Throughout the applicant's service, the number of breaks is 8, the period of which is 18 years, and 3 months. Except at the instances noticed, his conduct was good during the remaining period of his service. The age of the applicant is above 58 years. He was thrown out of employment, agreeably to the orders of the Board of Revenue, as unfit for actual service. The applicant being a servant of 27 years standing I beg to recommend that he may be allowed 6 months gratuity at the rate of Rs [illegible]
			Do. Madutgar of Mullaveram Chowky in Do. Do.	7th September 1822	6th September 1824	* 2	„	„	10 8	* Lost situation, as the Chowky was abolished.	
			Salt Acting Peshkar of Nellimukkala Division in Vizagapatam District	1st May 1833	30th April 1835	2	„	„	12 .		
A			Jilladar of Nedadavole Zemindary under attachment, and Jilladar of Vacanur Mutah in Divi Taluk	31st December 1838	1st December 1840	1	11	5	10 .		
			Do. of Gudevada Mutah in Gudevada Taluk	5th December 1840	15th September * 1841	„	9	12	10 .	* Suspended for one month for neglect of duty.	
			Do. Do. Do.	16th October 1841	15th December ⊗ 1843	2	1	„	10 .	⊗ Suspended for 3 months, and 16 days for neglect of duty.	
			Do. of Chennur Mutah in Do.	1st April 1844	16th December 1845	1	8	16	10 .		
			Do. of Dokepurru Mutah in Do.	17th December 1845	25th January ⊙ 1846	„	1	9	10 „	⊙ Dismissed for misconduct on the complaint of the ryots.	
			Samutdar of Jayanti Mutah in Nandegama Taluk	10th January 1848	19th July ⁑ 1849	1	6	10	8 „	⁑ Suspended for neglect of duty.	
A			Jilladar of Munagala Purganah of Jaggiapett Taluk	6th May 1850	5th July § 1850	„	2	„	8 „	§ Lost this post the Zemindar's Estate having been released from attachment.	
			Samutdar of Autinlamannad Purgana in Bunder Taluk	6th March 1851	19th May * 1855	4	2	11	8 .	* Suspended for neglect of duty.	
			Do. Do. Do.	19th July 1856	6th April 1857	.	8	19	8 „		
AA			Jilladar of Dokepurru Mutah in Gudevada Taluk	7th April 1857	28th August 1857	„	4	22	10 „		
			Samutdar of Gudur Mutah in Bandar Taluk	29th August 1857	31st July 1859	1	10	13	8		
			Jilladar of Angalur Mutah in Gudevada Do.	1st August 1859	5th April 1861	1	8	5	10 .		
			Do. of Polukonda Do. in Do. Do.	6th April 1861	14th December 1862	1	8	9	10 .		

A A. Service forfeited on account of the Zemindary Estate under attachment for arrears of Peishcush

		° September					
Revenue Inspector of Gudevada Taluk	15th December 1862	31st October 1865	2	10	17	20	-
Revenue Inspector of Do. Taluk	1st November 1865	16th February 1866	-	3	16	20	-
			27	-	3		

General Remarks

Nos 1 to 5. These individuals were thrown out of Employment during the revision of the Taluq Establishments, in 1862 according to Mr. Pelly's new scale. Before they were recommended for gratuity, the Government of India ordered, that Compassionate allowance at 2½ Rs a month, should be given to all peons of 30 years Service, thrown out of Employment by the reduction of Establishments - on a reference made through the Board, the Government ordered that Nos 1 to 5 are entitled to Compassionate allowance - They were accordingly recommended for the same. Subsequently, the Government of India ruled that this indulgence should be shown only to the class of Peons, actually thrown out of Employment, and not to others above that rank - These individuals were, thereupon, recommended for gratuity to the Board, who returned the Statements for transmission to Government. Hence the great delay in sending this Statement.

Delay

The delay in case No 6 is, owing to references, which have been made to the Huzur and Taluq Records, for details of service -

Kistna District.
Collector's Kacheri
Masulipatam.
22d June 1866 -

J. Chase
Acting Collector

Tharsingam H.C.

13

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

…Assistant

Collector of Kistna District

Pension 1867 Departt.

No. 367.

Report of the Examiner of Claims No. 17

Dated 25th July 1867.
Recd. 26th July 1867.

Reporting the services of certain Servants of the Collectorate of the Kistna District.

O. No. 248.

1866 Dep.

In a letter from the Ag. Collector Kistna Dist.
dated 29th June 1866
No. 96

Statement showing the Servants recommended for Gratuity in the District of Kistna.

09 Aug.
1212.

[illegible]

Pension 1867 Departt.

No. 367.

Report of the Examiner of Claims No. 17

Dated 25th } July 1867.
Recd. 26th }

Reporting the services of certain servants of the Collectorate of the Kistna District.

1866 Dept.

In a letter from the Ag. Collector Kistna Dist.
dated 27th June 1866
No. 94

Statement showing the Servants recommended for gratuity in the District of Kistna.

15

[illegible]

[illegible]

Solemnly affirmed before me this 29th day of July 1867.

[illegible] J. Magistrate

Pens 1867 Dept.
In No 388.

No 571

Accountant Generals Office
Fort Saint George
23d July 1867

Official. Memo

As the Kyfeyet in Teloogoo returned with the Official. Memo from this Department dated 11 Instant. No 512 for the signature of the Magestrate did not accompany the copy of the letter dated 22d October/66. No 200. forwarded by the Collector on the 15: Instant. No 18. the undersigned has the honor to request that the Collector of Kistna District will be good enough to forward the same as early as possible to Government as the case has been reported upon

(Sigd) W. Donald.
Examiner of Claims

(True copy)

Ag Chief Assistant

To
The Collector of Kistna District

Revl 1867 Dept:
In Wt 367.

19c

KISTNA DISTRICT,
COLLECTOR'S KACHERI,
AT Masulipatam
29th July 1867.

No. 25 OF 1867.

FROM,

W. Wilson Esquire,
Acting COLLECTOR OF THE
Kistna District.

TO,

W. Hudleston Esquire,
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT in the Revenue Dept.
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

As requested by the Examiner of Claims in an Official Memo: No. 571 dated 23rd Current, I have the honor to forward to you direct a Telugu Kyfeat dated 29th Instant by Varanasy Venkatadry upon whose claim to gratuity the Examiner of Claims has reported to Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.,
W Wilson
Acting Collector.

Exd. Thaniyam

Pension 1867 Department. J.C. No 383

From

The Collector of the
Kistna District.

To

The Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George.

Dated 29th July
Received 3d August } 1867.

No. 75
W Brandt Enclosures. 1
No.

Forwarding direct a Telugu Report by Varanasy Venkatasy upon whose claim to gratuity the Examiner of Claims has reported to Government.

O. No 248

Kistna District Collectorate Press.

Accountant General's Office,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
8th August 1867.

No. 612

OFFICIAL MEMO.

With reference to report from this Department dated 25th Ultimo No 17 the undersigned has the honor to state that he has sent a Memo to the Collector of Kistna District a copy of which is herewith forwarded, and to request that the orders upon that report may be deferred until the receipt of a reply from the Collector.

W Donald
Examiner of Claims.

To
The Asst Under Secretary to Govt
Civil Pension Departt.

Copy [illegible]

---

2 The individuals number 1, 3, 4 and 5 having been suspended during the period of their service are not entitled to the full gratuities recommended by the Collector and will consequently receive

23

Accountant General's Office,

No. 604

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
8th August 1867.

OFFICIAL MEMO.

Your letter No 94 dated 22nd June 1866—

The undersigned has the honor to request that the Collector of Kistna District will be good enough to inform him whether the words "Revision of the Talug Establishments" apply to 6 Individuals shewn in the list of Gratuity which accompanied the letter under acknowlegment and whether Varanasi Venkatadry No 6 was thrown out of employ upon any further revision of Establishments subsequent to 1862 If not, a Medical Certificate to prove the incapacity of the applicant No 6 should be forwarded for transmission to Government with as little delay as possible—

(Signed) W. Donald
Examiner of Claims.

To
The Collector of Kistna District

True Copy
A2 Chief Asstt.

Copd [illegible]

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS *of the* MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers:

From the Ag Collector of Kistna District }(Here enter 22d June 1867 No. 94)

Report of the Examiner of Claims (— " — 25th July 1867 No. 17)

Order thereon 13th August 1867 No. 248.

	Rs	A	P
1. Bollupalli Pullia, Samutdar —	58	"	"
2. Akkennapalli Venkatachellam, Madutgar	60	"	"
3. Royamuttuz Saturow, Samutdar	70	"	"
4. Kotamrage Appayya	8° 58	"	"
5. Somayajula Sastrootoo, Madutgar	58	"	"

In accordance with the Gratuity Rules of 4th July 1862, the Governor in Council sanctions the grant of the gratuities specified against their names to the 5 individuals named in the margin who were thrown out of employ on the revision of the Taluq Estt. in 1862.

2 The individuals numbers 1, 3, 4 and 5 having been suspended during the period of their service are not entitled to the full gratuities recommended by the Collector and will consequently receive

receive the reduced gratuities
specified against
~~written opposite to~~ their names -

Orders will be passed
on the case of the last individual
on receipt of the information
called for by the Examiner of
Claims -- F.B.

To the Ag Collector of Kistna Dist } Decr 13/8
To the Accountant General }

Gratuities

(13)

Pension 1867. Department.

F. No. 434 &
486.

No. 248.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 13th August 1867.

C Gratuity
A12 "